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Density of Prairie Skinks (Eumeces septentrionalis) in Old-field Habitats

WILLIAM C. PITT
Utah State University, Ecology Center and Department of Fisheries and Wildlife Logan, 84322

ABSTRACT.—From 1996–1998 I estimated the density of prairie skinks (Eumeces septentrionalis) in old fields and evaluated the influence of habitat characteristics on density. I estimated prairie skink density from a trapping grid using a mark-recapture method in seven old fields. For each field I estimated arthropod density, percent plant cover and recorded field age and if the field had been burned in the last 5 y. Arthropod density was highly variable among years and fields. Percent plant cover did not explain this variation, as it varied little among fields and years. Prairie skink density ranged from 58–206 adults per ha among fields and was significantly correlated with field age, arthropod abundance and burn treatment. Because of the small number of replicated sampling units, however, I was unable to determine the independent effects of each factor. Prairie skink density increased with successional age but the mechanisms responsible for this pattern remain unclear.

INTRODUCTION
Predatory lizards are widespread in many terrestrial communities and can have dramatic influences on arthropod populations (Schoener and Toft, 1983; Pacala and Roughgarden, 1984; Spiller and Schoener, 1988, 1994). Little is known, however, about population sizes and the ecological factors that limit lizard populations in temperate regions (Diaz and Carrascal, 1991; Diaz, 1997; McLeod and Gates, 1998). Lizard populations are influenced by thermal conditions and habitat structure (e.g., woody debris) as well as predator and prey abundance (James, 1991; Diaz and Carrascal, 1991; Mushinsky, 1992; Seburn, 1993; Martin and Lopez, 1996; Diaz, 1997; Hecnar and M’Closkey, 1998; McLeod and Gates, 1998). Although predation is considered to be a major source of mortality for some lizard populations (McLaughlin and Roughgarden, 1989; Wilson, 1991), many studies suggest that lizard populations may be limited by the abundance of prey (Diaz and Carrascal, 1991; Diaz, 1997; McLeod and Gates, 1998). The most important factors limiting lizard populations, therefore, continue to be debated and may differ across study sites (Diaz and Carrascal, 1991).

Northern prairie skinks (Eumeces septentrionalis) are small carnivorous lizards inhabiting tallgrass prairie habitats from Minnesota to Texas (Conant, 1975). Prairie skink diets in Minnesota are generalized and typically consist of Orthoptera (27.3% by frequency of occurrence), Arachnida (29.5%), Lepidoptera (10.1%, mainly larvae), Coleoptera (9.4%), Homoptera (8.6%), Diptera (4.3%), Hemiptera (3.6%) and Hymenoptera (2.9%) (Breckenridge, 1943). Prairie skinks are prey for birds, mammals, snakes and occasionally other prairie skinks (Breckenridge, 1943). Skinks (Eumeces spp.) are relatively long-lived (to 10 y) and have relatively low reproductive rates (Breckenridge, 1943; Snider and Bowler, 1992). In Minnesota prairie skinks reach sexual maturity at 21 mo and females lay 5–13 eggs annually in a single clutch (Breckenridge, 1943).

The objectives of this study were to determine density of northern prairie skinks, identify any trends in density with plant succession and identify any relationship between prairie...
skink and arthropod density. I estimated prairie skink density in old fields that differed in age and measured three important habitat characteristics in each field: arthropod density, vegetative cover and burn frequency. One of the following four hypotheses potentially explains the pattern of density. Hypothesis one, if prairie skinks are limited by the density of prey items, their numbers should be associated with arthropod density (Díaz and Carrascal, 1991; James, 1991; Hasegawa, 1994). Hypothesis two, if cool thermal conditions limit growth and reproductive rates of prairie skinks, prairie skink density should increase as total plant cover decreases (i.e., increase in solar radiation) (Seburn, 1993; McLeod and Gates, 1998). Prairie skink density would increase because ambient temperatures are usually well below optimal activity temperatures (>30°C, Goin et al., 1978) in northern climates and lizards rely heavily on solar radiation to increase body temperatures and maintain activity (Regal, 1978). Direct solar radiation reaching the soil surface decreases as plant cover increases because of the interception of radiation by leaves (Rauner, 1977; Gates, 1980). Hypothesis three, if prairie skinks are limited by the amount of woody debris, which serves as a refuge from predators and suboptimal thermal conditions, then burned fields which have less woody debris will have fewer prairie skinks (Mushinsky, 1992; Downes and Shine, 1998; Hecnar and M’Closkey, 1998). Alternatively, fields with greater plant cover may receive less solar radiation; therefore burned fields may contain more prairie skinks. Hypothesis four, if prairie skinks are limited by predation, they will be more abundant in fields with more total plant cover. Indications of previous predation attempts, as judged from tail breakage scars, should occur at high frequency in fields with lower plant cover (Vitt and Cooper, 1986; Wilson, 1991).

I tested these hypotheses by conducting a mark recapture study from 1996–1998 in seven old fields of varying successional age to determine density. I compared prairie skink density to arthropod density, successional age of fields, total plant cover and whether the field had been previously burned in the last 5 y.

**Methods**

Research was conducted in the successional old fields at Cedar Creek Natural History Area (CCNHA), located approximately 60 km north of Minneapolis in east-central Minnesota on a glacial outwash sandplain with nitrogen-poor soils (Tilman, 1987). I measured prairie skink density and habitat characteristics in seven old fields, abandoned from farming between 1937–1986 and now surrounded by forest. Two of the fields were burned once every 3 y as part of a long-term burning experiment (Tester, 1989). Young fields (<30 y since abandonment) were dominated by annual forbs (e.g., *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* and *Eriogonum* spp.) and perennial weedy grasses (e.g., *Poa pratensis* and *Agropyron repens*). Fields abandoned >40 y ago were dominated by prairie grasses (e.g., *Schizachyrium scoparium* and *Panicum* spp.), diverse perennial forbs and woody plants (e.g., *Rosa arvensisana* and *Rhus radicans*). Grasshoppers (Orthoptera: Acrididae), a preferred prey, are the most abundant insects (Ritchie and Tilman, 1992, 1993). Birds (e.g., American kestrels, *Falco sparverius*), mammals (e.g., raccoons, *Procyon lotor*), snakes (e.g., western hognose snakes, *Heterodon nasicus*) are potential predators but are rare in these fields (Huntly and Inouye, 1987; Woveha et al., 1995; Davis et al., 2000).

From 1996–1998 I estimated prairie skink densities, arthropod densities and percent cover of plant species in these seven old fields. Prairie skink density was estimated with a single trapping grid in each field placed from 1 July–30 August. Three of the fields were trapped all 3 y, although trapping grids were placed in new random locations each year. I placed the grids in random locations each year to reduce potential trapping biases associated with the placement of grids for any 1 y. Each grid (30 × 30 m) consisted of thirty-six 20-cm diam
pitfall traps, placed 5 m apart and covered with a 30 × 30 cm square piece of plywood. The top of the pitfall trap was 1 cm below ground level, thus the skinks moved easily underneath the plywood cover. Each pitfall was checked every 24–48 h. I recorded the mass, sex (when possible), length, age class and tail condition (e.g., complete, missing, regenerated) of each prairie skink. Tail condition and length were noted only during the last 2 y of the study. All prairie skinks older than 6 mo (i.e., older than young of the year) were uniquely marked by toe clipping and released at the point of capture. Prairie skinks less than 1 y in age have a bright blue tail and are much smaller than adults (Breckenridge, 1943). Prairie skink density was estimated as the minimum number known alive, that is the total number of prairie skinks marked in the grid divided by the area of the trapping grid plus a 5-m buffer (0.16 ha). This method may overestimate actual density because the trapping grid may be sampling a much larger area by including prairie skinks using the edge of the grid (Schoener, 1994).

Most (90% by frequency of occurrence) of the arthropods prairie skinks feed upon were collected in sweep nets, but pitfall traps were also used to collect ground dwelling arthropods (Breckenridge, 1943). Density of large (>5 mm in length) arthropods was estimated by a sweep collection during the last week of August each year. I randomly located six 5 × 5-m plots in each field and systematically swept each plot with a muslin net for three or four consecutive 1-min periods with 1-min rest between periods. When an insect was observed escaping from the plot, the individual was collected outside of the plot or a note was made of its identity, if possible. After each sweep period the net contents were emptied into a plastic bag and stored at 15 C. After all plots were sampled, the samples were frozen at −15 C, sorted and identified. All grasshoppers and common arthropods were sorted to species, whereas rare (<3 per sample) arthropods were sorted to family. By conducting at least three consecutive sweeps per plot, I estimated density using a catch-effort technique (Southwood, 1978). Using linear regression I estimated the x-intercept of a regression of number caught in the current sweep (dependent variable) vs. cumulative catch over the current and previous sweeps (independent variable). The x-intercept of the regression was an estimate of the density within the plots. Every 24–48 h I counted, identified and then released all arthropods collected in pitfalls. The relative abundance of ground dwelling arthropods in each field was quantified as the mean number collected in pitfalls per trap day.

I estimated the percent cover (±2%) of plant species in six 0.5-m² sampling quadrats randomly located adjacent (<20 m) to each trapping grid. I recorded all plant species and recorded visual percent cover using a 0.5-m² frame divided into 10 × 10 cm sections (Inouye et al., 1987). I counted the total number of sections (or parts of sections) that covered each species. Field age was determined from official records at CCNHA. These records are based on field research since 1929, aerial photographs and land acquisition dates since CCNHA was established in 1940 (Hodson, 1985; Tilman, 1987).

I used a weighted multiple regression to test for a relationship between average prairie skink density, average arthropod density and field age (years since abandonment). The regression was weighted based on the number of years each field was sampled. I used an unpaired t-test to compare prairie skink density between burned and unburned fields. Percent plant cover was not included in the analysis because it varied little among fields and thus, would not explain variation of prairie skinks across fields.

**Results**

I captured 249 adult prairie skinks (881 total captures) and 115 hatchlings on 13 trapping grids in the seven old fields. Prairie skink density varied among fields from 9–38 individuals
Density of prairie skinks was significantly correlated with field age and arthropod density, but not correlated with total vegetative cover. Prairie skinks were more abundant in burned fields, but burned fields were also the oldest. High arthropod density is the most likely factor explaining the high prairie skink density in old fields (>50 y; hypothesis one). The large variation in arthropod densities among years reduced the strength of this correlation. Prairie skink populations, with low reproductive rates, could not track highly variable arthropod densities. Thus, prairie skink populations were not as strongly correlated with average arthropod density as prairie skink populations were with field age.

Lizard population densities are often highest in warm climates (Seburn, 1993; McLeod and Gates, 1998). Thermal conditions in these Minnesota old fields, which are at the edge of prairie skinks’ geographical range, may limit their density (hypothesis two). However, total plant cover, one possible indicator of thermal conditions, varied little among fields (Table 1). Other studies that have shown a significant influence of total plant cover on
Fig. 1.—Estimated density of adult prairie skinks (*Eumeces septentrionalis*) in fields of different ages.

Fig. 2.—Estimated density of adult prairie skinks (*Eumeces septentrionalis*) and mean arthropod density.
prairie skink populations were comparing populations in sites with and without trees (Seburn, 1993; McLeod and Gates, 1998) or comparing lizard populations across regional scales (Diaz and Carrascal, 1991).

Mushinsky (1992) found that skink (*Eumeces fasciatus*) populations in Florida were higher in sites protected from burning, likely the result of greater coarse woody debris, leaf litter and tree canopy at unburned sites. The effect of litter and plant cover may be different in northern areas where the climate is much cooler (hypothesis three). In my study burned sites had higher skink densities than unburned sites, similar to the finding of McLeod and Gates (1998) in Maryland. Although trees and large woody debris are extremely rare in the fields at CCNHA (Inouye, 1994), shrubs and plant litter may have a similar effects on populations of skinks. Nevertheless, this result would be consistent with the hypothesis that, at the northern edge of its range prairie skinks respond to warm conditions created by open habitat structure. In this study only two of the fields were burned and these were also the two oldest fields. Therefore, it was difficult to separate the influence of burning and field age on prairie skink densities.

Although predation can limit lizard populations (hypothesis four; Martin and Lopez, 1996), the apparent paucity of avian and mammalian predators at CCNHA precluded any useful comparison of predators between fields (Huntly and Inouye, 1987; Davis et al., 2000). The low frequency (7.6%) of missing or regenerating tails potentially indicates the low influence of predators at CCNHA relative to other sites (Vitt and Cooper, 1986; Wilson, 1991). Vitt and Cooper (1986) found more than 40% of skinks (*Eumeces* spp.) were missing tails in the southeastern United States. Thus, the lack of a correlation between prairie skink densities and factors that may influence predation (*e.g.*, vegetative cover) is not surprising.

The relationship between arthropod density and prairie skink density ($r^2 = 0.38$) and the strong relationship between field age and prairie skink density ($r^2 = 0.91$) suggests an additional hypothesis to prey limitation. Prairie skink populations may be slow to colonize and expand populations in successional habitats because of their slow population growth. If an adult pair of prairie skinks colonized a recently abandoned field, the pair and their offspring reproduce at their maximum rate (six offspring annually) and no prairie skinks die, it would take 10 y for prairie skinks to exceed the densities I observed in a 10-ha field. However, it is unlikely that prairie skink populations realize these growth rates (*i.e.*, $r_{max} = 0.54$, calculated from the above assumptions); thus, it could realistically take many more years for prairie skinks to attain the highest densities found in this study. The colonization rate of skinks in recently abandoned fields is unknown. Prairie skinks, as well as other lizards, are slow to respond to succession if scattered patches lack dispersal corridors and reproductive rates are low. Thus, prairie skinks in younger fields would not have reached saturation densities. However, the influence of possible colonization limitation of prairie skink populations needs to be investigated further and would be important in prairie restoration efforts.
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