University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Publications, Agencies and Staff of the U.S. Department of Commerce

U.S. Department of Commerce

2015

Status of the world's baleen whales

Peter O. Thomas

Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Highway, Room 700, Bethesda, Maryland

Randall R. Reeves

Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Highway, Room 700, Bethesda, Maryland

Robert L. Brownell Jr.

Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, rlbcetacea@aol.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdeptcommercepub

Thomas, Peter O.; Reeves, Randall R.; and Brownell, Robert L. Jr., "Status of the world's baleen whales" (2015). *Publications, Agencies and Staff of the U.S. Department of Commerce*. 544.

https://digital commons.unl.edu/us dept commerce pub/544

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Commerce at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications, Agencies and Staff of the U.S. Department of Commerce by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Marine Mammal Science



MARINE MAMMAL SCIENCE, **(*): ***_*** (*** 2015)

Published 2015. This article is a U.S. Government work and is in the public domain in the USA DOI: 10.1111/mms.12281

Status of the world's baleen whales

PETER O. THOMAS,¹ Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Highway, Room 700, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, U.S.A.; RANDALL R. REEVES, Marine Mammal Commission, 4340 East-West Highway, Room 700, Bethesda, Maryland 20814, U.S.A. and Okapi Wildlife Associates, 27 Chandler Lane, Hudson, Quebec JOP 1HO, Canada; ROBERT L. BROWNELL, JR., Southwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, 34500 Highway 1, Monterey, California 93940, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

No global synthesis of the status of baleen whales has been published since the 2008 IUCN Red List assessments. Many populations remain at low numbers from historical commercial whaling, which had ceased for all but a few by 1989. Fishing gear entanglement and ship strikes are the most severe current threats. The acute and long-term effects of anthropogenic noise and the cumulative effects of multiple stressors are of concern but poorly understood. The looming consequences of climate change and ocean acidification remain difficult to characterize. North Atlantic and North Pacific right whales are among the species listed as Endangered. Southern right, bowhead, and gray whales have been assessed as Least Concern but some subpopulations of these species - western North Pacific gray whales, Chile-Peru right whales, and Svalbard/Barents Sea and Sea of Okhotsk bowhead whales - remain at low levels and are either Endangered or Critically Endangered. Eastern North Pacific blue whales have reportedly recovered, but Antarctic blue whales remain at about 1% of pre-exploitation levels. Small isolated subspecies or subpopulations, such as northern Indian Ocean blue whales, Arabian Sea humpback whales, and Mediterranean Sea fin whales are threatened while most subpopulations of sei, Bryde's, and Omura's whales are inadequately monitored and difficult to assess.

Key words: status, cetaceans, baleen whales, blue whales, whaling, ship strike, entanglement, bycatch, anthropogenic noise, climate change, ocean acidification, endangered species, IUCN, Red List.

Baleen whales (Mysticeti, or mysticetes) and their ocean habitat (Gattuso *et al.* 2015) are under stress throughout the world, yet there has been no up-to-date synthesis on the status² of this group since Clapham *et al.* (1999). Baleen whales have acquired iconic status in the conservation discourse, for their "charisma" (size, appearance, behavior, *etc.*), their global distribution, and especially the fact that several of the species and populations were nearly extirpated by commercial whaling, which continued on a massive scale until the early 1970s. It is generally assumed

¹Corresponding author (e-mail: pthomas@mmc.gov).

²Here status is considered to mean the degree to which a species or population is at risk of extinction. In other contexts status may refer to the size or general health of a population relative to some management standard (Barlow and Reeves 2009).

by nonspecialists that all whales are endangered. Actually, the level of endangerment of different species and populations is quite variable. The identification of species, subspecies, and subpopulations³ (or what are often called "stocks" of whales within the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission [IWC]; Allen 1980, Donovan 1991) is itself a major scientific task. Once the "units of conservation concern" (Taylor 2005) have been identified, the next scientific imperative is to determine their status. Key indicators of status are population size (abundance), rates of removals, and rates of population growth or decline. As part of analyses to determine status, consideration is given to the nature and severity of threats, which can apply at either the individual or population level. In considering anthropogenic factors that cause harm of some kind, from temporary disturbance all the way to death, managers have to evaluate whether the impacts on individuals are sufficient, in the aggregate, to affect parameters of the population as a whole, e.g., birth rate, survival rates, recruitment rate, longevity).

In this paper we provide the first synthesis on the status of the world's baleen whales and the threats they face since Clapham *et al.* (1999).

METHODS

We used as a starting point the assessment information provided for the International Union for Conservation of Nature's (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species (Red List) on the status of, and the threats faced by, all baleen whale species as well as some subspecies and subpopulations. The documentation behind the 2008 Red List assessments, in most instances coming from a 2007 workshop of the IUCN Species Survival Commission's Cetacean Specialist Group, is available on the Red List website (http://redlist.org).⁴

A major underpinning of the Red List assessments and of this current synthesis is the work of the IWC's Scientific Committee. ⁵ In addition to reviewing much of the primary literature cited in the Red List analyses, we conducted an in-depth review of IWC reports and publications, as well as of new literature available (through May 2015), with the goal of producing a comprehensive, up-to-date synthesis of what is presently known about the status of baleen whales and the conservation threats to individual populations. Sometimes the IUCN assessments are more recent or detailed than the IWC assessments and *vice versa*. Therefore the terminology (subpopulation or stock) we use may vary as we reflect the most current information. Also, it is important to note that for some species, *e.g.*, blue (*Balaenoptera musculus*), fin (*Balaenoptera physalus*), and sei whales (*Balaenoptera borealis*), the IUCN Red List classifica-

³In the present context, the term "subpopulation" follows Red List usage to mean a geographically or otherwise distinct group with little demographic or genetic exchange with other groups (typically one successful migrant individual per year or less) (IUCN 2012).

⁴The Red List documentation is cited herein following Red List convention, *i.e.*, under the authorship of the assessor(s) (Reilly *et al.* in most cases; Stephen Reilly chaired the working group dealing with baleen whales at the La Jolla, California, workshop) and with the "publication" year given in most cases as 2008 (according to the citation as recommended on the relevant page of the Red List website).

⁵The International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling exists for the explicit purpose of managing the exploitation and assuring the conservation of whales, including all of the baleen whales, most of which have been subjected to prolonged commercial whaling. The Scientific Committee is expected to provide authoritative advice on the status of whale stocks which is then used by the Commission in making management decisions.

tion of Endangered is misleading because the global, range-wide assessments were dominated by the massive removals by historical commercial whaling, mainly in the Southern Hemisphere. Not all regional populations of those species are endangered. Where new information was available on taxonomy (through May 2015), we updated the Red List documentation in that respect (generally following the Society for Marine Mammalogy's Committee on Taxonomy (cited herein as Committee on Taxonomy 2015; see http://www.marinemammalscience.org).

The currently recognized species of baleen whales are the blue whale, fin whale, humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae), sei whale, Bryde's whale (Balaenoptera edeni), Omura's whale (Balaenoptera omurai), common minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata), Antarctic minke whale (Balaenoptera bonaerensis), bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus), North Atlantic right whale (Eubalaena glacialis), North Pacific right whale (Eubalaena japonica), southern right whale (Eubalaena australis), pygmy right whale (Caperea marginata), and gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus). In addition, the currently recognized subspecies of baleen whales are the North Atlantic minke whale (B. acutorostrata acutorostrata), North Pacific minke whale (B. a. scammoni), dwarf minke whale (B. a. unnamed subsp.), northern sei whale (B. borealis borealis), southern sei whale (B. b. schlegellii), offshore Bryde's whale (B. edeni brydei), Eden's whale (B. e. edeni), northern blue whale (B. musculus musculus), Antarctic blue whale (B. m. intermedia), northern Indian Ocean blue whale (B. m. indica), pygmy blue whale (B. m. brevicauda), Chilean blue whale (B. m. unnamed subsp.), pygmy fin whale (B. physalus patachonica), northern fin whale (B. p. physalus), southern fin whale (B. p. quoyi), southern humpback whale (M. novaeangliae australis), North Pacific humpback whale (M. n. kuzira), and North Atlantic humpback whale (M. n. novaeangliae).

THREATS

Clapham *et al.* (1999) provided an overall review of the threats to baleen whales. They identified bycatch (entanglement or entrapment in fishing gear) and ship strikes as the primary threats at the population level and concluded that those threats were most significant for populations already at critically low numbers. We found that 15 yr later, these same threats remain among the most serious. In addition, commercial whaling in the form of research whaling or whaling under objection to the commercial whaling moratorium continues by Japan, Norway, and Iceland. An updated review of threats to baleen whales must consider, in addition to those identified by Clapham *et al.* (1999) (entanglement/entrapment, ship strike, whaling, pollution, disease, habitat degradation from oil spills), the cumulative impacts of anthropogenic noise and other stressors and the short- and long-term effects of climate change and ocean acidification on marine ecosystems.

Acute or Lethal Threats

Whaling has an immediate effect on populations through the direct removal of individuals. Two other threats—mortality in fishing gear and ship strikes—result in the deaths of individuals and their outright, immediate loss to the population. Indeed, the IWC Scientific Committee's Working Group on Estimation of Bycatch and other Human-induced Mortality recognizes this equivalence: the group's remit is to estimate these removals "so that such mortality can be subtracted from any catch limits that might be calculated using the RMP [Revised Management Procedure]" (IWC 2012*b*:221). Despite uncertainties as to their causes, we also include discussion

of unusual mortality events in this section because of their possible consequences for populations.

Historical and Current Whaling

Whaling has been a major threat to most baleen whale populations in the past, and the legacy of commercial exploitation remains as a prominent force in determining their current status. Many populations are showing signs of recovery; others remain depleted; some are at very low numbers and may be vulnerable to extirpation by stochastic processes or catastrophic events (Clapham *et al.* 1999).

North Atlantic right whales and Svalbard/Barents Sea bowhead whales remain endangered from whaling that ceased a century or more ago, but several other depleted populations were the target of illegal Soviet whaling as recently as the 1970s (Ivashchenko and Clapham 2014). Significant information continues to be brought to light and published on the scale of Soviet illegal hunts in the Arabian Sea (Mikhalev 1996, 1997, 2000), South Atlantic (Tormosov et al. 1998), Antarctic (Yablokov 1994, Zemsky and Sazhinov 1994, Clapham and Ivashchenko 2009, Ivashchenko et al. 2011), and North Pacific (Brownell et al. 2001; Ivashchenko and Clapham 2012; Ivashchenko et al. 2013, 2014), which reduced populations of southern right whales, humpback whales, pygmy blue whales (B. m. brevicauda), North Pacific right whales, and Sea of Okhotsk bowhead whales as well as sperm whales (Physeter macrocephalus) in many parts of the world's oceans.

Although ongoing whaling (commercial whaling by Norway and Iceland under an objection to the moratorium and "research" or "scientific" whaling by Japan and Iceland) is a subject of controversy and public debate, it is not an active threat to most baleen whale species and subspecies (Clapham et al. 1999). Current levels of take in most of these hunts are near or below levels determined to be sustainable under the IWC's Revised Management Procedure (RMP) or by Iceland and Norway on the basis of similar algorithms. An exception is the taking of J-stock common minke whales by Japanese research whaling, bycatch in fishing gear in Japan and the Republic of Korea (Korea), and some illegal whaling by Korea (Song et al. 2010, MacMillan and Han 2011). Also, the sei whales in the North Pacific subject to research whaling have not recently been assessed (both in terms of numbers and population structure) by the IWC. The levels of noncommercial ("aboriginal subsistence") whaling (Reeves 2002) on gray whales in Russia, bowhead whales in Russia and the United States, bowhead, humpback, fin, and common minke whales in Greenland (Denmark), and humpback whales in St. Vincent and the Grenadines are based on IWC assessments and are generally considered sustainable (IWC 2012a, http://iwc.int/aboriginal).

Entanglement/entrapment in Fishing Gear

Incidental mortality (as well as morbidity) in fishing gear (hereafter "bycatch") is a ubiquitous problem for cetaceans in coastal and continental shelf waters and to a lesser extent in offshore areas (Read *et al.* 2006, Reeves *et al.* 2013). In some cases, bycatch has species- or population-level impacts. Gill net and trap/pot fisheries that use vertical lines to mark gear are responsible for much of the baleen whale bycatch (Reeves *et al.* 2013) although large-mesh shark control nets are a particular problem in South Africa and Australia (Meÿer *et al.* 2011). The susceptibility of species differs according to their size or habits and to the types of fishing gear used within their range. Bycatch has known population-level consequences for North Atlantic right

whales (Johnson *et al.* 2007, Knowlton *et al.* 2012) and the western North Pacific subpopulation of gray whales (Weller *et al.* 2002, 2008) and it has been inferred to be the primary threat to the Arabian Sea subpopulation of humpback whales (Minton *et al.* 2011). Other baleen whale populations potentially threatened by bycatch, but for which data are very limited, include North Pacific right whales and the southeastern Pacific (Chile-Peru) subpopulation of southern right whales. Humpback whales are frequently entangled in fishing gear in the North Pacific and North Atlantic but U.S. stock assessments indicate that mortality levels from such entanglement are not high enough to threaten the humpback whale populations in U.S. EEZ waters, *i.e.*, they are below the potential biological removal or PBR level (Allen and Angliss 2011, Waring *et al.* 2011). Because of their small size, nearshore and continental shelf distribution, and fish diet, minke whales are especially vulnerable to gillnet entanglement (Reeves *et al.* 2013).

Ship Strike

The threat of ship strikes is certain to increase as commercial ship traffic increases around the world (Clapham *et al.* 1999, Laist *et al.* 2001, Jensen and Silber 2003, McKenna *et al.* 2012a, Redfern *et al.* 2013, Monnahan *et al.* 2014b). Recently, Tournadre (2014) has shown that commercial ship traffic increased by a factor of four between 1992 and 2012 with maximums in the Indian Ocean and China seas. Ship strikes are of particular concern where the ranges (*e.g.*, feeding areas, calving areas, migratory routes) of threatened populations overlap with major shipping routes, entrances to major ports, or other areas of intense vessel traffic such as offshore industrial sites or high-speed ferry routes (Clapham *et al.* 1999, Kraus *et al.* 2005, Corbett and Winebrake 2007, Neilson *et al.* 2012).

The best-documented example of ship strikes having a significant impact on a baleen whale species is the North Atlantic right whale. In spite of measures to reduce the incidence of ship strikes along the east coasts of both Canada and the United States (Knowlton and Brown 2007), the average reported mortality and serious injury of right whales due to ship strikes from 2005 through 2009 was 1.6 whales per year—double the PBR level (Waring et al. 2011). In 2003 the traffic separation scheme in the lower Bay of Fundy (Canada) was modified to avoid an area with recurrent large concentrations of right whales in summer and fall (Knowlton and Brown 2007) and in 2006 the International Maritime Organization also approved a modification of the shipping lanes into Boston harbor to avoid an area of right whale concentration on Stellwagen Bank (Marine Mammal Commission [MMC] 2006). In December 2008 the U.S. National Marine Fisheries Service implemented a 5 yr rule requiring that within areas where North Atlantic right whales are likely to occur, large ships restrict their speed to 10 knots (MMC 2010) and preliminary analyses indicated it was effective (Laist et al. 2014). The rule was extended indefinitely in 2013.

The risk of ship strikes is affected by economic and climatic conditions (Corbett and Winebrake 2007, McKenna *et al.* 2012b). For example, it is expected that the dramatic, ongoing decline of sea ice in the Arctic will lead to more ship traffic in areas currently used intensively by baleen whales. Of particular concern are shipping and migratory chokepoints such as the Bering Strait, which connects the Bering and

⁶Potential biological removal (PBR) is the product of minimum population size, one-half the maximum net productivity rate, and a "recovery" factor ranging from 0.1 to 1 (MMPA Sec. 3. 16 U.S.C. 1362; Wade and Angliss 1997).

Chukchi seas (Reeves *et al.* 2012), and Unimak Pass, Alaska, and other straits through the Aleutian Islands used by both ships and whales to move from the North Pacific into and out of the Bering Sea.

Following a spate of fatal ship strikes on blue whales in the eastern North Pacific in 2007, voluntary measures were put in place to reduce the ship strike risk in California waters, especially the Santa Barbara Channel, an area of heavy traffic for Los Angeles and Long Beach harbors and seasonally high blue whale density, and off the port of San Francisco (Carretta et al. 2013, Redfern et al. 2013). McKenna et al. (2012a) found little compliance with voluntary speed-reduction measures in the Santa Barbara Channel, suggesting the need for alternative context-specific strategies to achieve speed reductions. However, Monnahan et al. (2014b) concluded that ship strikes have not had population-level effects on blue whales in the eastern North Pacific. Other subspecies and subpopulations known or suspected to be affected by ship strikes include pygmy blue whales, which frequent the busy shipping lanes off the southern coast of Sri Lanka (IWC 2012b), and Arabian Sea humpback whales, which are also exposed to intensive ship traffic in parts of their range. In neither case, however, has mortality been monitored sufficiently to assess the severity of the ship strike threat.

Health

Large-scale mortality events involving baleen whales are rare (Gulland and Hall 2007, Coughran et al. 2013, Rowntree et al. 2013). Biotoxins were implicated in two large events off New England in 1987 and 2003. The first involved 14 humpback whales that died in Cape Cod Bay after eating Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus) containing saxitoxin, a dinoflagellate neurotoxin responsible for paralytic shellfish poisoning in humans (Geraci et al. 1989). The second, in Maine, involved the deaths of 16 humpback whales, one fin whale, one common minke whale, one pilot whale (Globicephala sp.), and two whales unidentified to species. While the cause of this second event was not determined, two kinds of biotoxin—saxitoxin and domoic acid—were detected in a few of the humpback whales examined (Gulland and Hall 2007). A large die-off of cetaceans of unknown cause was recorded in 1995 in the upper Gulf of California, Mexico. This event included hundreds of long-beaked common dolphins, Delphinus capensis, and at least eight baleen whales of three species (Vidal 1996). Forty-six humpback whales, 44% of them calves of the year, stranded on Western Australia beaches in 2009. While many animals appeared to be underweight, it proved impossible to determine cause of death (Coughran et al. 2013). Thirteen humpback whales stranded on Brazilian beaches in 2010 (Moura et al. 2012).

Starvation was considered a possible cause of a widespread gray whale die-off in 1999–2001, in which at least 651 deaths were confirmed, not all of which could be linked directly to poor body condition or starvation. The proximate cause of death differed for the few animals conclusively necropsied and it was hypothesized that parasite infestations, biotoxins, or infections finally killed animals that had been made vulnerable by malnutrition (Gulland *et al.* 2005). This event added substance to the ongoing discussion of whether eastern Pacific gray whales had reached or exceeded the environmental carrying capacity and it more generally prompted analyses of how environmental variability affects baleen whale life history and mortality patterns (Moore *et al.* 2001, Perryman *et al.* 2002).

Some of the best tools and baseline health information on baleen whales have come from studies of North Atlantic right whales (Rolland *et al.* 2007, 2012). Analyses of fecal samples have permitted evaluation of stress hormone levels (see below). Such analyses also confirmed the presence of biotoxins and potentially harmful parasites such as *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in live right whales, yet none of these, or other disease processes, were determined to be the primary cause of death for any of the 39 North Atlantic right whales necropsied between 1975 and 2005. These whales died from blunt or sharp trauma from vessel strikes (n = 19), fishing gear entanglement (n = 5), or undetermined causes including neonatal complications (n = 15) (Moore *et al.* 2007).

Photo-identification research on some baleen whale populations has led to investigations of skin lesions (Hamilton and Marx 2005, Brownell *et al.* 2007, Van Bressem *et al.* 2014). An analysis of two types of lesions (white and blister) on North Atlantic right whales found that these were sublethal conditions that might somehow impact or reflect overall health. Large "swath lesions" (which are white) were found to be correlated with entanglement, thin body condition, and known or suspected mortality of most of the affected whales (Hamilton and Marx 2005). There was some evidence, although not conclusive, that white lesions are the result of a contagious agent. Fiftytwo of 68 individual blue whales observed off Isla Grande de Chiloe, Chile, had raised or blister-like skin lesions and at least one whale had extensive tattoo-like lesions (Brownell *et al.* 2007).

Since 2003, southern right whales, mostly calves, have been dying in unusually large numbers in the calving/nursery grounds around Península Valdés, Argentina (Uhart et al. 2008, 2009; Rowntree et al. 2013). So far the cause(s) of these deaths has not been determined, but three hypotheses have been put forward to explain the die-off (IWC 2011). The mortality of calves may be a consequence of: (1) the poor nutritional state of the mothers; (2) exposure to algal or bacterial biotoxins in (a) the feeding ground resulting in in utero exposure of the calf or (b) in the calving/nursery ground; and (3) infectious disease (viral, bacterial, protozoal, etc.). More recently, attention has focused on a fourth possibility: that repeated attacks on calves by kelp gulls (Larus dominicanus) are leading to stress, dehydration, and shock as a result of gaping peck wounds (Rowntree et al. 2013, Thomas et al. 2013). Other factors such as contaminants, predation by killer whales (Orcinus orca), disturbance from whale watching, fishery interactions, and ship strikes were ruled out or judged to be unlikely major contributors to these die-offs (IWC 2011).

SUBLETHAL OR CHRONIC THREATS

Disturbance from Human Activities

It has long been recognized that baleen whales, like other wild animals, can be disturbed by certain kinds of human activity. Because of their reliance on sound as a primary sensory modality, noise-generating activities are of particular concern (Richardson *et al.* 1995, Nowacek *et al.* 2007, Southall *et al.* 2007, Moore *et al.* 2012*a*). Beginning in the 1970s, scientists drew the attention of management agencies to the risks posed by underwater noise to small, recovering populations of baleen whales. For example, salt barge traffic was believed responsible for preventing gray whales from using Guerrero Negro Lagoon in Baja California, Mexico, for a period during the 1950s and 1960s (Gard 1974), and there was much discussion of the possibility that "harassment" by whale-watching boats was jeopardizing recovery of east-

ern Pacific gray whales (Reeves 1977). Similar concerns were expressed regarding humpback whales in Hawaii (Norris and Reeves 1978, but also see Tyack 1989). Offshore oil and gas exploration in the U.S. and Canadian Arctic, beginning in the 1970s, brought the possibility of disturbance or auditory injury of bowhead whales by noise from seismic exploration, vessel traffic, and other industrial activity, a concern that now extends throughout the world's oceans and applies to all species of baleen whales (Richardson *et al.* 1995). Since the mid-1990s, there has been much concern about the impact of oil and gas development on the small population of gray whales that feeds in summer and fall off the northeastern coast of Sakhalin Island, Russia (Weller *et al.* 2002, Reeves *et al.* 2005).

The disturbance of whales by underwater noise (including masking of their vocalizations, impairment of their listening capabilities, and physiological stress) has lately become a much more salient issue for several reasons. First, efforts to monitor and measure ambient and anthropogenic underwater noise have increased and been enhanced by technologies that allow for greater geographical coverage, more extensive and sustained sampling, and more effective identification and localization of the animals. Second, commercial vessel traffic and offshore industrial, military, and extractive activities are increasing, especially near populated coastlines. Even near-pristine areas such as in parts of the Arctic, where seasonal sea ice cover is diminishing (see below), are experiencing a demand-driven rush to exploit previously inaccessible oil and gas and other mineral resources and an expansion in ship traffic (Reeves *et al.* 2014).

Much of the concern over acute sound impacts and the potential for death or injury of cetaceans has focused on active sources such as mid-frequency sonar used by military vessels and airguns used to conduct geophysical (seismic) surveys. Unusual mortality events associated with the use of mid-frequency sonar have primarily involved toothed cetaceans (odontocetes), and especially beaked whales (Cox et al. 2006, Nowacek et al. 2007, Hooker et al. 2012). Although two stranded common minke whales were involved in one of these incidents (Balcomb and Claridge 2001), the focus of concern over military sonar has been on beaked whales because they have been affected disproportionately (Moore and Barlow 2013).

Noise from seismic surveys is of greater concern for baleen whales. Although their hearing sensitivities are poorly known, their vocalizations tend to reside in the same low frequencies as the loud pulses produced by airguns, leading to concern over the potential to alter or disrupt behavior and even cause acoustic trauma—that is, "ear injury caused by a sudden and intense acoustic stimulus that causes a degree of permanent or temporary hearing loss" (Gedamke et al. 2011:496). A series of studies has shown behavioral changes in response to seismic noise, most notably in bowhead whales and gray whales (reviewed in Richardson et al. 1995, Gailey et al. 2007) and in humpback whales (McCauley et al. 2000), with the animals avoiding close approaches by operating airgun arrays and changing their surfacing, respiration, and dive behavior. Mitigation measures are often imposed by regulatory agencies (Nowacek et al. 2013). For example, delay or cessation of seismic operations is generally required when whales are observed close enough to the sound source to experience injury, i.e., within "safety zones" calculated on the basis of the distance from the sound source at which received levels could lead to either temporary or permanent threshold shifts in the hearing of exposed animals (Southall et al. 2007). Also, "ramp up" and other procedures thought to encourage or allow whales to move away from disturbing sound sources are often included in the mitigation of noise impacts. There are likely other anthropogenic noise sources that affect baleen whales. For example, Risch *et al.* (2012) demonstrated that the low frequency pulses from an experimental sounder used to image fish shoals over a 100 km diameter area affected the singing of humpback whales roughly 200 km away.

Considerable effort has been made in recent years to understand the masking effects of elevated ambient sound levels on the ability of baleen whales to detect and project natural sounds (Clark et al. 2009, Ellison et al. 2011, Hatch et al. 2012, Moore et al. 2012a). Hatch et al. (2012) found that background noise levels from ship traffic in the Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary off Massachusetts had increased so much by 2008 that the contact-calling right whales in the area would have "lost 63% of the communication opportunities available to them in the mid 20th century" (Hatch et al. 2012:990). With the close passage of commercial vessels, the loss of "communication space" increased by as much as 67%. This loss of communication space and corresponding masking of other acoustic cues may affect intraspecific communication related to foraging, mother-young interactions, migration, and mate selection, as well as prey and predator detection and navigation (Hatch et al. 2012, Moore et al. 2012a). Rolland et al. (2012) concluded from measurements of stress-related fecal hormone metabolites (glucocorticoids) that exposure to low-frequency noise may be associated with chronic stress in North Atlantic right whales.

In addition to commercial shipping, seismic surveys, discussed earlier with regard to acute impacts, contribute to the increase in ambient sound levels in the ocean (Hildebrand 2009, Moore *et al.* 2012*b*). Gedamke⁷ found that sounds from distant seismic survey activity increased background noise to levels that would reduce the potential for blue and fin whales (at 28 Hz and across a 20–30 Hz bandwidth) to communicate by 29%–40%.

In summary, concern has often been expressed in recent years about the largely unknown long-term and cumulative impacts of anthropogenic noise on cetaceans (as well as other marine life) (King et al. 2015). In our view, the noise-related issues that critically need additional study are (1) the nature and consequences of stress from noise on individual whales; (2) the role of noise in causing displacement from important habitat, e.g., reduced time to feed; and (3) the masking of salient acoustic signals used by baleen whales for communication.

Pollution

Although a few studies of odontocetes and pinnipeds have demonstrated a causal relationship between contaminant exposure and impaired reproduction or immunosuppression (see Reijnders et al. 2009 for review), it is generally believed that because baleen whales tend to feed at lower trophic levels, they are less prone to uptake and bioaccumulation of pollutants (O'Shea and Brownell 1994, Weisbrod et al. 2000, Elfes et al. 2010). Tissue concentrations of organochlorines are lower in baleen whales than in toothed whales, a relationship that has been demonstrated both generally and in comparisons between those that inhabit the same ecosystem (Gauthier et al. 1997; Aguilar et al. 1999, 2002; Reijnders et al. 2009). Exposure to oil may cause respiratory problems in cetaceans (Venn-Watson et al. 2015), fouling of the baleen, and impacts on prey (Geraci and St. Aubin 1988). Long-term exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) has been shown to lead to cancers in toothed whales (Martineau et al. 2002), but the consequences of such exposure for baleen whales are

⁷Personal communication from Jason Gedamke, Ocean Acoustics Program, Office of Science and Technology, NOAA Fisheries, 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910, 15 May 2015.

not known (Angell *et al.* 2004, Pomilla *et al.* 2004). Biomarkers of exposure and biological response to PAHs in cetacean skin indicate that, unlike for contaminants that bioaccumulate, levels of these byproducts of fossil fuel production and consumption are comparable in baleen and toothed whales (Angell *et al.* 2004). There is also evidence that some populations living closer to industrial activity have higher levels of PAHs than more remote ones (Angell *et al.* 2004, Kraus and Rolland 2007).

Climate Change and Ocean Acidification

The potential direct and indirect effects of climate change on marine mammals, including baleen whales, have been widely discussed over the last decade (Learmonth et al. 2006, Simmonds and Isaac 2007, Moore and Huntington 2008, Tynan and Russell 2008, MacLeod 2009, Simmonds and Elliot 2009, IWC 2010, Kovacs et al. 2011a, Gattuso et al. 2015).

Climate change-induced reductions in seasonal sea-ice and warming temperatures have spurred increasing industrial interest in the Arctic, thereby increasing the anthropogenic threats to Arctic cetaceans from ship strikes, pollution, and disturbance from underwater noise (Reeves et al. 2014). With regard to the direct effects of climate change on baleen whales, however, the prognosis may vary both within and across species. Bowhead whales, for example, do not have much possibility of northward range expansion in the face of warming ocean temperatures (Kovacs et al. 2011a). On the other hand, while they are adapted to life in the ice, bowheads are also able to travel and feed independently of it. Despite the decline of sea-ice in the Chukchi and Beaufort seas over the past 20 yr, bowhead numbers have increased at about 3.7% per year (despite subsistence hunting) (Givens et al. 2013), their distribution and migration timing have not changed markedly (although they appear to arrive near Barrow earlier in the spring and to linger longer in the northeastern Chukchi Sea and western Beaufort Sea in the summer), and observations suggest that the seasonal opening of ice-free areas has improved feeding conditions for these whales (Moore 2009, Ashjian et al. 2010). Examination of body condition relative to available foraging habitat provides confirmation that more open water in the Beaufort Sea in the summer has resulted in healthier bowheads (George et al. 2005). Nonetheless, it remains to be seen whether, and to what degree, the changed trophic dynamics and ecosystem structuring under the new seasonally ice-free conditions and the shift to a more strongly pelagic ecosystem in the Arctic will affect bowhead populations over

Another factor to consider in the Arctic is the arrival and more regular presence of seasonally migrant species that are responding to climate change by moving into higher latitudes. This will bring not only the potential for competition with bowheads, but also more predation by killer whales, exposure to novel pathogens, and impacts of invasive species on prey (Moore and Gulland 2014). The influx of new species will follow, and potentially influence, changes in trophic dynamics in the Arctic due to warmer water temperatures and reduced sea ice. Gray, fin, common minke, humpback, and killer whales have already expanded their ranges northward and into Arctic waters (Kovacs et al. 2011a, Clarke et al. 2013). Such expansion does not necessarily represent a net benefit to such populations, at least in the short term. For example, the access of gray whales to summer feeding grounds in the Bering Sea and north of the Bering Strait continues to be limited by the timing of spring ice retreat at the southern limit of sea ice in the Bering Sea. In years of late ice retreat, the whales appear unable to compensate for lost feeding time and suffer reduced calf production

and increased calf mortality (Perryman *et al.* 2002). Also, the timing of arrival in the southern portion of the southward gray whale migration was later in 1998/1999 in response to El Niño events, with corresponding reports of more calf births than normal north of the calving lagoons in Baja California, Mexico (Moore 2009). The expansion of range will not be only latitudinal. Reduced sea ice also may allow bowhead whale populations to mix more frequently across the Arctic than they have since the Little Ice Age from the 15th to the 19th century (Heide-Jørgensen *et al.* 2011, Alter *et al.* 2012).

There is also ample uncertainty with regard to the impacts of climate change on whales in the Southern Hemisphere. One analysis used climate models from the Fourth Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and information on distribution and feeding ecology to assess the impacts of a 2°C global warming on Southern Ocean cetaceans (Tynan and Russell 2008). Among projected changes were a decrease in the extent of sea ice and ice-edge habitat along the Antarctic continent and a southerly shift and shrinking of ocean fronts (e.g., the Antarctic Convergence) which may in turn affect the availability of krill (Reid and Croxall 2001, Fraser and Hoffman 2003, Trathan et al. 2003). Tynan and Russell (2008) predicted that Antarctic minke whales, which forage along the Antarctic ice edges, will lose 5%–30% of their ice-associated habitat with this temperature increase. Migratory cetaceans will have to travel farther south (3°–5° of latitude) to reach the ocean fronts where they forage.

Some baleen whale populations are thought to be especially vulnerable because of cul-de-sac geography (Simmonds and Isaac 2007). For example, bowhead whales in the Sea of Okhotsk will likely be unable to shift northward to reach cold waters as ocean temperatures warm. Fin whales in the Mediterranean and resident fin whales in the Gulf of California may be limited by the enclosed geography of the seas they inhabit (Vidal et al. 1993, Panigada and Notarbolo di Sciara 2012). The Arabian Sea subpopulation of humpback whales and the northern Indian Ocean blue whale subspecies (B. m. indica) also appear to be "trapped." Both breed 6 mo out of phase with conspecific populations to the south and appear to be restricted to northwestern portions of the Indian Ocean. They follow a Northern Hemisphere breeding cycle and feed in the northern Indian Ocean during the austral summer, at the time when Southern Hemisphere humpback and blue whale populations to the south are feeding at the southern end of their annual migration in Antarctic waters (Mikhalev 1996, 1997; Minton et al. 2011). Individuals in these two northern Indian Ocean populations do not have the option of moving north in the face of warming temperatures; they are blocked from doing so by the continental mass of Asia. The notion that they could simply shift southward to find suitable habitat may ignore the drivers of prey abundance for these populations, which are likely the Northern Hemisphere monsoons that create regional upwellings and productive prey patches (de Vos et al. 2014). Changes in the timing and intensity of the monsoons may be more relevant to these and other Indian Ocean populations than changes in north-south seasonal temperature gradients. Global monsoon patterns are poorly understood and complex. Recent research on the substantial intensification of the Northern Hemisphere Summer Monsoon (which runs contrary to large-scale climate model predictions) from the 1970s to the present shows strong El Niño influences, overlain by multidecadal oscillations in the Atlantic, further influenced by "hemispherical asymmetrical global warming" (Wang et al. 2013). The net effect of climate change on a marine population in a cul-de-sac situation is not simple to predict.

The habitat of some species or subspecies judged to be tropical (i.e., warm waterlimited), such as Bryde's whales and dwarf minke whales, could expand, and the populations of some cosmopolitan species could be largely unaffected by climate change (MacLeod 2009). Recent extralimital records of baleen whales, such as gray whales in the Mediterranean Sea (Scheinin *et al.* 2011) and Namibia⁸ and Bryde's whales in southern Californian waters (Kerosky *et al.* 2012), suggest that whales are responding to changing ocean conditions.

Baleen whales are likely to be negatively affected by ocean acidification even though, given the present state of scientific understanding, we can do little more than speculate on the mechanisms and levels of impact. A wide range of marine organisms, from planktonic coccolithophores and pteropods and other mollusks, to echinoderms, corals and coralline algae, build shells from calcium carbonate and are therefore at direct risk from ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009). Changes in the survival and distribution of these organisms are expected to have major impacts on trophic dynamics and ecosystem processes over the next century (Fabry et al. 2008, 2009). These impacts are expected to be felt first in the Arctic and Antarctic seas which, due to cold water temperatures and other factors, have naturally low calcium carbonate concentrations (Orr et al. 2005, Fabry et al. 2009) and are predicted to become undersaturated with respect to aragonite by the end of this century. The effects of ocean acidification are anticipated to be both widespread and variable (Doney 2010). One major concern is that the biogeochemical, ecological and economic consequences of losing pteropods in the Arctic and Antarctic could have significant impacts on predators such as zooplankton, fish, seabirds, or cetaceans (Comeau et al. 2012, Mathis et al. 2015). The calcifying prey organisms of the northern Bering Sea that support higher trophic predators such as diving sea ducks, bearded seals (Erignathus barbatus), walruses, (Odobenus rosmarus) and gray whales may be at early risk from ocean acidification (Doney et al. 2009). There is little basis to evaluate the ability of such predators to modify their diets or otherwise respond to changes in availability of their primary prey (Fabry et al. 2009).

We conclude that as oceans warm and oceanic and meteorological conditions change, cul-de-sac or range-limitation conditions will come to represent major challenges for some populations. It must be acknowledged, however, that the baleen whales are capable of moving extremely large distances in short times to find shifting food resources and then return to distant breeding grounds. Their annual and seasonal movements already reflect an ability (whether acquired through selective forces over different climatic periods or learned within a few generations) to respond to considerable environmental variability. Reported range expansions (Kovacs *et al.* 2011*a*, Clarke *et al.* 2013) and temporal and geographical overlap of previously discrete populations across the Arctic Ocean (Heide-Jørgensen *et al.* 2011, Alter *et al.* 2012) indicate some potential for adaptive responses in the face of climate change (Moore and Huntington 2008). Whether this potential extends to responding to the consequences of ocean acidification is an open question.

CONSERVATION STATUS

Overview of Red List Status

Many of the "great whales" (meaning all baleen whales plus the sperm whale) were severely depleted in all oceans by commercial whaling, which ended

⁸http://namibiandolphinproject.blogspot.com/2013/05/a-rare-and-mysterious-visitor-in-walvis.html.

(almost but not quite entirely) in 1986 with implementation of the IWC's global "moratorium" on commercial whaling. The legacy of commercial whaling continues to exert a strong influence on how the conservation status of species and populations is assessed. For example, blue, fin, sei, and North Pacific right whales are classified on the IUCN Red List as Endangered based primarily on their global declines from whaling. The other Endangered baleen whale species, the North Atlantic right whale, was also nearly annihilated by whaling, which began in the northeastern Atlantic in the 11th century or earlier and continued into the 20th century. This species' recovery has been slowed considerably by ship strikes and entanglements in fishing gear so its current status is influenced not only by the decline caused by whaling but also by these ongoing threats. A blue whale subspecies, the Antarctic blue whale (B. m. intermedia), and a North Pacific right whale subpopulation (eastern) are listed as Critically Endangered because their numbers were driven to very low levels by commercial whaling and remain there. In recent years Antarctic blue whales have been increasing at about 8.2% per year but their total abundance in 1998 was still only about 1% of what it was before whaling.

Several baleen whale populations have been recovering from the depletion caused by commercial whaling. The bowhead whale, southern right whale, common minke whale, humpback whale, and gray whale are classified as Least Concern, indicating that at the species level they do not meet any of the criteria for threatened status. In each of these cases, increased numbers across the total range have been sufficient to push the species as a whole above the threshold for threatened listing. Nevertheless, some subpopulations of these Least Concern species—western Pacific gray whales, Arabian Sea humpback whales, Oceania humpback whales, Peru-Chile right whales, and Svalbard/Barents Sea and Sea of Okhotsk bowhead whales—remain at low levels and are listed separately as either Endangered or Critically Endangered. The common minke whale was heavily exploited in parts of its range during the second half of the 20th century but was, overall, less severely depleted by commercial whaling than the larger, more individually valuable baleen whales.

Four species of baleen whales, the Bryde's whale, Omura's whale, pygmy right whale, and Antarctic minke whale, are listed as Data Deficient, meaning available information is inadequate for a conclusive assessment of status. Despite having the same assigned status, there are major differences among these species with regard to the type of information that is lacking. In the case of the Bryde's whale, the problem resides mainly in systematics and taxonomy and therefore how to define units for assessment not only at the species level but also at the population level and how to allocate the large historical catches in some areas (e.g., the North Pacific) when estimating population trends. Omura's whale was described and named in 2003 and very little is known about its distribution, ecology, and basic biology, but it is generally believed that this species was never heavily exploited. The pygmy right whale, the smallest baleen whale, is restricted to the Southern Hemisphere. It was rarely the target of whalers and little is known about it. Antarctic minke whales are still classified as Data Deficient although, as explained later, some progress toward resolving the relevant uncertainty has been made since 2008.

Species-level assessments of the baleen whales are problematic. All species listed as Least Concern have subpopulations that are at risk. Some species assigned to a threatened category (Endangered or Vulnerable) include subpopulations that are nonthreatened. Assigning a single "global" status to what are very widespread (in some cases cosmopolitan) meta-populations tends to disguise the highly variable status of local,

| Taxa or conservation units | Critically Endangered | Endangered | Vulnerable | Least Concern | Data Deficient | Unassessed |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Species | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| Subspecies | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| Subpopulations | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | O | uncertain |

Table 1. Red List status of baleen whale species and those subspecies and subpopulations that have been assessed.

regional, and even basin-scale populations (subpopulations in Red List terms) (IUCN 2012). While there is interest in making species-level comparisons when evaluating global biodiversity trends for terrestrial and marine mammals (Schipper et al. 2008, Kovacs et al. 2011b), such comparisons can break down at the subspecies and subpopulation levels. In the case of baleen whales, the universe of such units is indeterminate; in other words, it is not feasible at present to establish how many subspecies and subpopulations there are. The number of identified subpopulations increases steadily as genetic and other research reveals more about population structure. Also, only a relatively small subset of those units that are recognized has been assessed for the Red List. The Cetacean Specialist Group has taken a selective, hierarchical approach when setting priorities for assessment, based primarily on indications of conservation concern from its expert members, and this has meant that most of the assessed subspecies and subpopulations are ones already suspected of being threatened. In other words, many subpopulations (if not also subspecies) that would be considered nonthreatened have never been assessed; only one subpopulation (Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Sea bowhead whales) has been assessed as Least Concern and one subspecies (pygmy blue whale) as Data Deficient (Table 1). Finally, not all subspecies are sufficiently well described to be considered appropriate units of concern for conservation. In contrast, subpopulations (or in IWC terms, stocks) are recognized as such because they are geographically or demographically distinct and are perforce units to conserve (Taylor 2005).

Current Status of Species, Subspecies, and Subpopulations

In the course of this review, we considered information on all baleen whale species, subspecies, and subpopulations that had been assessed for the IUCN Red List, subspecies that had not been assessed but were recognized by the Committee on Taxonomy (2015), and stocks recognized by the IWC Scientific Committee (Table S1).

Blue, fin, and sei whales were decimated throughout their ranges by commercial whaling, and their populations have followed broadly similar trajectories. We provide a detailed treatment of blue whales here to illustrate the impact of commercial whaling on them and several other species as the whaling effort moved from region to region. This section also shows some of the complexities of identifying and defining stocks and the difficulty of determining abundance levels and population parameters

⁹Current at October 2014; see http://www.marinemammalscience.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=714&Itemid=340.

for baleen whales even with recently developed tools in genetics, satellite tracking, statistical analysis, and acoustic monitoring.

Blue Whale

Since they provided the largest yield per unit of hunting effort, blue whales were the most valuable and thus were among the first whales to be depleted by modern commercial whaling, which began in Norway in the 1860s and had spread to all oceans, including the Antarctic, by the early 20th century. Although blue whales have been legally protected by the IWC for over half a century, beginning in 1955 in the North Atlantic (although Denmark and Iceland continued to take blue whales there under formal objection to the protection provision until 1960) (Committee for Whaling Statistics 1962), 1966 in the North Pacific, and 1965 in the Antarctic, the depletion in most of the range was severe, and evidence of population increase has been spotty and often equivocal. Also, illegal or pirate hunting of blue whales continued into the early 1970s, mainly by the Soviet Union (Ivashchenko *et al.* 2011) but also by other countries operating without regard to IWC regulations, and this further depleted or at least stalled the recovery of some populations and depleted most of the Southern Hemisphere pygmy blue whale populations (Branch *et al.* 2007a).

In the North Atlantic, at least 11,000 blue whales were killed between the late 19th century and 1960 (Jonsgård 1977). Despite full protection since 1960, the North Atlantic population does not appear to have increased to anywhere near its former size. Abundance estimates for the Gulf of St. Lawrence are around 400 whales based on photo-identification studies (Ramp *et al.* 2006). Estimates for the central North Atlantic, which includes the waters around Iceland, East Greenland, Jan Mayen, the Færoes, and the British Isles, have ranged between 222 (95% CI 115–440) in 1987 and 979 (95% CI 137–2,542) in 1995 (Pike *et al.* 2009). Observations of blue whales off Norway and especially northern Norway where substantial numbers were taken in the late 19th and early 20th centuries (Jonsgård 1977) are now very rare (Christensen *et al.* 1992).

In the North Pacific, blue whales were hunted extensively in the 20th century with 9,773 reported taken between 1905 and 1971. In addition, a significant proportion of the whales killed but not assigned to species between 1900 and 1936 were blue whales (Ohsumi and Wada 1972, Reilly et al. 2008a, Monnahan et al. 2014a). At least two blue whale subpopulations are extant in the North Pacific (Stafford et al. 2001, Gilpatrick and Perryman 2008, Monnahan et al. 2014a). Blue whales in the far west, including off Japan, appear to have been extirpated as there have been no reports of kills, sightings, or other evidence there for over 50 yr (Clapham et al. 2008). A recent abundance estimate for the eastern North Pacific is 2,497 (CV = 0.24) (Calambokidis et al. 2009) and it has been suggested that blue whales in this region are approaching pre-exploitation numbers (Monnahan et al. 2014b). No subpopulations in the North Pacific have been assessed for the IUCN Red List. The greatest threat identified for individual blue whales in the eastern North Pacific is ship strikes although there is evidence suggesting that the current rate of ship strikes does not have a population-level impact (Monnahan et al. 2014b). There is also growing concern about the ever increasing amount of anthropogenic noise in their environment, especially their feeding grounds along the southern California coast (McKenna 2012a).

The Antarctic blue whale subspecies is redlisted as Critically Endangered (Reilly et al. 2008b). In an assessment of Antarctic blue whales, the 1905 population (before

the start of commercial whaling) was estimated at 239,000 (202,000–311,000) whales compared with a 1996 estimate of 1,700 (860–2,900) (Branch *et al.* 2004). A newer estimate of this subspecies is 2,280 (1,160–4,500) in 1998 (Branch 2007), which is still less than 1% of the prewhaling abundance. If commercial exploitation of krill in the Antarctic expands in the future, it could have a strong effect on Antarctic blue whales, as could any major change in krill availability due to climate change (Reid and Croxall 2001, Fraser and Hoffman 2003, Trathan *et al.* 2003).

Another subspecies, the pygmy blue whale, is considered Data Deficient (Cetacean Specialist Group 1996a). This subspecies (*B. m. brevicauda*) was described from a specimen taken southwest of the Prince Edward Islands in the southwestern Indian Ocean (Ichihara 1966) and other specimens of "pygmy" like blue whales have since been reported from various locations in the Southern Hemisphere and the Arabian Sea. Pygmy blue whales were exploited starting in the late 1950s to the late 1960s when almost 13,000 individuals were killed (Branch *et al.* 2004).

LeDuc et al. (2007) showed that the populations of pygmy blue whales in at least two regions (Western Australia and Chile–Peru) are as different from each other as either is from the Antarctic blue whale. Branch et al. (2007b) proposed, and the Committee on Taxonomy (2015) accepted, that the blue whales off Chile and probably Peru constitute an unnamed subspecies. Thus, the suite of populations referred to as pygmy blue whales, differentiated on the basis of genetic profiles and call types, may consist of separate subspecies or subpopulations, each with a different history of exploitation and depletion (Brownell et al. 2015). Regardless of their taxonomic rank, these populations should be assessed individually.

Off Western Australia, whales genetically identified as pygmy blue whales are best known from Geographe Bay and Perth Canyon in the west (Attard et al. 2012) to Bass Strait in the east (Gill et al. 2011). Blue whales off the west coast of Australia migrate north into the Banda Sea, around Timor, Indonesia (Double et al. 2014). Their abundance has been estimated as 662-1,559 based on passive acoustics (McCauley and Jenner 2010) and 712-1,754 based on photographic mark-recapture (Jenner et al. 2008). Most of the exploitation of this group of blue whales was by Soviet pelagic operations in the 1960s (Mikhalev 1996). Chittleborough reported that "pygmy blue whales have been captured along the western coast of Australia in recent years," including a single whale landed at the whaling station of Carnarvaron, Western Australia, in May 1959 (Chittleborough in Ichihara 1966:82). The population has not been assessed separately for the Red List, at least partly because the Soviet catches of pygmy blue whales have not yet been allocated to subpopulations (also see Zemsky and Sazhinov 1994). Moreover, the population structure of pygmy blue whales in the Indian Ocean is poorly known. Based on call types, there is likely more than one Indian Ocean subpopulation in addition to the one in the Arabian Sea, at least one in the west and one in the east (Stafford et al. 2011).

Almost 3,000 blue whales were taken off Chile from 1926 to 1971, more than a third of them in the 1960s (Aguayo L. 1974). Williams *et al.* (2011) estimated 303 (CI 95% 176–625) blue whales off Chile in December 1997, and Galletti Vernazzani *et al.* (2012) identified 363 different blue whales in this region between 2004 and 2010. The main feeding area for these whales is the nearshore waters off the north-

¹⁰The pygmy blue whale subspecies was assessed as Data Deficient in 1996. Based on a more recent species-level assessment, which included pygmy blue whales, the blue whale is currently listed as Endangered (Reilly *et al.* 2008*a*).

west coast of Isla Grande de Chiloe (Galletti Vernazzani *et al.* 2012). Ship strikes are a concern for this subpopulation because of the increasing presence in the region of both cargo and cruise ships (Brownell *et al.* 2009, 2014). Recently, a match (both photographic and genetic) was made for a female sampled first near the Galápagos and then in the Gulf of Corcovado, Chile, just south of Chiloe (Torres-Florez *et al.* 2015). This is the first link between the feeding area and the likely the breeding area for this population.

No blue whale calls have been recorded in the South Atlantic, but a few specimens of pygmy blue whales, amongst a predominance of Antarctic blue whales, were taken at South Georgia and logged as "myrbjønners"—described as a "distinct race" of small blue whales with a large quantity of pale spots on the dorsal surface (Mackintosh 1942, Fraser in Ichihara 1966). In the eastern South Atlantic, most of the blue whales taken off the west coast of Africa (Congo, Angola and Namibia, and western South Africa) were Antarctic blue whales; however, based on their length frequencies, a small portion (3.9%) may have been pygmy blue whales (Branch *et al.* 2008 and see Bannister and Grindley 1966).

Blue whales have been documented almost year-round in New Zealand waters through sightings and acoustic recordings (Miller et al. 2014; Torres et al. 2014; Olson et al., in press). Pygmy blue whales (New Zealand, Call Type 3) are known from both eastern and western coasts of the North Island and the South Island as well as from the South Taranaki Bight (Torres 2013; Torres et al. 2014; Olson et al., in press). Feeding behavior has been observed in the Hauraki Gulf and off the eastern and western coasts of the South Island (Olson et al., in press). Limited Soviet catches were made in the 1960s around the North Island and the northern half of the South Island (Mikhalev 2000). A ship strike has been reported off the coast of Auckland (Torres 2013). Also, there has been significant growth in the offshore oil and gas exploration around New Zealand, including frequent and extensive seismic surveys and drilling of test wells (Torres 2013, Torres et al. 2014). On the Australian coast of the Tasman Sea blue whale strandings and sightings are rare (Anonymous 1954). Blue whales in this region have not been assessed.

Blue whale sightings around New Caledonia and the Solomon Islands region of the Coral Sea are rare. Ohsumi and Shigemune (1993) reported that 21 groups (41 individuals) were observed near the Solomon Islands in August 1957 and Frank and Ferris (2011) recorded blue whale vocalizations in the Solomon Sea in 1999. However, no blue whales were observed in the region during cruises in 1993 and 1994 (Shimada and Pastene 1995, Goto *et al.* 1995). Blue whale records on the Coral Sea coast of Australia are rare. Illegal Soviet pelagic whaling is known to have occurred around New Zealand during the 1960s and would have depleted the blue whales there, but it is not known if the whales near the Solomons are connected to those in New Zealand. If so, this could explain their absence around the Solomon Islands in the 1960s (IWC 1996).

The blue whales, likely pygmy blue whales, that feed over the Madagascar Plateau in the western Indian Ocean are another enigma. Best *et al.* (2003) estimated 424 pygmy blue whales south of Madagascar from a survey in December 1996. The range of this population is much larger than the area surveyed and therefore that number should not be considered a population estimate. It is likely that the Madagascar whales are part of the population hunted in the western Indian Ocean during the late 1950s and 1960s by both Japanese and Soviet pelagic whaling operations, mainly around the Prince Edward Islands and east to 55°E (Ichihara 1966, Mikhalev 1996).

The northern Indian Ocean (Arabian Sea) blue whale (*B. m. indica*) has not been assessed as no current abundance estimate is available (Anderson *et al.* 2012). During four seasons (1963–1966), illegal Soviet pelagic whaling operations killed 1,294 blue whales off the Seychelles and Maldives, in the Gulf of Aden, and south to the west coast of India and Sri Lanka (Mikhalev 1996). Recent reports of fatal ship strikes on blue whales in the shipping lanes off southern Sri Lanka highlight the importance of assessing this subspecies (De Vos *et al.* 2013). Due to its limited range, heavy past exploitation, and ongoing ship strikes, this may be the most at-risk blue whale population.

Fin Whale

Fin whales were first targeted in the North Atlantic in the 1870s and more than 72,000 were reportedly taken there between 1900 and 1999 (Rocha et al. 2014). More than 725,000 and 74,000 were reported as having been killed in the Southern Hemisphere and North Pacific, respectively, between 1905 and 1976 (Rocha et al. 2014). As explained in detail by Rocha et al. (2014), catch records of fin and sei whales are confounded by the fact that, at times, the Soviet Union overreported catches of fin whales to cover up illegal catches of other species and to make oil production figures consistent in reports to authorities. The Red List assessment used an estimate of 53,000 fin whales in the North Atlantic in 2000, 17,000 in the North Pacific in 1975, and somewhat more than 15,000 in the Southern Hemisphere in 1983 to determine that the global population had declined by more than 70% over the preceding three generations (1929-2007) (Reilly et al. 2008c). Fin whales may be increasing in most areas given that they are now protected from commercial whaling in all of their range except off Iceland. Trend data indicate that they are increasing in the North Pacific (Zerbini et al. 2006, Moore and Barlow 2011).

The Mediterranean subpopulation, which is redlisted as Vulnerable, is genetically differentiated from fin whales elsewhere in the North Atlantic (Panigada and Notarbartolo di Sciara 2012, also see Castellote *et al.* 2012) and estimated to be in excess of 3,500 animals (Forcada *et al.* 1996). The cumulative effects of a variety of threats in this semienclosed basin (entanglement, anthropogenic noise, ship strikes, pollution) are thought to be inhibiting recruitment and adding to mortality in the Mediterranean subpopulation. Two other areas where fin whales merit assessment at the subpopulation level are the Gulf of California (Mexico) and East China Sea (Reilly *et al.* 2008c). The latter population was heavily exploited by commercial whaling before 1960.

Sei Whale

Hunting of sei whales started in the North Atlantic in the late 1800s off Norway and it continued there until the 1950s and off Iceland mainly after the 1950s and until 1989. They were also hunted off Nova Scotia, Newfoundland, the Shetlands, Hebrides, Færoes, and Spain from land stations. In the North Pacific, sei whales were being taken in northern Japanese waters by 1910 (Andrews 1916) (some of the whales reported were Bryde's whales; Omura 1977) and off California in the 1920s (Clapham et al. 1997). With the depletion of blue whales and then fin whales, both pelagic and land station operations started to concentrate on sei whales in the Southern Ocean and North Pacific. Large catches of sei whales (>1,000 per season) were made during pelagic operations in the Southern Hemisphere, beginning in the 1959/1960 season and reaching a peak in the 1964/1965 season when 17,721 were killed (Horwood 1987). The peak catch in the South Atlantic at South Georgia land stations

was 1,183 whales during the 1949/1950 season (Horwood 1987). Populations worldwide were seriously depleted by the mid-1970s. With limited or no survey effort for sei whales in either the Southern Hemisphere or North Pacific, there is no basis to determine whether, or to what extent, populations in either ocean have increased since the end of commercial whaling. The Red List assessment used estimates of 12,000 sei whales in the North Atlantic in 1989, 8,600 in the North Pacific in 1974, and 11,000 in the Southern Hemisphere in 1979 to determine that the global population had declined by more than 70% over the last three generations (1930–2007), thus warranting an Endangered status for the species (Reilly *et al.* 2008*d*).

In 2015 the IWC Scientific Committee accepted a 2010–2012 abundance estimate of 29,632 (CV = 0.242; 95% CI 18,576–47,267) for sei whales in the North Pacific (IWC, in press). However, there is no current agreement on the stock structure of sei whales in the North Pacific. From 2004 through 2013, 100 sei whales were taken per year in Japan's western North Pacific research whaling program called JARPN II (Pastene *et al.* 2009). Starting in 2014, Japan reduced the offshore component of JARPN II from 100 to 90 sei whales (IWC 2015:65).

Bryde's Whale

Catch histories for sei and Bryde's whales are confused because the literature and IWC records lumped them as a single species in early years and catch records in the western North Pacific were only recently segregated by species. Bryde's whales are redlisted as Data Deficient (Reilly et al. 2008e). From the early 1970s and until fairly recently, they were considered to comprise a single species, Balaenoptera edeni, but increasingly B. edeni has been used for the small coastal form found in the western Pacific and Indian oceans and B. brydei for the larger, more oceanic form found in temperate and tropical waters of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans. LeDuc and Dizon (2002) suggested that these two forms be considered full species. However, Kato and Perrin (2009) noted that the differences between them are closer to what are now considered to be subspecies. A recent genetic analysis of specimens from Oman, the Maldives, Bangladesh, Java (Indonesia), and the northwestern Pacific identified two subspecies: B. edeni brydei and B. edeni edeni corresponding to the two forms mentioned above (Kershaw et al. 2013). The same study distinguished "distinct population units" (which should be considered units for conservation) within each of the subspecies. Similarly, Rosel and Wilcox (2014) found that the small population resident year-round in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico is genetically distinct from other members of the Bryde's whale complex examined to date.

There is a long history of shore-based whaling for Bryde's whales in Japan going back to at least 1910 (Omura 1977) and probably to the start of modern commercial whaling in Japan around 1900. In the North Pacific, Soviet and Japanese factory ships took large numbers of Bryde's whales in the mid 1970s after all the other larger baleen whales had been depleted (IWC 1997, Danner et al. 2006). Substantial numbers (848) were taken by illegal pelagic whaling operations in the Arabian Sea during a few years in the 1960s (Mikhalev 2000). In the Southern Hemisphere, mainly between the 1950s and 1970s, large numbers of Bryde's whales were taken by shore-based whaling operations in places such as Iquique and Valparaiso, Chile (Aguayo L. 1974); Paita, Peru (Valdivia et al. 1981); Costinha and mainly Cabo Frio, Brazil (Williamson 1975); Cape Lopez, Gabon (Budker 1951); and Durban and Saldanha Bay (Donkergat), South Africa (Olsen 1913, Best 1977). Also in the 1970s, Japan started "research whaling" (under special permits issued by the national government

for 3 yr) in tropical portions of the western Pacific and eastern Indian oceans where they took about 450 whales (Ohsumi 1980). Now research whaling is limited to the northwestern Pacific (50/yr). Bryde's whales are also subject to bycatch and ship strikes in much of their range. As with other species, it is important to manage human activities on the basis of distinct, demographically independent populations such as those provisionally identified in the Maldives, Java, and northwestern Pacific and in the northern Indian Ocean and coastal waters of Japan (Kershaw *et al.* 2013).

Omura's Whale

Omura's whale appears to be restricted to warm-temperate and tropical Indo-Pacific waters on both sides of the equator but the vast majority of records are from the Northern Hemisphere. It is one of the least known baleen whales (Sasaki *et al.* 2006, Yamada 2009); a new population was recently discovered off the northwestern coast of Madagascar (Cerchio *et al.* 2015). The full range of the Omura's whale has yet to be determined, but is thought to be smaller than that of any other baleen whale. Few catch records are available, in part because of the past problems with species identification. The numbers of Omura's whales killed by Japanese operations, including during research whaling in the Indo-Pacific (Wada *et al.* 2003) and possibly by small-type whaling in southwestern Japan, are believed to have been low, but even small levels of removals could have affected small, localized populations. This species is red-listed as Data Deficient (Reilly *et al.* 2008*f*).

Common Minke Whale

Until the late 1990s, only one species of minke whale was recognized. Most of the scientific literature prior to that time used the name B. acutorostrata for all minke whales including Antarctic minke whales. Since 2000, the IWC has recognized the Antarctic minke whale (B. bonaerensis) as a separate species (Rice 1998, Brownell et al. 2000). In addition, Best (1985) and Arnold et al. (1987) identified and described a dwarf form of minke whale in the Southern Hemisphere on the basis of morphology and coloration. In the early 1990s the dwarf minke whale of the Southern Hemisphere was assigned to B. acutorostrata based on genetic analyses (Pastene et al. 1994), but these dwarf minke whales are not the same as the Northern Hemisphere form of the species and therefore are considered to represent an unnamed and undescribed subspecies (Committee on Taxonomy 2015). Because of their wide distribution in the Southern Hemisphere, dwarf minke whales likely occur as multiple subpopulations and as such they need to be managed and assessed separately. The minke whales in the North Pacific are usually referred to as B. a. scammoni, but this subspecies is based on a fossil and more research is needed to understand and describe the populations or subspecies that occur in the North Pacific.

In the North Pacific, common minke whales are best known from the Sea of Okhotsk, Sea of Japan, off the Pacific coast of Japan, the Yellow Sea and the East China Sea. Those in the Sea of Okhotsk and off northern Japan number about 25,000 (CV = 0.316) (Buckland *et al.* 1992). The minke whales within this region are divided by the IWC into two units, O (Okhotsk) stock and J (Japan) stock. The J-stock is found mainly in the East China Sea, Yellow Sea, and Sea of Japan and the O stock is found in the Sea of Okhotsk and off the Pacific coast of Japan. The 25,000 estimate refers mainly to the O-stock.

The autumn-breeding J-stock, centered mainly in coastal waters of the Sea of Japan and along the Pacific coast of Japan, is of the greatest immediate conservation concern. No abundance estimate is available over the entire range of this stock, but various regional surveys indicate a total of around 4,500 animals (Miyashita and Okamura 2011). Small numbers are taken in Japanese research whaling operations and hundreds are taken each year as bycatch in fishing gear off South Korea, Japan, China, and perhaps North Korea. It is important to regard official catch reports critically as genetic analyses indicate that the number of animals actually taken in Japan and South Korea is considerably higher than reported (Baker *et al.* 2007). In addition, each year some level of illegal whaling from J-stock is reported to the IWC by South Korea. The IWC classifies the J-stock as a "Protection Stock" because of its depleted status (IWC 2013*c*:176), and Red List documentation refers to it as a "distinct subpopulation" (Reilly *et al.* 2008*g*). However, it has not been assessed for the Red List; therefore assessment of this stock should be a high priority.

All minke whales in the central and eastern North Pacific are currently called the "Remainder" stock by the IWC, but this large region is poorly studied. Minke whales occur in low numbers in tropical waters around the Hawaiian Islands from about November to March. There is no abundance estimate for this region. In the eastern North Pacific, minke whales range from the Bering Sea south to Baja California, Mexico, but they do not appear to be abundant, with only about 2,000 in the central and southeastern Bering Sea (Moore *et al.* 2002) and another 1,000 along the west coast of North America (Forney 2007).

Common minke whales are widespread and abundant in the North Atlantic, numbering perhaps 180,000 (Reilly *et al.* 2008g). Aboriginal subsistence whaling for this species off East and West Greenland (Denmark) is managed under the IWC and commercial whaling by Norway and Iceland is carried out under objection to the IWC commercial moratorium.

The dwarf minke whale was never hunted commercially (Kato and Fujise (2000), but at least a few individuals were taken in the large commercial hunt for Antarctic minke whales from 1971 to 1986. Sixteen dwarf minke whales were reported as taken in Japan's "scientific" whaling between the 1987/1988 and the 1992/1993 Antarctic whaling seasons (Nishiwaki *et al.* 2005) and all but one of these were killed in latitudes between 62°S and 55°S, the northern limit of Japan's Antarctic research whaling operations.

Antarctic Minke Whale

The stock structure of Antarctic minke whales is poorly understood, but the aggregate abundance of the species is in the hundreds of thousands. Factory-ship whaling in the Antarctic turned to minke whales in 1971 and nearly 100,000 have been taken there since then. An additional 14,000 were taken at a Brazilian land station (Costinha) from 1964 to 1985 (Reilly et al. 2008h). Factory-ship whaling has continued at a lower level since 1987/1988 under special permits issued by Japan, with catches concentrated in certain areas (e.g., IWC Antarctic Areas III, IV, and V). Analyses of two survey programs (CPII 1985/86–1990/91, CPIII 1992/93–2003/04) have sought to determine whether a difference in estimates—around 720,000 (95% CI 512,000–1,012,000) in CPII vs. 515,000 (95% CI 361,000–733,000) in CPIII (IWC 2013b)—indicates a real decline in abundance or reflects a problem with survey methodology (IWC 2013b). In 2012 the IWC Scientific Committee concluded that although it could agree on the estimates of abundance "within the areas surveyed in CPII and

CPIII," it could not reach a conclusion on "whether (and if so to what extent) these numbers indicate a real decline ... between the two periods of the two surveys" (IWC 2013*b*:29). Further, the committee was "unable to exclude the possibility of a real decline in minke whale abundance between CPII and CPIII" (IWC 2013*b*:29). Williams *et al.* (2014) discussed the difficulties of detecting abundance trends from open-water surveys in Antarctic waters.

Humpback Whale

The humpback whale is redlisted as Least Concern because of its strong recovery in many parts of its global range (Reilly *et al.* 2008*i*, Fleming and Jackson 2011), with aggregate numbers currently estimated at 110,000+. This figure is derived from the IWC estimates, by stock, totaling more than 80,000 for the Southern Hemisphere (Table 2; seven stocks, including the Arabian Sea), 10,290–13,390 for the North Atlantic in 1993 (Stevick *et al.* 2003 and see Ryan *et al.* 2014; one stock), and 21,063 for the North Pacific in 2006 (Barlow *et al.* 2011; basin-wide estimate from multiple feeding and breeding areas). Humpback whales continue to be hunted regularly only in Greenland and Bequia (St. Vincent and the Grenadines); in both areas the removals are reported to the IWC and subject to catch limits determined by the IWC Scientific Committee.

The Endangered Arabian Sea subpopulation of humpback whales is geographically, demographically, and genetically discrete (Minton *et al.* 2008, 2011; Rosenbaum *et al.* 2009; Pomilla *et al.* 2014). Its year-round residency in a subtropical region makes it unique among humpback whale populations. Unlike the Southern Hemisphere humpback whales to the south, the Arabian Sea whales are on a Northern Hemisphere breeding cycle (Mikhalev 1997, Minton *et al.* 2011). After being nearly extirpated by illegal Soviet whaling in the 1960s (238 were killed in 1966 alone) (Mikhalev 1997, 2000), population recovery has been hampered by the continuing problem of entanglement in fishing gear and likely also ship strikes (Minton *et al.* 2008, 2011). Based on mark-recapture estimates of fewer than 100 whales along the coast of Oman, Minton *et al.* (2008, 2011) concluded that there were fewer than 250 mature individuals in the entire Arabian Sea.

The Oceania subpopulation of humpback whales (breeding stocks E and F, combined; see Table 2) is redlisted as Endangered because at the time of the most recent formal Red List assessment it was thought to have declined by more than 70% within the preceding three generations (i.e., since 1942) (Jackson et al. 2006, Childerhouse et al. 2008). In the light of further assessment work by the IWC Scientific Committee, it has become clear that a new assessment is needed. The links between whales migrating past eastern Australia and those migrating past New Zealand and/or New Caledonia as well as their connections with the whales that occur near Tonga and French Polynesia require re-examination (Valsecchi et al. 2010, Steel et al. 2014). The Oceania subpopulation was estimated to number 4,300 whales in 2005 (Constantine et al. 2012). It has been suggested that the rarity of whales in some formerly populous breeding habitats in Oceania (e.g., Fiji) does not necessarily represent a failure to recover, but could instead indicate a redistribution of animals as they seek out more populous mating grounds. This might also explain "above the maximum plausible" rates of increase observed in other places (e.g., eastern Australia) (Clapham and Zerbini 2015).

In part because of the increasing numbers of whales and in part because of concomitant increases in vessel traffic and changes in fishing activity in their habitat,

Table 2. Humpback whale stocks and abundance estimates. (A detailed breakdown for North Pacific subpopulations is in Table S1).

| Breeding stock | Area | Estimate | Year of estimate | Citation |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Breeding stock A, Western Arlantic Ocean | Brazil | 6,300 (95% CI = 4,300–8,600) | 2005 | Andriolo et al. (2010) |
| Breeding stock B, Eastern Atlantic | Gabon (substock B1) | 6,800 (95% CI = 4,350-10,400) | 2005 | Collins <i>et al.</i> (2010) |
| | West South Africa (substock B2) | 300 (95% CI = 200-400) | 2001 | Barendse et al. (2011) |
| Breeding stock C, Western Indian Ocean | Mozambique (substock C1) | 6,000 (95% CI = 4,400–8,400) | 2003 | Findlay et al. (2011) |
| | Madagascar (substock C3) | 7,500 (95% CI = 2,100-12,700) | 2004 | Cerchio <i>et al.</i> (2009) |
| Breeding stock D, Eastern Indian Ocean | Western Australia | 28,800 (95% CI = 23,700-40,100) | 2008 | Hedley et al. (2011) |
| Breeding stocks E and F, Western/Central South Pacific | Eastern Australia | 14,500 (95% CI = 12,700-16,500) | 2010 | Noad et al. (2011) |
| Breeding stock G, Eastern South Pacific | Ecuador | 6,500 (95% CI = 4,300–9,900) | 2006 | Felix et al. (2011) |
| Arabian Sea North Atlantic North Pacific | | 80 (95% CI = 60–110) 11,570 (95% CI = 10,290–13,390) 21,063 (95% CI = 20,099–23,552) | 2004 1992/1993 2006 | Minton <i>et al.</i> (2011) Stevick <i>et al.</i> (2003) Barlow <i>et al.</i> (2011) |

ship strikes and entanglements of humpbacks are occurring with greater frequency in many areas, e.g., the western North Atlantic (Robbins et al. 2007; Robbins 2008, 2009); Ecuador (Félix et al. 2011); the Arabian Sea (Minton et al. 2011). It is difficult to separate, measure, and assess the factors most responsible for the high rates of mortality and injury, but there is reason for concern that in some regions at least, human activities are limiting or even preventing population recovery (Fleming and Jackson 2011). Offshore oil and gas development is intensive and expanding in many of the breeding and feeding areas of humpback whales, such as off the west coast of Africa, and this could be a significant source of disturbance to the animals in such areas (Rosenbaum et al. 2014).

Besides those in the Arabian Sea, the humpback whales in greatest need of assessment are in the western North Pacific (west of 180°) (Brownell *et al.* 2010, Baker *et al.* 2013) and eastern North Atlantic (IWC 2002). In both areas, numbers and densities are thought to be far below historical levels and we lack sufficient information to evaluate trends. In addition, judging by information in annual progress reports to the IWC, the number of humpback whales that die in fishing gear in Japan appears to be increasing.

In the eastern North Atlantic, there is evidence of substantial whaling for hump-back whales around the Cape Verde Islands during the 19th century (Reeves *et al.* 2002). Recent observations suggest that the subpopulation breeding there is far too small to account for the relatively large numbers observed in the central and eastern North Atlantic feeding grounds off Iceland and Norway (Ryan *et al.* 2013, 2014). There has been considerable discussion within the IWC Scientific Committee concerning genetic evidence of at least one additional breeding area (*i.e.*, in addition to the well-known area in the northern Antilles off Hispaniola and Puerto Rico) for humpback whales that feed in the north-central and northeastern North Atlantic. One of these appears to be the Cape Verde Islands but recent evidence suggests a tendency for the whales wintering in the southeastern Caribbean (*e.g.*, around Guadeloupe and Trinidad) to also migrate to feeding grounds (Iceland-Norway) in the northeastern North Atlantic (Stevick *et al.* 2015).

Bowhead Whale

The IWC recognizes four stocks of bowhead whales. The Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort subpopulation has increased steadily over the last 30 yr (3.7% with 95% CI 2.8%—4.7% per year) with a 2011 abundance estimate of 16,892 individuals (95% CI: 15,704–18,928) (Givens *et al.* 2013). The 2002 abundance estimate for the Eastern Canada-West Greenland subpopulation was 6,344 (95% CI 3,119–12,906) (IWC 2009:23), but there is no IUCN assessment for this subpopulation. The species as a whole and the Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Sea subpopulation are redlisted as Least Concern, but two subpopulations are still considered threatened by IUCN (Reilly *et al.* 2012*a*, *b*, *c*; Cetacean Specialist Group 1996*b*).

The Critically Endangered Svalbard-Barents Sea (Spitsbergen) subpopulation was probably the largest of the bowhead whale subpopulations immediately before commercial whaling began in the northeastern Atlantic in 1611. There has been no whaling on this subpopulation for over a century, and it has had little exposure to threats from fishing, shipping, and industrial activity. There is no current estimate of population size (hypothesized to be in the range of 50–120) and no clear or convincing evidence of substantial growth (Reilly *et al.* 2012c). Visual and acoustic observations over the past decade do suggest, however, that this subpopulation persists and may

be increasing (Moore *et al.* 2012*b*, IWC 2014). Boertmann *et al.* (2015) reported a corrected abundance estimate of 102 whales (95% CI 32–329) from an August 2009 aerial survey of the Northeast Water Polynya off the northeastern coast of Greenland.

The Endangered Sea of Okhotsk subpopulation is thought to number only in the low hundreds compared to at least a few thousand prewhaling, and there is no clear evidence on trend (Reilly *et al.* 2012*b*). The subpopulation was subjected to intensive commercial whaling in the mid 1800s and at least sporadic whaling until 1913 (Ivashchenko and Clapham 2010). In addition, at least 145 were killed illegally by Soviet whalers in 1967 and 1968 (Ivashchenko and Clapham 2012). No additional deliberate kills are known, but at least one whale from this subpopulation is known to have died from entanglement in fishing gear (Brownell 1999). Oil and gas exploration activity is expanding rapidly in the Sea of Okhotsk and this brings risks of various kinds to bowheads (*e.g.*, habitat modification and degradation, noise disturbance, ship strikes).

As mentioned earlier, hunting of this species in Russia, Alaska (United States), and Greenland (Denmark) is managed within the IWC's aboriginal subsistence whaling framework. The hunting of bowhead whales became legal in Arctic Canada in 1991 and in Greenland in 2008. Although Canada is not a member of the IWC, it has agreed to report catches annually, thus enabling the IWC Scientific Committee to incorporate those catches in assessments and catch limits for the Eastern Canada—West Greenland stock as they apply to Greenland, which is a member. Aboriginal whaling is not currently viewed as a population-level threat to this species anywhere in its range.

North Atlantic Right Whale

North Atlantic right whales are functionally extinct in European waters from whaling which started 1,000 yr ago, and the most recent substantial whaling was in the first third of the 20th century on both sides of the North Atlantic (Reeves *et al.* 2007). Most, but not all (Brown *et al.* 2007), of the few European sightings in recent decades have been of individuals previously photo-identified off the U.S. and Canada (Jacobsen *et al.* 2004, Hamilton *et al.* 2007, 2009; Silva *et al.* 2012). The subpopulation in the western North Atlantic is considered Endangered but has been increasing since 2000. At the time of the last Red List assessment (Reilly *et al.* 2008*j*), the total population (all ages) was believed to number only 300–350, all of them in the western North Atlantic, and there was serious concern about the high rate of ongoing human-caused mortality from ship strikes and entanglement. The total estimated population size (all ages) in 2012 was somewhat more than 500 individuals (Pettis 2013) with an annual increase of 2.8% in the minimum number of live whales between 1990 and 2012.

North Pacific Right Whale

North Pacific right whales have not recovered after their extreme depletion by commercial whaling from 1835 through the 1840s (Josephson *et al.* 2008, Scarff 2001), continued hunting pressure throughout the rest of the 19th century and into the early 20th century, and illegal Soviet whaling on the small remnant population(s) in the 1960s (Brownell *et al.* 2001, Ivashchenko and Clapham 2012, Ivashchenko *et al.* 2013). The last commercial catch was by China in 1977 in the Yellow Sea (Brownell *et al.* 2001). There are thought to be two subpopulations, the eastern,

described mostly from feeding areas in the Bering Sea and offshore in the Gulf of Alaska, and the western, feeding mainly in the Sea of Okhotsk and along the northern Kuriles and off Kamchatka. The species is redlisted as Endangered and the subpopulation in the eastern North Pacific as Critically Endangered because of its very small size and consequent vulnerability to extinction (Reilly *et al.* 2008*k*, *l*). The most recent accounting indicates that 765 right whales were taken by illegal Soviet whaling in the North Pacific¹¹ and this is thought to have removed the bulk of the population in the eastern North Pacific where they are now rarely seen. Genetic and photo-ID mark-recapture analyses each suggest there are only about 30 animals left in the eastern subpopulation, mainly observed in the southeastern Bering Sea and with a male bias to the population (Wade *et al.* 2011, LeDuc *et al.* 2012). There is very little current information on the species in the Gulf of Alaska. There is concern that increased ship traffic through Unimak Pass and in the Bering Sea will put eastern North Pacific right whales at greater risk of ship strikes.

The situation is better in the west, where data obtained during Japanese sighting surveys for minke whales in 1989, 1990, and 1992 led to an abundance estimate of 922 right whales in the Sea of Okhotsk (95% CI: 404-2,108) (Miyashita and Kato 1998, IWC 2001:26). However, the Red List documentation concluded that given the very wide confidence intervals and the lack of clear evidence of any recent increase in numbers, the lower end of the range of that abundance estimate (i.e., about 400) should be used for assessment (Reilly et al. 2008k). Surveys for large whales in offshore waters east of Hokkaido (Japan) and the Kuril Islands from 1994 to 2013 resulted in 55 sightings of right whales (77 individuals) including ten female/calf pairs (Matsuoka et al. 2014), 10 sightings of right whales in five groups were reported in 2012 surveys southeast of the Kamchatka Peninsula and off the Kuril Islands (Sekiguchi et al. 2014) and there were 19 sightings of 31 whales in the Russian EEZ (mainly around the northern Kuril Islands, the southern Kamchatka Peninsula and the Commander Islands) between 2003 and 2014 (Ovsyanikova et al. 2015). Whales from this subpopulation are occasionally killed incidentally in coastal fishing operations around Japan and Russia (Burdin *et al.* 2004). ¹² A highly publicized right whale entanglement in and subsequent escape from mussel mariculture gear occurred in South Korea in February 2015 (Kim et al. 2015). All data collected since 1992 in the western North Pacific, especially the Sea of Okhotsk, need to be analyzed for a new abundance estimate so that an assessment can be completed for this subpopulation.

Southern Right Whale

Southern right whales were hunted throughout the Southern Hemisphere by commercial whalers in the 18th and 19th centuries; as few as 400 individuals may have remained in the 1920s by which time the whaling had mostly ended (IWC 2001:24, IWC 2013a). Population growth occurred during the first half of the 20th century, but was set back between 1951/1952 and 1970/1971 when Soviet whaling expeditions killed over 3,300 southern right whales illegally (Tormosov et al. 1998). Over the past four decades, southern right whales in well-studied subpopulations off southern Africa, in the southwestern Atlantic (off Argentina and Brazil), and off south-central and southwestern Australia have shown relatively high rates of increase (IWC

¹¹Yulia Vladimirovna Ivashchenko, 2363 16th Avenue S, Seattle, WA 98144, 11 February 2015.

¹²Japan Progress Reports to the IWC, https://iwc.int/scprogress.

2013a). The heavily exploited subpopulation around the Auckland Islands (New Zealand) has also increased since whaling stopped about 1970 (Carroll et al. 2011). The 1997 global estimate of southern right whales was about 7,500 (IWC 2001:23) and in 2009 it was about 13,600 (IWC 2013a). Although the species is now listed as Least Concern (Reilly et al. 2008m), at least one subpopulation is still in serious trouble. The Chile-Peru subpopulation in the southeastern Pacific is thought to include fewer than 50 mature individuals and thus is redlisted as Critically Endangered (Reilly et al. 2008n). The main concerns for this subpopulation are entanglement in fishing gear and coastal development (Galletti Vernazzani et al. 2014). Other subpopulations that have been at least tentatively identified as meriting consideration for threatened status are those off mainland New Zealand, although recent records indicate increasing occupancy of these waters by females with calves originally identified in the subantarctic calving grounds at the Auckland and Campbell Islands (Carroll et al. 2014), in the central South Atlantic around Tristan da Cunha, and around Crozet and Kerguelen Islands in the central Indian Ocean (IWC 2013a).

Pygmy Right Whale

The pygmy right whale is the smallest baleen whale with a maximum body length of 6.34 m in females and 6.1 m in males (Budylenko et al. 1973), compared to the 7 m length of a newborn Antarctic blue whale (Mackintosh and Wheeler 1929). It is also one of the least known species. Its phylogenetic relationships to other baleen whales are enigmatic and it has long been assigned to a monotypic family, Neobalaenidae. However, a recent analysis of morphological characters and molecular data concluded that the species possesses a number of synapomorphies with members of the fossil family Cetotheriidae (Fordyce and Marx 2012). Pygmy right whales are known from cold-temperate waters of the Southern Hemisphere, mainly between 19°S (in the Benguela Current) and 52°S (Kemper 2009), but most strandings and sightings have been in South Africa, New Zealand, and Australia (Kemper 2002). They apparently feed primarily on calanoid copepods (Budylenko et al. 1973) and their range overlaps that of sei whales, which also feed mainly on copepods. The species has never been a significant target of commercial whaling. All of what is known about these whales comes from stranded specimens, a few individuals killed during commercial whaling, and at-sea observations. No estimates of abundance are available. The pygmy right whale is classified as Data Deficient on the IUCN Red List (Reilly et al. 20080).

Gray Whale

When assessed as a species, the gray whale is in the Least Concern category (Reilly et al. 2008p). Gray whales occurred in the North Atlantic until the late 1600s or early 1700s and perhaps somewhat longer (Mead and Mitchell 1984), but they are now extinct in this ocean basin. In the western and eastern North Pacific, gray whales were hunted commercially from the middle of the 19th century through the early 20th century, by which time both subpopulations had become seriously depleted. Following limited protection from commercial whaling in 1937 and full protection in 1946, the eastern North Pacific subpopulation recovered to a large degree. Estimates of abundance from the southbound migration for the period 2006/2007 to 2010/2011 ranged from 17,820 to 21,210 (IWC 2014:25) and were broadly consistent with previous estimates of between 15,000 and 22,000 (Laake et al. 2009, Punt and Wade 2010). This subpopulation may be large enough that the present-day car-

rying capacity is exceeded in years when delayed ice retreat or stochastic environmental processes reduce foraging opportunities in its subarctic and Arctic feeding grounds (Moore *et al.* 2003, Perryman *et al.* 2002, Reilly *et al.* 2008*p*). By contrast, the western subpopulation is redlisted as Critically Endangered (Reilly *et al.* 2008*q*) and has shown little sign of recovery from near-extirpation by commercial whaling which lasted until 1966 in Korea (Brownell and Chun 1977).

Subsistence whaling on the eastern stock of gray whales, which was widespread and at least locally intensive historically (Reeves 2002), continues off Chukotka, Russia, where under the current IWC catch limit 744 whales can be taken over the years 2013 through 2018 with no more than 140 to be taken in any one year (IWC 2014). Under a Russia-U.S. bilateral agreement, most of that quota is allocated to Russia. From 2004 to 2013 an average of 126 (111–143) whales was taken per year in this monitored hunt. The possibility of a resumed legal hunt of gray whales by the Makah Tribe of Washington State is the subject of ongoing negotiations within the U.S. government (MMC 2014). A major point of concern is how to prevent whales from the Sakhalin feeding group (see below) or the Pacific Coast Feeding Group (PCFG) (again, see below) from being struck by the Makah whalers if and when their hunting resumes.

A small subpopulation of gray whales, numbering about 150, that feeds during the summer and autumn in Russian waters off northeastern Sakhalin Island and southeastern Kamchatka is redlisted as Critically Endangered (Reilly et al. 2008a). Extreme concern in recent years has centered on the possibility that oil and gas development at Sakhalin could degrade these whales' foraging habitat and cause direct disturbance or even physical harm to them. Deaths of gray whales in recent years in fishing gear around Japan and in Chinese waters have unquestionably slowed, or possibly entirely prevented, the return of gray whales to portions of the species' natural range that are now largely unoccupied. Such mortality demonstrates the vulnerability of the animals that hold the potential for restoring a regular gray whale migration along the coasts of eastern Asia. It was recently discovered that some of the gray whales found off Sakhalin in summer migrate eastward and southward to the wintering areas used by eastern gray whales (Mate et al. 2011, Weller et al. 2013a, IWC 2014). This would seem to indicate that the number of individuals using the species' historical migration route(s) and wintering area(s) along the Asian mainland is even smaller than previously thought. Continued intensive efforts are needed to protect (and further assess) gray whales in the western North Pacific.

The PCFG consists of a small group of whales that forages primarily between southeastern Alaska and northern California, *i.e.*, in an ecosystem distinct from that used by the larger migratory population that feeds mainly in the Bering and Chukchi Seas (Weller *et al.* 2013*b*). Photo-identification and genetic studies indicate that although the PCFG whales interbreed with the other eastern gray whales, they may still comprise a demographically distinct stock (Calambokidis *et al.* 2010, Frasier *et al.* 2011, Lang *et al.* 2011).

Subspecies and Subpopulations Not Yet Assessed

In this review, we have identified a number of baleen whale subspecies and subpopulations of conservation concern that have yet to be assessed for the IUCN Red List

¹³http://iwc.int/table_aboriginal.

or that may need to be changed from Data Deficient to some other Red List status. In our view, the following subspecies and subpopulations should be high priorities for IUCN Red List assessment as new data become available:

- 1. Small populations of "pygmy" type blue whales in numerous parts of the Southern Hemisphere need much more study of population structure, abundance, and trends; and, once sufficient information is available, Red List
- 2. The northern Indian Ocean blue whale (Arabian Sea) subspecies, heavily exploited by illegal Soviet whaling in the 1960s, is now of particular concern because of ongoing mortality from ship strikes and the likelihood of entanglement in fishing gear. The lack of information on current numbers is a significant impediment to assessment, but these whales otherwise rank high among global priorities for baleen whale conservation.
- 3. Gulf of California fin whales are considered discrete from other eastern North Pacific animals and, as occupants of a geographical cul-de-sac, they may be vulnerable to the impacts of climate change on their prey. East China Sea fin whales have long been recognized as a separate population but they have not been assessed by the IWC since they were intensively hunted by Japan up to the 1960s. Current threats are unknown but the most likely is ship strike as this subpopulation inhabits a region with extremely heavy vessel traffic, which is expected to grow rapidly as a result of changes in world trade patterns.
- 4. The resident subpopulation of Bryde's whale recently described in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico may be subject to the impacts of intensive oil and gas development and there is concern regarding ship strikes within their limited range.
- 5. The J-stock of common minke whales in the western North Pacific has long been recognized as a management stock by the IWC. Because it has been studied extensively, this subpopulation's distinctiveness, discreteness, and geographical distribution are reasonably well known. The combined impacts of past commercial whaling, "scientific" whaling, "bycatch," and illegal whaling on J-stock minke whales has resulted in their well-documented depletion.
- 6. Humpback whales in the North Atlantic Ocean constitute one of the most thoroughly studied basin-wide populations of baleen whales. However, the very small subpopulation in the eastern portion of the basin (migrating between the Cape Verde Islands in the winter and Iceland and Norway in the summer) has yet to be assessed. Any entanglements or ship strikes could have a negative impact on this population. Also, the possibility of a third North Atlantic breeding area in the southeastern Caribbean requires more investigation.
- 7. Humpback whales in the western North Pacific were heavily exploited by Japan until the 1960s. This subpopulation is one of the smallest in the North Pacific and is therefore of particular concern because of ongoing entanglement in fishing gear and possibly ship strikes in one of the world's fastest-growing marine traffic areas.
- 8. The central South Atlantic (around Tristan da Cunha) and southwestern and central Indian Ocean subpopulations of southern right whales are small, remote, and little known.
- 9. The gray whale, certainly one of the most intensively studied baleen whale species, is considered Least Concern at the species level while the western subpopulation is listed as Critically Endangered. The IWC Scientific Committee is carrying out an in-depth investigation of the population structure and conservation status of

North Pacific gray whales (IWC 2014). It will be important for the results of that investigation to be used in a Red List reassessment of gray whales in the western North Pacific as soon as feasible.

Based on the above list, we believe it should be possible to move forward without delay to assess the following subpopulations: J-stock common minke whales, Gulf of Mexico Bryde's whales, and western North Pacific humpback whales.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Among the baleen whales, the right whales remain the group of greatest conservation concern at the species level. Both the North Atlantic and North Pacific right whales are endangered. In the eastern North Atlantic, right whales were effectively extirpated (by whaling) by the early 20th century, and the principal ongoing threats to right whales in the western North Atlantic are immediate and well-documented: entanglement and ship strike. In the North Pacific, there are probably still hundreds of right whales on the western side but only a few tens on the eastern side, where the prognosis for recovery is bleak. North Pacific right whales presumably face the same threats as their North Atlantic congeners (entanglement and ship strike) although it is not certain to what degree. Further research is needed to understand more about their distribution, movements, numbers, and areas where they are most vulnerable to these and other threats (e.g., future offshore oil and gas development and increased northern ship traffic).

While southern right whales are showing strong evidence of recovery in much of their former range, their status in several areas is still of great concern. The very small size of the Chile-Peru subpopulation puts it at high risk from entanglement and ship strike. Very few right whales are present today around the New Zealand mainland, but at least there are encouraging signs that animals from the Auckland Islands are moving in to reestablish the species in that area. Emergent problems, such as the growing attacks by kelp gulls on right whales in Argentina due to increases in the local human population and fishing (Thomas *et al.* 2013), are reminders that the process of recovery can be impeded by unpredictable and unlikely developments even for a population that has been growing steadily for more than 40 years.

Among the rorquals, Mediterranean fin whales have been identified for concern because of the cumulative impacts of human activities in that semienclosed basin. Similar concerns, plus those related to oil and gas development, are likely to apply to the very small population of Bryde's whales in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Rosel and Wilcox 2014). Antarctic blue whales were reduced to such a degree (less than 1%) by commercial whaling in the 20th century that their recovery, even in the absence of whaling, has been very slow. Blue whales have been effectively extirpated from east Asian waters (off Japan) where they were present in good numbers before commercial whaling started about 1900. Other blue whale populations (e.g., northern Indian Ocean (Arabian Sea) and Chile-Peru) are being affected by ship strikes but the population-level consequences of the mortality remain difficult to assess.

Despite encouraging resurgences in most of their range, humpback whales are still not secure in a few areas. In particular, the small population in the Arabian Sea breeds out of phase with more southerly populations and is at considerable risk from entanglement in fishing gear and perhaps ship strikes. Numerous other humpback populations experience substantial mortality from ship strikes and entanglement. In many such cases the population is still increasing, but in other cases the population-level

consequences are uncertain, similar to the situation for the blue whale populations mentioned above. As whale numbers grow, the incidence of ship strikes and entanglements is likely to increase even if vessel traffic and fishing activity in their habitat remains unchanged. It is difficult to separate, measure, and assess the factors most responsible for the high rates of mortality and injury, but there is reason for concern that in some regions at least, human activities are limiting or even preventing population recovery. In this regard, one area of concern is the western North Pacific hump-back subpopulation which is subject to bycatch and inhabits a region with high vessel traffic.

In addition to the longstanding threat of entanglement in fishing gear (Clapham et al. 1999), the problem of ship strikes has come to be recognized as the other clearest and most direct threat to small baleen whale populations. It will get worse as international commerce continues to expand and as maritime traffic moves into areas formerly difficult or impossible to navigate because of sea ice. Biologically rich straits such as the Bering Strait and the passes through the Aleutian Islands, other places where major shipping routes come near shore, such as the southern tip of Sri Lanka, the waters off China and South Africa, and heavily used ports such as those on the eastern, Gulf of Mexico, and western seaboards of North America, are conflict zones where the ship strike menace is particularly in evidence.

Oil and gas development activities in the Arctic represent an emerging threat to bowhead whales, especially in their feeding range. This is especially worrisome for the small populations in the Sea of Okhotsk and around Svalbard-East Greenland and in the Barents Sea. Oil and gas development on the Sakhalin shelf is of great concern for the small population of gray whales that relies on this area as a summer feeding ground. It is difficult to see how gray whales will be able to expand their effective range in the coastal waters of China, Korea, and Japan, where they were all but extirpated by whaling in the 20th century, unless their feeding habitat in the Sea of Okhotsk and off southern Kamchatka is maintained in a healthy condition and the threats of entanglement and ship-strike are rigorously managed along their migration route(s) and at their wintering grounds.

Chemical contaminants are a general, longstanding concern, but they are not known to be having population-level impacts on baleen whales. This could, however, simply reflect the difficulty of documenting and measuring such impacts. The actual long-term effects of increasing anthropogenic noise are unknown, but in addition to the need to manage the acute impacts of noise, there is growing concern about the potentially serious impacts of chronic stress from noise exposure (Rolland *et al.* 2012), the masking of salient acoustic signals (Clark *et al.* 2009), and the possible displacement of animals from critical habitat (Moore and Huntington 2008). Efforts to assess the cumulative effects of the range of anthropogenic and natural stressors on marine mammals are challenging and require long-term commitment (National Research Council 2005, Schick *et al.* 2013, King *et al.* 2015).

Climate change is already affecting aspects of baleen whale ecology and phenology. For example, bowhead whale populations formerly separated by sea ice in the summer have begun to mingle more frequently in the Northwest Passage and species such as gray, humpback, and fin whales are increasingly observed in high Arctic waters. Annual changes in the distribution of rare animals such as North Atlantic right whales are often hypothesized to be related to changing climatic or oceanic conditions but we often lack the data to draw firm conclusions. Similarly, there are serious concerns that cul-de-sac populations such as the humpback whales and blue whales in the Arabian Sea, bowhead whales in the Sea of Okhotsk, and fin whales in the

Table 3. Baleen whales: species, subspecies, and populations of greatest concern based on this review.

| | Current situation including | |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Species/subspecies/subpopulation | Red List status | Primary conservation requirements |
| Bowhead whale (Sea of Okhotsk subpopulation) | Endangered: population size thought to be in the low to mid 100s, only known immediate lethal threat is entanglement | Document distribution and abundance; implement measures to mitigate disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys |
| Bowhead whale | Critically Endangered: population size | Document distribution and abundance; monitor |
| (Svalbard-Barents Sea subpopulation) | unknown but few sightings, no immediare lerhal rhrears known | potential for increasing disturbance from oil/ gas activity including seismic surveys |
| Southern right whale | Critically Endangered: current population | Characterize and mitigate bycatch risk (from |
| (Chile-Peru/SE Pacific subpopulation) | likely <50, most immediate lethal threat enranglement and they are at risk of | coastal artisanal and commercial fisheries) and risk of shin strikes (shin rraffic is heav |
| | ship strikes in much of their range | in some parts of range) |
| North Atlantic right whale | Endangered: total population ~500, | Continued and strengthened measures to |
| | extirpated from eastern portion of range, | reduce ship strike and entanglement risks |
| | mortality and injury from entanglements | |
| | and ship strikes well documented in United States and Canada | |
| North Pacific right whale | Not assessed separately but species is | Document distribution and abundance; |
| (western subpopulation) | Endangered: hundreds in the Sea of Okhotsk | evaluate risk of ship strikes, entanglements, |
| | and Kamchatka/Kuriles portion of | and disturbance from oil/gas activity |
| | range but still tar below level of precommercial whaling | including seismic surveys |
| North Pacific right whale | Critically Endangered: current population | Document year-round distribution |
| (eastern subpopulation) | estimated at around 30, considerable | and evaluate risk of ship strikes |
| | risk of ship strikes suspected but not | from increased ship traffic |
| | well documented | and entanglements |
| Common minke whale | Not assessed: Autumn-breeding stock | Characterize and mitigate bycatch fisk |
| (western North Facinc J-Stock) | centered in Sea of Japan, numbers in thousands. substantial "bycatch" (100s/vr) | ın Japan, Korea, and China; eliminate illegal whaling |
| | in Japan, Korea, and China (but not reported) | 0 |
| | | |

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

| Species/subspecies/subpopulation | Current situation including Red List status | Primary conservation requirements |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Antarctic blue whale | Critically Endangered: 1997 population (2,280) around 1% of pre-exploitation level (259,000), but increasing, no immediate lethal threats known | Document abundance and distribution; continue protection from whaling |
| Pygmy blue whale populations (e.g., East South Africa, Madagascar to Kerguelen, South Atlantic, Chile-Peru, New Zealand, and Southwest Pacific: New Caledonia, and Solomon Islands) | Subspecies Data Deficient and all subpopulations not assessed: all presumed to be less than 1,000. | Document subpopulation characteristics and threats, especially entanglement, ship strikes and oil and gas development |
| Northern Indian Ocean blue whale | Not assessed: small distinct population mainly in northern Indian Ocean between Gulf of Aden and South Asian subcontinent, breeds 6 mo out of phase with populations in the Southern Hemisphere | Document abundance and year-round distribution; implement measures required to reduce ship strike risk |
| Fin whale | Vulnerable: population size <5,000 | Mitigate risks from ship strike, |
| (Mediterranean subpopulation) Bryde's whale | mature individuals, declining Not assessed: small distinct subpopulation | entanglement, ship and other noise Document subpopulation characteristics |
| (northeastern Gulf of Mexico subpopulation) | resident year-round in the northeastern Gulf of Mexico | and threats and mitigate risks from intensive oil and gas development and potential ship strikes |
| Humpback whale (Arabian Sea subpopulation) | Endangered: abundance estimate in Oman 82 (95% CI = 60–111), breeds 6 mo out of phase with populations to south | Document abundance and year-round distribution; implement measures to reduce entanglement (and ship strike) risk |
| Gray whale (western subpopulation) | Critically Endangered: gray whales at Sakhalin Island comprise a discrete subpopulation of about 150 animals or less; at least part of this subpopulation migrates annually to west coast of North America including Mexican breeding lagoons | Continue and strengthen measures to mitigate disturbance from oil and gas activity, ship and other noise, and reduce bycatch risk in set nets in Japan and China |

Mediterranean Sea, East China Sea, and Gulf of California are habitat-limited and will suffer as ocean temperatures rise and their prey base is altered.

The population-level consequences of ongoing environmental changes stemming from climate change and ocean acidification, e.g., in ecosystem structure, prey availability, and access to seasonally occupied habitat, remain uncertain and difficult to characterize. Intensive research, including the development and application of new methods, is needed to gain a better understanding of those consequences. At the same time, there is a pressing need for more "baseline" data on the historical and present-day ranges, seasonal movements, and habitat requirements of baleen whales against which to assess impacts and decide where mitigation is most warranted. The large differences among countries in their resources available to support scientific assessment and management action, even when it comes to tackling the most basic threats of entanglement and ship strike, mean that regional or broader international cooperation is needed. Such cooperation may be especially urgent when endemic or highly localized populations are under threat.

Future conservation of baleen whales must focus at the population level to be meaningful. This requires a much better understanding of the population structure and abundance of all species so that we can better assess and manage the population-level impacts of the threats they face. Such research is bound to add to the list of species, subspecies, and subpopulations of greatest concern (Table 3).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Marine Mammal Commission for supporting this review. In particular, we thank former Executive Director Tim Ragen for initiating and championing the idea of such a global synthesis and current Executive Director Rebecca Lent for supporting its conclusion. We thank Daryl Boness, Frances Gulland, Michael Tillman, and David Laist for their reviews of earlier versions. William Perrin, Brian Smith, and Mark Richardson provided guidance at the outset of this effort. We thank Phil Clapham, Peter Corkeron, and other reviewers whose comments greatly improved the quality and content of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Aguayo L., A. 1974. Baleen whales off continental Chile. Pages 209–217 in W. E. Schevill, ed. The whale problem: A status report. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Aguilar, A., A. Borrell and T. Pastor 1999. Biological factors affecting variability of persistent pollutant levels in cetaceans. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue)1:83–116.
- Aguilar, A., A. Borrell and P. J. H. Reijnders. 2002. Geographical and temporal variation in levels of organochlorine contaminants in marine mammals. Marine Environmental Research 53:425–452.
- Allen, K. R. 1980. Conservation and management of whales. University of Washington Press, Seattle, WA.
- Allen, B. M., and R. P. Angliss. 2011. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments, 2010. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFSAFSC-223. 292 pp.
- Alter, S. E., H. C. Rosenbaum, L. D. Postma, et al. 2012. Gene flow on ice: The role of sea ice and whaling in shaping Holarctic genetic diversity and population differentiation in bowhead whales (Balaena mysticetus). Ecological Evolution 11:2895–2911.

- Anderson, R. C., T. A. Branch, A. Alagiyawadu, R. Baldwin and F. Marsac. 2012. Seasonal distribution, movements and taxonomic status of blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) in the northern Indian Ocean. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 12:203–218.
- Andriolo, A., P. G. Kinas, M. H. Engel and C. C. A. Martins. 2010. Monitoring humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) population in the Brazilian breeding ground, 2002 to 2005. Paper SC/A06/HW2 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Andrews, R. C. 1916. The sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis* Lesson). Memoirs of the American Museum of Natural History, New Series 1(6):291–388.
- Angell, C. M., J. Y. Wilson, M. J. Moore and J. Stegeman. 2004. Cytochrome P450 1A1 expression in cetacean integument: Implications for detecting contaminant exposure and effects. Marine Mammal Science 20:554–566.
- Anonymous. 1954. They shot a blue whale. Sydney Morning Herald. 5 July 1954. Available at http://trove.nla.gov.au/ndp/del/page/1078801?zoomLevel=1.
- Arnold, P., H. Marsh and G. Heinsohn. 1987. The occurrence of two forms of minke whales in east Australian waters with a description of external characters and skeleton of the diminutive or dwarf form. Scientific Reports of The Whales Research Institute, Tokyo 38:1–46.
- Ashjian, C. J., S. R. Braund, R. G. Campbell, et al. 2010. Climate variability, oceanography, bowhead whale distribution, and Iñupiat subsistence whaling near Barrow, Alaska. Arctic 63:179–194.
- Attard, C. R. M., L. B. Beheregaray and C. L. K. Burton, *et al.* 2012. Genetic identity of blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) in Geographe Bay, Western Australia: Progress report. Paper SC/64/SH27 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Baker, C. S., J. C. Cooke, S. Lavery, et al. 2007. Estimating the number of whales entering trade using DNA profiling and capture–recapture analysis of market products. Molecular Ecology 13:2617–2626.
- Baker, C. S., D. Steel, J. Calambokidis, et al. 2013. Strong maternal fidelity and natal philopatry shape genetic structure in North Pacific humpback whales. Marine Ecology Progress Series 494:291–306.
- Balcomb, K. C., and D. E. Claridge. 2001. A mass stranding of cetaceans caused by naval sonar in the Bahamas. Bahamas Journal of Science 5:2–12.
- Bannister, J. L., and J. R. Grindley. 1966. Notes on *Balaenophilus unisetus* and its occurrence in the Southern Hemisphere. (Copepoda, Harpacticoida). Crustaceana 10:296–302.
- Barendse, J., P. B. Best, M. Thornton, *et al.* 2011. Transit station or destination? Attendance patterns, movements and abundance estimate of humpback whales off west South Africa from photographic and genotypic matching. African Journal of Marine Science 33:353–373.
- Barlow, J., and R. R. Reeves. 2009. Population status and trends. Pages 918–920 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Barlow, J., J. Calambokidis, E. A. Falcone, *et al.* 2011. Humpback whale abundance in the North Pacific estimated by photographic capture-recapture with bias correction from simulation studies. Marine Mammal Science 27:793–818.
- Best, P. B. 1977. Two allopatric forms of Bryde's whale off South Africa. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 1:10–38.
- Best, P. B. 1985. External characters of southern minke whales and the existence of a diminutive form. Scientific Reports of The Whales Research Institute, Tokyo 36:1–33.
- Best, P. B., R. A. Rademeyer, C. Burton, et al. 2003. The abundance of blue whales on the Madagascar Plateau, December 1996. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 5:253–260.

- Boertmann, D., L. A. Kyhn, L. Witting and M. P. Heide-Jørgensen. 2015. A hidden getaway for bowhead whales in the Greenland Sea. Polar Record. doi:10.1007/s00300-015-1695-y.
- Branch, T. A. 2007. Abundance of Antarctic blue whales south of 60°S from three complete circumpolar sets of surveys. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 9:253–262.
- Branch, T. A., K. Matsuoka and T. Miyashita. 2004. Evidence for increases in Antarctic blue whales based on Bayesian modelling. Marine Mammal Science 20:526–754.
- Branch, T. A., K. M. Stafford, D. M. Palacios, *et al.* 2007*a*. Past and present distribution, densities and movements of blue whales *Balaenoptera musculus* in the Southern Hemisphere and northern Indian Ocean. Mammal Review. 37:116–175.
- Branch, T. A., E. M. N. Abubaker, S. Mkango and D. S. Butterworth. 2007b. Separating southern blue whale subspecies based on length frequencies of sexually mature females. Marine Mammal Science 23:803–833.
- Branch, T. A., C. Allison, Y. A. Mikhalev, D. Tormosov, R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2008. Historical catch series for Antarctic and pygmy blue whales. Paper SC/60/SH9 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brown, M. W., S. D. Kraus, C. K. Slay and L. P. Garrison. 2007. Surveying for discovery, science, and management. Pages 105–137 *in* S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr. 1999. Mortality of a bowhead whale in fishing gear in the Okhotsk Sea. Paper SC/51/AS27 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., and C. Chun. 1977. Probable existence of the Korean stock of the gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*). Journal of Mammalogy 58:237–239.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr. W. F. Perrin, L. A., Pastene, J. G. Mead, A. N. Zerbini, T. Kasuya and D. D. Tormosov. 2000. Worldwide taxonomic status and geographic distribution of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata* and *B. bonaerensis*). Paper SC/52O27 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., P. J. Clapham, T. Miyashita and T. Kasuya. 2001. Conservation status of North Pacific right whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue)2:269–286.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., C. A. Carlson, B. Galletti Vernazzani and E. Cabrera. 2007. Skin lesions on blue whales off southern Chile: possible conservation implications? Paper SC/59/SH61 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., B. Galletti Vernazzani and C. A. Carlson. 2009. Vessel collision with a large whale off southern Chile. Paper SC/61/BC7 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., J. Calambokidis, , J. Acebes, C. S. Baker, and J. D. Darling. 2010. Western North Pacific humpback whale *Megaptera novaeangliae*. IUCN Listing Review. Paper SC/51/AS26 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., E. Cabrera and B. Galletti Varnazzani. 2014. Dead blue whale in Puerto Montt, Chile: Another case of ship collision mortality. Paper SC/65b/HIM8 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Brownell, R. L., Jr., B. Galletti Vernazzani, A. deVos, P. A. Olson, K. Findlay, J. L. Bannister and A. R. Lang. 2015. Assessment of pygmy type blue whales in the Southern Hemisphere. Paper SC/66a/SH21 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee

- (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Buckland, S. T., K. L. Cattanach and T. Miyashita, T. 1992. Minke whale abundance in the northwest Pacific and Okhotsk Sea, estimated from 1989 and 1990 sighting surveys. Report of the International Whaling Commission 42:387–392.
- Budker, P. 1951. L'industrie baleiniere au gabon [The whaling industry in Gabon]. Societé Zoologique de France 76:271–276.
- Budylenko, G. A., B. G. Panfilov, A. A. Pakhomova and E. G. Sazhinov. 1973. New data on pygmy right whales *Neohalaena marginata* (Gray, 1848). Trudy Atlanticheskii Nauchno-Issledovatel'skii Institut Rybnogo Khozyaistva I Okeanografii 51:122–132.
- Burdin, A. M., V. S. Nikulin, M. Jacobs-Spauding and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2004. Incidental entanglement of Okhotsk Sea right whales: A future conservation issue? Paper SC/56/BRG41 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission, Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Calambokidis, J., J. Barlow, J. K. B. Ford, T. E. Chandler and A. B. Douglas. 2009. Insights into the population structure of blue whales in the Eastern North Pacific from recent sightings and photographic identification. Marine Mammal Science 25:816–832.
- Calambokidis, J., J. L. Laake and A. Klimek. 2010. Abundance and population structure of seasonal gray whales in the Pacific Northwest, 1998–2008. Paper SC/62/BRG32 submitted to the International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Carretta, J. V., E. Oleson and D. W. Weller, et al. 2013. U.S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments: 2012. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum, NMFS-SWFSC-504. 378 pp.
- Carroll, E. L., N. J. Patenaude, S. Childerhouse, S. D. Kraus, R. M. Fewster and C. S. Baker. 2011. Abundance of the New Zealand subantarctic southern right whale population estimated from photo-identification and genotype mark-recapture. Marine Biology 158:2565–2575.
- Carroll, E. L., W. J. Rayment, A. M. Alexander, et al. 2014. Reestablishment of former wintering grounds by New Zealand southern right whales. Marine Mammal Science 30:206–220.
- Castellote, M., C. W. Clark and M. O. Lammers. 2012. Acoustic and behavioural changes by fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) in response to shipping and airgun noise. Biological Conservation 147:115–122.
- Cerchio, S., P. Ersts and C. Pomilla, *et al.* 2009. Updated estimates of abundance for humpback whale breeding stock C3 off Madagascar, 2000–2006. Paper SC/61/SH7 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Cerchio, S., B. Andrianantenaina, A. Lindsay, M. Rekdahl, N. Andrianarivelo and T. Rasoloarijao. 2015. Omura's whales (*Balaenoptera omurai*) in the northwest of Madagascar: A first ecological description of the species. Paper SC/66a/SH29rev1 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Cetacean Specialist Group. 1996a. Balaenoptera musculus ssp. brevicauda. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Cetacean Specialist Group. 1996b. Balaena mysticetus (Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Sea subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Childerhouse, S., J. Jackson, C. S. Baker, N. Gales, P. J. Clapham and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2008. Megaptera novaeangliae (Oceania subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of

- Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Christensen, I., T. Haug, and N. Øien. 1992. A review of feeding and reproduction in large baleen whales (Mysticeti) and Sperm whales *Physeter macrocephalus* in Norwegian and adjacent waters. Fauna norvegica Series A 13:39–48.
- Clapham, P. J., and Y. Ivashchenko. 2009. A whale of a deception. Marine Fisheries Review 71:44–52.
- Clapham, P. J., and A. Zerbini. 2015. Are social aggregation and temporary immigration driving high rates of increase in some Southern Hemisphere humpback whale populations? Marine Biology. doi:10.1007/s00227-015-2610-3.
- Clapham, P. J., S. Leatherwood, I. Szczepaniak and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 1997. Catches of humpback and other whales from shore stations at Moss Landing and Trinidad, California, 1919–1926. Marine Mammal Science 13:368–394.
- Clapham, P. J., S. B. Young, and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 1999. Baleen whales: Conservation issues and the status of the most endangered populations. Mammal Review 29:35–60.
- Clapham, P. J., A. Aguilar and L. Hatch. 2008. Determining spatial and temporal scales for the management of cetaceans: Lessons from whaling. Marine Mammal Science 24:183– 202.
- Clark, C. W., W. T. Ellison, B. L. Southall, L. Hatch, S. M. Van Parijs, A. Frankel and D. Ponirakis. 2009. Acoustic masking in marine ecosystems: Intuitions, analysis, and implication. Marine Ecology Progress Series 395:201–222.
- Clarke, J., K. Stafford, S. E. Moore, B. Rone, L. Aerts and J. Crance. 2013. Subarctic cetaceans in the southern Chukchi Sea: Evidence of recovery or response to a changing ecosystem. Oceanography 26(4):136–149.
- Collins, T., S. Cerchio, C. Pomilla, J. Loo, I. Carvalho, S. Ngouessono and H. C. Rosenbaum. 2010. Estimates of abundance for humpback whales in Gabon between 2001 2006 using photographic and genotypic data. Paper SC/62/SH11 submitted to the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Comeau, S., J.-P. Gattuso, A.-M. Nisumaa and J. Orr. 2012. Impact of aragonite saturation state changes on migratory pteropods. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 279:732–738.
- Committee for Whaling Statistics. 1962. International Whaling Statistics. Volume XLVII. Grøndahl & Søn, Oslo, Norway.
- Committee on Taxonomy. 2015. List of marine mammal species and subspecies. Society for Marine Mammalogy. Available at http://www.marinemammalscience.org. Consulted on 6 July 2015.
- Constantine, R., J. A. Jackson, D. Steel, *et al.* 2012. Abundance of humpback whales in Oceania using photo-identification and microsatellite genotyping. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 453:249–261.
- Corbett, J., and J. Winebrake. 2007. Sustainable goods movement: Environmental implications of trucks, trains, ships, and planes. Environmental Management November:8–12.
- Coughran, D. K., N. J. Gales and H. C. Smith. 2013. A note on the spike in recorded mortality of humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) in Western Australia. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 13:105–108.
- Cox, T. M., T. J. Ragen, A. J. Read, *et al.* 2006. Understanding the impacts of anthropogenic sound on beaked whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 7:177–187.
- Danner, E. M., M. J. Kauffman and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2006. Industrial whaling in the North Pacific 1952-1978: Spatial patters of harvest and decline. Pages 134–144 in J. A. Estes, D. P. DeMaster, D. F. Doak, T. M. Williams and R. L. Brownell, Jr., eds. Whales, whaling, and ocean ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- de Vos, A, C. B. Pattiaratchi and E. M. S. Wijeratne. 2014. Surface circulation and upwelling patterns around Sri Lanka. Biogeosciences 11:5909–5930.

- de Vos, A., T. Wu and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2013. Recent blue whale deaths due to ship strikes around Sri Lanka. Paper SC/65a/HIM03 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Doney, S. C. 2010. The growing human footprint on coastal and open-ocean biogeochemistry. Science 328:1512–1516.
- Doney, S. C., V. J. Fabry, R. A. Feely and J. A. Kleypas. 2009. Ocean acidification: The other CO₂ problem. Annual Review of Marine Science 1:169–192.
- Donovan, G. P. 1991. A review of IWC stock boundaries. Report of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 13):39–68.
- Double, M. C., V. Andrews-Goff, K. C. S. Jenner, M.-N. Jenner, S. M. Laverick, T. A. Branch and N. Gales. 2014. Migratory movements of pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) between Australia and Indonesia as revealed by satellite telemetry. PLOS ONE 9(4):e93578.
- Elfes, C. T., G. R. Vanblaricom, D. Boyd, et al. 2010. Geographic variation of persistent organic pollutant levels in humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) feeding areas of the North Pacific and North Atlantic. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 29:824– 834
- Ellison, W. T., B. L. Southall, C. W. Clark and A. S. Frankel. 2011. A new context-based approach to assess marine mammal behavioral responses to anthropogenic sounds. Conservation Biology 26:21–28.
- Fabry, V. J., B. A. Seibel, R. A. Feely and J. C. Orr. 2008. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and ecosystem processes. ICES Journal of Marine Science 65:414–432.
- Fabry, V. J., J. B. McClintock, J. T. Mathis and J. M. Grebmeier. 2009. Ocean acidification at high latitudes: The bellwether. Oceanography 22(4):160–171.
- Félix, F., C. Castro, J. L. Laake, B. Haase and M. Scheidat. 2011. Abundance and survival estimates of the southeastern Pacific humpback whale stock from 1991–2006 photoidentification surveys in Ecuador. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 3:301–307.
- Findlay, K., M. Meyer, S. Elwen, *et al.* 2011. Line transect estimates of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, off the east coast of Mozambique, 2003. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 3:163–174.
- Fleming, A., and J. A. Jackson. 2011. Global review of humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-SWFSC-474. 209 pp.
- Forcada, J., A. Aguilar, P. Hammond, X. Pastor and R. Aguilar. 1996. Distribution and abundance of fin whales (*Baleanoptera physalus*) in the western Mediterranean Sea during the summer. Journal of Zoology (London) 238:23–34.
- Fordyce, R. E., and F. G. Marx. 2012. The pygmy right whale *Caperea marginata*: The last of the cetotheres. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 280:20122645.
- Forney, K. A. 2007. Preliminary estimates of cetacean abundance along the U.S. west coast and within four National Marine Sanctuaries during 2005. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-406. 27 pp.
- Frank, S. D., and A. N. Ferris. 2011. Analysis and localization of blue whale vocalizations in the Solomon Sea using waveform amplification data. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 130(2):731–736.
- Fraser, W. R., and E. E. Hofmann. 2003. A predator's perspective on causal links between climate change, physical forcing and ecosystem response. Marine Ecology Progress Series 265:1–15.
- Frasier, T. R., S. M. Koroscil, B. N. White and J. D. Darling. 2011. Assessment of population substructure in relation to summer feeding ground use in the eastern North Pacific gray whale. Endangered Species Research 14:39–48.

- Gailey, G., B. Würsig and T. L. McDonald. 2007. Abundance, behavior, and movement patterns of western gray whales in relation to a 3-D seismic survey, Northeast Sakhalin Island, Russia. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 134:75–91.
- Galletti Vernazzani, B., C. A. Carlson, E. Cabrera and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2012. Chilean blue whales off Isla Grande de Chiloe, 2004–2010: Distribution, site-fidelity and behavior. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 12:353–360.
- Galletti Vernazzani, B., E. Cabrera, and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2014. Eastern South Pacific southern right whale photo-identification catalog reveals behavior and habitat use patterns. Marine Mammal Science 30:389–398.
- Gard, R. 1974. Aerial census of gray whales in Baja California lagoons, 1970 and 1973, with notes on behavior, mortality and conservation. California Fish and Game 60:132–143.
- Gattuso, J.–P., A. Magnan and R. Billé, *et al.* 2015. Contrasting futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO₂ emissions scenarios. Science 349(6243):aac4722.
- Gauthier, J. M., C. D. Metcalfe and R. Sears. 1997. Chlorinated organic contaminants in blubber biopsies from Northwestern Atlantic balaenopterid whales summering in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Marine Environmental Research 44(2):201–223.
- Gedamke, J., N. Gales and S. Frydman. 2011. Assessing risk of baleen whale hearing loss from seismic surveys: The effect of uncertainty and individual variation. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:496–506.
- George, J. C., C. Nicolson, S. Drobot and J. Maslanik. 2005. Progress report: Sea ice density and bowhead whale body condition. Paper SC/57/E13 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Geraci, J. R., and D. J. St. Aubin, eds. 1988. Synthesis of effects of oil on marine mammals. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service, Atlantic OCS Region, Contract No. 14-12-0001-30293, OCS Study MMS 88-0049. 142 pp.
- Geraci, J. R., M. Donald, R. J. Anderson, *et al.* 1989. Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) fatally poisoned by dinoflagellate toxin. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 45:1895–1898.
- Gill, P. C., M. G. Morrice, B. Page, R. Pirzl, A. H. Levings and M. Coyne. 2011. Blue whale habitat selection and within-season distribution in a regional upwelling system off southern Australia. Marine Ecology Progress Series 421:243–263.
- Gilpatrick, J. W., Jr., and W. L. Perryman. 2008. Geographic variation in external morphology of North Pacific and Southern Hemisphere blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 10:9–21.
- Givens, G. H., S. L. Edmondson and J. C. George, *et al.* 2013. Estimate of 2011 Abundance of the Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Seas bowhead whale population. Paper SC/65a/BRG01 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Goto, M., I. Nagatome and H. Shimada. 1995. Cruise report of the cetacean sighting survey in waters off the Solomon Islands in 1994. Paper SC/47/SH12 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Gulland, F. M. D., and A. J. Hall. 2007. Is marine mammal health deteriorating? Trends in the global reporting of marine mammal disease. EcoHealth 4:135–150.
- Gulland, F. M. D., H. Perez-Cortes M., J. Urban R., et al. 2005. Eastern north Pacific gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) unusual mortality event, 1999–2000. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-150. 34 pp.
- Hamilton, P. K., and M. K. Marx. 2005. Skin lesions on North Atlantic right whales, categories, prevalence and change in occurrence in the 1990's. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 68:71–82.
- Hamilton, P. K., A. R. Knowlton and M. K. Marx. 2007. Right whales tell their own story: The photoidentification catalogue. Pages 77–104 in S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds.

- The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Hamilton, P. K., R. D. Kenney and T. V. N. Cole. 2009. Right whale sightings in unusual places. Right Whale News 17(1):9–10.
- Hatch, L. T., C. W. Clark, S. M. Van Parijs, A. S. Frankel and D. W. Ponirakis. 2012. Quantifying loss of acoustic communication space for right whales in and around a U.S. National marine sanctuary. Conservation Biology 26:983–994.
- Hedley, S. L., R. A. Dunlop and J. L. Bannister. 2011. Evaluation of WA Humpback surveys 1999, 2005, 2008: Where to from here? Report to the Australian Marine Mammal Centre. Project 2009/23. 28 pp.
- Heide-Jørgensen, M. P., K. L. Laidre, L. T. Quakenbush and J. J. Citta. 2011. The Northwest Passage opens for bowhead whales. Biological Letters 8:270–273.
- Hildebrand, J. 2009. Anthropogenic and natural sources of ambient noise in the ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series 395:5–20.
- Hooker, S. K., A. Fahlman, M. J. Moore, *et al.* 2012. Deadly diving? Physiological and behavioural management of decompression stress in diving mammals. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 279:1041–1050.
- Horwood, J. 1987. The sei whale: Population biology, ecology, and management. Croom Helm, London, U.K..
- Ichihara, T. 1966. The pygmy blue whale, *Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*, a new subspecies from the Antarctic. Pages 79–111 *in* K. S. Norris, ed. Whales, dolphins and porpoises. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.
- International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN). 2012. IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Version 3.1. Second edition. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- International Whaling Commission. 1996. Report of the Scientific Committee. Report of the International Whaling Commission. 46:49–236.
- International Whaling Commission. 1997. Report of the subcommittee on North Pacific Bryde's whales. Report of the International Whaling Commission 47:163–168.
- International Whaling Commission. 2001. Report of the workshop on the comprehensive assessment of right whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 2:1–60.
- International Whaling Commission. 2002. Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex H. Report of the sub-committee on the comprehensive assessment of North Atlantic humpback whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 4:230–260.
- International Whaling Commission. 2009. Report of the Scientific Committee. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 11:1–74.
- International Whaling Commission. 2010. Report of the workshop on cetaceans and climate change, 21–25 February 2009, Siena, Italy. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 11:451–480.
- International Whaling Commission. 2011. Report of the southern right whale die-off workshop. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 12:367–308
- International Whaling Commission. 2012a. Annual Report of the International Whaling Commission 2012. International Whaling Commission, Cambridge, U.K..
- International Whaling Commission. 2012b. Report of the Scientific Committee. Annex J: Report of the Working Group on Estimation of bycatch and other human-induced mortality. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 13:221–227.
- International Whaling Commission. 2013a. Report of the workshop on the assessment of southern right whales. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 14:439–462.
- International Whaling Commission. 2013b. Report of the Scientific Committee. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement)14:1–86.

- International Whaling Commission. 2013c. Annual Report of the International Whaling Commission 2012. International Whaling Commission, Cambridge, U.K..
- International Whaling Commission. 2014. Report of the Scientific Committee. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 15:1–86.
- International Whaling Commission. 2015. Report of the Scientific Committee. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 16:1–87.
- International Whaling Commission. In press. Report of the Scientific Committee. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Supplement) 17.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., and P. J. Clapham. 2010. Bowhead whales *Balaena mysticetus* in the Okhotsk Sea. Mammal Review 40:65–89.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., and P. J. Clapham. 2012. Soviet catches of right whales *Eubalaena japonica* and bowhead whales *Balaena mysticetus* in the North Pacific Ocean and the Okhotsk Sea. Endangered Species Research 18:201–217.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., and P. J. Clapham. 2014. Too much is never enough: The cautionary tale of Soviet illegal whaling. Marine Fisheries Review 76:1–21.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., P. J. Clapman and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2011. Soviet illegal whaling: The Devil and the details. Marine Fisheries Review 73:1–19.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., R. L. Brownell, Jr., and P. J. Clapham. 2013. Soviet catches of whales in the North Pacific: Revised totals. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 13:59– 71.
- Ivashchenko, Y. V., R. L. Brownell, Jr. and P. J. Clapham. 2014. Distribution of Soviet catches of sperm whales, *Physeter macrocephalus*, in the North Pacific. Endangered Species Research 25:249–263.
- Jackson, J., A. Zerbini, P. Clapham, C. Garrigue, N. Hauser, M. Poole and C. S. Baker. 2006. A Bayesian assessment of humpback whales on breeding grounds of eastern Australia and Oceania (IWC Stocks, E1, E2, E3 and F). Paper SC/A06/HW52 submitted to the IWC Comprehensive Assessment of Southern Hemisphere Humpback whales 2006, Hobart, Australia. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Jacobsen, K.-O., M. Marx and N. Øien. 2004. Two-way trans-Atlantic migration of a North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Marine Mammal Science 20:161–166.
- Jenner, C., M. Jenner and C. Burton, et al. 2008. Mark recapture analysis of Pygmy blue whales from the Perth Canyon, Western Australia 2000–2005. Paper SC/60/SH16 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Jensen, A. S., and G. K. Silber. 2003. Large whale ship strike database. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMDSOPR 37 pp.
- Johnson, A. J., S. D. Kraus, J. F. Kenney and C. A. Mayo. 2007. The entangled lives of right whales and fishermen: Can they co-exist? Pages 380–408 in S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Jonsgård, Å. 1977. Tables showing the catch of small whales (including minke whales) caught by Norwegians in the period 1938–75, and large whales caught in different North Atlantic waters in the period 1868–1975. Report of the International Whaling Commission 27:413–426.
- Josephson, E., T. D. Smith and R. R. Reeves. 2008. Historical distribution of right whales in the North Pacific. Fish and Fisheries 9:155–168.
- Kato, H., and Y. Fujise 2000. Dwarf minke whales: Morphology, growth and life history with some analyses on morphology variation among the different forms and regions. Paper SC/52/OS03 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Kato, H., and W. F. Perrin. 2009. Bryde's whales Balaenoptera edeni and B. brydei. Pages 158–163 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

- Kemper, C. M. 2002. Distribution of the pygmy right whale, *Caperea marginata*, in the Australasian region. Marine Mammal Science 18:99–111.
- Kemper, C. M. 2009. Pygmy right whale Caperea marginata. Pages 939–941 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Kerosky, S. M., A. Širović, L. K. Roche, S. Baumann-Pickering, S. M. Wiggins and J. A. Hildebrand. 2012. Bryde's whale seasonal range expansion and increasing presence in the Southern California Bight from 2000 to 2010. Deep-Sea Research I 65:125–132.
- Kershaw, F., M. S. Leslie, T. Collins, *et al.* 2013. Population differentiation of 2 forms of Bryde's whales in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Journal of Heredity 104:755–764.
- Kim, H.W., K. J. Park, H. Sohn, Y. Rock An and D.-H. An. 2015. Entanglement of North Pacific right whale (*Eubalaena japonica*) off Korean waters. SC/66a/HIM/15 submitted to the International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- King, S. L., R. S. Schick, C. Donovan, C. G. Booth, M. Burgman, L. Thomas and J. Harwood. 2015. An interim framework for assessing the population consequences of disturbance. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12411.
- Knowlton, A. R., and M. W. Brown. 2007. Running the gauntlet: Right whales and vessel strikes. Pages 409–435 *in* S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Knowlton, A. R., P. K. Hamilton, M. K. Marx, H. M. Pettis and S. D. Kraus. 2012. Monitoring North Atlantic right whale *Eubalaena glacialis* entanglement rates: A 30 yr retrospective. Marine Ecology Progress Series 466:293–302.
- Kovacs, K. M., C. Lydersen, J. E. Overland and S. E. Moore. 2011a. Impacts of changing seaice conditions on Arctic marine mammals. Marine Biodiversity 41:181–194.
- Kovacs, K. M., A. Aguilar, D. Aurioles, et al. 2011b. Global threats to pinnipeds. Marine Mammal Science 28:414–436.
- Kraus, S. D., M. W. Brown, H. Caswell, et al. 2005. North Atlantic right whales in crisis. Science 309:561–562.
- Kraus, S. D., and R. M. Rolland. 2007. The urban whale syndrome. Pages 488–513 in S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Laake, J., A. Punt, R. Hobbs, M. Ferguson, D. Rugh and J. Breiwick. 2009. Re-analysis of gray whale southbound migration surveys 1967–2006. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-203. 55 pp.
- Laist, D. W., A. R. Knowlton, J. G. Mead, A. S. Collet and M. Podesta. 2001. Collisions between ships and whales. Marine Mammal Science 17:35–75.
- Laist, D. W., A. R. Knowlton and D. Pendleton. 2014. Effectiveness of mandatory vessel speed limits for protecting North Atlantic right whales. Endangered Species Research 23:133–147.
- Lang, A. R., B. L. Taylor, J. C. Calambokidis, et al. 2011. Assessment of stock structure among gray whales utilizing feeding grounds in the Eastern North Pacific. Paper SC/ M11/AWMP4 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Learmonth, J. A., C. D. MacLeod, M. B. Santos, G. J. Pierce, H. Q. P. Crick and R. A. Robinson. 2006. Potential effects of climate change on marine mammals. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 44:431–464.
- Leduc, R. G., and A. E. Dizon. 2002. Reconstructing the rorqual phylogeny: With comments on the use molecular and morphological data for systematic study. Pages 100–110 in C. J. Pfeiffer, ed. Molecular and cell biology of marine mammals. Krieger Publishing Company, Malabar, FL.
- LeDuc, R. G., A. E. Dizon, M. Goto, *et al.* 2007. Patterns of genetic variation in Southern Hemisphere blue whales and the use of assignment test to detect mixing on the feeding grounds. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 9:73–80.

- LeDuc, R. G., B. L. Taylor, K. K. Martien, et al. 2012. Genetic analysis of right whales in the eastern North Pacific confirms severe extirpation risk. Endangered Species Research 18:163–167.
- Mackintosh, N. A. 1942. The southern stocks of whalebone whales. Discovery Reports 22:197–300.
- Mackintosh, N. A., and J. F. G. Wheeler. 1929. Southern blue and fin whales. Discovery Reports 1:257–540.
- MacLeod, C. D. 2009. Global climate change, range changes and potential implications for the conservation of marine cetaceans: A review and synthesis. Endangered Species Research 7:125–136.
- MacMillan, D. C., and J. Han. 2011. Cetacean by-catch in the Korean peninsula—by chance or by design? Human Ecology 39:757–768.
- Marine Mammal Commission. 2006. Annual Report to Congress. pp. 54–66.
- Marine Mammal Commission. 2010. Annual Report to Congress. pp. 85–95.
- Marine Mammal Commission. 2014. Annual Report to Congress 2012. Available at http://www.mmc.gov/reports/annual/annualreport_2012.shtml.
- Martineau, D., K. Lemberger, A. Dallaire, P. Labelle, P. Lipscomb, P. Michel and I. Mikaelian. 2002. Cancer in wildlife, a case study: Beluga from the St. Lawrence estuary, Quebec, Canada. Journal of Comparative Pathology 98:287–311.
- Mate, B., A. Bradford, G. Tsidulko, V. Veryankin and V. Ilyashenko. 2011. Late-feeding season movements of a western North Pacific gray whale off Sakhalin Island, Russia, and subsequent migration into the eastern North Pacific. Paper SC/63/BRG23 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Mathis, J. T., S. R. Cooley and N. Lucey, *et al.* 2015. Ocean acidification risk assessment for Alaska's fishery sector. Progress in Oceanography. Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2014.07.001.
- Matsuoka, K., T. Hakamada and T. Miyashita. 2014. Recent sightings of the North Pacific right (*Eubalaena japonica*) whales in the western North Pacific based on JARPN and JARPN II surveys (1994 to 2013). Paper SC/65b/BRG11 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- McCauley, R. D., and C. Jenner. 2010. Migratory patterns and estimated population size of pygmy blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus brevicauda*) traversing the Western Australian coast based on passive acoustics. Paper SC/62/SH26 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- McCauley, R. D., J. Fewtrell and A. J. Duncan, *et al.* 2000. Marine seismic surveys: Analysis and propagation of air-gun signals; and effects of air-gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. Australian Petroleum Production Exploration Association, Project Cmst 163, Report R99-15. 20 pp.
- McKenna, M. F., S. L. Katz, C. Condit and S. Walbridge. 2012a. Response of commercial ships to a voluntary speed reduction measure: Are voluntary strategies adequate for mitigating ship-strike risk? Coastal Management 40:634–650.
- McKenna, M. F., S. L. Katz, S. M. Wiggins, S. M., D. Ross and J. A. Hildebrand. 2012b. A quieting ocean: Unintended consequences of a fluctuating economy. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Express Letters 132:169–175.
- Mead, J. G., and E. D. Mitchell. 1984. Atlantic gray whales. Pages 33–53 in M. L. Jones, S. L. Swartz and S. Leatherwood, eds. The gray whale Eschrictius robustus. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Meÿer, M. A., P. B. Best, M. D. Anderson-Reade, G. Cliff, S. F. J. Dudley and S. P. Kirkman. 2011. Trends and interventions in large whale entanglement along the South African coast. African Journal of Marine Science 33:429–439.

- Mikhalev, Y. A. 1996. Pygmy blue whales of the northern-western Indian Ocean. Paper SC/48/SH30 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Mikhalev, Y. A. 1997. Humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* in the Arabian Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 149:13–21.
- Mikhalev, Y. A. 2000. Whaling in the Arabian Sea by the whaling fleets Slava and Sovetskaya Ukraina. Pages 141–181 in A. V. Yablokov and V. A. Zemsky, eds. Soviet whaling data (1949–1979). Center for Russian Environmental Policy, Marine Mammal Council, Moscow, Russia.
- Miller, B. S., K. Collins, J. Barlow, et al. 2014. Blue whale vocalizations recorded around New Zealand: 1964–2013. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 135:1616– 1623
- Minton, G., T. Collins, C. Pomilla, K. P. Findlay, H. Rosenbaum, R. Baldwin and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2008. Megaptera novaeangliae (Arabian Sea subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Minton, G., T. Collins, K. P. Findlay, P. J. Ersts, H. C. Rosenbaum, P. Berggren and R. M. Baldwin. 2011. Seasonal distribution, abundance, habitat use and population identity of humpback whales in Oman. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 3:185–198.
- Miyashita, T. and H. Kato. 1998. Recent data on the status of right whales in the NW Pacific Ocean. Working paper submitted to the IWC workshop on the Comprehensive Assessment of Right Whales, 16–25, March 1998, Cape Town, South Africa. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Miyashita, T. and H. Okamura. 2011. Abundance estimates of common minke whales using the Japanese dedicated sighting survey data for RMP Implementation and CLA Sea of Japan and Sea of Okhotsk. Paper SC/63/RMP11 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Monnahan, C. C., T. A. Branch, K. M. Stafford, Y. V. Ivashchenko and E. M. Oleson. 2014a. Estimating historical eastern North Pacific blue whale catches using spatial calling patterns. PLOS ONE 9(6):e98974.
- Monnahan, C. C., T. A. Branch and A. E. Punt. 2014b. Do ship strikes threaten the recovery of endangered eastern North Pacific blue whales? Marine Mammal Science 31:279–297.
- Moore, S. 2009. Climate Change. Pages 238–241 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Moore, J. E. and J. Barlow. 2011. Bayesian state-space model of fin whale abundance trends from a 1991–2008 time series of line-transect surveys in the California Current. Journal of Applied Ecology 48:1195–1205.
- Moore, J. E., and J. P. Barlow. 2013. Declining abundance of beaked whales (Family Ziphiidae) in the California Current large marine ecosystem. PLOS ONE 8(1):e52770.
- Moore, S. E., and F. M. D. Gulland. 2014. Linking marine mammal and ocean health in the 'new normal' Arctic. Ocean & Coastal Management 102:55–57.
- Moore, S. E., and H. P. Huntington. 2008. Arctic marine mammals and climate change: Impacts and resilience. Ecological Applications 18(2) Supplement:S157–S165.
- Moore, S. E., J. Urban R., W. L. Perryman, *et al.* 2001. Are gray whales hitting "K" hard? Marine Mammal Science 17:954–958.
- Moore, S. E., J. M. Waite, N. A. Friday and T. Honkalehto. 2002. Distribution and comparative estimates of cetacean abundance on the central and south-eastern Bering Sea shelf with observations on bathymetric and prey associations. Progress in Oceanography 55:249–262.

- Moore, S. E., J. M. Grebmeier and J. R. Davies. 2003. Gray whale distribution relative to forage habitat in the northern Bering Sea: Current conditions and retrospective summary. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81:734–742.
- Moore, M., W. A. McLellan, P.-Y. Daoust, R. K. Bonde and A. Knowlton. 2007. Right whale mortality: A message from the dead to the living. Pages 358–379 in S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Moore, S. E., R. R. Reeves, B. L. Southall, T. J. Ragen, R. S. Suydam and C. W. Clark. 2012a. A new framework for assessing the effects of anthropogenic sound on marine mammals in a rapidly changing Arctic. BioScience 62:289–295.
- Moore, S. E., K. M. Stafford, H. Melling, *et al.* 2012*b*. Comparing marine mammal acoustic habitats in Atlantic and Pacific sectors of the High Arctic: Year-long records from Fram Strait and the Chukchi Plateau. Polar Biology 35:475–480.
- Moura, J. F., D. P. Rodrigues and E. M. Roges, *et al.* 2012. Humpback whales washed ashore on the coast of Rio De Janeiro, southeastern Brazil: Stranding patterns and microbial pathogens survey. Paper SC/64/SH17 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- National Research Council. 2005. Marine mammal populations and ocean noise: Determining When noise causes biologically significant effects. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC 142 pp.
- Neilson, J. L., C. M. Gabriele, A. S. Jensen, K. Jackson and J. M. Straley. 2012. Summary of reported whale-vessel collisions in Alaskan waters. Journal of Marine Biology Volume 2012, Article ID 106282.
- Nishiwaki, S., H. Ishikawa and Y. Fujise. 2005. Review of the general methodology and survey procedure under JARPA. Paper JA/J05/JR2 presented at the pre-JARPA review meeting, Tokyo, January 2005. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Noad, M., R. A. Dunlop, D. Paton and H. Kniest. 2011. Abundance estimates of the east Australian humpback whale population: 2010 survey and update. Paper SC/63/SH22 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Norris, K., and R. R. Reeves. 1978. Report on a workshop on problems related to humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) in Hawaii. Prepared for the Marine Mammal Commission, April 1978. Available from the Marine Mammal Commission, Bethesda, MD
- Nowacek, D. P., L. H. Thorne, D. W. Johnston and P. L. Tyack. 2007. Responses of cetaceans to anthropogenic noise. Mammal Review 37:81–115.
- Nowacek, D. P., B. L. Southall, K. Broker, *et al.* 2013. Responsible Practices for minimizing and monitoring environmental impacts of marine seismic surveys with an emphasis on marine mammals. Aquatic Mammals 39:356–377.
- Ohsumi, S. 1980. Population study of the Bryde's whale in the Southern Hemisphere under scientific permit in the three seasons, 1976–77–1978–79. Report of the International Whaling Commission 30:319–331.
- Ohsumi, S., and H. Shigemune. 1993. A sightings survey of larger whales in lower latitudinal waters of the Pacific, with special reference to the blue whale. Document Blue/WP6 presented to the ad hoc Steering Group Intersessional meeting on research related to conservation of large baleen whales—blue whales—in the Southern Ocean, Tokyo, October 1993. 8 pp.
- Ohsumi, S., and S. Wada. 1972. Stock assessment of blue whales in the North Pacific. Unpublished working paper for the 24th meeting of the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K. 20 pp.

- Olsen, O. 1913. On the external characters and biology of Bryde's whales (*Balaenoptera brydei*), a new rorqual from the coast of South Africa. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London 1913:1073–1090.
- Olson, P. A., P. Ensor and C. Olavarria, et al. In press. New Zealand blue whales: Residency, morphology, and feeding behavior of a little-known population. Pacific Science.
- Omura, H. 1977. Review of the occurrence of the Bryde's whale in the northwest Pacific. Report of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue 1):88–91.
- Orr, J. C., V. J. Fabry, O. Aumont, *et al.* 2005. Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impacts on calcifying organisms. Nature 437:481–486.
- O'Shea, T. J. and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 1994. Organochlorine and metal contaminants in baleen whales: A review and evaluation of conservation implications. Science of the Total Environment 154:179–200.
- Ovsyanikova, E., I. Fedutin, O. Belonovich, et al. 2015. Opportunistic sightings of the endangered North Pacific right whales (Eubalaena japonica) in Russian waters in 2003–2014). Marine Mammal Science. doi:10.1111/mms.12243.
- Panigada, S. and G. Notarbartolo di Sciara. 2012. Balaenoptera physalus (Mediterranean subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Pastene, L. A., Y. Fujise and K. Numachi. 1994. Differentiation of mitochondrial DNA between ordinary and dwarf forms of southern minke whale. Report of the International Whaling Commission 44:277–282.
- Pastene, L. A., H. Hatanaka and Y. Fujise, *et al.* 2009. The Japanese Whale Research Program under Special Permit in the western North Pacific Phase-II (JARPN II): Origin, objectives and research progress made in the period 2002–2007, including scientific considerations for the next research period. Paper SC/J09/JR1 presented to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K. 73 pp.
- Perryman, W. L., M. A. Donahue, P. C. Perkins and S. B. Reilly. 2002. Gray whale calf production 1994–2000: Are observed fluctuations related to changes in seasonal ice cover? Marine Mammal Science 18:121–144.
- Pettis, H. 2013. North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium 2013 annual report card. Report to the North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium, November 2013.
- Pike, D. G., G. A. Víkingsson, T. Gunnlaugsson and N. Øien. 2009. A note on the distribution and abundance of blue whales (*Balaenoptera musculus*) in the central and northeast North Atlantic. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 7:19–29.
- Pomilla, C., M. J. Moore, J. J. Stegeman and H. C. Rosenbaum. 2004. Investigating risk of exposure to aromatic hydrocarbons among the humpback whale population wintering off the coast of Gabon: Approach and preliminary data based on Cytochrome P450 1A1 expression. Paper SC/56/E12 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Pomilla, C., A. R. Amaral, T. Collins, *et al.* 2014. The world's most isolated and distinct whale population? Humpback whales of the Arabian Sea. PLOS ONE 9(12):e114162.
- Punt, A. E., and P. R. Wade. 2010. Population status of the eastern North Pacific stock of gray whales in 2009. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-AFSC-207. 43 pp.
- Ramp, C., M. Bérubé, W. Hagen and R. Sears. 2006. Survival of adult blue whales Balaenoptera musculus in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada. Marine Ecological Progress Report 319:287–295.
- Read, A. J., P. Drinker and S. Northridge. 2006. Bycatch of marine mammals in U.S. and global fisheries. Conservation Biology 20:163–169.
- Redfern, J. V., M. F. Mckenna, T. J. Moore, et al. 2013. Assessing the risk of ships striking large whales in marine spatial planning. Conservation Biology 27:292–302.

- Reeves, R. R. 1977. The problem of gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) harassment: At the breeding lagoons and during migration. Marine Mammal Commission Contract. MM6AC021, final report. Reproduced by NTIS, PB 272 506. 60 pp.
- Reeves, R. R. 2002. The origins and character of 'aboriginal subsistence' whaling: A global review. Mammal Review 32(2):71–106.
- Reeves, R. R., P. J. Clapham and S. E. Wetmore. 2002. Humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) occurrence near the Cape Verde Islands, based on American 19th century whaling records. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 4:235–253.
- Reeves, R. R., R. L. Brownell, A. Burdin, *et al.* 2005. Report of the Independent Scientific Review Panel on the impacts of Sakhalin II Phase 2 on western North Pacific gray whales and related biodiversity. IUCN—The World Conservation Union, Gland, Switzerland. iv + 123 pp.
- Reeves, R. R., A. J. Read, L. Lowry, S. K. Katona and D. J. Boness. 2007. Report of the North Atlantic Right Whale Program Review: 13–17 March 2006, Woods Hole, MA. Report prepared for the Marine Mammal Commission. 67 pp.
- Reeves, R., C. Rosa, J. C. George, G. Sheffield and M. Moore. 2012. Implications of Arctic industrial growth and strategies to mitigate future vessel and fishing gear impacts on bowhead whales. Marine Policy 36:454–462.
- Reeves, R. R., K. McClellan and T. B. Werner. 2013. Marine mammal bycatch in gillnet and other entangling net fisheries, 1990 to 2011. Endangered Species Research 20:71–97.
- Reeves, R. R., P. J. Ewins, S. Agbayani, *et al.* 2014. Distribution of endemic cetaceans in relation to hydrocarbon development and commercial shipping in a warming Arctic. Marine Policy 44:375–389.
- Reid, K., and J. P. Croxall. 2001. Environmental response of upper trophic-level predators reveals a system change in an Antarctic marine ecosystem. Proceedings of The Royal Society B 268:377–384.
- Reijnders, P. J. H., A. Aguilar and A. Borrell. 2009. Pollution and marine mammals. Pages 890–898 *in* W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008a. Balaenoptera musculus. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008b. Balaenoptera musculus ssp. intermedia. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008c. Balaenoptera physalus. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008d. Balaenoptera borealis. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008e. Balaenoptera edeni. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008f. Balaenoptera omurai. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008g. Balaenoptera acutorostrata. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008b. Balaenoptera bonaerensis. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.

- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008i. Megaptera novaeangliae. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008j. Eubalaena glacialis. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008k. Eubalaena japonica. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008l. Eubalaena japonica (Northeast Pacific subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, *et al.* 2008m. *Eubalaena australis*. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, *et al.* 2008n. *Eubalaena australis* (Chile-Peru subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008o. Caperea marginata. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008p. Eschrichtius robustus. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2008q. Eschrichtius robustus (western subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2012a. Balaena mysticetus. IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 24 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, *et al.* 2012*b. Balaena mysticetus* (Okhotsk Sea subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Reilly, S. B., J. L. Bannister and P. B. Best, et al. 2012c. Balaena mysticetus (Svalbard-Barents Sea (Spitsbergen) subpopulation). IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012.2. Available at http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 26 June 2013.
- Rice, D. W. 1998. Marine mammals of the world: Systematics and distribution. Special Publication Number 4, Society for Marine Mammalogy.
- Richardson, W. J., C. R. Greene, Jr., C. I. Malme and D. H. Thomson. 1995. Marine mammals and noise. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Risch, D., P. J. Corkeron, W. T. Ellison and S. M. Van Parijs. 2012. Changes in humpback whale song occurrence in response to an acoustic source 200 km away. PLOS ONE 7(1): e29741.
- Robbins, J. 2008. Scar-Based inference into Gulf of Maine humpback whale entanglement: 2007. Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service. Order Number EA133F07SE2932.
- Robbins, J. 2009. Scar-Based inference into Gulf of Maine humpback whale entanglement: 2003–2006. Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service. Order Number EA133F04SE0998.
- Robbins, J., J. Barlow and A. M. Burdin, *et al.* 2007. Comparison of humpback whale entanglement across the North Pacific Ocean based on scar evidence. Paper SC/59/BC submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee. Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.

- Rocha, R. C., P. J. Clapham and Y. V. Ivashchenko. 2014. Emptying the oceans: A summary of industrial whaling catches in the 20 century. Marine Fisheries Review 76(4):37–48.
- Rolland, R. M., P. K. Hamilton, M. K. Marx, H. M. Pettis, C. M. Angell and M. J. Moore. 2007. External perspectives on right whale health. Pages 273–309 in S. D. Kraus and R. M. Rolland, eds. The urban whale: North Atlantic right whales at the crossroads. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Rolland, R. M., S. E. Parks, K. E. Hunt, *et al.* 2012. Evidence that ship noise increases stress in right whales. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 279:2363–2368.
- Rosel, P. E., and L. A. Wilcox. 2014. Genetic evidence reveals a unique lineage of Bryde's whales in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Endangered Species Research 25:19–34.
- Rosenbaum, H. C., C. Pomilla, M. C. Mendez, *et al.* 2009. Population structure of humpback whales from their breeding grounds in the South Atlantic and Indian Oceans. PLOS ONE 4(10):e7318.
- Rosenbaum, H. C., S. M. Maxwell, F. Kershaw and B. Mate. 2014. Long-Range movement of humpback whales and their overlap with anthropogenic activity in the South Atlantic Ocean. Conservation Biology 24:604–615.
- Rowntree, V. J., M. M. Uhart, M. Sironi, et al. 2013. High mortalities of southern right whale calves (*Eubalaena australis*) on their nursery ground at Península Valdés, Argentina. Marine Ecology Progress Series 493:275–289.
- Ryan, C., D. Craig, P. López Suárez, J. V. Perez, I. O'Connor, and S. D. Berrow. 2013. Breeding habitat of poorly studied humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* in Boa Vista, Cape Verde. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 13:175–180.
- Ryan, C., F. W. Wenzel, P. López Suárez and S. D. Berrow. 2014. An abundance estimate for humpback whales *Megaptera novaeangliae* breeding around Boa Vista, Cape Verde Islands. Zoologia Caboverdiana 5(1):20–28.
- Sasaki, T., M. Nikaido, S. Wada, T. K. Yamada, Y. Cao, M. Hasegawa and N. Okada. 2006. *Balaenoptera omurai* is a newly discovered baleen whale that represents an ancient evolutionary lineage. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 41:40–52.
- Scarff, J. E. 2001. Preliminary estimates of whaling-induced mortality in the 19th century North Pacific right whale (*Eubalaena japonicus*) fishery, adjusting for struck-but-lost whales and non-American whaling. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue) 2:261–268.
- Scheinin, A. P., D. Kerem, C. D. Macleod, M. Gazo, C. A. Chicote, and M. Castellote. 2011. Gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) in the Mediterranean Sea: Anomalous event or early sign of climate-driven distribution change? Marine Biodiversity Records 4:e28.
- Schick, R. S., S. D. Kraus, R. M. Rolland, et al. 2013. Using hierarchical bayes to understand movement, health, and survival in the endangered North Atlantic right whale. PLOS ONE 8(6):e64166.
- Schipper, J., J. S. Chanson, F. Chiozza, *et al.* 2008. The status of the world's land and marine mammals: Diversity, threat, and knowledge. Science 322:225–230.
- Sekiguchi, K., H. Onishi, H. Sasaki, *et al.* 2014. Sightings of the western stock of North Pacific right whales (*Eubalaena japonica*) in the far southeast of the Kamchatka Peninsula. Marine Mammal Science 30:1199–1209.
- Shimada, H., and L. Pastene. 1995. Report of a sighting survey off the Solomon Islands with comments on Bryde's whale distribution. Report of the International Whaling Commission 45:413–418.
- Silva, M. A., L. Steiner, I. Cascao, *et al.* 2012. Winter sighting of a known western North Atlantic right whale in the Azores. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 12:65–69.
- Simmonds, M. P., and W. J. Eliott. 2009. Climate change and cetaceans: Concerns and recent developments. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 89:203–210.
- Simmonds, M. P., and S. J. Isaac. 2007. Review: The impacts of climate change on marine mammals: Early signs of significant problems. Oryx 41:19–26.

- Song, K. J., Z. G. Kim, C. I. Zhang and Y. H. Kim. 2010. Fishing gears involved in entanglements of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) in the East Sea of Korea. Marine Mammal Science 26:282–295.
- Southall, B. L., A. E. Bowles, W. T. Ellison, *et al.* 2007. Marine Mammal noise special issue: Exposure criteria: Initial scientific recommendations. Aquatic Mammals 33:411–521.
- Stafford, K. M., S. L. Nieukirk and C. G. Fox. 2001. Geographic and seasonal variation of blue whale calls in the North Pacific. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 3:65– 76.
- Stafford, K. L., E. Chapp, D. R. Bohnenstiel and M. Tolstoy. 2011. Seasonal detection of three types of "pygmy" blue whale calls in the Indian Ocean. Marine Mammal Science 27:828–840.
- Steel, D., N. Gibbs, E. Carroll, S. Childerhouse, C. Olavarría, C. S. Baker and R. Constantine. 2014. Genetic identity of humpback whales migrating past New Zealand. Paper SC/ 65b/SH07 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Stevick, P. T., J. Allen, P. J. Clapham, et al. 2003. North Atlantic humpback whale abundance and rate of increase four decades after protection from whaling. Marine Ecology Progress Series 258:263–273.
- Stevick, P. T, L. Bouveret and N. Gandilhon, *et al.* 2015. Humpback whales in the southeast Caribbean are behaviourally distinct from those off the Dominican Republic. Paper SC/66A/AWMP2 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Taylor, B. L. 2005. Identifying units to conserve. Pages 149–164 in J. E. Reynolds, W. F. Perrin, R. R. Reeves, S. Montgomery and T. J. Ragen, eds. Marine mammal research: Conservation beyond crisis. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Thomas, P. O., M. Uhart and D. McAloose, *et al.* 2013. Workshop on the Southern right whale die-off at Península Valdés, Argentina. Paper SC/65a/BRG15 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Tormosov, D. D., Y. A. Mikhaliev, P. B. Best, V. A. Zemsky, K. Sekiguchi and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 1998. Soviet catches of southern right whales *Eubalaena australis* 1951–1971. Biological data and conservation implications. Biological Conservation 88:185–197
- Torres, L. G. 2013. Evidence for an unrecognised blue whale foraging ground in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 47:235–248.
- Torres, L., P. Gill, R. Hamner and D. Glasgow. 2014. Documentation of a blue whale foraging ground in the South Taranaki Bight, New Zealand. Paper SC/65b/SH02 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Torres-Florez, J. P., P. A. Olson, L. Bedrinana-Romano, H. C. Rosenbaum, X. Ruiz, R. LeDuc and R. Hucke-Gaete. 2015. First documented migratory destination for SE Pacific blue whales. Marine Mammal Science. doi:10.1111/mms.12239.
- Tournadre, J. 2014. Anthropogenic pressure on the open ocean: The growth of ship traffic revealed by altimeter data analysis. Geophysical Research Letters 41:7924–7932.
- Trathan, P. N., A. S. Brierley, M. A. Brandon, *et al.* 2003. Oceanographic variability and changes in Antarctic krill (*Euphausia superba*) abundance at South Georgia. Fisheries Oceanography 12:569–583.
- Tyack, P. 1989. Let's have less public relations and more ecology. Oceanus 32:103–108.
- Tynan, C., and J. Russell. 2008. Assessing the impacts of future 2°C global warming on Southern Ocean cetaceans. Paper SC/60/E3 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Uhart, M., V. J. Rowntree and N. Mohamed, et al. 2008. Strandings of southern right whales (Eubalaena australis) at Península Valdés, Argentina from 2003–2007. Paper SC/60/

- BRG15 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Uhart, M.M., V. J. Rowntree and M. Sironi, et al. 2009. Continuing southern right whale mortality events at Península Valdés, Argentina. Paper SC/61/BRG18 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Valdivia, J., F. Franco and P. Ramirez. 1981. The exploitation of Bryde's whales in the Peruvian Sea. Report of the International Whaling Commission 31:441–448.
- Valsecchi, E., P. J. Corkeron, P. Galli, W. Sherwin and G. Bertorelle. 2010. Genetic evidence for sex-specific migratory behaviour in western South Pacific humpback whales. Marine Ecology Progress Series 398:275–286.
- Van Bressem, M.-F., G. Minton, T. Collins, A. Willson, R. Baldwin and K. Van Waerebeek. 2014. Tattoo-like skin disease in the endangered subpopulation of the humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in Oman (Cetacea: Balaenopteridae). Zoology in the Middle East 61(1): doi:0.1080/09397140.2014.994316.
- Venn-Watson, S., K. M. Colegrove, J. Litz, et al. 2015. Adrenal gland and lung lesions in Gulf of Mexico common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) found dead following the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill. PLOS ONE 10(5):e0126538.
- Vidal, O. 1996. Die-offs of marine mammals and sea birds in the Gulf of California, Mexico. Marine Mammal Science 12:627–635.
- Vidal, O., L. T. Findley and S. Leatherwood. 1993. Annotated checklist of the marine mammals of the Gulf of California. Proceedings of the San Diego Society of Natural History 28:1–11.
- Wada, S., M. Oishi and T. K. Yamada. 2003. A newly discovered species of living baleen whale. Nature 426:278–281.
- Wade, P. R., and R. P. Angliss. 1997. Guidelines for Assessing Marine Mammal Stocks: Report of the GAMMS Workshop April 3–5, 1996, Seattle, Washington. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-12. 93 pp.
- Wade, P. R., A. De Robertis, K. R. Hough, et al. 2011. Rare detections of North Pacific right whales in the Gulf of Alaska, with observations of their potential prey. Endangered Species Research 13:99–109.
- Wang, B., J. Liuc, H.-J. Kim, P. J. Webster, S.-Y. Yim and B. Xian. 2013. Northern Hemisphere summer monsoon intensified by mega-El Niño/southern oscillation and Atlantic multidecadal oscillation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences Early Edition 110(14):5347–5352.
- Waring, G. T., E. Josephson, K. Maze-Foley and P. E. Rosel, eds. 2011. U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Marine Mammal Stock Assessments–2011. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-221. 595 pp.
- Weisbrod, A. V., D. Shea, M. J. Moore and J. L. Stegeman. 2000. Organochlorine exposure and bioaccumulation in the endangered Northwest Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) population. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19(3):654–666
- Weller, D. W., A. M. Burdin, B. Würsig, B. L. Taylor and R. L. Brownell, Jr. 2002. The western gray whale: A review of past exploitation, current status and potential threats. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 4:7–12.
- Weller, D. W., A. L. Bradford and A. R. Lang, *et al.* 2008. Status of western gray whales off northeastern Sakhalin Island, Russia, in 2007. Paper SC/60/BRG3 submitted to the IWC Scientific Committee (unpublished). Available from the International Whaling Commission Secretariat, Cambridge, U.K.
- Weller, D. W., A. Klimek, A. L. Bradford, et al. 2013a. Movements of gray whales between the western and eastern North Pacific. Endangered Species Research 18:193–199.
- Weller, D. W., S. Bettridge and R. L. Brownell, Jr., et al. 2013b. Report of the National Marine Fisheries Service Gray Whale Stock Identification Workshop. U.S. Department

- of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-507. 62 pp.
- Williams, R., S. L. Hedley, T. A. Branch, M. V. Bravington, A. N. Zerbini and K. P. Findlay. 2011. Chilean blue whales as a case study to illustrate methods to estimate abundance and evaluate conservation status of rare species. Conservation Biology 25:526–535.
- Williams, R., N. Kelly, O. Boebel, et al. 2014. Counting whales in a challenging, changing environment. Scientific Reports 4:4170.
- Williamson, G. R. 1975. Minke whales off Brazil. Scientific Reports of the Whales Research Institute, Tokyo 27:37–59.
- Yablokov, A. V. 1994. Validity of whaling data. Nature 367:108.
- Yamada, T. K. 2009. Omura's whale Balaenoptera omurai. Pages 799–801 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig and J. G. M. Thewissen, eds. Encyclopedia of marine mammals, 2nd edition. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Zemsky, V. A., and E.G. Sazhinov. 1994. Distribution and current abundance of the pygmy blue whales. SWFSC Administrative Report No. LJ-94-02. 17 pp. [Original in Russian with English summary. Translated in 1994 as above from Pages 53–70 in V. A. Arsen'ev', ed. 1982. Marine mammals: Collected papers. VNIRO, Moscow, Russia.]
- Zerbini, A. N., J. N. Waite, J. L. Laake and P. R. Wade. 2006. Abundance, trends and distribution of baleen whales off western Alaska and the central Aleutian Islands. Deep-Sea Research 53:1772–1790.

Received: 22 January 2015 Accepted: 18 September 2015

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

The following supporting information is available for this article online at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/mms.12281/suppinfo.

Table S1. Baleen whales species, subspecies, and subpopulations: Current situation, primary and potential, or emerging threats.

Table S1. Baleen whales species, subspecies, and subpopulations: Current situation, primary and potential, or emerging threats.

| Cetacean Species/subspecies/sub- population | Red List or other classification | Current situation | Primary threats | Potential, low-level, or long- term threats |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Blue whale (Balaenoptera musculus) | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; cosmopolitan; Red List assessment suggests 2007 global population was in the range of 10,000 to 25,000 corresponding to about 3%–11% of the 1911 population size | Ship strikes in some areas, ship and other noise | Some populations inhabiting waters with high levels of human activity are at risk from entanglement and noise disturbance; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Blue whale (B. m. musculus) | SMM | HCW; combined abundance for North Pacific and North Atlantic is no more than about 5,000 | | Ship strikes and disturbance from vessel traffic, including ship and other noise; entanglement; climate change- induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Blue whale (B. m. musculus) North Atlantic stock | IWC | HCW; subsumed by SMM under <i>B. m. musculus</i> . Several localized feeding stocks or concentrations (<i>e.g.</i> , Gulf of St. Lawrence, Norwegian, Icelandic, and Shetland/Hebrides/Ireland grounds) with song common to entire basin (McDonald <i>et al.</i> 2006) | | Ship strikes and disturbance from vessel traffic, including ship and other noise (e.g., Gulf of St. Lawrence); entanglement; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Blue whale (B. m. musculus) North Pacific stock | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; subsumed by SMM under <i>B. m. musculus</i> . Up to five subpopulations suggested (i) southern Japan (which appears to have been virtually extirpated by whaling); (ii) Kamchatka; (iii) Aleutian Islands (the central stock, which may winter in deep water north of Hawaii); (iv) eastern Gulf of Alaska; and (v) California/Mexico | | Ship strikes and disturbance from vessel traffic, including ship and other noise (e.g., Port of Los Angeles); entanglement; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Antarctic blue whale (B. m. intermedia) | CR | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; 1997 population (2,280) around 1% of pre-exploitation level (239,000), but increasing; management by IWC Antarctic Management Areas recognizes the likely existence of multiple stocks although song and tagging data indicate wide mixing across these areas (Branch <i>et al.</i> 2007, , Le Duc <i>et al.</i> 2007); winter distribution poorly known | | Potential prey depletion by large-scale krill fishery; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Pygmy blue whale (<i>B. m. brevicauda</i>) | DD | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; multiple stocks centered in sub-Antarctic (e.g., East South | None known | Entanglement; ship strikes, ship and other noise; climate |

| | | Africa, Madagascar to Kerguelen, South Atlantic, Chile-Peru [see below], New Zealand, and southwest Pacific–New Caledonia and Solomon Islands [Brownell <i>et al.</i> 2015]) | | change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Northern Indian Ocean blue whale (B.m. indica) | SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century (>1,200); small distinct population mainly in the northern Indian Ocean between the Gulf of Aden and the South Asian subcontinent (Sri Lanka); breeds 6 mo out of phase with Antarctic blue whales | Ship strikes | Entanglement; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Blue whale (B. m. unnamed) Chilean | IWC | HCW; 2010 abundance estimate 900; distinct population of intermediate size and genetics between Antarctic and pygmy subspecies found off Chile and Peru; does not migrate to Antarctic | | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability vary greatly by region |
| Fin whale (Balaenoptera physalus) | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; Red List assessment model suggests global population declined from 400,000 to 100,000 over the period 1929–2007; aboriginal subsistence whaling in Greenland, annual strike limit of 16. Substantial catches by Iceland under special permit in 1986–1989 and commercial whaling under IWC reservation by Iceland (7 taken in 2007, 125 in 2010, 148 in 2011, and 134 taken in 2013). Several subspecies and subpopulations (<i>e.g.</i> , Gulf of California, East China Sea) need further conservation attention | | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Fin whale (Mediterranean subpopulation) | VU | Vulnerable listing based on total population of less than 5,000 mature individuals and declining | Ship strikes, entanglement, ship and other noise | See species level |
| Northern fin whale (B. p. physalus) | SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the North Pacific during the mid-20th century (Pacific stocks only) | See species level | See species level |
| Northern fin whale (B. p. physalus) North Atlantic stocks | IWC | HCW; subsumed by SMM under <i>B. p. physalus</i> ; abundance in central and eastern North Atlantic was about 22,000 in 2007 (IWC 2014:101). IWC recognizes 7 stocks (Nova Scotia, Newfoundland-Labrador, West Greenland, East Greenland-Iceland, North Norway, West Norway-Faroe Islands, British Isles-Spain and Portugal) [with some mixing between them]; aboriginal subsistence whaling in Greenland and substantial commercial and special permit catches by Iceland | See species level | See species level |
| Northern fin whale (B. p. physalus) North Pacific stocks | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; subsumed by SMM under <i>B. p. physalus</i> ; North Pacific stocks have not recently been assessed. IWC recognizes 2 stocks (East China Sea, North Pacific). Single North Pacific stock unlikely | See species level | See species level |
| Southern fin whale (B. p. quoyi) | SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; although most recent estimate in Red List documentation is around 15,000 for 1983, numbers are likely in the tens of thousands; still far below prewhaling abundance; management by | See species level | See species level |

| | | IWC Antarctic Management Areas recognizes the likely existence of multiple stocks | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pygmy fin whale (B. p. patachonica) | SMM | HCW in Peru and Chile; recently described; distribution uncertain but thought to be primarily in low latitudes of eastern South Pacific | See species level | See species level |
| Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) | LC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century. Global trend of increasing numbers and return to historic range, but concerns remain about subpopulations in Arabian Sea, western North Pacific, and Oceania; no global population estimate, but partial estimates total more than 110,000. Subsistence whaling in Greenland under IWC annual strike limit of 9 for 2010-2012; St. Vincent and the Grenadines 5 yr catch limit of 20 (total) for 2008-2012 | | Entanglement; ship and other noise; disturbance from oil/gas; ship strikes; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Humpback whale North Atlantic stock (M. n. novaeangliae) | IWC, SMM | HCW; 5 principal feeding aggregations (Gulf of Maine, Eastern Canada, West Greenland, Iceland, Norway); all known feeding grounds represented in West Indies breeding ground. Feeding location of animals wintering near Cape Verde Islands (northwest Africa) uncertain although photo-id match recorded with Iceland. Connection between Southeast Caribbean breeding ground and Iceland-Norway feeding ground. 1992–1993 abundance <i>ca.</i> 10,290–13,390 is old and considered an underestimate. IWC Protected Stock; Aboriginal subsistence whaling in Greenland under IWC annual strike limit of 9 for 2010–2012 and in St. Vincent and the Grenadines under 5 yr IWC take limit of 20 (total) for 2008–2012 | See species level | See species level |
| Humpback whale North Pacific stock (M. n. kuzira) | IWC, SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; IWC Protected Stock since 1966 | | See species level |
| Humpback whale North Pacific Central America | Baker et al. 2013, Fleming and Jackson, 2011 | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; abundance <i>ca.</i> 500 (Barlow <i>et al.</i> 2011) | | See species level |
| Humpback whale North Pacific Mexico | Baker et al. 2013, Fleming and Jackson, 2011 | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; abundance 6,000–7,000 (Barlow <i>et al.</i> 2011) | | See species level |
| Humpback whale North Pacific Hawaii | Baker <i>et al.</i> 2013, Fleming and Jackson, 2011 | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; abundance near 10,000 (Barlow <i>et al.</i> 2011) | | See species level |
| Humpback whale North Pacific Okinawa/Philippines | Baker et al. 2013, Fleming and Jackson, 2011 | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; combined abundance of North Pacific Okinawa/Philippines and "second west Pacific" <i>ca.</i> 1,000 (Barlow <i>et al.</i> 2011) | | See species level |

| Humpback whale | Baker et al. | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | See species level |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| North Pacific | 2013, Fleming | combined abundance of North Pacific, Okinawa/Philippines and | | |
| "second west Pacific | and Jackson, | "second west Pacific" ca. 1,000 (Barlow et al. 2011) | | |
| Discrete population | 2011 | | | |
| segment" | | | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century | | |
| humpback whale | | | | |
| (M. n. australis) | | | | |
| Humpback whale | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | Entanglement (scarring indicates | Ship strikes; ship and other |
| (Arabian Sea | | unlike most humpback whale populations, no increase has been | 30%–40% of the whales photo- | noise; disturbance from oil/gas |
| subpopulation) | | detected since illegal whaling ended in the 1960s; a 2008 | identified off Oman have been | activity, oil spills; climate |
| | | photographic mark-recapture abundance estimate in Oman was | entangled; fishing activity is | change-induced shifts in prey |
| | | 82 (95% CI = 60–111); breeds 6 mo out of phase with | increasing in the Arabian Sea, | availability |
| | | populations to the south | drift and set gill nets and traps are | |
| | | | widely used) | |
| Humpback whale | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | Entanglement; ship and other |
| (Oceania subpopulation) | | redlisted subpopulation consists of IWC breeding stocks E and F. | | noise |
| | | Basis for Endangered listing is estimated decline of >70% over | | |
| 77 1 1 1 1 | muc | last 3 generations (Jackson et al. 2006) | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | | 2005 abundance <i>ca</i> . 6,800 | | |
| Breeding Stock A – Brazil | | | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | | substock B1 (Gabon) 2005 abundance <i>ca.</i> 6,800; substock B2 | | |
| Breeding Stock B – West | | (W. South Africa) 2001 abundance ca. 300 | | |
| Africa | | | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | | substock C1 (Mozambique) 2003 abundance ca. 6,000; substock | | |
| Breeding Stock C – East | | C3 (Madagascar) 2004 abundance ca. 7,500 | | |
| Africa | | | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | | 2008 abundance <i>ca.</i> 28,800 | | |
| Breeding Stock D – | | | | |
| Western Australia | mic | HOW! I P III IG I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | | substock E1 (east Australia) 2010 abundance ca. 14,500; | | |
| Breeding Stock E – Eastern Australia | | | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid 20th continue | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; combined abundance of substocks E2 (New Caledonia), E3 | | |
| "Oceania" Breeding Stock | | (Tonga), and F (French Polynesia) abundance ca. 4,300 in 2005 | | |
| Humpback whale | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; | | |
| Southern Hemisphere | IWC | 2006 abundance <i>ca.</i> 6,500 | | |
| Southern riemisphere | | 2000 abulluance ca. 0,500 | 1 | |

| Breeding Stock G – | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ecuador Sei whale (Balaenoptera borealis) | EN | HCW; Red List assessment model suggests global numbers declined from about 135,000 to 30,000 over the period 1937–2007. Whaling by Japan in Western North Pacific under special permit (100/yr) and smaller numbers in the North Atlantic by Iceland (1986–1989) | Baleen disease in eastern North Pacific; ship strikes; loss of sea-ice; climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Northern sei whale (B. b. borealis) | SMM | See species level; HCW; multiple stocks recognized by IWC: 3 in the North Atlantic and 2 in the North Pacific | Baleen disease in eastern North Pacific, ship strikes |
| Northern sei whale (B. b. borealis) North Atlantic stocks | IWC | Subsumed by SMM under <i>B. b. borealis</i> . IWC recognizes 3 stocks (Nova Scotia, Iceland-Denmark Strait, Eastern Atlantic); whaling by Iceland under special permit in 1986–1989 | |
| Northern sei whale (B. b. borealis) North Pacific stocks | IWC | Subsumed by SMM under <i>B. b. borealis</i> . IWC recognizes 2 stocks (Eastern and Western); whaling by Japan in Western North Pacific under special permit (100/yr) | See species level |
| Southern sei whale (B. b. schlegellii) | SMM | HCW; See species level; management by IWC Antarctic Management Areas recognizes the likely existence of multiple stocks | See species level |
| Bryde's whale (Balaenoptera edeni) | DD | HCW in limited areas and whaling by Japan under special permits in various areas starting in the 1970s; some regional abundance estimates exist but global numbers and trends unknown; whaling by Japan in North Pacific under special permit (50/yr); management by IWC recognizes the likely existence of 7 southern hemisphere stocks | Ship strikes; entanglement; disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys in various parts of their range; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Bryde's whale (B. e. edeni) (smaller coastal form) | SMM | HCW off southern Japan likely included this subspecies; limited to tropical and warm temperate waters in Indian Ocean and western Pacific | See species level |
| Bryde's whale (B. e. brydei) (larger oceanic form) | SMM | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; ongoing whaling by Japan in the western North Pacific under special permit (50/yr); see species level | See species level |
| Omura's whale (Balaenoptera omurai) | DD | Historically taken in artisanal hunt in Bohol Sea (Philippines) and by Japan under special permit (for Bryde's whales) in Solomon Sea and off Java in 1976–1977; HCW off southern Japan could have included this species; this and the pygmy right whale are the least known baleen whale species; only known to occur in the tropical and warm temperate Indo-Pacific | Entanglement (entrapment in set nets in Japan); ship and other noise |
| Common minke whale (Balaenoptera acutorostrata) | LC | HCW; no estimate of global population size but aggregate numbers are ≥200,000; regulated whaling in a few areas | Entanglement; climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| North Atlantic minke whale | SMM | HCW; widespread and abundant, numbers well over 100,000. Aboriginal/subsistence catches off of East and West Greenland | Entanglement; climate change-induced shifts in prey |

| (B. a. acutorostrata) | | managed under IWC. Commercial coastal whaling by Norway | | availability vary greatly by |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | and Iceland under objection to moratorium | | region |
| North Pacific minke whale (B. a. scammoni) | SMM | HCW; no basin-wide abundance estimate but at least low tens of thousands. Catches by Japan in western North Pacific under special permits | Entanglement (substantial "entanglement" in both Japan and South Korea (100s/yr) and likely in China); illegal whaling in South Korea | Climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| North Pacific minke whale (Sea of Japan-Yellow Sea- East China Sea stock or "J- Stock") | IWC | HCW; autumn-breeding stock centered in Sea of Japan; numbers in thousands; IWC classifies as "protection stock" because of its depleted condition | Entanglement (substantial "entanglement" (100s/yr). Some of the entanglement in Japan and all entanglement in South Korea and probably China includes a significant proportion of J-stock whales) | Climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Dwarf minke whale (B. a. unnamed subsp.) | SMM | HCW; no estimate of abundance; patchy in various locations in the Southern Hemisphere range, but best known in Great Barrier Reef | | Disturbance by tourism; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Antarcticm minke whale (Balaenoptera bonaerensis) | DD | HCW (1971–1986); stock structure poorly understood, takes concentrated in certain areas (<i>e.g.</i> , IWC Antarctic Areas III, IV, V) total numbers in the hundreds of thousands. Factory ship whaling continues (since 1987/1988 under special permit issued by Japan) | | Loss of sea-ice and climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Bowhead whale (Balaena mysticetus) | LC | HCW; global population is increasing, due primarily to the increases in the relatively large Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort and Eastern Canada-West Greenland subpopulations; ongoing subsistence hunts are managed and considered sustainable | Disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; oil spills; loss of sea-ice and climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Bowhead whale (Bering-Chukchi-Beaufort Sea subpopulation) | LC | HCW; subpopulation is increasing and may be approaching precommercial whaling level (<i>ca.</i> 17,000 in 2011, increasing at 3.5%/yr); ongoing subsistence hunts in Alaska and Russia are managed and considered sustainable | Disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; oil spills; loss of sea-ice and climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Bowhead whale (Okhotsk Sea subpopulation) | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century but not hunted since then; population size thought to be in the low 100s | Disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; oil spills; loss of sea-ice and climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Bowhead whale (Svalbard-Barents Sea subpopulation) | CR | HCW; historically the largest subpopulation but few sightings | | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; oil spills; loss of sea-ice and climate |

| | | | | change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bowhead whale (Eastern Canada–West Greenland subpopulation) | NA | HCW; provisional estimate of 7,000 for part of range in early 2000s; ongoing subsistence hunts in Greenland and Canada are managed and considered sustainable | Disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys | Ship strikes; entanglement; ship and other noise; oil spills; loss of sea-ice and climate change- induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| North Atlantic right whale (Eubalaena glacialis) | EN | HCW; current population of close to 500 (all ages) off the coast of N. America, considered well below precommercial whaling level | Ongoing mortality from entanglement and ship strikes | Disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| North Atlantic right whale (Eastern North Atlantic) Stock) | IWC | HCW; functionally extinct | | |
| North Atlantic right whale (Western North Atlantic Stock) | IWC | See species level | See species level | See species level |
| North Pacific right whale (Eubalaena japonica) | EN | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; current population is far below precommercial whaling level | | Entanglement; ship strikes; disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| North Pacific right whale (Western subpopulation) | NA | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; subpopulation is far below precommercial whaling level; at least 400 in the Okhotsk Sea portion of range | | Entanglement; ship strikes; ship and other noise; reduced prey availability related to climate change |
| North Pacific right whale (Eastern subpopulation) | CR | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; the few animals observed today are often solitary and scattered, except for a small recurring aggregation in southeastern Bering Sea; current population is about 30 | | Entanglement; ship strikes; disturbance from oil/gas activity including seismic surveys; ship and other noise; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Southern right whale (Eubalaena australis) | LC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century (except for Chile-Peru subpopulation); following severe depletion by whaling, several subpopulations are increasing (IWC recognizes multiple stocks, all of which are thought be increasing except Chile-Peru/SE Pacific) | | Entanglement; ship strikes; climate change-induced shifts in krill availability |

| Southern right whale (Chile-Peru/SE Pacific subpopulation) | CR | HCW (but no Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century); paucity of sightings over past 50 yr indicates current population size (number mature) is probably <50. | Entanglement (high density of coastal artisanal and commercial fisheries); ship strikes (heavy ship traffic in some parts of range) | See species level |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Southern right whale Southwest Atlantic | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century mainly in the western South Atlantic; increasing; Calving grounds Argentina and Brazil | | See species level; significant unexplained calf mortality at Peninsula Valdés, Argentina |
| Southern right whale South Central Atlantic | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; Tristan da Cunha | | See species level |
| Southern right whale Southern Africa | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; increasing; South Africa, Namibia, Mozambique/Madagascar | | See species level |
| Southern right whale Southwest Pacific | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; Sub-Antarctic New Zealand, mainland New Zealand | | See species level |
| Southern right whale Australia | IWC | HCW including illegal Soviet whaling in the mid-20th century; increasing in south central and western Australia, low numbers in eastern Australia | | See species level |
| Pygmy right whale (Caperea marginata) | DD | This and Omura's whale are the least known baleen whale species; no estimates of abundance, found only in Southern Hemisphere | | Climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Gray whale (Eschrichtius robustus) | LC | HCW (19th and 20th centuries); eastern subpopulation has made a strong recovery from past exploitation; ongoing aboriginal subsistence hunting (nearly all in Russia) is managed and considered sustainable with 5 yr IWC catch limit of 620 | | Entanglement; ship strikes; ship and other noise; disturbance from oil and gas activity; climate change-induced shifts in prey availability vary greatly by region |
| Gray whale (Eastern North Pacific stock) | IWC | See species level | See species level | See species level |
| Gray whale (western subpopulation) | CR | HCW; until recently gray whales at Sakhalin Island thought to comprise discrete subpopulation of about 150 animals; recent satellite tagging, photo-identification, and genetic matching indicate a more complex situation as at least part of this subpopulation migrates annually to west coast of North America including Mexican breeding lagoons | Disturbance from oil and gas activity, ship and other noise; entanglement in Japan (5 whales known to have died in fishing gear along Pacific coast of Japan from 2005 to 2007; in net and/or trap fisheries) and China | Ship strikes |

Note: Under Red List classification, CR = Critically Endangered, EN = Endangerered, VU = Vulnerable, NT = Near Threatened, LC = Least Concern, DD = Data Deficient, and NA = not assessed for the Red List but identified in Red List documentation as a potential conservation unit. SMM = identified in SMM Taxonomy List but NA for the Red List, HCW = Historical commercial whaling (see text and note: illegal Soviet whaling took place from 1948 to 1979 in the North Pacific and from 1946 to 1986 in the Southern Hemisphere, especially Antarctica; Ivaschencko and Clapham 2014). IWC = recognized as a stock or management unit by the Scientific Committee of the International Whaling Commission but NA for the Red List. Primary threats = threats known to have population level consequences or the potential to have such consequences if unmanaged.