

2018

Interactions between pesticides and pathogen susceptibility in honey bees

Scott T. O'Neal

University of Nebraska - Lincoln, soneal3@unl.edu

Troy D. Anderson

University of Nebraska - Lincoln, tanderson44@unl.edu

Judy Wu-Smart

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, jwu-smart@unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub>



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

O'Neal, Scott T.; Anderson, Troy D.; and Wu-Smart, Judy, "Interactions between pesticides and pathogen susceptibility in honey bees" (2018). *Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology*. 686.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub/686>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Published in *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 26 (2018), pp 57–62.

doi 10.1016/j.cois.2018.01.006

Copyright © 2018 Elsevier Inc. Used by permission.

Interactions between pesticides and pathogen susceptibility in honey bees

Scott T. O'Neal, Troy D. Anderson, and Judy Y. Wu-Smart

Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA

Corresponding author — J. Wu-Smart, email jwu-smart@unl.edu

Abstract

There exist a variety of factors that negatively impact the health and survival of managed honey bee colonies, including the spread of parasites and pathogens, loss of habitat, reduced availability or quality of food resources, climate change, poor queen quality, changing cultural and commercial beekeeping practices, as well as exposure to agricultural and apicultural pesticides both in the field and in the hive. These factors are often closely intertwined, and it is unlikely that a single stressor is driving colony losses. There is a growing consensus, however, that increasing prevalence of parasites and pathogens are among the most significant threats to managed bee colonies. Unfortunately, improper management of hives by beekeepers may exacerbate parasite populations and disease transmission. Furthermore, research continues to accumulate that describes the complex and largely harmful interactions that exist between pesticide exposure and bee immunity. This brief review summarizes our progress in understanding the impact of pesticide exposure on bees at the individual, colony, and community level.

Introduction

Bees are important pollinators of many crops and native plants, contributing about one-third of the human diet globally and providing immeasurable ecosystem services [1–3]. There are ca. 4000 species of bees across North America, but a number of species have exhibited population declines [4, 5*], including several bumble bee species that have decreased in both abundance (up to 96%) and geographical range (23–87%) [6]. Similar declines have also been reported in solitary species, particularly with bees that are habitat and flower specialists [7]. Additionally, beekeepers have reported economically unsustainable, annual honey bee colony losses of ca. 31–46% since 2010 [8]. Research efforts are focused on the relationship between

current agricultural practices and consistent losses of honey bee colonies. This includes large-scale conversion of natural landscapes into productive crop fields, which has led to a reduction in forage availability and malnutrition, as well as increased pesticide exposures to bees [4, 8–18, 19*, 20–22]. Other factors that affect honey bee health can include parasites and pathogens, with increased infestations and infections, respectively, in colonies with reduced immunocompetence caused by poor nutrition and exposure to pesticides [23–26, 27**].

There are multiple interacting stressors that affect honey bee colonies. For example, the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* feeds on the hemolymph of bees, resulting in physiological deficiencies that reduce overwintering success for the colony [28]. Moreover, physical damage to the bee cuticle caused by mite feeding can introduce several viruses into host bees [29, 30]. If unmanaged, *Varroa* mite infestations can increase the mortality of bees in the colony within one season [31, 32]. The lack of, or improper, *Varroa* mite management is a significant driver for losses among beginning and hobbyist beekeepers [33]. However, *Varroa* mites continue to be the major reason for the use of beekeeper-applied miticides or varroacides since their introduction to the U.S. [34, 35]. These apicultural pesticides, along with agricultural pesticides (insecticides, fungicides, herbicides) transported to the hive by foraging bees, may result in synergistic interactions that cause higher toxicity than compounds acting alone [36–40, 41*]. Pesticides may also accumulate in the hive, affect brood development, and increase selection pressure for varroacide-resistant mites [42–44]. Laboratory studies often examine individual stressors for direct evidence of their adverse effects on bees; however, complex stressor interactions and the ability for bees to socially or behaviorally defend themselves have made it difficult to understand the causes and effects of stressor interaction in the field [25, 41*, 45, 46]. This review examines the current literature focusing on pesticide exposure and pathogen impacts on honey bees, with emphasis on the interface between these stressors at different levels of biological organization (i.e., individual to colony to apiary).

Individual-level effects

Laboratory studies have demonstrated that exposure to sublethal doses of pesticides can negatively affect honey bee behavior [47, 48], foraging [49], longevity [43], and olfactory learning and memory [50–53]. Pesticide exposure can also impair honey bee detoxification pathways [54], and the harmful effects of interactions between multiple pesticides in bees appear to be nearly as complex as the drug interactions observed in mammals [39, 55]. More pertinent to concerns related to the increasing role of pathogens in colony decline is the impact of pesticide exposure on the immune response

of honey bees and their ability to resist or tolerate pathogen infection. The pathogen most commonly used in laboratory studies has been the microsporidium *Nosema ceranae*, which has proven the most tractable in controlled infection studies. Significant effects on honey bee immune responsiveness to infection with *Nosema* have been observed with exposure to neonicotinoid pesticides [23, 24, 56–58, 59**, 60**], fipronil [23, 57, 61], as well as fungicides [62], in addition to altered queen physiology and survival [59**] and reduced sperm viability and gene expression [60**]. More noteworthy, given the wide-spread prevalence of agricultural and apicultural pesticide residues in the hive environment [42], is the finding that bees exposed to these residues in the hive also have increased susceptibility to *Nosema* [62, 63]. With regards to other honey bee pathogens, harmful interactions have been demonstrated between viral pathogenicity and exposure to the neonicotinoid pesticide clothianidin [26], as well as the pyrethroid miticide *tau*-fluvalinate [64]. Recent work has also employed a model insect virus [65**] to reveal that exposure to the formamidine miticide amitraz increases mortality associated with viral infections [66*]. In addition to pesticide exposure, there is also mounting evidence that organosilicone spray adjuvants used in various pesticide formulations may pose a more serious threat than previously realized, as they have been demonstrated to both impair olfactory learning [67] and increase viral pathogenicity in bees [68*]. Another exciting recent study shows a synergistic interaction when bee larvae are exposed to clothianidin or the organophosphate dimethoate in combination with *Paenibacillus larvae*, the causative agent of American foulbrood [69]. Finally, gene expression studies have also suggested that thymol, formic acid, and the phosphorothioate miticide coumaphos may suppress expression of genes related to bee immunity [70]. A number of recent reviews address in greater detail the links between pesticides and bee diseases [71**] and provide some discussion of improvements and future directions for this research [72**]. Although there exist ample correlative studies to suggest a link between pesticide exposure and the ability of bees to resist or tolerate pathogen infection, there is very little known about the mechanisms of such a connection. One outlier is a study describing a negative modulator of NF- κ B activation (NF- κ B function reviewed here [73]) that reduces honey bee immunocompetence when exposed to clothianidin and another neonicotinoid, imidacloprid, but not when exposed to the organophosphate chlorpyrifos [26]. Two recent studies also described an important role for the evolutionarily conserved ATP-sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium (KATP) channel in the regulation of honey bee cardiac function [65**] and antiviral immunity [74**]. This supports earlier findings that KATP channels play a role in mediating fruit fly survival during viral infections similar to that observed in mammals [75]. Although the exact mechanism has yet to be elucidated, evidence suggests that K_{ATP} channels have a function in modulating

antiviral RNAi by facilitating tissue-specific regulation of innate immune response mechanisms by the cellular environment of the heart [76]. Taken together, these studies also support the hypothesis that disruption of cardiac function and subsequent inability to maintain homeostasis may reduce the ability of bees to tolerate infection by pathogens [66*], providing another possible mechanism by which cardioactive pesticides could reduce honey bee immunocompetence.

Colony-level effects

Pesticide effects on honey bee colonies are typically studied in the field; however, the number of interacting biotic and abiotic stressors that can affect these colonies presents variables that are difficult to manage with these studies. Additionally, social bee behaviors, such as age-based divisions of labor, can cause disparities in the evaluation of pesticide exposures, toxicities, and risks to the different castes and their roles in the colony [38, 77, 78]. For example, older forager bees are more likely to be exposed to pesticides via contact or oral exposure to contaminated nectar and water sources than younger nurse bees, and these older bees are reported to be more sensitive to these pesticide exposures [79, 80**, 81*, 82*]. By contrast, nurse bees are more likely to be exposed to pesticide-contaminated pollen than forager bees, since the nurse bees consume pollen to produce glandular secretions to feed brood and queen bees. Nurse bees infected by *Varroa* mites and feeding on pesticide-contaminated pollen may have higher virus titers compared to those feeding on uncontaminated pollen and, in turn, can increase the risk of transmitting viruses to the brood and queen during feeding [78, 83–85]. Additionally, young adult bees emerging from parasitized pupae may be disproportionately impacted by *Varroa* mites as multiple mites reproduce and feed within the developing pupal cell. Heavy parasitism alters physiological features critical for winter survival in host bees and may lead to developmental abnormalities such as malformed wings caused by *Varroa*-vectored deformed wing virus [86, 87]. In addition, the exposure of bees to pesticides can not only adversely affect brood care and production, but can affect other caste behaviors such as mating, egg laying, and other routine tasks that support healthy colony numbers. Forager bees exposed to certain pesticides are reported to exhibit impaired foraging behaviors and cognitive functions that not only lead to reduced food stores, lower brood production, and higher pathogen infections, but can result in increased pesticide sensitivity and disease susceptibility for malnourished colonies [49, 56, 77, 88–90]. Moreover, pesticide exposure may impair social immunity by reducing hygienic behavior, a social behavioral defense mechanism in which mite-infested or disease-infected pupae are detected and removed from the hive before mites are fully developed or

disease becomes infectious [91–93]. This is an important behavioral adaptation to suppress the transmission and infectivity of mites and pathogens in colonies. However, the over-use and unregulated use of apicultural pesticides by beekeepers to manage *Varroa* mites has conferred resistance in mites, further magnifying the potential for damage caused by pathogens in *Varroa*-parasitized colonies.

Community-level effects

The accumulation and persistence of pesticide residues occurs at alarmingly high levels in hive products (wax, propolis), food stores (pollen, honey), and bees [42, 44]. As biological indicators of the environment, honey bee exposure to pesticides likely reflects the complex array of pesticide exposures wild bees and other pollinators are experiencing; however, more research is needed to support this. Furthermore, the ability of *Varroa* mites to vector a number of viruses allows for the transmission of viruses to occur via the phoretic movement of mites among honey bees from different colonies or apiaries [94]. Pathogens and pesticide residues may also be taken or robbed from weaker colonies by neighboring bees and brought back to different hives, further distributing diseases and contaminants [95]. Beekeepers also contribute to this issue through the common practice of moving or exchanging hive components (wax, honey, pollen, and bees) from one colony to another. This redistribution of pathogens and contaminants is a particular concern when commercially-managed colonies are maintained at high density to meet pollination service demands, as is the case for almond pollination. In 2016, roughly 1.7 million colonies were transported to California to pollinate 971 400 acres of almonds, contributing \$280 million in the total value of the pollination services provided by managed bees for this crop alone [96]. When managed bees are introduced to new areas, pathogens such as *Nosema* spp. and viruses may be transmitted among different bee species when infected bees visit common foraging sites [97–99]. Given similar population declines observed in wild bee communities and the prevalence of pesticides and pathogens in the environment globally, interactions between these two stressors should be a critical research focus. Pathogen spillover from managed bees into wild bee communities has been well documented, however, the implications of this are still not well understood. Additionally, more research to examine the relationships between pathogens and pesticide exposure is clearly needed.

Concluding remarks

Our review has focused on the interactions between pesticides and pathogens and their effects on bees across multiple levels of biological

organization. Although significant advances have been made in identifying interactions at the individual level, there is still considerable progress to be made in understanding the physiological mechanisms that drive pesticide-induced immunocompetence in bees. Furthermore, there exist few explanations for why many of these interactions observed at the individual level fail to translate into quantifiable effects at the colony level. Synthesizing data collected from laboratory studies on individual bees and field studies on whole colonies with 50,000 or more individuals is a critical consideration for assessing risk of pesticides with ecological relevance [100]. Finally, the impact of these interactions at the community level has proven even more challenging to describe, and presents considerable opportunities for future research.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

* of special interest

** of outstanding interest

1. Eilers EJ, Kremen C, Smith Greenleaf S, Garber AK, Klein A-M: Contribution of pollinator-mediated crops to nutrients in the human food supply. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e21363.
2. Potts SG, Roberts SPM, Dean R, Marris G, Brown MA, Jones R, Neumann P, Settele J: Declines of managed honey bees and beekeepers in Europe. *J Apic Res* 2010, 49:15-22.
3. Klein AM, Vaissiere BE, Cane JH, Steffan-Dewenter I, Cunningham SA, Kremen C, Tscharntke T: Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proc R Soc B-Biol Sci* 2007, 274:303-313.
4. Council NR: *Status of Pollinators in North America*. The National Academies Press; 2007.
- 5.* Koh I, Lonsdorf EV, Williams NM, Brittain C, Isaacs R, Gibbs J, Ricketts TH: Modeling the status, trends, and impacts of wild bee abundance in the United States. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016, 113:140-145. — *Authors in this study modelled wild bee abundance in the US between 2008 and 2013 and established maps to relate declines in bee abundance to areas where pollination services provided by managed bees are in demand.*
6. Cameron SA, Lozier JD, Strange JP, Koch JB, Cordes N, Solter LF, Griswold TL: Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2011, 108:662-667.
7. Biesmeijer JC, Roberts SPM, Reemer M, Ohlemüller R, Edwards M, Peeters T, Schaffers AP, Potts SG, Kleukers R, Thomas CD *et al.*: Parallel declines in pollinators and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science* 2006, 313:351-354.

8. Steinhauer N, vanEngelsdorp D: Using epidemiological methods to improve honey bee colony health. In *Beekeeping — From Science to Practice*. Edited by Vreeland RH, Sammartaro D. Springer International Publishing; 2017:125-142.
9. Allen-Wardell G, Bernhardt P, Bitner R, Burquez A, Buchmann S, Cane J, Cox PA, Dalton V, Feinsinger P, Ingram M et al.: The potential consequences of pollinator declines on the conservation of biodiversity and stability of food crop yields. *Conserv Biol* 1998, 12:8-17.
10. vanEngelsdorp D, Evans JD, Saegerman C, Mullin C, Haubruge E, Nguyen BK, Frazier M, Frazier J, Cox-Foster D, Chen Y et al.: Colony collapse disorder: a descriptive study. *PLoS ONE* 2009, 4:e6481.
11. vanEngelsdorp D, Meixner MD: A historical review of managed honey bee populations in Europe and the United States and the factors that may affect them. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2010, 103 (Suppl. 1):S80-S95.
12. vanEngelsdorp D, Hayes J, Underwood RM, Caron D, Pettis J: A survey of managed honey bee colony losses in the USA, fall 2009 to winter 2010. *J Apic Res* 2011, 50:1-10.
13. vanEngelsdorp D, Caron D, Hayes J, Underwood R, Henson M, Rennich K, Spleen A, Andree M, Snyder R, Lee K et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2010–11 winter colony losses in the USA: Results from the Bee Informed Partnership. *J Apic Res* 2012, 51:115-124.
14. Spleen AM, Lengerich EJ, Rennich K, Caron D, Rose R, Pettis JS, Henson M, Wilkes JT, Wilson M, Stitzinger J et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2011–12 winter colony losses in the United States: Results from the Bee Informed Partnership. *J Apic Res* 2013:52.
15. Steinhauer NA, Rennich K, Wilson ME, Caron DM, Lengerich EJ, Pettis JS, Rose R, Skinner JA, Tarpay DR, Wilkes JT et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2012–2013 annual colony losses in the USA: Results from the Bee Informed Partnership. *J Apic Res* 2014, 53:1-18.
16. Lee KV, Steinhauer N, Rennich K, Wilson ME, Tarpay DR, Caron DM, Rose R, Delaplane KS, Baylis K, Lengerich EJ et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2013–2014 annual colony losses in the USA. *Apidologie* 2015, 46:292-305.
17. Seitz N, Traynor KS, Steinhauer N, Rennich K, Wilson ME, Ellis JD, Rose R, Tarpay DR, Sagili RR, Caron DM et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2014–2015 annual colony losses in the USA. *J Apic Res* 2016, 54:292-304.
18. Smart MD, Pettis JS, Euliss N, Spivak MS: Land use in the Northern Great Plains region of the U.S. influences the survival and productivity of honey bee colonies. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 2016, 230:139-149.
- 19.* Smart M, Pettis J, Rice N, Browning Z, Spivak M: Linking measures of colony and individual honey bee health to survival among apiaries exposed to varying agricultural land use. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11:e0152685. — *This study examined the effect of land use on honey bee colony health and individual bee nutrition and immunity. Authors modelled physiological responses in individual honey bees simultaneously with colony health markers to predict colony survival.*

20. Annoscia D, Zanni V, Galbraith D, Quirici A, Grozinger C, Bortolomeazzi R, Nazzi F: Elucidating the mechanisms underlying the beneficial health effects of dietary pollen on honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) infested by *Varroa* mite ectoparasites. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7:6258.
21. Kulhanek K, Steinhauer N, Rennich K, Caron DM, Sagili RR, Pettis JS, Ellis JD, Wilson ME, Wilkes JT, Tarry DR et al.: A national survey of managed honey bee 2015–2016 annual colony losses in the USA. *J Apic Res* 2017, 56:328–340.
22. Requier F, Odoux J-F, Henry M, Bretagnolle V: The carry-over effects of pollen shortage decrease the survival of honeybee colonies in farmlands. *J Appl Ecol* 2017, 54:1161–1170.
23. Vidau C, Diogon M, Aufauvre J, Fontbonne R, Vignes B, Brunet JL, Texier C, Biron DG, Blot N, El Alaoui H et al.: Exposure to sublethal doses of fipronil and thiacloprid highly increases mortality of honeybees previously infected by *Nosema ceranae*. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e21550.
24. Pettis JS, vanEngelsdorp D, Johnson J, Dively G: Pesticide exposure in honey bees results in increased levels of the gut pathogen *Nosema*. *Naturwissenschaften* 2012, 99:153–158.
25. Thompson HM: Interaction between pesticides and other factors in effects on bees. *EFSA Support Publ* 2012, 9 340E-n/a.
26. Di Prisco G, Cavaliere V, Annoscia D, Varricchio P, Caprio E, Nazzi F, Gargiulo G, Pennacchio F: Neonicotinoid clothianidin adversely affects insect immunity and promotes replication of a viral pathogen in honey bees. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, 110:18466–18471.
27. ** Goulson D, Nicholls E, Botias C, Rotheray EL: Bee declines driven by combined stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science* 2015, 347:1255957. — *This review article examines the complexity of challenges and the relationships between multiple stressors that drive bee health decline and highlights the need to improved regulatory assessments and policies to better conserve bee communities.*
28. Amdam GV, Hartfelder K, Norberg K, Hagen A, Omholt SW: Altered physiology in worker honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) infested with the mite *Varroa destructor* (Acari: Varroidae): a factor in colony loss during overwintering? *J Econ Entomol* 2004, 97:741–747.
29. Yang X, Cox-Foster DL: Impact of an ectoparasite on the immunity and pathology of an invertebrate: evidence for host immunosuppression and viral amplification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005, 102:7470–7475.
30. Francis RM, Nielsen SL, Kryger P: *Varroa*–virus interaction in collapsing honey bee colonies. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8:e57540.
31. Bowen-Walker PL, Gunn A: The effect of the ectoparasitic mite, *Varroa destructor* on adult worker honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) emergence weights, water, protein, carbohydrate, and lipid levels. *Entomol Exp Appl* 2001, 101:207–217.
32. Carreck NL, Ball BV, Martin SJ: Honey bee colony collapse and changes in viral prevalence associated with *Varroa destructor*. *J Apic Res* 2010, 49:93–94.
33. Traynor KS, Rennich K, Forsgren E, Rose R, Pettis J, Kunkel G, Madella S, Evans J, Lopez D, vanEngelsdorp D: Multiyear survey targeting disease incidence in US honey bees. *Apidologie* 2016, 47:325–347.

34. de Guzman LI, Rinderer TE, Stelzer JA: DNA evidence of the origin of *Varroa jacobsoni* Oudemans in the Americas. *Biochem Genet* 1997, 35:327-335.
35. Anderson DL, Trueman JW: *Varroa jacobsoni* (Acari: Varroidae) is more than one species. *Exp Appl Acarol* 2000, 24:165-189.
36. Schmuck R, Stadler T, Schmidt H-W: Field relevance of a synergistic effect observed in the laboratory between an EBI fungicide and a chloronicotinyl insecticide in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L. Hymenoptera). *Pest Manage Sci* 2003, 59:279-286.
37. Johnson RM, Pollock HS, Berenbaum MR: Synergistic interactions between in-hive miticides in *Apis mellifera*. *J Econ Entomol* 2009, 102:474-479.
38. Johnson RM, Ellis MD, Mullin CA, Frazier M: Pesticides and honey bee toxicity — USA. *Apidologie* 2010, 41:312-331.
39. Johnson RM, Dahlgren L, Siegfried BD, Ellis MD: Acaricide, fungicide and drug interactions in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *PLoS ONE* 2013:8.
40. Zhu W, Schmehl DR, Mullin CA, Frazier JL: Four common pesticides, their mixtures and a formulation solvent in the hive environment have high oral toxicity to honey bee larvae. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9:e77547.
41. * Rinkevich FD, Margotta JW, Pittman JM, Danka RG, Tarver MR, Ottea JA, Healy KB: Genetics, synergists, and age affect insecticide sensitivity of the honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *PLoS ONE* 2015, 10:e0139841. — *This study examined the influence of genetic stock and age in bees on insecticide sensitivity and found *Apis mellifera ligustica*, one of the most commonly managed stocks in the US, is more sensitive to insecticides, however, sensitivity was highly dependent on the class of insecticide. Authors also reported increased sensitivity to insecticides as a result of physiological transitions associated with the aging process.*
42. Mullin CA, Frazier M, Frazier JL, Ashcraft S, Simonds R, vanEngelsdorp D, Pettis JS: High levels of miticides and agrochemicals in North American apiaries: implications for honey bee health. *PLoS ONE* 2010, 5:e9754.
43. Wu JY, Anelli CM, Sheppard WS: Sub-lethal effects of pesticide residues in brood comb on worker honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) development and longevity. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6:e14720.
44. Sánchez-Bayo F, Goulson D, Pennacchio F, Nazzi F, Goka K, Desneux N: Are bee diseases linked to pesticides? — A brief review. *Environ Int* 2016, 89-90:7-11.
45. Carreck NL, Ratnieks FLW: The dose makes the poison: have “field realistic” rates of exposure of bees to neonicotinoid insecticides been overestimated in laboratory studies? *J Apic Res* 2014, 53:607-614.
46. De Smet L, Hatjina F, Ioannidis P, Hamamtzoglou A, Schoonvaere K, Francis F, Meeus I, Smagghe G, de Graaf DC: Stress indicator gene expression profiles, colony dynamics and tissue development of honey bees exposed to sub-lethal doses of imidacloprid in laboratory and field experiments. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12:e0171529.
47. El Hassani AK, Dacher M, Gary V, Lambin M, Gauthier M, Armengaud C: Effects of sublethal doses of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on the behavior of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *Arch Environ Contamination Toxicol* 2008, 54:653-661.

48. Eiri DM, Nieh JC: A nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonist affects honey bee sucrose responsiveness and decreases waggle dancing. *J Exp Biol* 2012, 215:2022-2029.
49. Henry M, Béguin M, Requier F, Rollin O, Odoux J-F, Aupinel P, Aptel J, Tchamitchian S, Decourtye A: A common pesticide decreases foraging success and survival in honey bees. *Science* 2012, 336:348-350.
50. Taylor KS, Waller GD, Crowder LA: Impairment of a classical conditioned response of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) by sublethal doses of synthetic pyrethroid insecticides. *Apidologie* 1987, 18:243-252.
51. Decourtye A, Lacassie E, Pham-Delègue M-H: Learning performances of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) are differentially affected by imidacloprid according to the season. *Pest Manage Sci* 2003, 59:269-278.
52. Decourtye A, Devillers J, Genecque E, Menach KL, Budzinski H, Cluzeau S, Pham-Delègue MH: Comparative sublethal toxicity of nine pesticides on olfactory learning performances of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Arch Environ Contamination Toxicol* 2005, 48:242-250.
53. Farooqui T: A potential link among biogenic amines-based pesticides, learning and memory, and colony collapse disorder: a unique hypothesis. *Neurochem Int* 2013, 62:122-136.
54. Berenbaum MR, Johnson RM: Xenobiotic detoxification pathways in honey bees. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 2015, 10:51-58.
55. Glavan G, Bozic J: The synergy of xenobiotics in honeybee *Apis mellifera*: mechanisms and effects. *Acta Biol Slov* 2013, 56:11-25.
56. Alaux C, Brunet JL, Dussaubat C, Mondet F, Tchamitchan S, Cousin M, Brillard J, Baldy A, Belzunces LP, Le Conte Y: Interactions between *Nosema* microspores and a neonicotinoid weaken honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Environ Microbiol* 2010, 12:774-782.
57. Aufauvre J, Misme-Aucouturier B, Viguès B, Texier C, Delbac F, Blot N: Transcriptome analyses of the honeybee response to *Nosema ceranae* and insecticides. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9:e91686.
58. Doublet V, Labarussias M, de Miranda JR, Moritz RFA, Paxton RJ: Bees under stress: Sublethal doses of a neonicotinoid pesticide and pathogens interact to elevate honey bee mortality across the life cycle. *Environ Microbiol* 2015, 17:969-983.
59. ** Dussaubat C, Maisonnasse A, Crauser D, Tchamitchian S, Bonnet M, Cousin M, Kretzschmar A, Brunet J-L, Le Conte Y: Combined neonicotinoid pesticide and parasite stress alter honeybee queens' physiology and survival. *Sci Rep* 2016, 6:31430. — *This paper, along with [60 **], provide much-needed insight into the effects of pesticide exposure on honey bee queens, in this case focusing on the effects of neonicotinoids upon queen survival and regulation of detoxification and oxidative stress pathways.*
60. ** Chaimanee V, Evans JD, Chen Y, Jackson C, Pettis JS: Sperm viability and gene expression in honey bee queens (*Apis mellifera*) following exposure to the neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid and the organophosphate acaricide

- coumaphos. *J Insect Physiol* 2016, 89:1-8. — *This paper, along with [59 **], provide much-needed insight into the effects of pesticide exposure on honey bee queens. Here, the neonicotinoid imidacloprid was found to reduce the viability of stored sperm, and both imidacloprid and the organophosphate acaricide coumaphos were found to decrease expression of genes related to antioxidation, immunity and development in queens.*
61. Aufauvre J, Biron DG, Vidau C, Fontbonne R, Roudel M, Diogon M, Vignes B, Belzunces LP, Delbac F, Blot N: Parasite–insecticide interactions: A case study of *Nosema ceranae* and fipronil synergy on honeybee. *Sci Rep* 2012, 2:326.
 62. Pettis JS, Lichtenberg EM, Andree M, Stitzinger J, Rose R, vanEngelsdorp D: Crop pollination exposes honey bees to pesticides which alters their susceptibility to the gut pathogen *Nosema ceranae*. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8:e70182.
 63. Wu JY, Smart MD, Anelli CM, Sheppard WS: Honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) reared in brood combs containing high levels of pesticide residues exhibit increased susceptibility to *Nosema* (Microsporidia) infection. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2012, 109:326-329.
 64. Locke B, Forsgren E, Fries I, de Miranda JR: Acaricide treatment affects viral dynamics in *Varroa destructor*-infested honey bee colonies via both host physiology and mite control. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012, 78:227-235.
 65. ** O'Neal ST, Swale DR, Bloomquist JR, Anderson TD: ATP-sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium channel modulators alter cardiac function in honey bees. *J Insect Physiol* 2017, 99:95-100. — *This paper demonstrated for the first time in a hymenopteran an evolutionarily-conserved role for ATP-sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium channels in the regulation of cardiac function similar to that described in mammals. Along with [74 **], this paper also suggests a physiological mechanism by which exposure to environmental stressors may be translated into disruption of cardiac function and other associated processes.*
 66. * O'Neal ST, Brewster CC, Bloomquist JR, Anderson TD: Amitraz and its metabolite modulate honey bee cardiac function and tolerance to viral infection. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2017, 149:119-126. — *This paper demonstrates for the first time a negative interaction between exposure to the beekeeper-applied formamidine acaricide amitraz and viral infection in honey bees.*
 67. Ciarlo TJ, Mullin CA, Frazier JL, Schmehl DR: Learning impairment in honey bees caused by agricultural spray adjuvants. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7:e40848.
 68. * Fine JD, Cox-Foster DL, Mullin CA: An inert pesticide adjuvant synergizes viral pathogenicity and mortality in honey bee larvae. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7:40499. — *This article demonstrates synergistic interactions between organosilicone surfactant adjuvants and exogenous viruses on larval honey bees. Larvae exposed to 'inert' adjuvants exhibited higher viral titers and lower expression of immune genes associated with viral defense. This is a novel examination of the role inert formulation additives play in bee health decline.*
 69. López JH, Krainer S, Engert A, Schuehly W, Riessberger-Gallé U, Crailsheim K: Sublethal pesticide doses negatively affect survival and the cellular responses in American foulbrood-infected honeybee larvae. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7:40853.

70. Boncristiani H, Underwood R, Schwarz R, Evans JD, Pettis J, vanEngelsdorp D: Direct effect of acaricides on pathogen loads and gene expression levels in honey bees *Apis mellifera*. *J Insect Physiol* 2012, 58:613-620.
71. ** Collison E, Hird H, Cresswell J, Tyler C: Interactive effects of pesticide exposure and pathogen infection on bee health — a critical analysis. *Biol Rev* 2016, 91:1006-1019. — *This review provides an in-depth look at recent findings on pesticide/ disease interactions and makes an argument that the trend towards laboratory-based assays do not reflect field-realistic scenarios, with the result being that colony-level implications of pesticide effects the interaction between pathogens and bee immunity remain unclear.*
72. ** Benuszak J, Laurent M, Chauzat M-P: The exposure of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*; Hymenoptera: Apidae) to pesticides: Room for improvement in research. *Sci Total Environ* 2017, 587-588:423-438. — *This review provides an overview of the sampling methods and analytical approaches of a wide range of papers reporting the effects of pesticide exposure on honey bees, with emphasis on the lack of information on exposure via wax and beebread, as well as the pointed criticism that too many studies focus on active ingredients alone, rather than in formulation.*
73. Silverman N, Maniatis T: NF- κ B signaling pathways in mammalian and insect innate immunity. *Genes Dev* 2001, 15:2321-2342.
74. ** O'Neal ST, Swale DR, Anderson TD: ATP-sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium channel regulation of viral infections in honey bees. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7:8668. — *This paper demonstrates the first use of the entomopathogenic flock house virus as a model virus for use in studying host/pathogen interactions and viral replication dynamics in honey bees. Furthermore, this paper demonstrates a novel role for ATP-sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium channels in the regulation of honey bee antiviral immunity.*
75. Croker B, Crozat K, Berger M, Xia Y, Sovath S, Schaffer L, Eleftherianos I, Imler JL, Beutler B: ATP-sensitive potassium channels mediate survival during infection in mammals and insects. *Nat Genet* 2007, 39:1453-1460.
76. Eleftherianos I, Won S, Chtarbanova S, Squiban B, Ocorr K, Bodmer R, Beutler B, Hoffmann JA, Imler JL: ATP-sensitive potassium channel (K(ATP))-dependent regulation of cardiotoxic viral infections. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011, 108:12024-12029.
77. Wahl O, Ulm K: Influence of pollen feeding and physiological condition on pesticide sensitivity of the honey bee *Apis mellifera carnica*. *Oecologia* 1983, 59:106-128.
78. Rortais A, Arnold G, Halm M-P, Touffet-Briens F: Modes of honeybees exposure to systemic insecticides: Estimated amounts of contaminated pollen and nectar consumed by different categories of bees. *Apidologie* 2005, 36:71-83.
79. Krupke CH, Hunt GJ, Eitzer BD, Andino G, Given K: Multiple routes of pesticide exposure for honey bees living near agricultural fields. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7:e29268.
80. ** Mullin CA, Chen J, Fine JD, Frazier MT, Frazier JL: The formulation makes the honey bee poison. *Pesticide Biochem Physiol* 2015, 120:27-35. — *This article*

reviews the potential impact of pesticide formulation additives that are generally thought of as inert. Authors highlight the challenges with assessing the environmental impacts of agrochemicals on bees when formulation additives are so widely used but tolerances have not been set, and residues of inerts are not monitored.

- 81.* Long EY, Krupke CH: Non-cultivated plants present a season-long route of pesticide exposure for honey bees. *Nat Commun* 2016, 7:11629. — *This study reported chronic exposure to multiple pesticides on bees in agroecosystems and through analyses of bee-collected pollen, determined that the majority of pollen was not collected from field crops but rather from uncultivated plant sources.*
- 82.* Mogren CL, Lundgren JG: Neonicotinoid-contaminated pollinator strips adjacent to cropland reduce honey bee nutritional status. *Sci Rep* 2016, 6:29608. — *This study illustrated the widespread distribution of neonicotinoids and reported residues even in organic fields. Authors examined the potential impacts of neonicotinoid contamination in pollen and nectar and related residue concentration levels to nutritional status of colonies using glycogen, lipid, and protein level measures.*
83. Bailey L: Viruses of honeybees. *Bee World* 1982, 63:165-173.
84. Donzé G, Guerin PM: Behavioral attributes and parental care of *Varroa* mites parasitizing honeybee brood. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 1994, 34:305-319.
85. Chen YP, Siede R: Honey bee viruses. *Adv Virus Res* 2007, 70:33-80.
86. Di Prisco G, Annoscia D, Margiotta M, Ferrara R, Varricchio P, Zanni V, Caprio E, Nazzi F, Pennacchio F: A mutualistic symbiosis between a parasitic mite and a pathogenic virus undermines honey bee immunity and health. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2016, 113:3203-3208.
87. Wegener J, Ruhnke H, Scheller K, Mispagel S, Knollmann U, Kamp G, Bienefeld K: Pathogenesis of varroosis at the level of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) colony. *J Insect Physiol* 2016, 91–92:1-9.
88. Szymaś B, Jędruszek A: The influence of different diets on haemocytes of adult worker honey bees, *Apis mellifera*. *Apidologie* 2003, 34:97-102.
89. Gill RJ, Ramos-Rodriguez O, Raine NE: Combined pesticide exposure severely affects individual- and colony-level traits in bees. *Nature* 2012, 491:105.
90. Williamson SM, Wright GA: Exposure to multiple cholinergic pesticides impairs olfactory learning and memory in honeybees. *J Exp Biol* 2013, 216:1799-1807.
91. Rothenbuhler WC: Behavior genetics of nest cleaning in honey bees. IV. Responses of F1 and backcross generations to disease-killed brood. *Am Zool* 1964, 4:111-123.
92. Spivak M, Reuter GS: Performance of hygienic honey bee colonies in a commercial apiary. *Apidologie* 1998, 29:291-302.
93. Wu-Smart J, Spivak M: Sub-lethal effects of dietary neonicotinoid insecticide exposure on honey bee queen fecundity and colony development. *Sci Rep* 2016, 6:32108.
94. Ball BV, Allen MF: The prevalence of pathogens in honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies infested with the parasitic mite *Varroa jacobsoni*. *Annals Appl Biol* 1988, 113:237-244.

95. Lindström A, Korpela S, Fries I: The distribution of *Paenibacillus larvae* spores in adult bees and honey and larval mortality, following the addition of American foulbrood diseased brood or spore-contaminated honey in honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2008, 99:82-86.
96. (USDA) US Department of Agriculture: *Crop Pollination Report*. National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS); 2016.
97. Colla SR, Otterstatter MC, Gegear RJ, Thomson JD: Plight of the bumble bee: pathogen spillover from commercial to wild populations. *Biol Conserv* 2006, 129:461-467.
98. Klee J, Besana AM, Genersch E, Gisder S, Nanetti A, Tam DQ, Chinh TX, Puerta F, Ruz JM, Kryger P *et al.*: Widespread dispersal of the microsporidian *Nosema ceranae*, an emergent pathogen of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2007, 96:1-10.
99. Fürst MA, McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF: Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators. *Nature* 2014, 506:364.
100. Köhler H-R, Triebkorn R: Wildlife ecotoxicology of pesticides: can we track effects to the population level and beyond? *Science* 2013, 341:759-765.