

2005

## EC05-185 Grazing Winter Wheat in Nebraska

Tom Holman

*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*, [tholman1@unl.edu](mailto:tholman1@unl.edu)

Drew J. Lyon

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*, [drew.lyon@wsu.edu](mailto:drew.lyon@wsu.edu)

David D. Baltensperger

*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*

Ivan G. Rush

*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*, [IRUSH@UNLNOTES.UNL.EDU](mailto:IRUSH@UNLNOTES.UNL.EDU)

Ray Weed

*University of Nebraska - Lincoln*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#)

---

Holman, Tom; Lyon, Drew J.; Baltensperger, David D.; Rush, Ivan G.; and Weed, Ray, "EC05-185 Grazing Winter Wheat in Nebraska" (2005). *Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension*. 756.  
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist/756>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Grazing Winter Wheat in Nebraska

**Thomas L. Holman, Extension Educator; Drew J. Lyon, Extension Dryland Crops Specialist;  
David D. Baltensperger, Extension Crops Breeding Specialist;  
Ivan G. Rush, Extension Beef Specialist; and Ray Weed, Former Extension Educator**

Grazing cattle on winter wheat, often prior to grain harvest, is common throughout the southern Great Plains. Grazing generates about \$50 million in income for Texas wheat producers and reduces the risk of growing wheat by providing a substantial income source other than grain. Benefits can be realized by grazing prior to the primary environmental risk period for drought, heat stress, and hail, all of which frequently reduce grain yield while having limited impact on forage production. Cattle also are grazed on winter wheat fields in western Nebraska and the surrounding region. Typically in Nebraska, fall forage would be used to graze cows and reduce winter supplement costs and to lower stocker operation feed costs in the spring. In many cases, wheat is grazed as a forage and also harvested at maturity for grain.

The effectiveness and profitability of grazing wheat forage depends on numerous factors. Producers can harvest wheat for grain only or they can harvest both the grain and forage (either through ownership of the cattle or leasing the pasture), or they can harvest just the forage through “graze-out” of the crop. The bottom-line for producers is to maximize returns per acre. Owning the cattle, as opposed to leasing out the wheat pasture, involves significant risk and intensive management at critical times. Producers should use the information in *Table I* and in the



Figure 1. Cows graze winter wheat stubble near Bridgeport.

*Economic Analysis* on pages 5 and 6 to identify their costs and set a value for the forage, grain and cattle. The calculations in the economics section will value wheat both as grain and forage to provide a basis for management decisions at critical times during the wheat growing season.

## Planting Date

Many factors should be considered when selecting a planting date for winter wheat. If fall or early spring grazing is of primary interest, an early planting date is important to ensure maximum forage production (*Table II*). Soil temperatures at the depth of planting (1.5 to 2 inches) should not exceed 77°F for optimum seed germination. Early planting of winter wheat often will result in increased plant stress from soil water depletion, increased incidence of plant disease (crown and root rot, wheat streak mosaic), and increased insect damage (Russian wheat aphid, Hessian fly), all of which contribute to reduced late spring forage production (*Table II*) and reduced grain yields at harvest (*Table III*).

If grain yield or spring grazing is of primary importance, then wheat planting should be delayed until

**Table I. Dryland wheat conventional-till fallow cost estimates — excluding governmental payments (projected yield: 40 bu/ac).**

	<i>Cost Per Acre</i>	<i>Your Costs</i>
Field operations, materials, services and associated interest	\$ 78.40	_____
Overhead and management	14.26	_____
Taxes, insurance and machine interest	12.12	_____
Real estate taxes and interest (crop and fallow land) \$30/acre X 2	60.00	_____
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$164.78</b>	_____

Source: *Nebraska Crop Budgets 2004*, EC04-872 pg. 42.




Figure 2. Recommended winter wheat planting dates across Nebraska.

at least the earliest recommended planting date for the region. Planting date for maximum grain yield potential in the Nebraska Panhandle depends on elevation. Fields located at 4,000 feet of elevation should be planted on September 10. The planting date is moved up one day for every 100 feet above the 4,000-foot baseline, or alternately delayed by one day for every 100 feet below the baseline. See Figure 2 for recommended seeding dates throughout Nebraska.

The trade-off between early planting for increased fall and early spring forage and reduced grain yield should be evaluated by the producer on the basis of the value of grazing relative to grain yield. Given the high quality of fall wheat forage, early planting for increased fall grazing would allow a producer to take advantage of

market conditions that favor beef production by providing supplemental feed that alleviates protein and energy deficiencies in deferred summer pastures.

### Seeding Rates

Wheat grown for grain production in the Nebraska Panhandle and surrounding region is generally seeded at rates of 45 to 60 pounds per acre for dryland production and 60 to 120 pounds per acre for irrigated production. Dryland budgets were used in Table I to estimate establishment costs. Irrigated budgets are available from the same production cost publication (*Nebraska Crop Budgets 2004*, EC04-872). Some studies indicate that seeding rates for grazing wheat should be increased by about 50 per-

Table II. Forage yields for wheat planted at four dates in the fall and harvested for forage in the late fall, at jointing and boot stages of plant development, and at maturity at Sidney.

Harvest date	Planting date				Harvest date mean
	Very early (Aug. 23-28)	Recommended early (Sept. 3-9)	Recommended late (Sept. 10-19)	Very late (Sept. 21-30)	
----- pounds dry matter/acre -----					
<b>1993</b>					
Fall	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Joint stage	1340	1590	1310	860	1280
Boot stage	4300	4600	5350	5700	4990
Full-season	2980	3530	3130	4210	3460
Planting date mean	2880	3240	3260	3590	3240
<b>1994</b>					
Fall	2860	1340	360	230	1200
Joint stage	1450	1190	870	460	990
Boot stage	2880	3460	3310	2860	3130
Full-season	4880	5940	6510	5980	5820
Planting date mean	3020	2980	2760	2380	2790
<b>1995</b>					
Fall	2480	1560	460	0	1130
Joint stage	4320	4110	2930	1290	3160
Boot stage	7770	8400	7260	4640	7020
Full-season	13,210	12,680	14,200	11,960	13,040
Planting date mean	6950	6700	6210	4470	6080

**Table III. Grain yields for wheat planted at four dates in the fall and harvested for forage in the late fall, at jointing, or not at all (full season) at Sidney.**

Harvest date	Planting date				Harvest date mean
	Very early (Aug. 23-28)	Recommended early (Sept. 3-9)	Recommended late (Sept. 10-19)	Very late (Sept. 21-30)	
----- bushels/acre -----					
<b>1993</b>					
Fall	8.7	10.2	9.0	14.0	10.5
Joint stage	6.8	8.2	10.9	15.5	10.4
Full season	8.7	10.2	9.0	14.0	10.5
Planting date mean	8.1	9.5	9.7	14.5	10.5
<b>1994</b>					
Fall	30.4	42.7	46.6	38.2	39.4
Joint stage	23.2	35.1	39.7	35.0	35.0
Full season	33.2	43.3	48.2	45.4	42.6
Planting date mean	28.9	40.3	44.9	39.6	38.4
<b>1995</b>					
Fall	56.5	60.6	72.8	68.5	64.6
Joint stage	36.2	43.5	53.6	56.5	47.5
Full season	60.0	60.9	73.7	67.7	65.5
Planting date mean	50.9	54.9	66.7	64.3	59.2

cent over the typical rate for grain production to maintain good leaf area for grazing and to maintain plant health. The earlier the planting date, the less need to increase seeding rates. Higher seeding rates, however, will promote greater upright growth.

### Fertility

Nitrogen and phosphorous needs for optimal forage production are similar to those for maximum grain yield and should be based on soil tests (see NebGuides *Fertilizing Winter Wheat I and II*, G02-1460 and G02-1461, for recommendations). The earlier in the season that grazing is needed, the earlier the fertilizer should be applied. Applying pre-plant nitrogen or starter fertilizer with nitrogen and phosphorous will promote early fall growth.

### Grazing Periods

Grazing can begin four to six weeks after planting or when there is 4-6 inches of growth. In western Nebraska, eastern Wyoming, and northeastern Colorado, wheat is usually grazed after establishment in the fall and through the winter and early spring. Many integrated crop/livestock producers will not graze winter wheat until after the soil is frozen to avoid having the wheat plants pulled from the ground. Generally, the soil is frozen from January through March. It also may be necessary to move cattle off of wheat in the spring to avoid hoof impact damage if the soil becomes muddy. The cattle can be placed on the wheat again after the soil dries out. Rotational grazing systems also may help maintain wheat plant vigor and maximize grazing potential, but these systems must be carefully managed to avoid crop damage.

**Table IV. Estimated net return (over sunk costs) of an acre of dryland wheat forage protein and grain yield, based on alfalfa protein costs.**

Alfalfa (\$/Ton)	\$75	\$50	\$75	\$50	\$75	\$50	\$75	\$50	
	Fall		Joint		Boot		Full		
Plant Stage (wheat) at end of grazing	Wheat + Wheat Forage Value Per Acre (\$)								
Price of wheat per bushel \$	6.00	146.00	117.00	158.00	114.00	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	5.50	127.00	98.00	141.50	97.50	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	5.00	108.00	79.00	125.00	81.00	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	4.50	89.00	60.00	180.50	64.50	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	4.00	70.00	41.00	92.00	48.00	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	3.50	51.00	22.00	75.50	31.50	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	3.00	32.00	3.00	59.00	15.00	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	2.50	13.00	<16.00>	42.50	<1.50>	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	2.00	<6.00>	<35.00>	26.00	<18.00>	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	1.50	<25.00>	<54.00>	9.50	<34.50>	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
	1.00	<44.00>	<73.00>	<7.00>	<51.00>	32.46	<37.00>	11.00	<11.00>
Forage yield (lbs)	1165.00		1810.00		5050.00		7440.00		
Wheat yield (bu)	38.00		33.00		0.00		0.00		
Forage crude protein (%)	31.00		30.00		17.00		9.00		

Source: "Wheat Grain and Forage Yields are Affected by Planting and Harvest Dates in the Central Great Plains." D. Lyon, D. Baltensperger and M. Siles, *Crop Science*, Vol. 41, No. 2., pp. 488-492.

Fall grazing of wheat has been effectively used as a protein supplement for adjacent range. In the fall, immature wheat in an early growth stage is frequently higher than 30 percent crude protein, but only produces an average of 1,200 pounds of forage per acre. Grazing can remove excessive top growth, which conserves soil moisture by reducing the amount of water transpired by the leaves. This can be particularly advantageous in seasons with adequate or surplus fall precipitation but limited spring moisture. Removal of leaf tissue through grazing in the spring also may help control Russian wheat aphids by limiting their habitat in the field and thereby reducing damage. Light fall stocking rates (0.2 to 0.4 AUM/acre) will not cause significant damage to wheat yields and is the recommended fall grazing strategy.

### **Impact on Grain Production**

Fall-seeded winter cereals go through a cold period (vernalization), which stimulates head development the following spring. Vernalized winter wheat plants produce heads in the spring and by early- to mid-April the initiated heads begin to emerge from the crown within the tiller (this is commonly referred to as the jointing stage of development). If the wheat heads are grazed off, or severe defoliation occurs, seed yields will be severely affected. This means that producers should not graze wheat once jointing has begun unless they plan to graze the crop out and forfeit grain production (*Table III*).

Studies conducted near Sidney confirm that grazing through the jointing stage of development has a direct negative impact on grain yields (*Table III*). In two out of three years, grain yield was reduced an average of 25 percent compared to the ungrazed check when plants were harvested for forage at the joint stage. No grain was harvested from plots where forage was removed at the boot stage, indicating a rapid increase in injury to grain yield from jointing to the boot stage. In western Nebraska, there is normally a four-week period between the joint and the boot stage of winter wheat. The rapid decline in wheat grain yield (from 25 percent to 100 percent loss) from grazing during this four-week period demonstrates the high grain yield cost associated with continued livestock use. This loss begins as soon as the wheat has begun to joint.

### **Grazing Benefits and Opportunities**

Studies indicate that stocker-backgrounded steers and heifers in average flesh may gain 1.75 to 2.75 pounds per head per day while grazing wheat when forage is in good supply. Lightweight cattle in thin condition will experience compensatory higher gains while heavier, fleshier cattle will have lower gains.

Some local cow-calf producers use their winter wheat forage pastures as a protein supplement, sometimes using wheat pastures for a short period to rapidly increase body condition of bred cows to potentially increase fertility.

### **Economics**

Individual producers should consider cost/benefit analysis each year because of the variables involved. Costs associated with wheat establishment and all cultural practices up until grazing turnout in the fall or spring are considered "sunk costs." Turnout is a critical time to look at projected costs and potential returns. The end of March is the other critical time for management to decide on yield reductions and projected cattle returns. The equations in the *Economic Analysis* section on pages 5 and 6 provide information to aid in these critical decisions. These decisions depend on potential returns, the amount of risk one can take and market projections.

### **Grazing Risks**

Although there are many benefits associated with grazing winter wheat and other cereal crops, there also are some potential problems. One is grass tetany, especially in mature high milking cows. Grass tetany is characterized by a low blood magnesium level in livestock. Grass tetany frequently occurs when rapid spring plant growth follows cool cloudy days (45-60°F), but also can occur in the fall. Prevention while grazing wheat is best managed by supplementation with six to eight percent magnesium in a palatable, free-choice mineral. Additional information is available in NebGuide G73-32, *Grass Tetany*.

Nitrate poisoning may occur if the winter cereals have been fertilized with high levels of nitrogen fertilizer. Stress from drought, hail or frost may increase nitrates to levels toxic to livestock. Livestock can withstand higher levels of nitrates when they are grazing than when they are feeding on plant material harvested as green-feed or hay. Rumen microbes can adjust to higher levels of nitrates over time, which enables livestock to graze winter cereals in fall with a lower risk of nitrate poisoning. Forage testing for nitrates is the only accurate way to be sure that toxic levels are not present in any feed stuffs.

Another problem that may occur with grazing wheat forage is bloat. High crude protein and soluble carbohydrates in wheat pasture are believed to contribute to bloat. Cool, moist conditions favor bloating. To aid in bloat prevention, some producers offer low quality roughages free choice with ionophore, Rumensin or Bovatec supplements. Finally, blood urea nitrogen levels also may increase in cattle grazing winter wheat forage, suggesting that this may have an adverse effect on cow fertility. Research on how pasturing wheat affects cow fertility is not available. It is known that wheat pasture is very high in protein, energy, vitamins and minerals, which all have positive effects on cow fertility.

### **Variety and Management Recommendations for the Nebraska Panhandle**

In a study conducted near Sidney during the mid-1990s, six wheat cultivars were used: four were standard

height cultivars and two were semi-dwarf cultivars. While some differences among the cultivars were observed for various traits in specific years, there were no consistent or overall trends for superior forage performance by any cultivar over the three-year study. It appears that while semi-dwarf varieties are shorter, they have similar quantities of forage and frequently have higher grain yields. No single cultivar had both exceptional forage and grain yield characteristics. Longhorn is a semi-awnless (beardless) cultivar developed for grazing, but it has a relatively low grain production potential. Scout 66 has been used by local cattle producers because it has strong seedling vigor and good fall growth, but its grain yield potential is low relative to newer wheat cultivars. Buckskin is a tall wheat cultivar grown extensively

in areas of western Nebraska and the surrounding region that have shallow soils and limited rainfall.

Arapahoe is a semi-dwarf wheat that was planted on more acres than any other wheat in the state during the mid- to late 1990s. It has good to excellent winter hardiness and appears to be a good dual-purpose wheat. Alliance is a newer semi-dwarf wheat that is grazed in the region. Producer experience suggests that Alliance can be grazed "hard," but that it may have to be sprayed for weeds after grazing. Other cultivars grazed in the Nebraska Panhandle include the semi-dwarf cultivars Millenium, Harry, Jagalene, 2137 and Pronghorn and the tall wheat cultivar Goodstreak.

In general, any wheat variety well adapted to the region can be used for grazing and when both forage and

## Economic Analysis of Grazing Dryland

It is important to develop a wheat establishment cost estimate before evaluating the value of the forage and grain. Typically, wheat production in the Nebraska Panhandle is a dryland farming practice. Therefore, the economic budget for a dryland wheat/fallow system is briefly itemized in *Table I*. Producers are encouraged to enter their own cost estimates to establish what these "sunk" costs are. "Sunk" costs include \$60/acre as a return to both productive and fallow land.

Typically, grazing wheat in the Nebraska Panhandle would be for the purpose of 1) reducing the cost of purchased feeds for cows or 2) providing light winter grazing for feeder cattle.

To compare the value of the protein in grazed wheat with that in alfalfa hay, follow these steps.

### Step 1. Calculate the value of protein in alfalfa.

If alfalfa analysis shows 90% dry matter and 18% crude protein (dry matter basis),  
 then 2,000 lbs (ton) x Percent dry matter (DM)  
 = Pounds of alfalfa DM x Percent crude protein  
 = Pounds of crude protein per ton of hay.

$$\frac{\text{Cost per ton of alfalfa hay}}{\text{Pounds of crude protein}} = \text{Cost per pound of alfalfa protein}$$

### Step 2. Calculate the value of protein in wheat forage.

If fall grazed, wheat forage has 1165 lb dry matter/ac, 31% crude protein (dry matter basis) and 38 bu/ac yield;

or

If joint harvested, wheat forage has 1810 lb dry matter/ac, 30% crude protein (dry matter basis) and 33 bu/ac yield;

or

If boot harvested, wheat forage has 5050 lb dry matter/ac, 17% crude protein (dry matter basis) and 0 yield;

or

If full season harvested at maturity, wheat forage has 7440 lb dry matter/ac, 9% crude protein (dry matter basis) and 0 yield.

(Source: "Wheat Grain and Forage Yields are Affected by Planting and Harvest Dates in the Central Great Plains;" D. Lyon, D. Baltensperger and M. Siles; *Crop Science* Vol. 41 No. 2.)

### Step 3. Compare the two sources of protein.

Pounds of wheat forage dry matter x percent of forage crude protein = pounds of wheat forage protein

(Pounds of wheat forage protein x value of a pound of protein from alfalfa hay) + (Grain yield (bu) x value of wheat) - sunk cost of wheat = Per acre value of forage and grain.

A negative number indicates that hay would be a cheaper protein source than grazing, while a positive number indicates grazing would be a cheaper protein source than hay. Comparisons of two alfalfa hay prices and various wheat grain prices are shown in *Table IV*.

grain are expected from the crop, the best grain producing variety will be the best overall. Some pesticides used in wheat production have grazing restrictions. Check all chemical labels before applying if grazing is planned.

### Summary

Grazing cattle on winter wheat as a fall forage protein source offers opportunities most years in the Nebraska Panhandle. Closely observing wheat growth and stocking rate is needed to be successful. Winter and spring grazing at light stocking rates is the less risky method of grazing wheat pastures and can possibly generate more returns per acre than fall grazing. Government program payments were not considered here, but

may make some strategies more viable. Grazing wheat also may reduce economic risk, especially in an environment such as in western Nebraska where hail and drought frequently reduce grain yield. Using wheat in a grain and livestock production system to help manage economic risk requires a producer to be flexible in cattle management and marketing.

**Acknowledgments:** Many of the ideas for this publication are taken from the Kansas State University Farm Management Guides MF-009, *Winter Wheat Grazing*, MF-1072, *Small Grain Cereals for Forage*, MF-1010, *Winter Wheat Graze-Out*, and C713, *Wheat Pasture in Kansas*.

## and Wheat in the Nebraska Panhandle

Based on Lyon, Baltensperger and Siles' work, fall grazed wheat had a total digestible nutrient (TDN) value of 80 percent. The 1996 National Research Council (NRC) *Nutrient Requirements for Beef Cattle* estimates late season grazed wheat is 58 percent TDN. Utilizing the 1996 NRC Ration Model for 600-pound cattle and assuming the following nutrient content resulted in the following estimated pounds of dry matter wheat forage to produce 1 pound of gain.

4.5 lbs fall wheat 31% CP, 80% TDN = 1 lb of gain 500-700 lb cattle

4.7 lbs joint wheat 30% CP, 79% TDN = 1 lb of gain 500-700 lb cattle

9.9 lbs boot wheat 17% CP, 62% TDN = 1 lb of gain 500-700 lb cattle

14.5 lbs full season wheat 9% CP, 58%TDN = 1 lb of gain 500-700 lb cattle

The following equation can be used to calculate returns per acre above sunk cost from grazing 500-700 pound weight feeders:

Net per acre value of forage and grain for growing cattle = [(lbs forage ÷ yield lbs forage for 1 lb gain) x value of gain on feeders (\$/lb)] + (current or projected price of wheat x wheat yield \$/bu) - \$165 (estimated sunk costs from *Table I*).

The total cost of growing feeders is not included in this calculation, but needs to be accounted for to determine net returns to the total operation. Cost estimates for growing feeders are available in *Nebraska*

*Livestock Budgets 2001*, EC01-818. Two other University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension publications that will be useful to management are *Water Development Costs for Livestock*, EC96-821 and *Livestock Fencing Costs*, EC96-810.

In summary, **fall grazing** 500-700 lb feeders creates a positive return to the land when wheat prices are above \$2.31 per bushel and the value of a pound of gain on 500-700 pound feeders is above 30 cents per pound. If the value of a pound of gain increased to 40 cents per pound for feeders, wheat would have to be above \$1.63 per bushel to justify grain harvest. Wheat or cattle prices above these levels will increase the net returns to land, depending on other livestock costs.

Grazing 500-700 pound feeders to the **joint stage** will create positive net returns to land above sunk costs if wheat prices are above \$1.51 when feeder gain is priced or expected to be priced at 30 cents per pound or above.

Grazing 500-700 lb feeders to the **boot stage** or **full season graze out of wheat** will create positive net returns to land above sunk costs when feeder gain is or is projected to be over 33 cents per pound. Net returns increase dramatically with the increase in cattle prices, however with higher wheat prices maximizing returns requires using these calculations and current or projected prices at critical growth stages of wheat.

In all cases, these returns will have to cover additional costs of fence and water developments for the livestock enterprise.