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1 Introduction

The Complementary Relationship (CR) states that under constant available energy at the surface \( (Q_n) \) the regionally representative ET and potential \( (E_p) \) evapotranspiration rates are complementary:

\[
ET = 2E_w - E_p
\] (1)

where the Priestley-Taylor equation (Priestley and Taylor [8]) describes the wet environment evaporation as

\[
E_w = 1.26 \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} Q_n
\] (2)

with \( \Delta \) being the slope of the saturation vapor pressure curve at the temperature of the air, and \( \gamma \) \( \approx 0.67 \) hPa K\(^{-1} \) the psychrometric constant. Penman \[6\] defined \( E_p \) as

\[
E_p = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta + \gamma} Q_n + \frac{\gamma}{\Delta + \gamma} f(u)(e* - e)
\] (3)

where \( e \) and \( e* \) are the actual and saturation vapor pressure at the temperature of the air, respectively, and \( f(u) \) is the wind function.

Morton et al. [4] in their WREVAP model specify \( E_p \) and \( E_w \) somewhat differently, but in a way that (1) is still valid [9]. They suggest (1) to be employed for time-periods equal or longer than about a week for improved accuracy. This is so because the CR is based on an assumed equilibrium state of the atmosphere and the underlying land, the latter influencing the humidity of the air through ET regulated by various feedbacks across the land-atmosphere interface. To attain such an equilibrium takes some time following each weather fronts passing through the area. Since in their approach the calculation of \( E_p \) via an iterative method does not require knowledge of the mean wind speed, WREVAP is employed here for obtaining the regionally representative areal evapotranspiration rate.

2 Model description

Spatial disaggregation of the regionally representative ET rates is based on a linear transformation [10] of the 8 day composited MODIS daytime surface temperature \( (T_s) \) values into actual ET rates. The transformation requires specifying two anchor points in the \( T_s \)--ET plane. The first anchor point is defined
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by the spatially averaged daytime surface temperature, \(< T_s \>\), and the \(1\)-obtained ET values. The second anchor point results from a spatial averaging of the coldest pixel values, \(< T_{s x} \>\), within the region and \(E_w\) of \(\bar{a}\) out of consideration that the coldest pixels are the wettest, evaporating at the wet environment evapotranspiration rate, \(E_w\). The two points define the linear transformation of the \(T_s\) pixel values into ET rates for each month by employing monthly means. This transformation is justified as long as \(Q_r\) (and thus surface albedo) and the aerodynamic resistance \(r_a\) are about constant among the pixels. The 1 km MODIS pixel-size in this sense is ideal, since the pixel is large enough for albedo and \(r_a\) to change to remain negligible between the cells, but small enough to provide for a good spatial resolution of ET at the watershed scale.

### 3 Model application

For calculating the anchor points of the transformation the following meteorological data were used: 0.1° (7.6 km west-east and 11 km north-south) gridded mean monthly air temperature, specific humidity (provided by OMSZ) and sunshine duration for the 2000–2008 period (provided by VITUKI). The gridded values were derived by OMSZ from measurements. 8-day composited MODIS daytime surface temperature data for the same period were averaged for each month to obtain one surface temperature per pixel per month. Such an averaging further reduces any possible cloud contamination effect that may remain in the 8-day composited images. With these data, the linear transformation of the monthly \(T_s\) values into monthly ET rates can be performed on a pixel-by-pixel basis. Note that the upper of the two grids is of secondary importance (i.e., how the representative cell value is chosen when one MODIS cell covers several cells of a given meteorological variable), since on a pixel-by-pixel basis only \(T_s\) is needed for obtaining pixel ET.

Due to the not negligible relief differences (the lowest point of the country is 78 m, and the highest is 1015 m), Hungary was divided into three elevation zones to account for changes in \(T_s\) by elevation: below 200 m, between 200 and 500 m, and above 500 m (Fig. 1). Since the country’s area is quite small, such division was not necessary horizontally (i.e., within each elevation zone one spatially representative value of the anchor points was calculated for each month).

\(E_w\) in \(\bar{a}\) was calculated with the help of the 30–50 coldest \(T_s\) points each month in all three zones (30 in the highest region). In the middle elevation zone the wettest points were taken from the 300–400 m strip and in the highest zone from the 550–650 m one. No such restrictions were applied for the lowest zone due to the small change in elevation there. The \(Q_r\) value for \(E_w\) was calculated by WREVP from the zonal means of the 0.1-degree gridded sunshine duration values. The other anchor point of the linear transformation was obtained by averaging the MODIS \(T_s\) values for each zone and calculating the corresponding \(E\) of \(\bar{a}\) by WREVP from the spatial mean of air temperature, specific humidity and sunshine duration values within the zone.

To avoid sharp jumps in the evaporation values at the zone boundaries, the transformation equation was allowed to change linearly with pixel-elevation \((z)\) between the limiting equations of the lower \((l)\) and upper \((u)\) zones. Mathematically,

\[
ET(z) = \frac{(z_u - z) [a_l T_s(z) + b_l] + (z - z_l) [a_u T_s(z) + b_u]}{z_u - z_l}
\]

where \(a\) and \(b\) are the parameters of the linear transformations by zone, obtained with the help of the anchor points. Here the reference elevations \((z_u\) or \(z_l)\) are taken at 100, 350, and 600 meters.

Fig. \(\bar{a}\) displays the linear transformations by months for the lowest elevation zone. The lines sections in the figure are bounded by the anchor points. During the calculations they are allowed to extend downward to accommodate for pixels warmer than the zonal-mean. The lines, however, remain bounded from above, i.e., when the pixel temperature is lower than \(< T_{s x}\), the corresponding ET value assigned is still \(E_w\). The transformations were not performed for the winter months (December, January, and February) because then the ground may have patchy snow cover (or the mountainous areas have snow but the lower grounds do not), which violates the constant \(Q_r\) assumption since the albedo of snow is markedly different from that of the land.

Lake evaporation (for the ten largest lakes in Hungary) again is calculated by the WREVP program (and thus the transformation ET values overwritten), because water albedo is much smaller than that of the land (i.e., \(\sim 5\% \) vs \(\sim 15\%\)). WREVP gave accurate estimates of lake evaporation in earlier studies [3].

### 4 Results

Fig. [3] displays the monthly mean evaporation rates in Hungary. In March the ET is comparatively low and uniform. From April till June the air temperature gradually increases and its saturation deficit becomes greater which enhances the extent and spatial differences of evaporation. Although July and August are the warmest months in Hungary, the areal evaporation culminates in June due to favorable soil moisture conditions (resulting from a within-year peak in precipitation) in early summer.

The spatial variance of ET is quite substantial and results from a combination of rain, land cover and access to groundwater: high rates in (a) the forested mountainous regions of northern Hungary with annual precipitation of 700–800 mm (the average for Hungary is about 600 mm), and; (b) the south-western region of the country where precipitation is in excess of 800 mm. Where there is a constant shallow groundwater-supply, typically in the floodplains along the Danube and Tisza Rivers, ET is similarly high, especially in the gallery forests, the largest of which is Gemenci in south-central Hungary. The driest region with the lowest overall evaporation (as well as precipitation) rates in the country is found in the Hungarian Lowland, where grass cover and croplands dominate. This is especially valid for the sandy...
inter-fluvial plateau between the Danube and the Tisza River
where the groundwater table has steadily declined over the past
several decades. Other low evaporation areas can be found e.g.,
within the karst-plateau of the Balaton Highland and the large
urban conglomerate of Budapest (Fig. 4 and 5). The maps nat-
urally show the highest evaporation rates (about 900 mm annu-
ally) for the lakes throughout the year.

Validation of the results was performed with the help of three
eddy-covariance sites. While continuous data from the two Car-
boEurope sites, Bugac and Mátra [5], [7]), were available for al-
most each month of our study period, from the third site, Hegy-
hátsál [1], continuous measurements were available only for a
somewhat more limited number of months displayed in Fig. 6
which, together with Table ??, summarize the results of the val-
idation.

The CREMAP ET values yield an unbiased estimate of the
observed ET rates overall. The originally uncorrected Hegyhá-
tsál (lat 46.95 N, long 16.65 E, surface elevation 248 m) measure-
ments (with a 44% systematic underestimation) had to be mul-
tiplied by 1.78 to satisfy the energy balance. The accuracy of
the local measurements (from Z. Barcza) for the latter was sep-
arately validated by the WREVAP-model estimates using sun-
shine duration measurements. Accounting for the large footprint
(measurement height is 82 m above ground) at Hegyhátsál, the
CREMAP ET values were averaged over 8 x 8 = 64 MODIS
pixels around the tower, and yielded a perfect 1:1 relationship
(R2 = 0.95) with measurements (Fig. 6).

Evaporation measurements at the Bugac site (46.69 N, 19.6 E)
began in 2002, and in 2004 at Mátra (47.85 N, 19.73 E). The
CREMAP estimates yield R2 = 0.79 and R2 = 0.80, respectively,
with measurements which is again remarkable considering that
at these locations the footprints are only a fraction (about 10 and
16%) of the corresponding MODIS cell area. At an annual level
CREMAP yields an error of only -6 mm (absolute relative error
of 1.4%) at Bugac, and 13 mm (3.3%) at the Mátra site. The
CREMAP ET estimates for the 2000–2006 period could be vali-
dated over the whole country (i.e., the period when independent
water balance estimates were available for Hungary). The over-
all ratio of ET and precipitation is 89.2% by CREMAP versus
the water-balanced derived value of 89.6%, a remarkable match.

5 Summary and conclusions

The present ET estimation model (CREMAP) is a modified
and updated version of the ET estimation technique of Szilá-
gyi and Józsa [11] and utilizes 1 km 8 day composited MODIS
daytime surface temperature, Ts, and basic atmospheric data (air
temperature, humidity and sunshine duration) to estimate the
latent heat flux (i.e., evaporation rate) at the same spatial and tem-
poral scale. Here a monthly time-step was chosen, as typical for
regional hydrologic modeling. The approach is based on a lin-
ear transformation of the monthly MODIS Ts pixel values into
ET rates (Fig. 2). The resulting linear equation, valid always
only for the given computation interval (i.e., month), is then ap-
piled for each pixel after an elevation correction. The model was
validated with monthly eddy covariance measurements.

The resulting 1 km spatial resolution monthly and annual ET
maps are novel in Hungary (as well as in the world for such a
long period) and provide a richness in spatial detail that contrasts
starkly with previously available greatly generalized ET maps
[8].

The model is simple, requires only a minimum amount of
typically easily accessible data, and calibration free. From
the first author’s personal website, documentation of the WRE-
VAP program with its FORTRAN source code is also available
(snr.unl.edu/szilagyi/szilagyi.htm).

Generally, the model is expected to work accurately in re-
regions where the complementary relationship is valid [10]. In its
present form, it is not recommended to be applied in steep moun-
tainous areas of rugged terrain or in areas with large surface-
albedo changes at a scale larger than the 1 km resolution of
the MODIS data. Furthermore, due to its inherent assumptions
(mainly a spatially constant Qn), it is not advised to be applied
at a spatial resolution finer than about 1 km.

Fig. 1. The extent of the three elevation zones employed in the model.
Fig. 2. Linear transformations for the lowest elevation zone, displayed by month for 2000 – 2008. Each line ends in the anchor points ($T_s - ET$ and $T_s - E_w$).

Fig. 3. 9-year averaged (2000-2008) mean monthly $ET$ rates (mm).
Fig. 4. 9-year averaged (2000-2008) spatial distribution of the MODIS $T_a$ values (°C). 1: Danube; 2: Tisza; 3: Balaton; 4: Lake Velencei; 5: Lake Fertő; 6: Budapest; 7: Bugac; 8: Mátra; 9: Hegyhátsál.

Fig. 5. 9-year averaged (2000-2008) mean annual ET rates (mm).

Fig. 6. Validation of the CREMAP ET estimates with eddy-covariance (Bugac, Mátra and Hegyhátsál) data.
Tab. 1. Validation of the ET estimation method with eddy-covariance measurements on a monthly basis. \( m_v \): measurement mean; \( m_e \): error mean; \( de \): standard deviation of error; \( n \): number of months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Bugac Monthly (mm mo(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Mátra Monthly (mm mo(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Hegyhátsál Monthly (mm mo(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>( m_v )</td>
<td>( m_e )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUN</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOV</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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