

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Papers and Publications in Animal
Science

Animal Science Department

2-16-2015

Repercussions of growth path on carcass characteristics, meat colour and shear force in Alentejana bulls

P Costa

J A. Simoes

A S.H. Costa

J P.C. Lemos

D Navas

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscifacpub>



Part of the [Genetics and Genomics Commons](#), and the [Meat Science Commons](#)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Papers and Publications in Animal Science by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

P Costa, J A. Simoes, A S.H. Costa, J P.C. Lemos, D Navas, J F. Hocquette, C R. Calkins, and R J.B. Bessa

Repercussions of growth path on carcass characteristics, meat colour and shear force in Alentejana bulls

P. Costa^{2†a}, J. A. Simões^{1a}, A. S. H. Costa^{2,6}, J. P. C. Lemos², D. Navas¹, J. F. Hocquette^{3,4}, C. R. Calkins⁵ and R. J. B. Bessa^{1,2}

¹L-INIAV Santarém, Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, Quinta da Fonte Boa, 2005-048 Vale de Santarém, Portugal; ²CIISA - Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade de Lisboa, ULisboa, Avenida da Universidade Técnica, Pólo Universitário do Alto da Ajuda, 1300-477 Lisboa, Portugal; ³INRA, UR 1213, Unité de Recherches sur les Herbivores (URH), Theix, F-63122 Saint-Genès Champanelle, France; ⁴Clermont Université, VetAgro Sup, UMR1213, Herbivores, BP 10448, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France; ⁵Department of Animal Science, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA; ⁶Box 197, MRC Cancer Unit, Hutchison/MRC Research Centre, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XZ, United Kingdom

(Received 20 May 2014; Accepted 16 February 2015; First published online 1 April 2015)

The aim of this study was to evaluate the carcass and meat characteristics of eight muscles from bulls with distinct growth paths. A total of 40 Alentejana male calves were allocated to two distinct feeding regimes. In the continuous growth (CG) system, the animals were fed concentrates plus hay and were slaughtered at 18 months of age. On the other hand, in the discontinuous growth (DG) system, the animals were fed hay until 15 months of age; the cattle were then fed the same diet provided to the CG group from 15 to 24 months of age. The DG reduced hot carcass weight, fatness and dressing %, but the proportions of fat, bone and muscle tissues in the leg were not affected. In contrast, there was a positive impact of compensatory growth on supraspinatus, triceps brachii, semitendinosus, biceps femoris muscle tenderness, overcoming the negative effects of age at slaughter. The reasons for such improvement in meat tenderness were not related to intra-muscular fat content or myofibrillar protein degradation values. An association between tenderness and muscle collagen properties was not established. The results indicate that the compensatory growth has a muscle-dependent effect.

Keywords: Bulls, compensatory growth, meat tenderness, carcass traits

Implications

This work increases the knowledge about the effects of growth path on beef quality. By comparing performance and quality traits from beef produced according to distinct production systems, the results can be used by cattle producers in further decisions regarding how beef should be produced in Mediterranean regions.

Introduction

In order to reinforce sustainable agriculture in the Mediterranean areas, it is important to study alternative strategies to the conventional cattle production based on feeding high-energy concentrates provided *ad libitum* in feedlot, from weaning until slaughter at 15 to 18 months of age. An alternative to these intensive programmes is the traditional system where calves

born in summer are weaned at the beginning of spring and spend all this season on pasture. The cattle are then maintained on low-quality pasture, supplemented with hay until the beginning of the following spring in order to ensure at least the maintenance of live weight. This discontinuous growth (DG) production system allows farmers to take advantage of the bull's compensatory growth during the second spring when the pasture is abundant and finish the cattle on concentrates for 2 to 3 months before slaughter at 24 months of age. Compensatory growth is the animal's ability to exhibit, after feed restriction, greater growth rates than control animals of the same chronological age (Hornick *et al.*, 1998). The incorporation of compensatory growth into a cattle management strategy could increase financial returns to cattle producers from enhanced meat quality. However, the positive effects of compensatory growth could be limited by a number of factors. These include the severity and duration of feed restriction, the age at which nutritional restriction occurred, the duration of the compensatory response and the nutritional plan on which

^a Authors contributed to the project equally.

[†] E-mail: paulocosta@fmv.ulisboa.pt

animals are re-fed (Coleman and Evans, 1986; Carstens *et al.*, 1991). The traditional or discontinuous production system is less expensive and contributes to the environmental sustainability and biodiversity in Mediterranean areas. However, some beef producers are reluctant to use this system mainly because in order to obtain commercially acceptable carcasses, the animals have to be slaughtered about 6 months later than those produced in intensive systems.

Among beef-eating quality attributes, tenderness is one of the most important beef quality attributes and is influenced by several factors including muscle fibre type profile, sarcomere length, pH, intra-muscular fat (IMF), rate of tenderization (Shackelford *et al.*, 1997; Gil *et al.*, 2001) and total amount and chemical composition of collagen (Chriki *et al.*, 2012). Nutritional status before slaughter can influence collagen content and its solubility and consequently meat tenderness (Thénard *et al.*, 2006). Several studies investigated the effects of growth path and rate on carcass characteristics or meat quality in cattle (Jones *et al.*, 1990; Hornick *et al.*, 1998), but they were restricted to evaluation of the *Longissimus* muscle, regardless that compensatory growth effects on carcass and meat characteristics may be muscle-dependent (Hansen *et al.*, 2006b). Consequently, results obtained in past studies cannot be extrapolated to the rest of the carcass.

Thus, the aim of the present investigation was to evaluate the effects of production system (continuous growth (CG) *v.* discontinuous growth (DG)) differences on carcass characteristics and meat quality in eight major muscles from bulls produced in the Mediterranean areas.

Material and methods

Animals and meat samples

A total of 40 purebred Alentejana male calves (9.0 ± 0.46 (mean \pm s.d.) months of age, 239.0 ± 45 kg live weight) were randomly allocated to four adjacent pens (two pens per experimental group) and were allocated to two distinct feeding regimes. In the CG production system, animals were fed *ad libitum* with concentrates plus hay throughout the trial and were slaughtered at 18 months of age. In the DG production system, animals were fed *ad libitum* only hay from 9 to 15 months of age, the cattle were then fed the same diet provided to the CG group (concentrates plus hay) from 15 to 24 months of age. The pens (area about 1000 m²) and the feed bunks (35 m long) were large in order to reduce the animal's interactions and limitation to feed and to simulate the traditional systems where the bulls graze freely.

The animals were individually weighed every 14 days during the experimental period and were slaughtered at 18 (CG) or 24 (DG) months of age. The weight data are presented in Figure 1 according to time periods.

After being stunned with a captive bolt, the cattle were dressed with carcasses suspended by the Achilles tendon. Dressing percentage was calculated as follows: weight of cold carcass/empty BW \times 100. The carcasses were split along the spine and the kidney knob and channel fat (KKCF) from both sides were removed and weighed. Subsequently,




Figure 1 Average daily gain (ADG) of bulls produced according to continuous growth (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) systems during different time periods (mean \pm s.e.). a–c: Different letters differ significantly ($P < 0.05$).

carcass fatness was assessed using a 15-point scale (1 for very limited fat cover to 15 for very extensive fat cover) based on subcutaneous fat deposition as described by Boer *et al.* (1974). After 24 h of chilling at 6°C, the carcasses were then stored at 4°C until 7 days *postmortem* (cold carcass). The muscle pH and temperature of the *longissimus thoracis* (Lt) muscle (12th dorsal vertebrae) were assessed at 45 min, 3 h and 24 h *postmortem* to control chilling rate and pH decline in order to prevent carcasses with the risk for cold shortening (pH above 6.0 at 10 to 12°C and pH₂₄ above 5.8) being included in the present study, as recently reviewed by Warner *et al.* (2014).

After ageing, carcasses were jointed and two 2.5-cm-thick steaks were taken from the mid-point of the following muscles: *supraspinatus* (Ss), *triceps brachii* (Tb), *semitendinosus* (St), *biceps femoris* (Bf), *rectus femoris (quadriceps femoris)* (Qf), *gluteus medius* (Gm), *semimembranosus* (Sm) and from the space between the 8th and 12th dorsal vertebrae in the Lt muscle. The steaks, collected from the right carcass side, were vacuum packed and frozen at -30°C for subsequent analysis. The left leg was dissected into bone, muscle, subcutaneous fat and IMF. This joint has been suggested to be representative of the overall bovine carcass composition in the Alentejana breed (Simões and Mendes, 2003).

Shear force measurements

After thawing for 24 h at 4°C, steaks were grilled to an internal temperature of 70°C (Lufft C120, Munchen, Germany) and 8 to 10 cores parallel to the direction of the muscle fibres were taken from each steak. Shear force, expressed in kg, was measured in a texturometer (TA-tx2i Texture Analyser; Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) equipped with a Warner–Bratzler shear device (WBSF), and data were collected using a specific software (Texture Expert Exceed; Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK).

IMF

The IMF was determined using fresh samples from the eight muscles by hydrolysis with 4 M HCl followed by Soxhlet

extraction, for 6 h, with petroleum ether (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2000).

Myofibril fragmentation index (MFI)

The MFI was determined using frozen samples obtained 7 days *postmortem* according to the method described by Culler *et al.* (1978).

Total and soluble collagen

Total collagen (TC) from the eight muscles was estimated from the hydroxyproline content of 4 g of minced muscle as described by the International Standard-ISO 3496 (1994).

Heat-soluble collagen (SC) content was determined according to a procedure adapted from (Hill, 1966). Duplicate samples (4 g) were homogenized (IKA T-25 Ultra-Turrax, Staufen, Germany) for 30 s and heated at 78°C for 70 min in 0.25 strength Ringer's solution. After centrifugation, the supernatant was hydrolysed and total collagen content was determined. Insoluble collagen (IC) was determined by calculating the difference between total collagen and heat-soluble collagen. Collagen was expressed as mg/g of live weight fresh muscle.

Meat colour

At seven days *postmortem*, the lean meat colour of the eight muscles was measured using a Minolta CR-300 chromameter (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) in the lightness (L^*), redness (a^*) and yellowness (b^*) system, 1 h after air exposure to allow blooming.

Statistical analysis

Meat and carcass traits were analysed using the Proc Mixed procedure of SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), considering the animal as the experimental unit. The model included the fixed effect of production system (CG *v.* DG). Differences between groups were examined for statistical significance at $P < 0.05$ using the PDIFF option. All data were reported as mean \pm standard error. Average daily gains (ADGs) for each experimental time period were evaluated and are presented in Figure 1, using the STATISTICA software (StatSoft Inc., 2004, Tulsa, OK, USA). Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated using the STATISTICA software in order to elucidate possible associations between meat and carcass traits, after Bonferroni correction.

Results

Daily gain and carcass traits

The ingredients and chemical composition of the experimental diets are presented in Table 1.

Regarding Figure 1, the ADG between the beginning of the trial (9 months of age) and 15 months of age was more than 1.5 kg/day greater ($P < 0.0001$) in CG than in DG animals. Conversely, in the period between 15 and 18 months of age, the ADG was almost 0.5 kg greater ($P = 0.0004$) in DG than in CG bovines. ADGs for DG bulls were ~1.3 and 1.1 kg/day

Table 1 Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets

	Concentrate feed (n = 2)	Hay (n = 1)
Ingredient (g/kg fresh weight basis)		
Maize	325	
Wheat	201	
Barley	197	
Soya bean meal	135	
Sunflower meal	80	
Hydrogenated fat	13	
Calcium carbonate	20	
Sodium bicarbonate	10	
Calcium phosphate	9	
Salt	8	
Vitamin–mineral premix [†]	2	
Chemical composition (g/kg DM)		
CP	139	65
Crude fat	30	9.0
Crude fibre	58	367
Total-N	22	10
NDF	188	622
ADF		475
ADL		59
Starch	483	24
Gross energy (MJ/kg DM)	15.4	17.3
Ash	60	91
Calcium	13	8.7
Phosphorus	5.0	2.9
Silica	3.3	
Sodium		1.3
Potassium		22
Magnesium		1.4
Dry matter (DM, %)	88.5	90.9
In vitro DM Digestibility (%)		55.1

n = number of diet samples.

[†]Vitamin–mineral premix contained per kg: 5000 IU vitamin A, 1000 IU vitamin D3, 20 IU vitamin E, 0.16 mg citric acid, 9.5 mg Fe, 110.6 mg Zn, 52.5 mg Mn, 0.3 mg Mo, 0.53 mg Co, 0.11 mg Se, 6.75 mg I, 25.0 mg BHT and 0.16 mg ethoxyquin.

Table 2 Carcass traits for bulls produced according to continuous (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) systems

	CG (n = 17)	DG (n = 17)	s.e.m.	P-value
Initial weight (kg)	249	237	11	0.41
Slaughter weight (kg)	643	606	14	0.08
Hot carcass weight (kg)	369	339	9	0.02
Dressing (%)	57.3	56.0	0.4	0.03
KKCF (%)	2.7	2.2	0.2	0.06
Fatness score [†]	7.2	5.6	0.3	<0.001

KKCF = kidney knob and channel fat.

[†]The fatness score was evaluated using a scale ranging from 1 (very low fat cover) to 15 (very high fat cover).

from 18 to 21 months of age and from 21 to 24 months of age, respectively.

The initial weight was similar between production systems ($P = 0.41$). On average, the CG bulls had about 37 kg heavier ($P = 0.08$) slaughter weights than DG animals (Table 2). Compared with DG bulls, the CG bovines had 25 kg

Table 3 Leg joint dissection variables for bulls produced according to continuous (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) production systems

	CG (n = 17)	DG (n = 17)	s.e.m.	P-value
Leg dissection (g/100 g)				
Muscle	77.4	76.6	0.7	0.38
Bone	11.7	12.1	0.4	0.46
Subcutaneous fat	5.80	5.92	0.25	0.37
Inter-muscular fat	4.76	5.07	0.22	0.34
Total fat	10.6	11.0	0.4	0.50
Others	0.34	0.31	0.02	0.48
Ratios				
Muscle/total fat	7.67	7.06	0.35	0.22
Muscle/bone	6.80	6.47	0.30	0.42

Total fat = subcutaneous + inter-muscular fat.
Others = lymphatic ganglia, large blood vessels and nerves, tendons and joint capsules.

Table 4 Longissimus thoracis muscle temperature and pH values of bulls produced according to continuous (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) production systems

	CG (n = 17)	DG (n = 17)	s.e.m.	P-value
Temperature (°C)				
45 m	32.8	35.6	0.9	0.06
3 h	26.9	27.8	0.7	0.35
24 h	14.7	11.1	0.5	<0.001
pH				
45 m	6.63	6.29	0.06	<0.001
3 h	6.36	5.99	0.05	<0.001
24 h	5.67	5.41	0.05	0.001

heavier hot carcass (HCW) ($P = 0.023$), 1.2% greater dressing ($P = 0.001$), 0.5% of KKCF ($P = 0.06$) and a 1.6 greater fatness score.

The production system had no effect ($P > 0.05$) neither on the leg joint dissection tissues nor on the muscle/total fat and muscle/bone ratios (Table 3).

Meat quality traits

The Lt temperature was 2.8°C higher in DG than in CG animals at 45 min ($P = 0.061$), similar at 3 h and 3.6°C higher in CG than in DG animals at 24 h ($P < 0.001$) (Table 4). Longissimus muscle pH values were consistently greater during all three measurements in CG compared with DG carcasses ($P < 0.01$).

Meat traits of the Ss, Tb, St, Bf, Qf, Gm, Sm and Lt muscles are presented in Table 5. The effect of production system on meat traits greatly depended on the muscle considered. The production system had no noticeable influence ($P > 0.06$) neither on IMF content nor on MFI_{7 days} values across muscles. In contrast, the total (TC) and insoluble (IC) collagen contents were greater in Qf ($P < 0.01$) and lower in Bf ($P < 0.05$) in DG and CG systems, respectively. The DG group had greater SC level in Tb ($P = 0.041$), St ($P = 0.0023$) and Qf ($P = 0.041$) muscles than the CG bulls. As a consequence,

Table 5 Meat quality traits for bulls produced according to continuous (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) production systems

	Supraspinatus (Ss) monophasic growth low impetus				Triceps brachii (Tb) monophasic growth medium impetus				Semitendinosus (St) biphasic growth high-medium impetus				Biceps femoris (Bf) biphasic growth high-medium impetus				Quadriceps femoris (Qf) monophasic growth low impetus				Gluteus medius (Gm) biphasic growth high-medium impetus				Semimembranosus (Sm) biphasic growth high-medium impetus				Longissimus thoracis (Lt) monophasic growth high impetus			
	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value	CG	DG	s.e.m.	P-value
IMF	2.17	2.10	0.22	0.83	1.45	1.64	0.15	0.38	1.55	1.96	0.16	0.066	1.88	1.74	0.17	0.57	1.53	1.90	0.17	0.14	1.71	1.73	0.12	0.94	1.41	1.22	0.12	0.28	1.63	1.33	0.15	0.16
TC	8.24	7.34	0.49	0.20	5.87	6.59	0.46	0.28	6.29	7.19	0.33	0.066	6.88	5.76	0.36	0.034	4.88	6.64	0.41	0.005	4.61	4.96	0.25	0.32	4.86	5.36	0.29	0.27	4.06	4.42	0.16	0.12
IC	7.56	6.57	0.46	0.20	5.26	5.83	0.45	0.38	5.74	6.48	0.31	0.11	6.24	5.16	0.33	0.031	4.47	6.09	0.38	0.005	4.03	4.33	0.19	0.29	4.53	4.94	0.28	0.29	3.69	4.07	0.15	0.090
SC	0.68	0.62	0.06	0.46	0.61	0.76	0.05	0.048	0.52	0.71	0.04	0.002	0.64	0.60	0.04	0.54	0.41	0.60	0.05	0.041	0.55	0.63	0.08	0.50	0.33	0.42	0.03	0.098	0.37	0.35	0.02	0.47
SC/TC	8.16	8.89	0.71	0.47	10.6	12.6	1.0	0.16	8.23	10.0	0.47	0.011	9.24	10.6	0.47	0.044	8.32	7.97	0.48	0.61	11.9	12.3	0.1	0.84	6.74	7.64	0.52	0.24	9.13	8.09	0.47	0.13
MFI _{7 days}	41.5	36.7	3.3	0.34	37.1	36.1	2.8	0.80	33.7	37.0	2.6	0.36	43.2	43.1	4.1	0.99	39.1	34.9	3.0	0.32	41.7	37.1	3.2	0.32	40.2	32.6	3.0	0.089	34.3	28.9	2.7	0.17
WBSF	8.25	7.07	0.34	0.022	11.7	8.38	0.62	<0.001	9.27	8.17	0.28	0.008	10.8	7.11	0.48	<0.001	7.52	7.14	0.37	0.47	8.20	7.30	0.37	0.097	9.99	9.23	0.58	0.35	7.73	6.96	0.43	0.23
L*	36.6	35.7	0.5	0.19	35.1	31.1	0.6	>0.001	39.9	34.7	0.43	>0.001	36.2	36.2	0.4	0.96	35.2	35.2	0.5	0.98	35.6	33.3	0.5	0.002	37.5	35.7	0.5	0.026	37.1	37.0	0.3	0.83
a*	19.8	17.3	0.5	<0.001	15.7	15.7	0.2	0.99	17.8	14.1	0.9	0.007	20.3	17.8	0.4	<0.001	16.7	14.0	0.6	0.005	20.9	21.8	0.3	0.074	21.4	18.3	0.6	<0.001	18.0	17.5	0.3	0.31
b*	9.43	8.33	0.19	<0.001	4.13	5.49	0.29	0.003	9.65	9.86	0.26	0.55	10.1	9.36	0.26	0.062	4.21	5.56	0.44	0.039	11.0	12.1	0.2	<0.001	10.2	9.51	0.34	0.16	9.85	9.23	0.27	0.12

IMF = intra-muscular fat (g/100 g of fresh muscle); TC = total collagen (mg/g of fresh muscle); IC = insoluble collagen (mg/g of fresh muscle); SC = soluble collagen (mg/g of fresh muscle), MFI_{7 days} = myofibril fragmentation index; WBSF = Warner-Bratzler shear force (kg); L*, a*, b* = colour parameters. Muscle growth impetus according to (Berg and Butterfield, 1976).

the SC/TC was greater in St ($P = 0.011$) and Bf ($P = 0.044$) muscles in the DG group compared with their counterparts.

The WBSF values for Ss, Tb, St and Bf muscles were lower ($P < 0.03$) for DG compared with CG bulls. There were no production system differences ($P > 0.09$) in WBSF for Qf, Gm, Sm and Lt muscles.

The colour parameters were widely affected by the production system.

L^* values were lower ($P < 0.03$) in Tb, St, Gm and Sm muscles from DG bulls.

A similar trend was observed for the a^* parameter, DG bulls had lower values ($P < 0.01$) for the Ss, St, Bf, Qf and Sm muscles than bulls on the CG system. The b^* value was higher ($P < 0.05$) in DG bulls for Tb, Qf and Gm muscles compared with the CG system. Interestingly, the converse was observed for the Ss muscle with the higher value in the CG group ($P = 0.0004$).

Correlations between carcass and meat traits

Correlations between carcass and meat traits are presented in Table 6. The muscles are presented whenever the correlation between carcass and meat traits was found significant ($P < 0.05$) within muscles.

For the CG production system, positive correlations were recorded between HCW and the following: IMF for Tb ($r = 0.57, P = 0.03$) and St ($r = 0.51, P = 0.04$); MFI_{7 days} for Qf ($r = 0.52, P = 0.04$); and WBSF for Lt ($r = 0.50, P = 0.04$) muscles. In contrast, the HCW was negatively correlated with SC/TC for Lt ($r = -0.50, P = 0.04$) muscle. Furthermore, the increase in KKCF was positively correlated with IMF in St ($r = 0.59, P = 0.009$) and Gm ($0.62, P = 0.007$); MFI_{7 days} for Bf ($0.50, P = 0.04$); and WBSF for Ss ($r = 0.49, P = 0.04$) muscles. Moreover, positive correlations were found between IMF and MFI_{7 days} for Bf ($0.61, P = 0.009$); and WBSF for Ss ($r = 0.50, P = 0.04$); and Gm ($r = 0.56, P = 0.02$) muscle. The SC/TC ratio was positively correlated with MFI_{7 days} for Gm muscle ($r = 0.58, P = 0.01$) and negatively correlated with WBSF for St ($r = -0.50, P = 0.04$), Qf ($r = -0.49, P = 0.04$) and Lt ($r = -0.51, P = 0.04$).

Concerning the DG production system, a noticeable relationship was observed between the carcass traits HCW and KKCF ($r = 0.50, P = 0.04$). An increase in HCW was related to a lower SC/TC ratio in Bf ($r = -0.62, P = 0.008$) and St ($r = -0.67, P = 0.004$) muscles. Moreover, positive correlations between HCW and MFI_{7 days} were recorded for Ss ($r = 0.49, P = 0.04$) and Gm ($r = 0.53, P = 0.03$)

Table 6 Pearson correlation coefficients between carcass and meat quality in bulls produced according to continuous (CG) and discontinuous growth (DG) production systems

	HCW	KKCF	IMF	SC/TC	MFI _{7 days}	WBSF
Continuous growth (CG)						
HCW			Tb (0.57)* St (0.51)*	- Lt (-0.50)*	Qf (0.52)*	Lt (0.50)*
KKCF			St (0.59)** Gm (0.62)**		Bf (0.50)*	Ss (0.49)*
IMF					Bf (0.61)**	Ss (0.50)* Gm (0.56)*
SC/TC					Gm (0.58)*	- St (-0.50)* - Qf (-0.49)* - Lt (-0.51)*
MFI _{7 days}						
WBSF						
Discontinuous growth (DG)						
HCW		§ (0.50)*		- Bf (-0.62)** - St (-0.67)**	Ss (0.49)* - Lt (-0.50)* Gm (0.53)*	Ss (0.56)*
KKCF			Ss (0.71)** Tb (0.60)** Qf (0.60)**		- Lt (-0.51)*	Ss (0.50)* - Tb (-0.66)** - Bf (-0.50)*
IMF				St (0.54)*	- Lt (-0.51)*	- Tb (-0.49)*
SC/TC						
MFI _{7 days}						
WBSF						

HCW = hot carcass weight (kg); KKCF = kidney knob and channel fat (kg); IMF = intra-muscular fat (g/100 g of fresh muscle); IC = insoluble collagen (mg/g of fresh muscle); SC = soluble collagen (mg/g of fresh muscle); MFI_{7 days} = myofibril fragmentation index; WBSF = Warner-Bratzler shear force (kg); Ss = *supraspinatus*; Tb = *triceps brachii*; St = *semitendinosus*; Bf = *biceps femoris*; Qf = *quadriceps femoris*; Gm = *gluteus medius*; Sm = *semimembranosus* (Sm); Lt = *longissimus thoracis* muscles.

Correlation values for each muscle are presented in brackets.

**significant at 1% ($P < 0.01$), *significant at 5% ($P < 0.05$), §: non-muscle dependent correlation.

muscles. In contrast, a negative correlation was observed between HCW and $MFI_{7 \text{ days}}$ in Lt ($r = -0.50$, $P = 0.04$) muscle. A direct correlation was observed between HCW and WBSF in Ss ($r = 0.56$, $P = 0.02$) muscle. Furthermore, increasing KKCF proportions in Ss ($r = 0.71$, $P = 0.002$), Tb ($r = 0.60$, $P = 0.006$) and Qf ($r = 0.60$, $P = 0.007$) muscles were associated with greater levels of IMF proportion and lower $MFI_{7 \text{ days}}$ in Lt ($r = -0.51$, $P = 0.04$) muscle. Moreover, increasing the KKCF amount was associated with a greater WBSF in Ss ($r = 0.50$, $P = 0.04$) and with lower WBSF values in Tb ($r = -0.66$, $P = 0.004$) and Bf ($r = -0.50$, $P = 0.04$) muscles. In addition, the IMF level was positively correlated with SC/TC in St ($r = 0.54$, $P = 0.03$) and was negatively correlated with $MFI_{7 \text{ days}}$ in Lt ($r = -0.51$, $P = 0.04$) muscle. A negative correlation was observed between IMF and WBSF in Tb ($r = -0.49$, $P = 0.04$) muscle.

Discussion

Carcass traits

Regarding carcass traits, at 18 months of age, the bulls of the CG production system had similar ($P > 0.05$) slaughter weights compared with bulls of the DG system and slaughtered at 24 months of age. However, the carcasses from the latter group were lighter, which could be due to a lower dressing %.

The compensatory growth in beef cattle is characterized by high growth rates (Carstens *et al.*, 1991) due to improved efficiency in growth and fattening, as a result of an increased feed intake, an increased efficiency in the utilization of protein and energy and an adjustment in energy partitioning (Allingham *et al.*, 1998). Depending on restriction length and intensity, the reduction in the level of energy and protein intake generally leads to a decrease in growth rate with a more pronounced effect on fat deposition (Robelin and Geay, 1984).

Regarding the period of re-feeding (15 to 18 months of age), the daily gain was more than 0.45 kg greater in the DG group than in the CG group, reflecting the high restriction level used in the experiment. Indeed, under certain limits, the duration of the compensatory growth is proportional to the level of restriction (Coleman and Evans, 1986). The 15 to 18 months of age period in DG bulls was followed by a sharp decline in ADG, which could be explained by the completion of compensatory growth, and, therefore, an increase in fat deposition. This result is in line with the knowledge that compensatory growth is the highest when the period of growth restriction is relatively short (about 3 months in cattle) (Hornick *et al.*, 2000).

In general, *ad libitum*-fed animals have greater dressing percentages than those fed the same diet on a restricted scale. Moreover, the content of the digestive tract differs widely between animals fed different diets (Béranger and Robelin, 1978). Thus, significant modifications in the diet, when cattle change from concentrate feeding to roughage diets, which increases the weight of both the digestive tract and gut contents, could negatively influence dressing percentage (Kempester, 1992). When compared with CG bulls, the lower dressing proportion found in DG animals reveals that the

finishing period (9 months) on *ad libitum*-fed concentrates provided to the DG group, in order to obtain an acceptable commercial carcass, could not have been sufficient to neutralize the effects of the hay-fed phase on the digestive system and some fat depots (including fat cover), which are known to determine dressing percentage (Kempester, 1992).

Tissues react differentially to feed restriction and some are more depleted than others (viscera > adipose tissue > muscle) (Hornick *et al.*, 2000). Fatness score depends on subcutaneous fat deposition, which can be more easily depleted than other fat depots during periods of low energy input (Yambayamba *et al.*, 1996), as occurred in DG bulls. During recovery, the gross efficiency of weight gain (weight gain/kg of feed intake) in DG is greater than in CG animals at the same BW, because the weight gain in the former is mainly due to less fat accumulation and more water and protein (Robelin and Tulloh, 1992). The divergent tissue composition and weight increases between continuous and compensatory growth animals could help explain the differences observed in KKCF and fatness score between CG and DG bulls. The DG had 1.6 points lower fatness score than CG bulls, but this difference probably could have only minor commercial implications. According to the European Union grid method (European Economic Community Regulations (EEC) no. 1208/81 and no. 2930/81), the value corresponds to fat class 2 in DG and 3 in CG carcasses. In contrast to carcass fatness score, the finishing period on concentrates was sufficient to reverse the negative effects of feed restriction on leg muscle, bone and fat contents, as no differences were observed on leg joint dissection between CG and DG bulls (Table 3). The leg joint dissection is a rough estimation of overall carcass tissue composition. However, due to its economic relevance, this finding should be considered by cattle producers when they choose the production system in Mediterranean areas.

Meat traits

Despite the lower temperature at 45 min ($P = 0.06$), there was a slower decrease of meat temperature between 45 min and 24 h after slaughter in CG than in the DG group (data not shown), which may be explained by the greater proportion of carcass fat cover in CG bulls, preventing heat escape. A slower drop in temperature is expected to be associated with a quicker decrease in muscle pH, because higher meat temperature stimulates enzymatic activity and accelerates the rate of pH decline in muscles. Beef carcasses undergoing rapid pH fall while the loin muscle temperature is still high are described as heat shortened, with subsequent negative effects on quality traits (Warner *et al.*, 2014). The temperature at which the muscle enters rigour will impact on the degree of muscle shortening. Cold shortening occurs at temperatures below 15°C, and heat shortening occurs above 20°C (Devine *et al.*, 1999), and each is known to influence the quality characteristics of meat, to varying degrees, particularly the tenderness (Warner *et al.*, 2014).

However, the relationships between temperature and pH values are not easily established in the present study because the pH in Lt muscle was lower in DG than in CG bulls

at 45 min, 3 and 24 h, but the temperature was similar between production systems at 45 min and 3 h and was lower in DG bulls at 24 h.

In contrast to carcass subcutaneous fat, the IMF content across muscles was not affected by the production system. The muscle IMF content may affect meat palatability, particularly flavour, juiciness and tenderness of cooked beef. Low amounts of IMF can decrease the eating experience for consumers (Hocquette *et al.*, 2010). In fact, marbling score has been reported as the carcass grade trait most highly, positively correlated with the beef palatability attributes (May *et al.*, 1992). In the present study, the negative correlations between IMF content and WBSF were limited to Tb muscle in DG group (Table 6). Unexpectedly, a positive correlation between those quality traits was observed for Ss and Gm muscles in the CG group, which were among the muscles with the highest IMF content.

Meat tenderness strongly influences the consumer's re-purchase and choices for a particular meat cut and/or brand (Schönfeldt and Strydom, 2011; Costa *et al.*, 2012). The two major factors determining meat tenderness are the ageing time and the quantity and characteristics of the intramuscular collagen (Takahashi, 1996; Chriki *et al.*, 2012). The influence of the production system on WBSF was muscle-dependent, which is in agreement with the findings from other authors (Hansen *et al.*, 2006a). Interestingly, within muscles, the WBSF numeric values were greater in CG than in DG bulls. However, the differences were only statically significant ($P < 0.05$) for Ss, Tb, St and Bf muscles.

The Ss, Tb, St and Bf muscles are those in which the connective tissue content gives a major contribution to tenderness (Koochmaria *et al.*, 2002). In general, muscles with greater collagen content possess higher crosslink concentrations per unit of collagen than muscles with lower collagen content (Light *et al.*, 1985). It is noteworthy that the WBSF, but not the MFI_{7 days} values, were significantly affected by the production system for these four muscles (Table 5). This figure could be, in part, associated with the effects on collagen quality, particularly the SC content in Tb and St muscles. Newly synthesized collagen could dilute the pre-existing, heat-stable collagen, leading to increased collagen solubility. Positive correlations between WBSF and collagen content were previously reported (Oury *et al.*, 2009) and were confirmed in the present study only for the St, Qf and Lt muscles within CG bulls (Table 6). These results suggest that collagen content has a different response to production systems depending on the muscle considered, which is in agreement with studies by Cassar-Malek *et al.* (2004). These authors stated that nutrition in cattle plays a significant role in the regulation of muscle characteristics, and this regulation is highly dependent on muscle type. Individual muscles respond differently to a compensatory growth path in terms of collagen solubility. However, the contribution of collagen to beef tenderness depends on ageing time, and comparisons with results from other studies should be carried out with caution when different ageing times are used.

Meat tenderness is associated with protein degradation, influenced by the *postmortem* activity of proteolytic enzymes.

As these enzymes are also involved in protein turnover in the living muscles, it could be expected that feed-restriction, followed by re-alimentation, could have the potential to improve tenderness (Therkildsen, 2005). Rapid growth, as observed in the compensatory phase, increases protein turnover and the ratio between protein synthesis and degradation in connective tissue and myofibrils, making these younger and more liable to breakdown during conditioning and cooking. Generally, the structural changes in intra-muscular connective tissue caused by compensatory growth have a positive effect on SC content, reversing the negative effects of age on solubility (Gerrard *et al.*, 1987). This could explain the increased amount of SC observed in Tb, St and Qf muscles from older DG bulls. As quoted before, these differences in SC content between DG and CG bulls may have contributed to explain the lower WBSF values found in the group slaughtered at an older age.

Paradoxically, the MFI_{7 days} value was not affected by the production system for any muscle. This contrasts with previous studies addressing the effects of feed restriction and compensatory growth in steers (Jones *et al.*, 1990; Muir *et al.*, 2001), calves (Therkildsen *et al.*, 2002) and bulls slaughtered before 13 months of age (Therkildsen, 2005; Hansen *et al.*, 2006a). These authors reported that compensatory growth promotes *postmortem* myofibrillar protein degradation as a consequence of a greater protein turnover before slaughter. However, in opposition to studies by Hansen *et al.* (2006a), Jones *et al.* (1990) and Therkildsen (2005) where the compensation period was short (≤ 5 months), the DG had a long period (9 months) of re-feeding and the ADG peak occurred between 15 and 18 months of age. It is possible that, at 24 months of age, part of the effects on myofibrillar proteins were diluted and no longer observed. In addition, the lack of a production system effect on MFI_{7 days} may be attributed to the inhibitory effects of testosterone on protein turnover, which is known to peak at around 15 months of age (Gerrard *et al.*, 1987), and to an enhanced calpastatin activity. Increased *postmortem* activity of calpastatin was reported to decrease the activity of μ -calpain, and therefore the amount of *postmortem* protein degradation and tenderization (Morton *et al.*, 1999). The inhibitory effect of testosterone on myofibrillar protein degradation was not extended to the connective tissue in the present study. This is consistent with the findings of Geesink *et al.* (2006), who reported that μ -calpain is the enzyme primarily responsible for *postmortem* degradation of myofibrillar proteins, acting on muscle contraction structure. Research has shown that μ -calpain action is temperature- and pH-dependent, as it is modulated by calpastatin binding, which in turn is influenced by both factors (Morton *et al.*, 1999). These authors found that the lower the pH the faster the decline in μ -calpain activity, and both were positively related to meat tenderness at 48 h *postmortem*. In the present study, the pH at 45 m, 3 h and 24 h evaluated in the Lt muscle was greater in CG when compared with the DG group (Table 4). However, no differences were found between groups neither for MFI_{7 days} nor

for WBSF values in Lt muscle. Furthermore, no significant correlations were found between $MFI_{7 \text{ days}}$ and WBSF within CG and DG groups (Table 6), which re-inforce the lack of relationship between these two parameters in this study. Taking into account the differences in Lt pH between CG and DG groups and the role of pH in beef tenderness, it would have been useful to assess the levels of glucose, lactate, adrenaline and cortisol in blood in order to better understand the effect of growth path in muscle pH and WBSF. This remark should be considered in future studies about the effect of growth path on meat quality.

Meat colour is one of the most important characteristics according to which consumers make judgements on meat quality. It influences the consumer's purchase decisions because it is perceived as a measure of freshness and quality (Mancini and Hunt, 2005).

The ultimate pH is highly correlated to meat colour and lightness in particular (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). Regarding the Lt muscle (Tables 4 and 5), if the pH at 24 h is considered as ultimate pH, the difference between CG and DG bulls (0.26 pH units, $P = 0.0011$) was not sufficient to cause differences in colour. However, among the eight muscles studied, the Lt muscle was the only one where the production system did not affect the colour parameters. However, the ultimate pH is not the only factor responsible for differences in meat colour (Priolo *et al.*, 2001). In a study by Hornick *et al.* (1998), higher a^* and b^* values in the Lt muscle were found in groups that exhibited a more noticeable compensatory growth. The authors associated the higher colour values with the higher temperature found in Lt muscle after slaughter. In the present study, a relationship between colour parameters and carcass temperature (evaluated in the Lt muscle) was only observed in Ss, Qf and Sm muscles, where the CG bulls had the greater a^* values and higher carcass temperatures at 24 h *postmortem*. The b^* values did not follow the same pattern, and when compared with CG bulls, DG bulls had higher b^* values in Tb and Qf and lower values in Ss, St and Bf muscles. In general, it was expected that colour variables would be higher in older animals (DG bulls), because muscle myoglobin increases ageing. However, other muscle-related factors including muscle allometry, chemical composition and fibre profile, all of which are known to be influenced by age and growth rate, could have contributed to the differences found in colour parameters.

Conclusions

Taking advantage of compensatory growth in bovine production could represent a relevant economic opportunity, which contributes to the environmental sustainability and biodiversity in Mediterranean areas. The compensatory growth production system produced slight negative effects on hot carcass weight, fatness and dressing percentage, but the proportions between fat, bone and muscle remained the same. In contrast, there was an overall positive impact of compensatory growth on muscle tenderness, overcoming the

negative effects of age at slaughter. The reasons for such an improvement in meat tenderness are not clear because neither IMF nor myofibrillar protein degradation could explain the differences between production systems. Moreover, an association between meat tenderness and collagen properties was difficult to establish, possibly due to the short ageing time. Finally, our results indicate that compensatory growth has a muscle-dependent effect and a significant impact on several muscle and carcass characteristics.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) through financial support from FCT grants (PTDC/CVT/65707/2006 and PTDC/CVT/111744/2009) and individual fellowships to Paulo Costa (SFRH/BPD/46135/2008) and to Ana S. H. Costa (SFRH/BD/61068/2009). We are grateful to António Sequeira for his decisive role in animal management during the trial. We are grateful to the EZN slaughterhouse staff, particularly to Paula Menezes for her help with carcass data and meat sample collection.

References

- Allingham PG, Harper GS and Hunter RA 1998. Effect of growth path on the tenderness of the semitendinosus muscle of Brahman-cross steers. *Meat Science* 48, 65–73.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) 2000. Official methods of analysis, 17th edition. AOAC, Arlington, VA, USA.
- Béranger R and Robelin J 1978. Estimation du poids du contenu digestif des bovins à partir du poids du contenu du rumen. *Annales de Zootechnie* 27, 639–645.
- Berg RT and Butterfield RM 1976. New concepts of cattle growth. Sydney University Press, Sydney, Australia.
- Boer HD, Dumont BL, Pomeroy RW and Weniger JH 1974. Manual on E.A.A.P. reference methods for the assessment of carcass characteristics in cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 1, 151–164.
- Carstens GE, Johnson DE, Ellenberger MA and Tatum JD 1991. Physical and chemical components of the empty body during compensatory growth in beef steers. *Journal of Animal Science* 69, 3251–3264.
- Cassar-Malek I, Hocquette JF, Jurie C, Lustrat A, Jailler R, Bauchart D, Briand Y and Picard B 2004. Muscle-specific metabolic, histochemical and biochemical responses to a nutritionally induced discontinuous growth path. *Animal Science* 79, 49–59.
- Chriki S, Gardner G, Jurie C, Picard B, Micol D, Brun J-P, Journaux L and Hocquette J-F 2012. Cluster analysis application identifies muscle characteristics of importance for beef tenderness. *BMC Biochemistry* 13, 29–33.
- Coleman SW and Evans BC 1986. Effect of nutrition, age and size on compensatory growth in two breeds of steers. *Journal of Animal Science* 63, 1968–1982.
- Costa P, Lemos JP, Lopes PA, Alfaia CM, Costa ASH, Bessa RJB and Prates JAM 2012. Effect of low- and high-forage diets on meat quality and fatty acid composition of Alentejana and Barrosa beef breeds. *Animal* 6, 1187–1197.
- Culler RD, Smith GC and Cross HR 1978. Relationship of myofibril fragmentation index to certain chemical, physical and sensory characteristics of bovine Longissimus muscle. *Journal of Food Science* 43, 1177–1180.
- Devine CE, Wahlgren NM and Tornberg E 1999. Effect of rigor temperature on muscle shortening and tenderisation of restrained and unrestrained beef M. longissimus thoracicus et lumborum. *Meat Science* 51, 61–72.
- Geesink GH, Kuchay S, Chishti AH and Koohmaraie M 2006. μ -Calpain is essential for postmortem proteolysis of muscle proteins. *Journal of Animal Science* 84, 2834–2840.
- Gerrard DE, Jones SJ, Aberle ED, Lemenager RP, Diekmann MA and Judge MD 1987. Collagen stability, testosterone secretion and meat tenderness in growing bulls and steers. *Journal of Animal Science* 65, 1236–1242.

- Gil M, Serra X, Gispert M, Àngels Oliver M, Sañudo C, Panea B, Olleta JL, Campo M, Oliván M, Osoro K, García-Cachán MD, Cruz-Sagredo R, Izquierdo M, Espejo M, Martín M and Piedrafita J 2001. The effect of breed-production systems on the myosin heavy chain 1, the biochemical characteristics and the colour variables of *Longissimus thoracis* from seven Spanish beef cattle breeds. *Meat Science* 58, 181–188.
- Hansen S, Therkildsen M and Byrne DV 2006a. Effects of a compensatory growth strategy on sensory and physical properties of meat from bulls. *Meat Science* 74, 628–643.
- Hansen SL, Spears JW, Lloyd KE and Whisnant CS 2006b. Growth, reproductive performance, and manganese status of heifers fed varying concentrations of manganese. *Journal of Animal Science* 84, 3375–3380.
- Hill F 1966. The solubility of intramuscular collagen in meat animals of various ages. *Journal of Food Science* 31, 161–165.
- Hocquette JF, Gondret F, Baéza E, Médale F, Jurie C and Pethick DW 2010. Intramuscular fat content in meat-producing animals: development, genetic and nutritional control, and identification of putative markers. *Animal* 4, 303–319.
- Hornick JL, Van Eenaeme C, Clinquart A, Diez M and Istasse L 1998. Different periods of feed restriction before compensatory growth in Belgian Blue bulls: I. animal performance, nitrogen balance, meat characteristics, and fat composition. *Journal of Animal Science* 76, 249–259.
- Hornick JL, Van Eenaeme C, Gérard O, Dufrasne I and Istasse L 2000. Mechanisms of reduced and compensatory growth. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology* 19, 121–132.
- Jones SJ, Starkey DL, Calkins CR and Crouse JD 1990. Myofibrillar protein turnover in feed-restricted and realimented beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 68, 2707–2715.
- Kempester AJ 1992. Carcass characteristics and quality. In *Beef cattle production* (ed. R Jarrige and C Beranger), *World Animal Science* C5, pp. 169–187. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Koohmaraie M, Kent MP, Shackelford SD, Veiseth E and Wheeler TL 2002. Meat tenderness and muscle growth: is there any relationship? *Meat Science* 62, 345–352.
- Light N, Champion AE, Voyle C and Bailey AJ 1985. The rôle of epimysial, perimysial and endomysial collagen in determining texture in six bovine muscles. *Meat Science* 13, 137–149.
- Mancini RA and Hunt MC 2005. Current research in meat color. *Meat Science* 71, 100–121.
- May SG, Dolezal HG, Gill DR, Ray FK and Buchanan DS 1992. Effect of days fed, carcass grade traits, and subcutaneous fat removal on postmortem muscle characteristics and beef palatability. *Journal of Animal Science* 70, 444–453.
- Morton JD, Bickerstaffe R, Kent MP, Dransfield E and Keeley GM 1999. Calpain-calpastatin and toughness in *M. longissimus* from electrically stimulated lamb and beef carcasses. *Meat Science* 52, 71–79.
- Muir PD, Smith NB, Dobbie PM, Smith DR and Bown MD 2001. Effects of growth pathway on beef quality in 18-month-old Angus and South Devon × Angus pasture-fed steers. *Animal Science* 72, 297–308.
- Oury MP, Picard B, Briand M, Blanquet JP and Dumont R 2009. Interrelationships between meat quality traits, texture measurements and physicochemical characteristics of *M. rectus abdominis* from Charolais heifers. *Meat Science* 83, 293–301.
- Priolo A, Micol D and Agabriel J 2001. Effects of grass feeding systems on ruminant meat colour and flavour. A review. *Animal Research* 50, 185–200.
- Robelin J and Geay Y 1984. Body composition of cattle as affected by physiological status, breed, sex and diet. The Science Press, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Robelin J and Tulloh NH 1992. Patterns of growth of cattle. In *Beef cattle production* (ed. R Jarrige and C Beranger), *World Animal Science* C5, pp. 111–129. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Schönfeldt HC and Strydom PE 2011. Effect of age and cut on tenderness of South African beef. *Meat Science* 87, 206–218.
- Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL and Koohmaraie M 1997. Tenderness classification of beef: I. Evaluation of beef *longissimus* shear force at 1 or 2 days postmortem as a predictor of aged beef tenderness. *Journal of Animal Science* 75, 2417–2422.
- Simões J and Mendes I 2003. Distribution of tissues in carcasses at the same proportion of total fat in Portuguese cattle breeds. *Animal Research* 52, 287–298.
- Takahashi K 1996. Structural weakening of skeletal muscle tissue during post-mortem ageing of meat: the non-enzymatic mechanism of meat tenderization. *Meat Science* 43 (suppl. 1), 67–80.
- Thénard V, Dumont R, Grosse M, Trommschläger JM, Fiorelli JL and Roux M 2006. Grass steer production system to improve carcass and meat quality. *Livestock Science* 105, 185–197.
- Therkildsen M 2005. Muscle protein degradation in bull calves with compensatory growth. *Livestock Production Science* 98, 205–218.
- Therkildsen MLM, Larsen HGB and Vestergaard M 2002. Effect of growth rate on tenderness development and final tenderness of meat from Friesian calves. *Animal Science* 74, 253–264.
- Warner RD, Dunshea FR, Gutzke D, Lau J and Kearney G 2014. Factors influencing the incidence of high rigor temperature in beef carcasses in Australia. *Animal Production Science* 54, 363–374.
- Yambayamba ESK, Price MA and Jones SDM 1996. Compensatory growth of carcass tissues and visceral organs in beef heifers. *Livestock Production Science* 46, 19–32.