

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff  
Publications

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant  
Health Inspection Service

---

2014

# A Conceptual Model for the Impact of Climate Change on Fox Rabies in Alaska, 1980–2010

B. I. Kim

J. D. Blanton

A. T. Gilbert

USDA/APHIS/WS National Wildlife Research Center, Amy.T.Gilbert@aphis.usda.gov

L. Castrodale

K. Hueffer

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm\\_usdanwrc](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc)



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

---

Kim, B. I.; Blanton, J. D.; Gilbert, A. T.; Castrodale, L.; Hueffer, K.; Slate, D.; and Rupprecht, C. E., "A Conceptual Model for the Impact of Climate Change on Fox Rabies in Alaska, 1980–2010" (2014). *USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications*. 1423.

[https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm\\_usdanwrc/1423](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc/1423)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

---

**Authors**

B. I. Kim, J. D. Blanton, A. T. Gilbert, L. Castrodale, K. Hueffer, D. Slate, and C. E. Rupprecht

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# A Conceptual Model for the Impact of Climate Change on Fox Rabies in Alaska, 1980–2010

B. I. Kim<sup>1,2</sup>, J. D. Blanton<sup>2</sup>, A. Gilbert<sup>2</sup>, L. Castrodale<sup>3</sup>, K. Hueffer<sup>4</sup>, D. Slate<sup>5</sup> and C. E. Rupprecht<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>2</sup> National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

<sup>3</sup> Alaska Division of Public Health, Anchorage, AK, USA

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Arctic Biology and Department of Biology and Wildlife, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK, USA

<sup>5</sup> National Rabies Management Program, Wildlife Services, United States Department of Agriculture, Manchester, NH, USA

## Impacts

- A greater influence of global warming at latitudinal extremes is likely to result in the Arctic being more susceptible to climate change.
- Climate change is expected to have an impact on the population dynamics of red (*Vulpes vulpes*) and arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*), which will affect the local transmission dynamics of rabies. Arctic foxes are expected to be more adversely affected by climate change than red foxes.
- Additional research, including active surveillance at a finer geographic scale, is necessary to better predict the dynamics of rabies epidemiology in the Arctic region under a model of continued climate change.

## Keywords:

Climate change; rabies; red fox; arctic fox; epizootiology

## Correspondence:

J. Blanton. Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE, Mailstop G33, Atlanta, GA 30333, USA. Tel.: +1-404-639-2289; Fax: +1-404-639-1564; E-mail: asi5@cdc.gov

Received for publication July 2, 2012

doi: 10.1111/zph.12044

## Summary

The direct and interactive effects of climate change on host species and infectious disease dynamics are likely to initially manifest at latitudinal extremes. As such, Alaska represents a region in the United States for introspection on climate change and disease. Rabies is enzootic among arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*) throughout the northern polar region. In Alaska, arctic and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) are reservoirs for rabies, with most domestic animal and wildlife cases reported from northern and western coastal Alaska. Based on passive surveillance, a pronounced seasonal trend in rabid foxes occurs in Alaska, with a peak in winter and spring. This study describes climatic factors that may be associated with reported cyclic rabies occurrence. Based upon probabilistic modelling, a stronger seasonal effect in reported fox rabies cases appears at higher latitudes in Alaska, and rabies in arctic foxes appear disproportionately affected by climatic factors in comparison with red foxes. As temperatures continue a warming trend, a decrease in reported rabid arctic foxes may be expected. The overall epidemiology of rabies in Alaska is likely to shift to increased viral transmission among red foxes as the primary reservoir in the region. Information on fox and lemming demographics, in addition to enhanced rabies surveillance among foxes at finer geographic scales, will be critical to develop more comprehensive models for rabies virus transmission in the region.

## Introduction

During the 20th century, temperatures increased throughout the western Arctic (Stafford et al., 2000). This region is predicted to be more susceptible to climate change, as global warming is projected to have a stronger influence at

higher latitudes (Corell, 2005). For example, the average temperature of the Arctic increased from 4°C to 7°C during the 20th century, a larger increase than observed in most other geographic areas (Hassol, 2004). Climate change of this magnitude may be expected to alter the spread and proliferation of zoonoses by affecting host population

densities, home ranges, predator–prey relationships and seasonal disease dynamics (Epstein, 1999). Climate change may also affect the timing and perpetuation of outbreaks, as well as competition among sympatric species (Preston et al., 2008). Although some studies revealed that climate variation affected occurrence of zoonotic vectorborne diseases such as *Giardia lamblia* and *Echinococcus multilocularis* in the Arctic region (Rausch, 2003; Berner et al., 2005), many other zoonoses, including rabies, in the Arctic are not fully understood in terms of associations with progressive climate change (Parkinson and Butler, 2005; Hueffer et al., 2011).

Rabies is a disease caused by viruses in the family Rhabdoviridae, genus *Lyssavirus*. Rabies virus is the only *Lyssavirus* reported with multiple reservoirs in the order Carnivora and has a circumpolar distribution among arctic foxes. Arctic fox rabies virus variants are enzootic in both arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*) and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Alaska, with a hypothesized 3–4 year epizootic cycle based on reported cases throughout the north-west and coastal portions of the state (Dieterich, 1981). In general, arctic foxes use two different habitat types: inland and coastal tundra, including pack ice (Fuglei and Ims, 2008). Arctic foxes also exhibit long distance movements and inhabit sea ice for extensive periods (Pamperin et al., 2008). Genetic connectivity between arctic fox populations using sea ice has been described between Svalbard and Russian populations suggesting inter-continental movement of this host species (Noren et al., 2011). To our knowledge, no studies have been published concerning movement and home ranges of red foxes at high latitudes. Lemmings and other small rodents are the primary inland habitat food source of both arctic and red foxes. Rodent populations exhibit large cyclic fluctuations every 3–5 years, a primary driver of fox population dynamics (Stenseth, 1993; Roth, 2003) and could drive the need for long distance foraging by arctic foxes. While this cyclic prey population affect red foxes equally, nothing is known about winter home ranges of red foxes at high latitudes in response to low food availability. In coastal areas, shorebirds provide the main food source, which is more stable than inland lemming populations. Marine foods sources of arctic foxes mainly consist of seal carcasses, primarily the pups of ringed seals (*Pusa hispida*), left by polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*) on pack ice, with the abundance of this food source associated with arctic fox densities (Smith, 1987; Hiruki and Stirling, 1989; Roth, 2002). Both seals and polar bears are vulnerable to the loss of sea ice, which may impact the availability of this marine food source for arctic foxes (Kovacs and Lydersen, 2008; Wiig et al., 2008). However, the effect of climate change on arctic and red fox ecology and the epizootiology of rabies virus among these fox populations in the Arctic remains poorly understood.


We present an analysis of seasonal and climatic effects upon the reported occurrence of rabies in Alaska foxes. Our primary objectives are to identify climatic factors that may influence rabies dynamics in red and arctic foxes under a model of predicted climate change and to suggest appropriate directions for future research.

## Materials and Methods

In Alaska, and throughout the United States, rabies surveillance is a passive laboratory-based system. Animals exhibiting clinical signs compatible with rabies that was involved in a potential exposure to a human or domestic animal are submitted to the Alaska State Virology Laboratory for rabies diagnosis. A smaller subset of animals submitted for rabies diagnosis included wildlife that displayed compatible illness, but were not involved in a human or domestic animals exposure, particularly in areas where rabies has not been confirmed or routinely reported in the past. A total of 772 rabid foxes were reported from 1980 to 2010. However, due to sparse human population and a harsh environment, rabies is most certainly highly under reported. This study focused on six of the 27 boroughs or census areas in Alaska (North Slope, North-west Arctic, Nome census area, Wade Hampton, Bethel census area and Bristol Bay) (Fig. 1), which accounted for 680 (88.1%) of the reported rabid foxes over that period.

Climate data were obtained from the Alaska Climate Research Center, at the Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska Fairbanks. Five climate factors were extracted for each borough, including mean temperature (degrees Celsius), precipitation (cm), snowfall (cm), snow depth (cm) and temperature range (degrees Celsius, maximum temperature–minimum temperature), on a monthly basis from 1980 to 2010. Due to the lack of climate stations in Wade Hampton, climate data from Bethel were extrapolated based on geographic proximity and Wade Hampton census area cases were merged with cases reported from the Bethel Census area for analysis. Sea ice extent (the areal sum of sea ice covering the ocean where the percentage of sea ice covered area exceeds 15%) in the polar region was obtained from the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency Earth Observation Research Center (JAXA/EORC).

We used a Poisson regression model to examine the patterns of reported rabid foxes with respect to climate factors. Data were aggregated by month and borough for analysis ( $n = 1860$ ). Parameters evaluated included mean temperature, precipitation, snowfall, snow depth and temperature range (difference between maximum temperature and minimum temperature of each month), borough and season. The alpha level was set at 0.05, and  $P$ -values were obtained by using a Wald chi-square test. Due to the passive nature of rabies surveillance being associated with a human or



**Fig. 1.** Geographic distribution of arctic and red foxes with study area.

domestic animals exposure, the population density of each borough based on 2000 census data was used as an offset. Modelling was performed using SAS 9.2, and fit was evaluated using the deviance and Pearson chi-square of each model. Categorical variables for borough and season were evaluated to control for spatial and seasonal variations. Overdispersion was evaluated and not found to be a significant issue in the models. (Stokes et al., 2000).

To account for variations in rabies virus incubation period, which is estimated at approximately 2 weeks–3 months in foxes (Baer, 1975; Steck and Wandeler, 1980), lag periods from 1–3 months were evaluated for the different climate variables. Final lag periods were chosen based on univariate analysis to select significant lag periods with the strongest association by fox species. These lag periods


were then included in multivariate Poisson models for final model selection. Variable interactions were assessed in the model between average temperature and other climate factors. Collinearity was evaluated using a variance inflation factor (VIF) and condition indices (CI) in the model (Belsley et al., 1980; Belsley, 1991). Final model selection was carried out by backwards selection. After evaluating a global model for all reported rabid foxes in Alaska, separate models were developed for red and arctic foxes, to evaluate potential differences in susceptibility to climate change, as judged by quantitative differences in the effect coefficients. Deviance  $R^2$  was calculated as described and presented for comparison of the variability in predicted values from the observed data between the different models (Cameron and Windmeijer, 1996).

## Results

The annual number of reported rabid foxes was cyclical (Fig. 2). Periodograms from finite Fourier transformation of reported monthly cases of rabies in red and arctic foxes showed a strong signal at 12 months, compatible with seasonal variation. In addition, secondary signals suggestive of an inter-epizootic cycle were observed at 3.1 and 3.8 years for red and arctic foxes, respectively (data not shown). In total, 680 foxes submitted for rabies diagnosis from the study area were rabid with an approximately even divide between arctic foxes ( $n = 349$ ) and red foxes ( $n = 331$ ). Most rabid arctic foxes were reported from North Slope borough ( $n = 267$ , 76.5%), with the remainder distributed among the North-west Arctic ( $n = 14$ , 4%), Nome ( $n = 38$ , 10.9%) and Bethel ( $n = 30$ , 8.6%) boroughs/census areas. No rabid arctic foxes were reported from Bristol Bay borough. In contrast, red foxes were primarily reported from the Bethel/Wade Hampton area ( $n = 138$ , 41.7%), with the remainder reported from: Nome ( $n = 72$ , 21.8%), North-west Arctic ( $n = 58$ , 17.5%) and Bristol Bay ( $n = 16$ , 4.8%) boroughs. Reports of rabid arctic and red foxes were correlated ( $r = 0.12$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ).

In the global Poisson model for interactions (Table 1), reported rabid foxes were negatively associated with mean temperature of 1 month prior (RR = 0.90 for a 1°C increase) and precipitation of 2 months before (RR = 0.92 for 1 cm increase) when controlling for seasonality and borough. There was a significant interaction between average temperature and temperature range of 1 month prior. No significant association was identified for snow depth in the final model.

Among species-specific models, the arctic fox model showed a greater coefficient effect size compared with the global model and more pronounced seasonality (Table 1).



**Fig. 2.** Number of reported rabid foxes in the study area, Alaska, 1980–2010.

Controlling for seasonality and borough, average temperature of 1 month prior was negatively associated with arctic fox cases (RR = 0.88 for a 1°C increase). Snow depth was positively related to reported rabid arctic foxes (RR = 1.01 for 1 cm increase). The significant interaction between average temperature and temperature range of 1 month prior remained in the arctic fox model.

Compared with the global and arctic fox models, the red fox only model showed no significant seasonality. However, controlling for seasonality and borough, the average temperature of 1 month prior (RR = 0.95 for 1°C increase) and precipitation 2 months prior (RR = 0.91 for 1 cm increase) was both negatively associated with reported rabid red fox cases.

Total reported rabid foxes by month were correlated with mean Arctic sea ice extent during the period from 2002 to 2008 ( $r = 0.80$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) (Fig. 3). The time series for the total annual number of reported rabid foxes also appears similar to the annual percentage of area covered with sea ice for a 36-year time span (1972–2007) for the Southern Beaufort Sea, located in the northern region of the North Slope of Alaska (Wendler et al., 2010).

## Discussion


Based on descriptive analysis, seasonal and geographic differences in reported rabid foxes were identified, although there were distinct differences between fox species. Model results suggested reporting of rabid arctic foxes was more likely to be affected by climate change than red foxes. Our findings indicate that the reported number of rabid foxes could be predicted by climate variation while controlling for seasonality and geographic variation. Specifically, average temperature and precipitation seem to be important factors in predicting relative incidence of both rabid arctic and red foxes. Snow depth and temperature range only affected reporting of rabid arctic foxes. That precipitation was negatively associated with reported rabid foxes in Alaska, could relate to less movement, resulting in reduced contact rates. Radio-tracking studies would be required to confirm this trend. Furthermore, while the increasing average temperature trends have been consistent across Alaska, the change in annual precipitation has varied by region that makes projections of the rabies dynamics among arctic foxes more difficult when utilizing short-term climate change models for precipitation (Stafford et al., 2000).

If these correlations hold under a change in climate factors, a general warming trend may be expected to have a greater impact on the specialized arctic fox in comparison with red foxes, which are ecological generalists and have expanded their range northward in recent years. As climate changes, continued range expansion among red foxes may be expected in addition to epizootiological changes in

**Table 1.** Climate factors associated with reported rabid foxes, rate ratio and 95% confidence intervals estimated by Poisson regression models adjusted for overdispersion, Alaska, USA, 1980–2010

| Climate factors                    | Overall foxes |       |         |      |        |      | Arctic foxes |       |                              |       |        |       | Red foxes |       |         |      |        |      |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------|---------|------|--------|------|--------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|------|--------|------|
|                                    | Time lag      | B     | P-value | RR   | 95% CI |      | Time lag     | B     | P-value                      | RR    | 95% CI |       | Time lag  | B     | P-value | RR   | 95% CI |      |
|                                    |               |       |         |      |        |      |              |       |                              |       |        |       |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| Average temperature                | 1             | -0.10 | <0.01   | 0.90 | 0.87   | 0.94 | 1            | -0.13 | <0.01                        | 0.88  | 0.83   | 0.93  | 1         | -0.06 | <0.01   | 0.95 | 0.93   | 0.96 |
| Precipitation                      | 2             | -0.08 | 0.02    | 0.92 | 0.87   | 0.96 |              |       |                              |       |        |       | 2         | -0.10 | <0.01   | 0.91 | 0.85   | 0.96 |
| Snow depth                         |               |       |         |      |        |      | 3            | 0.01  | 0.02                         | 1.01  | 1.00   | 1.02  |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| Temperature range                  | 1             | 0.03  | 0.63    | 1.03 | 0.94   | 1.12 | 1            | 0.09  | 0.31                         | 1.09  | 0.92   | 1.30  |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| Interaction                        | av*range      | 0.01  | 0.03    | 1.01 | 1.00   | 1.01 | av*range     | 0.01  | <0.01                        | 1.01  | 1.00   | 1.02  |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| Season                             |               |       |         |      |        |      |              |       |                              |       |        |       |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| Spring                             |               | 0.86  | 0.01    | 2.35 | 1.48   | 3.73 |              | 1.75  | <0.01                        | 5.75  | 2.33   | 14.14 |           | 0.34  | 0.34    | 1.41 | 0.81   | 2.45 |
| Summer                             | Ref           |       |         |      |        |      | Ref          |       |                              |       |        |       | Ref       |       |         |      |        |      |
| Fall                               |               | 0.80  | 0.01    | 2.22 | 1.42   | 3.44 |              | 1.96  | <0.01                        | 7.10  | 2.98   | 16.88 |           | 0.22  | 0.51    | 1.25 | 0.74   | 2.12 |
| Winter                             |               | 1.20  | <0.01   | 3.33 | 2.09   | 5.31 |              | 2.58  | <0.01                        | 13.20 | 5.35   | 32.73 |           | 0.35  | 0.35    | 1.42 | 0.79   | 2.56 |
| Borough/census area                |               |       |         |      |        |      |              |       |                              |       |        |       |           |       |         |      |        |      |
| North Slope                        |               | 0.12  | 0.55    | 1.13 | 0.85   | 1.50 |              | 1.93  | <0.01                        | 6.90  | 4.32   | 11.04 |           | -1.64 | <0.01   | 0.19 | 0.12   | 0.30 |
| North-west Arctic                  |               | -0.94 | <0.01   | 0.39 | 0.29   | 0.52 |              | -0.8  | 0.02                         | 0.45  | 0.23   | 0.87  |           | -1.07 | <0.01   | 0.34 | 0.25   | 0.48 |
| Nome                               |               | -0.39 | 0.03    | 0.68 | 0.53   | 0.86 |              | 0.24  | 0.36                         | 1.27  | 0.78   | 2.08  |           | -0.75 | <0.01   | 0.47 | 0.36   | 0.63 |
| Bethel/Wade Hampton                | Ref           |       |         |      |        |      | Ref          |       |                              |       |        |       | Ref       |       |         |      |        |      |
| Bristol Bay                        |               | -4.01 | <0.01   | 0.02 | 0.01   | 0.03 |              |       | No arctic fox cases reported |       |        |       |           | -3.81 | <0.01   | 0.02 | 0.01   | 0.04 |
| Model fit statistics (deviance/df) |               |       |         | 0.99 |        |      |              |       | 0.51                         |       |        |       |           |       |         | 0.68 |        |      |
| R <sup>2</sup> DEV                 |               |       |         | 0.52 |        |      |              |       | 0.62                         |       |        |       |           |       |         | 0.38 |        |      |

RR, rate ratio; av, average temperature; range, temperature range; B, coefficient; R<sup>2</sup> DEV, deviance R<sup>2</sup>; -, variable not included in model.



**Fig. 3.** Number of rabid foxes and monthly mean arctic sea ice extent for the period 2002–2008.

rabies virus dynamics. Observed seasonality of rabies in foxes is likely associated with mating cycles and winter foraging habits (Mork and Prestrud, 2004). Arctic foxes typically mate between February and May and give birth between April and July (Nowak and Walker, 1991). Reports of rabid arctic foxes may be lower during summer (June–August) and fall (September–November), as foxes travel less and remain closer to dens to rear young. Conversely, during winter (December–February), arctic foxes increase their home range to forage (Frafjord and Prestrud, 1992). Such life history transitions may result in elevated contact rates during scavenging, particularly when young foxes begin to disperse from their natal dens (Rausch, 1972). Several researchers have concluded that rabies primarily affects juvenile foxes (Kantorovich, 1964; Secord et al., 1980; Ballard et al., 2001) because lack of experience regarding established territories and limited access to food under harsh environmental conditions may contribute to the frequency of antagonistic interactions. Based on our analysis, reports of rabid arctic foxes increased following colder months with wider temperature ranges. The arctic fox is a relatively small and weak competitor compared with the red fox (Hersteinsson and Macdonald, 1992). Arctic foxes may be expelled from their dens by red foxes (Linnell et al., 1999). In fact, arctic foxes may avoid breeding near red foxes, and locate their dens at higher elevations than red foxes, to avoid direct competition (Tannerfeldt et al., 2002). The long-term implications of such behaviour adaptations on disease dynamics are not understood.

Resource availability is considered a major factor for the northern advance of the red fox, while the arctic fox distribution at its southern range limit is constrained by interspecific competition (Hersteinsson and Macdonald, 1992). Competition is more likely to increase in winter because of reduced food sources and has resulted in red fox predation on arctic foxes (Pamperin et al., 2006). Although detailed

locations of fox dens were not available, rabid arctic foxes were mainly reported from the four northern boroughs/census areas of Alaska (i.e. North Slope, North-west Arctic, Nome and Bethel). In comparison, rabid red foxes were primarily reported along the south-west coast of Alaska (Bethel), where they are also sympatric, at that scale, with arctic foxes. One reason for the observed distribution in coastal areas might be food availability. It is well known that inland food sources are unstable or cyclic in comparison with historic annually dependable marine food sources on sea ice (Fuglei and Ims, 2008). Maritime food sources for arctic foxes may become less available as sea ice extent decreases (Stirling and McEwan, 1975; Fuglei and Ims, 2008), which in turn should have a profound negative impact on arctic fox survival (Laidre et al., 2008), especially when inland food sources are scarce (Roth, 2003). Decreased sea ice extent appears to reduce the number of reported rabid foxes and may also limit long-range movement of arctic foxes, potentially resulting in increased isolation of some populations. Such isolation would presumably lead to decreased opportunity for rabies transmission among more isolated populations. However, rabies within small, isolated fox populations could increase local rabies transmission and extirpation of spatially fragmented populations. In contrast, stable levels of sea ice facilitate longer range movement of arctic foxes, which could enhance rabies transmission when foxes interact antagonistically at limited food sources during the winter (Pamperin et al., 2008).

Prior phylogenetic analysis of arctic fox rabies virus variant lineages have suggested spatial segregation: Arctic-1 (viruses circulating in Ontario among red foxes and striped skunks), Arctic-2 (viruses circulating in north-eastern Siberia and western Alaska predominantly among arctic foxes), Arctic-3 (viruses circulating circumpolarly in Siberia, Alaska and Canada) and Arctic-4 (viruses isolated only in the south-western part of Alaska during 2006–2010). Based on phylogenetic reconstructions, the Arctic-4 group does not appear to have recently emerged. However, where these viruses circulated previously is unknown. The percentage identity of these viruses is high (98.6–99.2%) (Kuzmin et al., 2008). This together with evidence of large-scale cross-continental movement of rabies virus (Mansfield et al., 2006) suggests circumpolar distribution and movement of arctic fox rabies virus variant lineages.

Based on the statistical model provided here and a review of current literature, there appears to be sufficient evidence to suggest that rabies in arctic fox populations in northern Alaska will likely be affected by climate change to a greater extent than in red fox populations. This is supported by the greater effect size of the coefficients in the arctic fox model as well as the deviance  $R^2$  values, which would suggest that the climate covariates explain a greater degree of the




observed variation for arctic foxes compared with the final model selected for red foxes (Table 1). This would suggest a conceptual model where as average temperatures increase, a decrease in reported rabid arctic foxes is expected. If combined with an increasing monthly temperature range, this model also suggests this decrease will not be as dramatic and seasonality may become less pronounced (Fig. 4). If this model holds under climate change and the potential for red foxes to continue to expand into areas predominantly occupied by arctic foxes, the arctic fox rabies virus variant may have the opportunity to perpetuate in red foxes in coastal Alaska as this species becomes established in the region (Kuzmin et al., 2008). As evidenced by phylogenetic analysis of rabid red foxes in Ontario, Canada, it appears that red foxes are susceptible and can efficiently transmit and perpetuate the arctic fox rabies virus variant (Rosatte et al., 2007). This observation raises concern due to the much broader distribution of red foxes in lower latitudes and potential for spread. Subsequent to the detection of fox rabies in the North-west Territories in the late 1940s, this epizootic spreads to all provinces in Canada, and eventually to the north-eastern United States (Tabel et al., 1974). Establishment of the Arctic rabies virus variant in red fox populations in temperate southern Ontario had profound impacts on public and animal health (MacInnes et al., 2001). Through strategic use of oral rabies vaccination, arctic fox rabies has been nearly eliminated from southern Ontario (MacInnes et al., 2001; Rosatte et al., 2007). However, sporadic outbreaks of fox rabies have been detected in

central Ontario. Genetic typing of isolates indicated that some outbreaks were the result of new introductions of the Arctic rabies virus variant from the north (Nadin-Davis et al., 1994, 2006). Thus, attention is required for surveillance along the arctic-red fox interface and preparedness for a repeat incursion must be maintained across borders (Sterner et al., 2009).

The models presented in this study represent an analysis of monthly aggregate rabies diagnostic reports based on passive surveillance, which does not permit broader generalization beyond the study areas or extrapolations to finer geographic scales. Furthermore, passive rabies surveillance is predicated on animal interactions with local human populations and domestic animals. While an offset to the Poisson model based on borough and census area population was controlled in the model, some reporting bias is likely in the model due to passive surveillance, a gradual decline in surveillance effort over the study period and seasonal variation in human activity may affect contact rates. We were also unable to evaluate the impact of factors such as fox and prey density on rabies incidence in Alaska as these data were unavailable. The same is true for movement of domestic animals, mainly dogs in the sparsely populated areas with endemic rabies. However, we believe that dogs serve mainly as a local vector of rabies that greatly increases possible human exposure and do not play an important role in maintaining rabies in Alaska.

However, classical mathematical models have been developed to examine the effect of ecological factors, such as habitat characteristics and fox density, as well as vaccination and other control practices, such as depopulation on rabies perpetuation and spread within red fox populations particularly in relation to oral rabies vaccination in Europe (Voigt et al., 1985; Smith and Wilkinson, 2003). These models may be adaptable to serve as a template for model development in the Arctic.

The findings of this study emphasize areas where research is needed to better evaluate and predict the dynamics of rabies epidemiology in this Arctic region under a model of continued climate change. Information on fox population densities and seasonal movement patterns will be critical to develop more comprehensive models that might better predict local rabies dynamics. The fox density at which rabies remained enzootic in southern Ontario was below the density at which rabies in European foxes was predicted to naturally die out (MacInnes et al., 2001), suggesting that factors affecting rabies perpetuation are complex and influenced by the local biological and physical environment. Improved understanding of prey population cycles (e.g. lemmings) and seals as a food source for arctic foxes will be important in the development of any future demographic models. Several studies have suggested that climate warming may extend the length of the



**Fig. 4.** Hypothetical model of climate change on human exposure to arctic fox rabies in Alaska.

lemming population cycle and reduce the maximum densities in eastern Greenland and Norway, but little information is available for lemming populations in Alaska (Kausrud et al., 2008; Gilg et al., 2009). In addition, a better understanding of inter-specific interactions between arctic and red foxes will be important to future predictions. The generally low human population densities in Alaska may have affected the number of reported animals. Enhanced surveillance consisting of active sampling and more accurate geographic information will be critical to develop predictive spatial models of rabies epidemiology in this region, as it is apparent that environmental perturbations and resulting pathogen-host shifts are likely.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Alaska Division of Public Health for their contribution in collecting and maintaining rabies surveillance data; Dustyn Palmer and Jessie Dyer, CDC Rabies program, for assistance with providing map figures; Ivan Kuzmin, CDC Rabies program for reviewing the manuscript; and Christine Fehlner-Gardiner, Canadian Food Inspection Agency Centre of Expertise for Rabies, for review of the manuscript. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of their institutions. Karsten Hueffer is supported by grants from the National Center for Research Resources (5P20RR016466-12) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (8 P20 GM103395-12) from the National Institutes of Health.

### Disclosure

No competing financial interests exist.

### References

- Baer, G. M., 1975: *The Natural History of Rabies*. Academic Press, New York.
- Ballard, W. B., E. H. Follmann, D. G. Ritter, M. D. Robards and M. A. Cronin, 2001: Rabies and canine distemper in an arctic fox population in Alaska. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 37, 133–137.
- Belsley, D. A., 1991: *Conditioning Diagnostics: Collinearity and Weak Data in Regression*, p. 396. Wiley, New York.
- Belsley, D. A., E. Kuh, and R. E. Welsch, 1980: *Regression Diagnostics: Identifying Influential Data and Sources of Collinearity*, p. 292. Wiley, New York.
- Berner, J., C. Symon, L. Arris, and O. W. Heal, Arctic Climate Impact Assessment., National Science Foundation (U.S.) and United States. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration., 2005: *Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*, p. v, 1042 p. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge; New York, NY.
- Cameron, A. C. and F. A. G. Windmeijer, 1996: R-Squared measures for count data regression models with applications to health-care utilization. *J. Bus. Econ. Stat.* 14, 209–220.
- Corell, R., 2005: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 26, 860–861.
- Dieterich, R. A., 1981: *Alaskan Wildlife Diseases*. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK, USA.
- Epstein, P. R., 1999: Perspectives: medicine - Climate and Health. *Science* 285, 347–348.
- Frafjord, K. and P. Prestrud, 1992: Home range and movements of arctic foxes *Alopex lagopus* in svalbard. *Polar Biol.* 12, 519–526.
- Fuglei, E. and R. A. Ims, 2008: Global warming and effects on the Arctic fox. *Sci. Prog.* 91, 175–191.
- Gilg, O., B. Sittler and I. Hanski, 2009: Climate change and cyclic predator-prey population dynamics in the high Arctic. *Glob. Change Biol.* 15, 2634–2652.
- Hassol, S. J., 2004: *Impacts of a Warming Arctic: Arctic Climate Impact Assessment*, p. 139. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.
- Hersteinsson, P. and D. W. Macdonald, 1992: Interspecific competition and the geographical-distribution of red and arctic foxes *Vulpes vulpes* and *Alopex lagopus*. *Oikos* 64, 505–515.
- Hiruki, L. M. and I. Stirling, 1989: Population-Dynamics of the Arctic Fox, *Alopex-Lagopus*, on Banks Island, Northwest Territories. *Can. Field Nat.* 103, 380–387.
- Hueffer, K., T. M. O'Hara and E. H. Follmann, 2011: Adaptation of mammalian host-pathogen interactions in a changing arctic environment. *Acta Vet. Scand.* 53, 17.
- Kantorovich, R. A., 1964: Natural Foci of a Rabies-Like Infection in the Far North. *J. Hyg. Epidemiol. Microbiol. Immunol.* 104, 100–110.
- Kausrud, K. L., A. Mysterud, H. Steen, J. O. Vik, E. Ostbye, B. Cazelles, E. Framstad, A. M. Eikeset, I. Mysterud, T. Solhoy and N. C. Stenseth, 2008: Linking climate change to lemming cycles. *Nature* 456, 93–97.
- Kovacs, K. M. and C. Lydersen, 2008: Climate change impacts on seals and whales in the North Atlantic Arctic and adjacent shelf seas. *Sci. Prog.* 91, 117–150.
- Kuzmin, I. V., G. J. Hughes, A. D. Botvinkin, S. G. Gribencha and C. E. Rupprecht, 2008: Arctic and Arctic-like rabies viruses: distribution, phylogeny and evolutionary history. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 136, 509–519.
- Laidre, K., I. Stirling, L. Lowry, O. Wiig, M. Heide-Jrgensen and S. Ferguson, 2008: Quantifying the sensitivity of Arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change. *Ecol. Appl.* 18, 97–125.
- Linnell, J. D. C., O. Strand and A. Landa, 1999: Use of dens by red *Vulpes vulpes* and arctic *Alopex lagopus* foxes in alpine environments: can inter-specific competition explain the non-recovery of Norwegian arctic fox populations? *Wildlife Biology* 5, 167–176.
- MacInnes, C. D., S. M. Smith, R. R. Tinline, N. R. Ayers, P. Bachmann, D. G. Ball, L. A. Calder, S. J. Crossgrey, C. Fielding, P. Hauschildt, J. M. Honig, D. H. Johnston, K. F. Lawson, C.

- P. Nunan, M. A. Pedde, B. Pond, R. B. Stewart and D. R. Voigt, 2001: Elimination of rabies from red foxes in eastern Ontario. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 37, 119–132.
- Mansfield, K. L., V. Racloz, L. M. McElhinney, D. A. Marston, N. Johnson, L. Ronsholt, L. S. Christensen, E. Neuvonen, A. D. Botvinkin, C. E. Rupprecht and A. R. Fooks, 2006: Molecular epidemiological study of Arctic rabies virus isolates from Greenland and comparison with isolates from throughout the Arctic and Baltic regions. *Virus Res.* 116, 1–10.
- Mork, T. and A. Prestrud, 2004: Arctic rabies - A review. *Acta Vet. Scand.* 45, 1–9.
- Nadin-Davis, S. A., G. A. Casey and A. I. Wandeler, 1994: A molecular epidemiological study of rabies virus in central Ontario and western Quebec. *J. Gen. Virol.* 75, 2575–2583.
- Nadin-Davis, S. A., F. Muldoon and A. I. Wandeler, 2006: Persistence of genetic variants of the arctic fox strain of Rabies virus in southern Ontario. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* 70, 11–19.
- Noren, K., L. Carmichael, E. Fuglei, N. E. Eide, P. Hersteinsson and A. Angerbjorn, 2011: Pulses of movement across the sea ice: population connectivity and temporal genetic structure in the arctic fox. *Oecologia* 166, 973–984.
- Nowak, R. M., and E. P. Walker, 1991: *Walker's Mammals of the World*, p. 1629. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Pamperin, N. J., E. H. Follmann and B. Petersen, 2006: Inter-specific killing of an arctic fox by a red fox at Prudhoe Bay, Alaska. *Arctic* 59, 361–364.
- Pamperin, N. J., E. H. Follmann and B. T. Person, 2008: Sea-ice use by arctic foxes in northern Alaska. *Polar Biol.* 31, 1421–1426.
- Parkinson, A. J. and J. C. Butler, 2005: Potential impacts of climate change on infectious diseases in the Arctic. *Int. J. Circumpolar Health* 64, 478–486.
- Preston, K., J. T. Rotenberry, R. A. Redak and M. F. Allen, 2008: Habitat shifts of endangered species under altered climate conditions: importance of biotic interactions. *Glob. Change Biol.* 14, 2501–2515.
- Rausch, R. L., 1972: Observations on some natural-focal zoonoses in Alaska. *Arch. Environ. Health* 25, 246–252.
- Rausch, R. L., 2003: Cystic echinococcosis in the Arctic and Sub-Arctic. *Parasitology* 127(Suppl), S73–S85.
- Rosatte, R. C., M. J. Power, D. Donovan, J. C. Davies, M. Allan, P. Bachmann, B. Stevenson, A. Wandeler and F. Muldoon, 2007: Elimination of arctic variant rabies in red foxes, metropolitan Toronto. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13, 25–27.
- Roth, J. D., 2002: Temporal variability in arctic fox diet as reflected in stable-carbon isotopes; the importance of sea ice. *Oecologia* 133, 70–77.
- Roth, J. D., 2003: Variability in marine resources affects arctic fox population dynamics. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 72, 668–676.
- Secord, D. C., J. A. Bradley, R. D. Eaton and D. Mitchell, 1980: Prevalence of rabies virus in foxes trapped in the Canadian arctic. *Canadian Veterinary Journal-Revue Veterinaire Canadienne* 21, 297–300.
- Smith, T. G., 1987: *The ringed Seal, Phoca hispida, of the Canadian Western Arctic*, p. 81. Dept. of Fisheries and Oceans, Ottawa.
- Smith, G. C. and D. Wilkinson, 2003: Modeling control of rabies outbreaks in red fox populations to evaluate culling, vaccination, and vaccination combined with fertility control. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 39, 278–286.
- Stafford, J. M., G. Wendler and J. Curtis, 2000: Temperature and precipitation of Alaska: 50 year trend analysis. *Theoret. Appl. Climatol.* 67, 33–44.
- Steck, F. and A. Wandeler, 1980: The epidemiology of fox rabies in Europe. *Epidemiol. Rev.* 2, 71–96.
- Stenseth, N. C. and R. A. Ims, 1993: *The Biology of Lemmings*, Academic Press, London.
- Sterner, R. T., M. I. Meltzer, S. A. Shwiff and D. Slate, 2009: Tactics and economics of wildlife oral rabies vaccination, Canada and the United States. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 15, 1176–1184.
- Stirling, I. and E. McEwan, 1975: Caloric value of whole ringed seals (*Phoca hispida*) in relation to Polar Bear (*Ursus maritimus*) ecology and hunting behavior. *Can. J. Zool.* 53, 1021–1027.
- Stokes, M. E., C. S. Davis, and G. G. Koch, 2000: *Categorical Data Analysis Using the SAS System*, p. 626. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Tabel, H., A. H. Corner, W. A. Webster and C. A. Casey, 1974: History and epizootiology of rabies in Canada. *Canadian Veterinary J.* 15, 271–281.
- Tannerfeldt, M., B. Elmhagen and A. Angerbjorn, 2002: Exclusion by interference competition? The relationship between red and arctic foxes. *Oecologia* 132, 213–220.
- Voigt, D., R. Tinline and L. Broekhoven, 1985: A spatial simulation model for rabies control. In: P. Bacon (ed.), *Population Dynamics of Rabies in Wildlife*, pp. 311–349. Academic press, Orlando, FL.
- Wendler, G., M. Shulski and B. Moore, 2010: Changes in the climate of the Alaskan North Slope and the ice concentration of the adjacent Beaufort Sea. *Theoret. Appl. Climatol.* 99, 67–74.
- Wiig, O., J. Aars and E. W. Born, 2008: Effects of climate change on polar bears. *Sci. Prog.* 91, 151–173.