University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Insecta Mundi

Center for Systematic Entomology, Gainesville, Florida

5-31-2024

Corrections and Additions to the Hydnocerina (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Clerinae: Hydnocerini) of Mexico

John M. Leavengood Jr. USDA APHIS Plant Protection and Quarantine, John.M.Leavengood@usda.gov

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi

Part of the Biodiversity Commons, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology Commons, and the Entomology Commons

Leavengood, John M. Jr., "Corrections and Additions to the Hydnocerina (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Clerinae: Hydnocerini) of Mexico" (2024). *Insecta Mundi*. 1555. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/1555

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Systematic Entomology, Gainesville, Florida at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Insecta Mundi by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

INSECTA MUNDI

1054

Corrections and additions to the Hydnocerina (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Clerinae: Hydnocerini) of Mexico

John M. Leavengood, Jr.

United States Department of Agriculture, APHIS, PPQ 9325 Bay Plaza Blvd, Suite 206, Tampa, FL 33619, USA

Date of issue: May 31, 2024

Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc., Gainesville, FL

Leavengood JM Jr. 2024. Corrections and additions to the Hydnocerina (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Clerinae: Hydnocerini) of Mexico. Insecta Mundi 1054: 1–5.

Published on May 31, 2024 by Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc. P.O. Box 141874 Gainesville, FL 32614-1874 USA http://centerforsystematicentomology.org/

INSECTA MUNDI is a journal primarily devoted to insect systematics, but articles can be published on any nonmarine arthropod. Topics considered for publication include systematics, taxonomy, nomenclature, checklists, faunal works, and natural history. Insecta Mundi will not consider works in the applied sciences (i.e. medical entomology, pest control research, etc.), and no longer publishes book reviews or editorials. Insecta Mundi publishes original research or discoveries in an inexpensive and timely manner, distributing them free via open access on the internet on the date of publication.

Insecta Mundi is referenced or abstracted by several sources, including the Zoological Record and CAB Abstracts. Insecta Mundi is published irregularly throughout the year, with completed manuscripts assigned an individual number. Manuscripts must be peer reviewed prior to submission, after which they are reviewed by the editorial board to ensure quality. One author of each submitted manuscript must be a current member of the Center for Systematic Entomology.

Guidelines and requirements for the preparation of manuscripts are available on the Insecta Mundi website at http://centerforsystematicentomology.org/insectamundi/

Chief Editor: David Plotkin, insectamundi@gmail.com
Assistant Editor: Paul E. Skelley, insectamundi@gmail.com
Layout Editor: Robert G. Forsyth
Editorial Board: Davide Dal Pos, M. J. Paulsen, Felipe Soto-Adames
Founding Editors: Ross H. Arnett, Jr., J. H. Frank, Virendra Gupta, John B. Heppner, Lionel A. Stange, Michael C. Thomas, Robert E. Woodruff
Review Editors: Listed on the Insecta Mundi webpage

Printed copies (ISSN 0749-6737) annually deposited in libraries

Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL, USA The Natural History Museum, London, UK National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA Zoological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Electronic copies (online ISSN 1942-1354) in PDF format

Archived digitally by Portico. Florida Virtual Campus: http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/insectamundi University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Digital Commons: http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/ Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn/resolver.pl?urn:nbn:de:hebis:30:3-135240

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons, Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/

Corrections and additions to the Hydnocerina (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Clerinae: Hydnocerini) of Mexico

John M. Leavengood, Jr.

Abstract. Corrections, additions and remarks are made with respect to the recent checklist of the Cleridae (Coleoptera) of Mexico (Araujo-Castillo et al. 2024). Current valid names, synonymies, clarifications of taxonomic problems, and omitted taxa are reviewed. This work is intended to serve as a supplement to the "Hydnocerinae" section of the checklist.

Key words. *Isohydnocera*, *Phyllobaenus*, *Madoniella*, *Wolcottia*, Hydnocerinae, checklist. ZooBank registration. urn:lsid:zoobank.org;pub:EF3E45B5-6EA6-41AB-B92F-DB4ABF9D8215

Introduction

The taxonomy of the species within the genera *Phyllobaenus* Dejean and *Isohydnocera* Newman is plagued with historical errors. Many species have been misassigned to the wrong genera on the basis of gestalt, ignoring the published diagnostic characters of their respective genera. More elongate species of *Phyllobaenus* with narrow elytra are frequently confused with *Isohydnocera*, despite their otherwise obvious difference in tarsal claw morphology. Yet some species possess simple tarsal claws (as in *Isohydnocera*) while also possessing more "classically coleopterous" elytral forms (e.g., *I. albocincta* (Horn, 1871), *I. cryptocerina* (Gorham, 1883), *P. corticinus* (Gorham, 1883)) which coincide more with the broad concept of *Phyllobaenus*, defined by its dentate tarsal claws and not by elytral form (Leavengood et al. 2012).

With much study, it has become obvious that many species need to be reassigned to their "correct" genera, whereas other groups of species await eventual placement in yet undescribed genera in order to reconcile the composition of the aforementioned genera. As part of this task, these genera will need to be redefined, a task that is only barely underway with the erection of *Tarsobaenus* Leavengood, Pinkerton and Rifkind (Leavengood et al. 2022) as the first new genus to blossom from the polyphyletic taxonomic waste bin of *Phyllobaenus*.

A recent contribution to our knowledge of checkered beetles accomplished the great task of assembling literature to form a checklist of the Cleridae of Mexico (Araujo-Castillo et al. 2024). However, the historic web of synonymy, confusing generic assignments, unclear generic limits, and complete lack of comprehensive reviews or revisions within the Hydnocerina has resulted in several errors in Araujo-Castillo et al.'s (2024) work. The purpose herein is to correct such errors, contribute additional comments, and include omitted species in order to facilitate further contributions to our knowledge of the Mexican fauna of the subtribe Hydnocerina.

Materials and Methods

Corrections were made using the available literature on the subject, along with the author's notes and specimen image collection.

The following collection codens refer to the associated depositories:

- GEKI Ginter Ekis, personal collection
- MNHN Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France
- WOPC Weston Opitz, personal collection

Results and Discussion

Corrections

In the following section, the taxon presented in bold is that of Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024). The following remarks address the issues pertaining to each species as they were presented in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024).

Isohydnocera lateralis (Gorham, 1871). *Phyllobaenus lateralis* (Gorham, 1883) has never been associated with the genus *Isohydnocera*, nor should it, given its gross morphology. Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) erroneously misrepresent the species as *Isohydnocera*, incorrectly cite the authority from a publication that does not exist ("Gorham 1871"), and present the distribution without mention of the type locality in Panama or distribution of *P. chapini* (Wolcott, 1927) (a junior synonym) in Texas. Because no authority on this group was consulted and no image of the specimen was presented, identification of the published Veracruz record is questionable.

Isohydnocera subvittata (Gorham, 1840). *Phyllobaenus subvittatus* (Gorham, 1883) has never been associated with the genus *Isohydnocera*, nor should it, given its gross morphology. Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) erroneously misrepresent the species as *Isohydnocera*, incorrectly cite the authority from a publication that does not exist ("Gorham 1840"), and present the distribution without knowledge of the type series localities (Leavengood and Garner 2014). Because no authority on this group was consulted and no image of the specimen was presented, identification of the published Chiapas record is questionable.

Phyllobaenus erythrocephalus (Gorham, 1871). *Madoniella erythrocephala* (Gorham, 1882) was described in *Phyllobaenus*, many species of which at the time of Blackwelder's (1957) checklist actually belong in *Madoniella* Pic. Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) erroneously misrepresent the species as *Phyllobaenus* and incorrectly cite the authority from the wrong publication ("Gorham 1871").

Phyllobaenus furcatus (Gorham, 1886). This species is a junior synonym of *P. discoideus* (LeConte, 1851) (Horn 1894; Corporaal 1950; Döbler 1982; Leavengood and Garner 2014). Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) erroneously overlooked its synonymy.

Phyllobaenus inusitatotibialis Leavengood and Rifkind, 2020. Leavengood and Rifkind (2020) did not report this species from Mexico, as was erroneously presented in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024).

Phyllobaenus lateralis (Gorham, 1883). The information presented in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) should be combined with their entry for "*Isohydnocera lateralis*" (the same species).

Phyllobaenus omogerus (Hornet [sic], 1894). *Phyllobaenus omoger* (Horn, 1894) is presented with the species and the authority misspelled in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024).

Phyllobaenus punctatus (Gorham, 1882). *Hydnocera punctata* Spinola, 1844 is a junior synonym of *Phyllobaenus unifasciatus* (Say, 1825). However, Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) is actually referring to *Madoniella punctata* (Gorham, 1882), which was originally described in *Epiphloeus* Spinola and subsequently reassigned to *Phyllobaenus* and *Phlogistosternus* Wolcott (Opitz 2011).

Phyllobaenus villosus (Schenkling, 1908). This species is a junior synonym of *P. longus* (LeConte, 1884) (Leavengood and Garner 2014). Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) erroneously overlooked its synonymy.

Omissions

Isohydnocera mima Wolcott, 1928 (Papp 1960: 80).

Phyllobaenus cyanipennis (Gorham, 1883) (Schenkling 1906: 304).

Phyllobaenus marginatus (Gorham, 1883) (Schenkling 1908: 701).

Phyllobaenus turnbowi Leavengood, 2020 (Leavengood 2020: 4).

Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) did not include these species in their checklist. They are known to occur in Mexico. Regarding *Phyllobaenus marginatus* (Gorham, 1877), it is unclear whether Gorham's nominal type or the

variation *atriceps* (Pic, 1933) was being recorded from Mexico in Schenkling (1908). Because they were placed under Hydnocerinae (now Hydnocerini; Bartlett 2021) instead of Epiphloeinae (now Korynetinae; Gimmel et al. 2019), *Madoniella erythrocephala* (Gorham, 1882) and *M. punctata* (Gorham, 1882) should be added to the species of *Madoniella* Pic in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024).

Unverified Distributions

Many Mexican distributions presented in Araujo-Castillo et al. (2024) were based on the personal specimen database of Jacques Rifkind (treated as "Rifkind 2022a"). Data presented in the material examined includes specimens from the GEKI (Ginter Ekis personal collection), a collection coden not used by Weston Opitz (the very same person by a different name) since before 1997. The WOPC had been completely donated to museums by 2020. So, some identifications that were made at least 27 years ago, before any of Leavengood and co-authors' nomenclatural work on the group, are being submitted as valid, authority-identified, vetted records. This is not practical with the resources available today.

Experts on the group were not consulted, voucher information was not provided, nor were there images to verify the identity of these putative new distributional records. The nature of the issues presented above in the Corrections section are illustrative of a distinct lack of experience with the literature and the taxonomic group in question. As such, any distributional records not previously cited in the literature (excluding "Rifkind 2022a") should all be considered of highly questionable veracity. For example, *Isohydnocera albocincta* (Horn, 1871) should not yet be considered confirmed as occurring in Mexico (Araujo-Castillo et al. 2024). There are simply too many similar species (both described and undescribed) and too much intraspecific variation for one to make authoritative identifications without a revisionary knowledge of the group, even with authority-identified material on hand. Too many taxonomists, including clerid taxonomists specifically, have made too many incorrect identifications to be making such identifications in the absence of such revisionary knowledge and thorough experience with the type material.

Additional Notes

Phyllobaenus cinctus (Spinola, 1844). This species belongs to a group a very similar species throughout Central and South America that cannot be confidently identified without revision (most likely through exhaustive dissection work). This is perhaps the most confounding species group in *Phyllobaenus*, including such species as *P. albofasciatus* (Lucas, 1857), *P. femoralis* (Chevrolat, 1876) and *P. ischion* (Chevrolat, 1876), two of which are represented only by long lost types. Historic distributions of the species, excepting the type locality, should be considered unverified.

Phyllobaenus grandjeani (Pic, 1945). There are no specimens by this name in the MNHN. Loan records were consulted (A. Mantilleri, pers. comm.) and there is no evidence that this specimen was ever borrowed. There is indeed a space where a specimen by this name "once was" in the MNHN. I have never encountered any specimens identified as this species from any collection. While not a unique case for species south of the United States, the only references citing this species have been checklists which have added no additional data (Corporaal 1950: 59; Blackwelder 1957: 1416; Papp 1960: 79; Barr 1975: 12). I suspect this was borrowed (unbeknownst that it was a type) by Opitz or Barr perhaps decades ago. I likewise suspect that this type specimen may have been accidentally sorted among another species-obviously without recognizing Pic's often illegible handwritten name-bearing labels-and that the label had since been somehow separated from the specimen, if it hadn't prior to this misplacement. Of course, as with Madoniella species (see Omissions section), some species are subsequently re-assigned to their correct and very unrelated genus. However, it is not believed that this species may belong to a different genus and subsequently re-assigned accordingly because, in his description, Pic compares this species to P. trichrous (Gorham, 1883) (spelled "trichroa" in the original text). Based on its description, this is likely very similar to such species as P. wickhami (Wolcott, 1908) and P. trichrous. Lastly, and most suspicious, is that Barr (2001, unpublished) did not include this species as had all catalogers before him, nor did Barr have the type (or any specimen) with this name photographed in his slide collection.

Phyllobaenus quadrilineatus (Chevrolat, 1874). There are no specimens by this name in the MNHN. This is however a name label "*quadrilineata* 1874 Chevr." and a vacant pin hole (of the hard-bottom specimen box) indicating that there once was a specimen by this name. My suspicions are as above (in *P. grandjeani* comments), except for the comparison to *P. trichrous* and *P. wickhami*.

Acknowledgments

I thank Iuri Zappi and Jacques Rifkind for their review of the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Araujo Castillo S, Romero Napoles J, Rifkind J, Flores Davila M, Garcia Martinez O, Cerna Chavez E. 2024. Biodiversidad de la familia Cleridae (Coleoptera: Cleroidea) de México. Folia Entomológica Mexicana (nueva serie) 2024(10): 1–44. https://doi.org/10.53749/fem.2024.10.01
- Barr WF. 1975. Family Cleridae. Volume 4. Family 73. p. 1–18. In: Arnett RH Jr. (ed.). Checklist of the beetles of North and Central America and the West Indies. Flora and Fauna Publications; Gainesville, FL. Vols. 1–10, 2173 pp.
- Bartlett JS. 2021. A preliminary suprageneric classification for Clerinae (Coleoptera: Cleridae) based on molecular and morphological evidence, including a review of tegminal terminology. Annales Zoologici 71(4): 737–766. https://doi.org/ 10.3161/00034541anz2021.71.4.003
- Blackwelder RE. 1957. Checklist of the coleopterous insects of Mexico, Central America, the West Indies, and South America. Part 6. United States National Museum Bulletin 185: i–vii, 927–1492.
- **Chevrolat MA. 1874.** Catalogue des Clerides de la collection de M.A. Chevrolat. Revue et Magasin de Zoologie (Series 3) 2(8–9): 252–329.
- Chevrolat MA. 1876. Mémoire sur la famille des Clerites. Annales de la Société Entomologique de France 5(5): 1–51.
- **Corporaal JB. 1950.** Cleridae. In: Hinks WD (ed.). Coleopterorum catalogus supplementa, pars 23 (editio secunda). W. Junk; The Hague. 373 p.
- Döbler H. 1982. Katalog der in den Sammlungen der Abteilung Taxonomie der Insekten des nstitutes für Pflanzenschutzforschung, Bereich Eberswalde (ehemals Deutsches Entomologisches Institut), aufbewahrten Typen—XX. Beiträge Entomologische 32(2): 393–435.
- Gimmel ML, Bocakova M, Gunter NL, Leschen RAB. 2019. Comprehensive phylogeny of the Cleroidea (Coleoptera: Cucujiformia). Systematic Entomology 44: 527–558.
- **Gorham HS. 1877.** Descriptions of new species of Cleridae. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 18(3): 245–263.
- Gorham HS. 1882-1883. Insecta, Coleoptera, Cleridae. Biologia Centrali-Americana 3(2): 113-168 (1882), 169-224 (1883).
- Gorham HS. 1886. Insecta, Coleoptera, Cleridae. Biologia Centrali-Americana 3(2, supplement): 313–360.
- Horn GH. 1871. Descriptions of new Coleoptera of the United States, with notes on known species. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 3(1870-1871): 325–344.
- Horn GH. 1894. The Coleoptera of Baja California. Proceedings of the California Academy of Science (2)4: 302-449.
- Leavengood JM Jr. 2020. *Phyllobaenus thomasi* and *P. turnbowi*, two new species from Mexico and Belize (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Hydnocerinae: Hydnocerini). Insecta Mundi 0833: 1–6.
- Leavengood JM Jr., Garner BH. 2014. Nomenclatural notes on some checkered beetle (Coleoptera: Cleridae) types of the British Museum of Natural History (London). Zootaxa 3760(3): 301–335. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3760.3.1
- Leavengood JM Jr., Gemmill RM, Raber B, Quinn M. 2012. Notes on the identification, distribution and natural history of *Phyllobaenus corticinus* (Gorham, 1883) (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Hydnocerinae) including the first report from the United States. The Coleopterists Bulletin 66(4): 351–356. https://doi.org/10.1649/072.066.0410
- Leavengood JM Jr., Pinkerton MG, Rifkind J. 2022. Description of the new genus *Tarsobaenus* and three new species from Costa Rica (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Hydnocerinae). Zootaxa 5138(2): 167–176. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa. 5138.2.4
- Leavengood JM Jr., Rifkind J. 2020. *Phyllobaenus inusitatotibialis*, n. sp., a new species from southern Arizona (Coleoptera: Cleridae: Hydnocerinae: Hydnocerini). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington 122(3): 673–677. https://doi.org/10.4289/0013-8797.122.3.673
- LeConte JL. 1851. Descriptions of new species of Coleoptera, from California. Annals of the Lyceum of Natural History of New York 5: 125–216.

- LeConte JL. 1884. Short studies of North American Coleoptera (No. 2). Transactions of the American Entomological Society 12: 1–32.
- Lucas MH. 1857. Entomologie. In: Bertrand P (ed.). Animaux nouveaux ou rares recueillis pendant l'expédition dans les parties centrales de l'Amérique du Sud: de Rio de Janeiro à Lima, et de Lima au Para, exécutée par ordre du Gouv. français pendant les années 1843 à 1847, sous la direction de Comte F. de Castelnau. Chez P. Bertrand; Paris. 1850–1859 (Castelnau Expedition, 1850–59). 204 p. +18 pls.
- **Opitz W. 2011.** Classification, natural history, and evolution of Epiphloeinae (Coleoptera, Cleridae) Part X. The genus *Madoniella* Pic, 1935. Entomologica Basiliensia et Collectionis Frey 33: 133–248.
- Papp CS. 1960. The Cleridae of North America, Part I: The geographical distribution of the Cleridae of North America, North of the Panama Canal. Southern California Academy of Sciences Bulletin 58(3): 78–88.
- Pic M. 1933. Nouveautés diverses. Mélanges Exotico Entomologiques 62: 1–36.
- Pic M. 1945. Coléoptères du Globe. L'Échange, Revue Linnéenne 61(502): 13-16.
- Say T. 1825. Descriptions of new species of coleopterous insects inhabiting the United States. Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 5: 160–204.
- Schenkling S. 1906. Die Cleriden des Deutschen Entomologischen National Museums, nebst Beschreibungen neuer Arten. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1: 241–320.
- Schenkling S. 1908. Die Cleriden des Deutschen Entomol. National-Museums. (Coleoptera). Nachtrag III. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 1908: 701–705.
- Spinola MM. 1844. Essai monographique sur les Clérites: Insectes Coléoptères . Volume 2. Imprimiere der frères Ponthenier; Genoa. 216 p.
- Wolcott AB. 1908. New species of North American *Hydnocera* (Coleoptera: Cleridae). The Canadian Entomologist 40: 229–233.
- Wolcott AB. 1927. A review of the Cleridae of Costa Rica. Coleopterological Contributions 1(10): 1–104.
- Wolcott AB. 1928. Descriptions of new species of North American Hydnocerinae (Coleoptera: Cleridae). Entomological News 39: 207–212.

Received March 21, 2024; accepted May 13, 2024. Review editor David Plotkin.