

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff
Publications

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service

2014

Effectiveness of Foliar Applications of 9,10-Anthraquinone for Reducing Blackbird Damage to Sunflower

George M. Linz

USDA/APHIS/WS National Wildlife Research Center, george.m.linz@aphis.usda.gov

Scott J. Werner

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, Colorado, Scott.J.Werner@aphis.usda.gov

Megan Niner

North Dakota State University--Fargo

Jeffrey Homan

USDA/APHIS/WS National Wildlife Research Center

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc



Part of the [Life Sciences Commons](#)

Linz, George M.; Werner, Scott J.; Niner, Megan; and Homan, Jeffrey, "Effectiveness of Foliar Applications of 9,10-Anthraquinone for Reducing Blackbird Damage to Sunflower" (2014). *USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications*. 1798.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc/1798

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Effectiveness of Foliar Applications of 9,10-Anthraquinone for Reducing Blackbird Damage to Sunflower

George Linz

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Bismarck, North Dakota

Scott Werner

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, Colorado

Megan Niner

Department of Biological Sciences, North Dakota State University, Fargo, North Dakota

Jeffrey Homan

USDA APHIS Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, Bismarck, North Dakota

ABSTRACT: Anthraquinone is an effective chemical seed repellent that protects newly planted crops from depredation by granivorous birds. We are experimenting with foliar applications of 9,10-anthraquinone (AQ) to reduce blackbird (Icteridae) damage to ripening sunflower. Sunflower heads generally turn downward as the achenes mature. With the methods currently available for spraying crops, application of AQ directly onto sunflower achenes is nearly impossible. Blackbirds sometimes remove sunflower bracts prior to eating achenes. Thus, getting AQ droplets directly on the achenes may not be necessary, and spraying the heads should expose blackbirds to AQ as they remove bracts. Studies using caged red-winged blackbirds showed that AQ sprayed onto the backs of sunflower heads reduced damage. However, field trials using fixed-wing aerial sprayers and ground sprayers produced inconclusive results. We are currently experimenting with methods to increase spray coverage of AQ while simultaneously attempting to reduce AQ contamination of achenes, thus reducing the likelihood of having to establish food tolerance limits for this comparatively long-lived compound. In this paper, we summarize results from several AQ studies and speculate on when a repellent might be available for sunflower producers.

KEY WORDS: 9,10-anthraquinone, bird damage management, blackbirds, crop damage, North Dakota, repellents, sunflower

Proc. 26th Vertebr. Pest Conf. (R. M. Timm and J. M. O'Brien, Eds.)
Published at Univ. of Calif., Davis. 2014. Pp. 335-337.

INTRODUCTION

Blackbird (Icteridae) damage is the most common reason that sunflower producers in North Dakota stop planting sunflower (Hulke and Kleingartner 2014). Blackbirds form large flocks in late summer that feed on ripening crops, including sunflower, corn, and small grains. In the Prairie Pothole Region of North Dakota, Klosterman et al. (2013) estimated annual blackbird damage was US \$3.5 million for sunflower and \$1.3 million for corn. Across the continental U.S., average costs of sunflower damage by blackbirds are about \$10 million annually. These are direct costs of damage and do not include costs of damage management.

To manage blackbird damage, sunflower producers can use several techniques, singly and in combination (Linz et al. 2011, Linz and Hanzel 2015). Options range from using firearms, propane cannons, and pyrotechnics, to thinning dense stands of cattails (*Typha* spp.) with herbicide (Linz and Homan 2011). Most methods are time consuming, costly, produce inconsistent results, and generally ineffective when used to defend large fields. An effective chemical repellent would be very useful for protecting large fields of sunflower, which in North Dakota typically average 65 ha. Currently, 4 bird repellents are registered for use on ripening sunflower: Bird Shield[®] (Bird Shield Repellent Corp., Pullman, WA), Flock Buster[®] (Skeet-R-Gone, Inc., West Fargo, ND), Avex[®] (Corvus Repellent Inc., Greeley, CO), and Avian Control[™] (Avian Enterprises, LLC, Jupiter, FL).

Bird Shield[®], Avex[®], and Avian Control[™] contain methyl anthranilate (MA) as their active ingredient. The

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has designated this compound as 'generally recognized as safe' (GRAS), and MA is exempted from FDA food tolerance requirements. Several formulations are available, with MA concentrations ranging from 20% to 50%. In birds, MA acts as a chemosensory repellent that causes irritation to pain receptors associated with taste and smell. Methyl anthranilate usually requires repeated applications, and high concentrations are often needed to repel birds (Werner et al. 2005). Flock Buster[®] is a mixture of ingredients featuring various plant oils (e.g., clove, garlic, lemon) and proprietary plant extracts. Growers and field researchers have reported inconsistent results with these repellents (Werner et al. 2010, Linz and Homan 2013).

9,10-ANTHRAQUINONE (AQ)

Among numerous pesticides that have been tested for avian repellency, AQ is one of the most promising (Avery and Cummings 2003). Since the 1940s, AQ has been a known avian repellent (Avery 2002). Anthraquinone is a widely occurring phenolic compound found in bacteria, plants, and animals. Commercially available formulations are chemically synthesized. Upon ingestion, AQ causes gastrointestinal discomfort and nausea in animals. Organisms probably use AQ as a natural defense to predation and herbivory. Anthraquinone must be eaten and symptoms felt before avoidance behavior is developed.

Anthraquinone is an effective seed treatment used for reducing seed predation by granivorous birds. Numerous states have issued Section 24c (Special Local Needs) and Section 18s (Emergency Exemption) registrations for

protecting newly planted crops, including canola, rice, corn, and sunflower (Avery and Cummings 2003, Werner et al. 2011). Arkion® Life Sciences (New Castle, DE) is the U.S. patent holder for commercially available AQ formulations such as Avipel® and Flight Control®. The company has recently applied for a full national registration under Section 3 of the Environmental Protection Agency's Federal Insecticide Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (EPA FIFRA) to use AQ repellent on corn seeds.

CAGE TESTS AND ENCLOSURE TESTS WITH SUNFLOWER

Cage tests have demonstrated that blackbirds reduced their feeding rates by 80% after treatment with AQ (Avipel®; a.i., 50%). In cage tests, positive concentration-response relationships existed for red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) and common grackles (*Quiscalus quiscula*), with threshold AQ repellencies (i.e., 80% repellency) at 1,475 and 9,200 ppm (Werner et al. 2009, Werner et al. 2011). Common grackles (COGR) first discriminated between AQ-treated and untreated achenes at 1,300 ppm (Werner et al. 2011). In enclosure tests done in standing fields of ripening confectionery sunflower, COGR damaged standing sunflower in untreated enclosures (64%) more than treated enclosures (18%) (Werner et al. 2011). Achenes harvested from heads averaged 2.54 kg and 1.24 kg (dry weight) in treated and untreated enclosures, respectively. An enclosure study was also done using RWBL in fields of ripening oilseed sunflower in North Dakota (Werner et al. 2014). Damage was significantly less in AQ-treated enclosures (34%) than the untreated enclosures (44%). Harvested achene mass was significantly higher (2.6 kg) in the treated enclosures than untreated enclosures (2.1 kg).

Werner et al. (2014) also conducted preference tests to evaluate repellency of AQ applied to involucre bracts of sunflower. The backs of sunflower heads were treated with application rates comparable to 4.7, 9.4, and 18.7 liters Avipel®/ha. Only the 18.7 l/ha concentration showed a significant treatment effect; red-winged blackbirds ate from 0 g to 4.9 g from treated heads, whereas from 7.4 g to 11.4 g were eaten from untreated heads. The 4.7, 9.4, and 18.7 l/ha treatments yielded 45 ppm, 141 ppm, and 320 ppm anthraquinone on achenes, respectively. Carlson et al. (2013) followed this study with preference tests on Avipel-treated husks of ripening sweet corn. Red-winged blackbirds were 40% more likely to damage untreated sweet corn than treated sweet corn; average kernel damage to untreated and treated ears was 8.6% and 5.3%, respectively. These results suggest potential efficacy in treating sunflower bracts and corn husks with AQ. Research should be conducted to evaluate anthraquinone-based repellents under true field conditions with free-ranging birds. Research is also needed on AQ residue levels for setting chemical tolerance limits for food and feed use, with goal of keeping residue levels below minimum levels of detection.

In September 2013, Niner et al. (2013) tested an application of an AQ chemical formulation (AV2022, a.i. 25% AQ, Arkion Life Sciences) at the early-flowering stage of sunflower (i.e., pre-achene set). The study's objective was to test the concept of spraying AQ on the

heads (particularly bracts), while avoiding the achenes, which were underneath a layer of anthers. A 'high boy'-style ground sprayer was used to treat 0.40 ha with 15.1 l AQ mixed with 56.8 l water. The spraying was done when 50% of the sunflowers had reached the R5.1-R5.3 stage (early flowering). Enclosures were then placed and populated with 3 to 4 RWBL. Significantly more damage occurred in enclosures in the AQ-treated plot (35%) than enclosures in untreated plots (25%). We do not have an explanation for the results, as a multitude of studies have shown AQ to be highly repellent to birds. Residue analyses showed very low levels of AQ on the achenes at harvest, ranging from 4 to 7 ppm. The residues on the bracts immediately after application ranged from 159 to 290 ppm. Based on results of previous concentration-response studies, the AQ concentrations were still too low to repel RWBL.

ANTHRAQUINONE FIELD TESTS

In 2008, plots of experimental sunflower at North Dakota State University were treated with AQ during 1 of 3 growth stages: R-5.1, R-5.5, or R-5.9 (Kandel et al. 2009). Applications were made by mobile ground sprayers. Avipel® was applied at 9.3 l/ha. Residues on achenes averaged 16 ppm at R-5.1, 7 ppm at R-5.5, and 1 ppm at R-5.9. The decline in AQ concentration at later growth stages was probably from changes in head position as the sunflowers matured. In 2011, a fixed-wing aircraft was used to apply AQ to 3 oilseed sunflower fields in central North Dakota (Linz and Homan 2012). The applications were done in 0.4-ha strips during mid-September using 9.4 l Avipel®/ha and 46.7 l/ha water. Blackbird damage in the untreated and treated strips did not differ, averaging 8.8% and 9.1%, respectively. We speculate that the AQ concentration was insufficient and did not deter birds from pulling bracts and other vegetative structures from the back of the heads. Low levels of AQ residues were found on the achenes, the highest concentration being 4 ppm. Aerial application of Avipel® at 9.4 l/ha is unlikely to provide adequate coverage of ripening sunflower.

In 2012, we used a high boy ground sprayer to apply Avipel® to 3 fields of sunflower (Niner et al. 2013). In each field, a 61 × 274-m plot was divided into treated and untreated sections with a buffer area between sections. Two sprays were applied. The first spray was done in mid-August using 4.7 l/ha. The second spray was applied in late August at an application rate of 14.0 l/ha. The number of blackbirds using the plots was counted daily and sunflower damage was measured weekly. Of the 3 fields, only 1 had blackbirds actively feeding in the plots. Their numbers were nearly equal between AQ treated and untreated plots, averaging 5,672 blackbirds/plot. Overall bird damage in this field was not statistically different between treated and untreated plots. Despite initial differences in damages between the untreated and treated plots, by the end of the study, damage rates were not significantly different.

2014 PROPOSED RESEARCH

In 2014, we plan to use field tests to assess residues of a new AQ formulation, AV-4044, which contains a proprietary ingredient. Strips of sunflower will be

sprayed with a high boy ground sprayer at the following ripening stages: 1) R5.1 to 5.5 (flowering 50% complete), and 2) R5.6 to R6.0 (ray flowers wilting). Plant samples (achenes and bracts) will be collected within 1 day of the spray application and at harvest to assess AQ residue levels. Bird damage will also be assessed at harvest and compared between treatments.

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

Our goal is to expand the use of 9,10-Anthraquinone bird repellent to include application to ripening sunflower under Section 24c of EPA's FIFRA. We caution that birds need to learn that AQ causes gastric distress so some damage can be expected in treated fields. This product represents a potential major advance in blackbird damage management if repellency can be maintained at field application rates. The caveat is that residue levels at harvest meet the yet to be proposed food tolerance guidelines. Without an established food tolerance, residue levels on the achenes must be below detectable levels. Finally, an effective repellent, in combination with perennial sunflower planted in Wildlife Conservation Sunflower Plots, should help sunflower producers reduce their losses from blackbird damage (Avery 2002, Hagy et al. 2008, Kantar et al. 2014, Linz et al. 2014).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the past and current scientists at the USDA Wildlife Services/National Wildlife Research Center and at North Dakota State University who contributed toward evaluating bird repellents. Funding was provided by USDA Wildlife Services, National Wildlife Research Center, National Sunflower Association, NDSU Biological Sciences, and Arkion Life Services, LLC. Animal care and use procedures were followed in accordance with USDA Wildlife Services' National Wildlife Research Center and North Dakota State University IACUC guidelines. The research was conducted under Study Protocols QA-1689, 1739, 2038, and 2121 were approved by the National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, CO. Use of commercial products by the U.S. Government and North Dakota State University does not constitute and endorsement of these products.

LITERATURE CITED

- Avery, M. L. 2002. Avian repellents. Pp. 122-128 *in*: J. R. Plimmer (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Agrochemicals*, Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Avery, M. L., and J. L. Cummings. 2003. Chemical repellents for reducing crop damage by blackbirds. Pp. 41-48 *in*: G. M. Linz (Ed.), *Management of North American Blackbirds*. National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, CO.
- Carlson, J. C., S. K. Tupper, S. J. Werner, S. E. Pettit, M. M. Santer, and G. M. Linz. 2013. Laboratory efficacy of an anthraquinone-based repellent for reducing bird damage to ripening corn. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 145:26-31.
- Hagy, H. M., G. M. Linz, and W. J. Bleier. 2008. Optimizing the use of decoy plots for blackbird control in commercial sunflower. *Crop Prot.* 27:1442-1447.
- Hulke, B. S., and L. W. Kleingartner. 2014. Sunflower. Pp. 433-457 *in*: S. Smith, B. Diers, J. Specht, and B. Carver (Eds.), *Yield Gains in Major U.S. field crops*. Soil Science Society of America Spec. Publ. 33., American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America, Madison, WI.
- Kandel, H., B. Johnson, C. Deplazes, G. Linz, and M. Santer. 2009. Sunflower treated with Avipel (Anthraquinone) bird repellent. http://www.sunflowernsa.com/uploads/research/353/Kandel_Avipel_09.pdf. Accessed Jan. 10, 2014.
- Kantar, M. B., K. Betts, J-M. S. Michno, J. J. Luby, P. L. Morrell, B. S. Hulke, R. M. Stupar, and D. L. Wyse. 2014. Evaluating an interspecific *Helianthus annuus* × *Helianthus tuberosus* population for use in a perennial sunflower breeding program. *Field Crops Res.* 155:254-264.
- Klosterman, M. E., G. M. Linz, A. A. Slowik, and H. J. Homan. 2013. Comparisons between blackbird damage to corn and sunflower in North Dakota. *Crop Prot.* 53:1-5.
- Linz, G. M., and J. J. Hanzel. 2015. Sunflower and Bird Pests. *In*: E. Martinez- Force, N. T. Dunford, and J. J., Salas (Eds.), *Sunflower: Chemistry, Production, Processing, and Utilization*. AOCS Press, Urbana, IL. *In Press*.
- Linz, G.M., and H. J. Homan. 2011. Use of glyphosate for managing invasive cattail (*Typha* spp.) to disperse blackbird (Icteridae) roosts. *Crop Prot.* 30: 98-104.
- Linz, G. M., and H. J. Homan. 2012. Preliminary evaluation of 9,10-anthraquinone bird repellent for managing blackbird damage to ripening sunflower. http://www.sunflowernsa.com/uploads/research/1172/linz_eval.anthro_12.pdf. Accessed Jan. 10, 2014.
- Linz, G. M., and H. J. Homan. 2013. Demonstration of "Avian Control" bird repellent (a.i., methyl anthranilate) for managing blackbird damage to ripening sunflower. http://www.sunflowernsa.com/uploads/research/1196/linz.homan_avian.control_2013.pdf. Accessed Jan. 10, 2014).
- Linz, G. M., H. J. Homan, S. J. Werner, H. M. Hagy, and W. J. Bleier. 2011. Assessment of blackbird management strategies to protect sunflower. *BioScience* 61:960-970.
- Linz, G., B. Hulke, M. Kantar, J. Homan, R. Stupar, and D. Wyse. 2014. Potential use of perennial sunflower to reduce blackbird damage to sunflower. *Proc. Vertebr. Pest Conf.* 26:356-359.
- Niner, M., G. Linz, J. Homan, and M. Clark. 2013. Open Field Test with Avipel Bird Repellent: Year I. http://www.sunflowernsa.com/uploads/research/1204/niner_openfielda_vipel_13.pdf. Accessed Jan. 10, 2014.
- Werner, S. J., H. J. Homan, M. L. Avery, G. M. Linz, E. A. Tillman, A. A. Slowik, R. W. Byrd, T. M. Primus, and M. J. Goodall. 2005. Evaluation of Bird Shield as a blackbird repellent in ripening rice and sunflower fields. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 33:251-257.
- Werner, S. J., J. C. Carlson, S. K. Tupper, M. M. Santer, and G. M. Linz. 2009. Threshold concentrations of an anthraquinone-based repellent for Canada geese, red-winged blackbirds, and ring-necked pheasants. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 121:190-196.
- Werner, S. J., G. M. Linz, S. K. Tupper, and J. C. Carlson. 2010. Laboratory efficacy of chemical repellents for reducing blackbird damage in rice and sunflower crops. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 74:1400-1404.
- Werner, S. J., G. M. Linz, J. C. Carlson, S. E. Pettit, S. K. Tupper, and M. M. Santer. 2011. Anthraquinone-based bird repellent for sunflower crops. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 129:162-169.
- Werner, S. J., S. K. DeLiberto, S. E. Pettit, J. W. Ellis, J. C. Carlson, D. A. Goldade, N. M. Hofmann, H. J. Homan, and G. M. Linz. 2014. Experimental application strategies for an avian repellent on sunflower crops. *Crop Prot.* 59:63-70.