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ABSTRACT

Roots help in soil water and nutrient uptake and provide carbon (C) input for soil C sequestration, but information on root biomass of bioenergy perennial grasses is lacking. Root/shoot ratios are used to estimate crop root biomass and C inputs, but the values for perennial grasses are also scanty. We examined root biomass, root/shoot ratios, and soil water contents to a depth of 120 cm after grass harvest in the fall for three bioenergy perennial grasses applied with four nitrogen (N) fertilization rates from 2011 to 2013 in the northern Great Plains, USA. Perennial grasses were intermediate wheatgrass (Thinopyrum intermedium [Host] Barkworth and Dewey), smooth bromegrass (Bromus inermis L.), and switchgrass (Panicum virgatum L.), and N fertilization rates were 0, 28, 56, and 84 kg N ha⁻¹. Root biomass declined with depth and about 60% of the total biomass was located at 0–15 cm where intermediate wheatgrass and switchgrass had higher biomass than smooth bromegrass in 2011. Shoot biomass was greater in intermediate wheatgrass in 2011 and in switchgrass in 2013 than other grasses and increased with increased N rates. Root/shoot ratio was greater in switchgrass than other grasses at 0–120 cm in 2011, but was greater in smooth bromegrass than switchgrass at 0–60, 0–90, and 0–120 cm in 2012 and 2013. Mean root/shoot ratios across N rates and years were not different among grasses and varied from 1.54 at 0–15 cm to 2.54 at 0–120 cm, which were substantially greater than 0.15 and 0.33, respectively, observed for spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Soil water content increased with depth and was greater under smooth bromegrass than other grasses at 0–120 cm in 2011 and 2013. Water content varied with N rate at various soil depths and years. Root biomass was negatively correlated with soil water content (r = −0.56, P = 0.03, n = 15). Because of greater root and shoot biomass, intermediate wheatgrass reduced soil water content due to increased water uptake and will likely provide more C inputs for soil C sequestration from belowground biomass compared to smooth bromegrass and spring wheat.

1. Introduction

Perennial grasses, such as ligno-cellulosic feedstock materials, have been shown to be promising crops for bioenergy production (Pacala and Solocow, 2004; USDOE, 2007). These grasses have additional advantages compared with food crops, such as corn (Zea mays L.), for producing bioenergy: (1) they reduce pressure for using food crops for bioenergy, (2) they use water, N, and solar radiation more efficiently and require reduced amounts of chemicals, such as fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides, (3) they can be easily grown on marginal lands, (4) they are more productive per unit land area, and (5) they recycle nutrients seasonally between roots and shoots (Pacala and Solocow, 2004; USDOE, 2007).

Although production of shoot biomass has been known for various perennial grasses, relatively little information is available about root biomass. Roots absorb water and nutrients from the soil and support aboveground shoot growth whose yield depends on the growth of belowground root biomass (Merrill et al., 2002; Stone et al., 2001). As the aboveground biomass of crops is usually harvested for grain, hay, litter, or fuel, roots form the main component of C input for soil C sequestration (Paustian et al., 1997). Besides root biomass, rhizodeposit in the form of exudates, secretions, cap cells, lysates, and mucilages can also form important sources of C for enriching soil organic C (Hawes et al., 2003; Nguyen, 2003). Roots may play a dominant role in the soil C cycle (Gale et al., 2000; Puget and Drinkwater, 2001) and may have relatively greater influence on soil organic matter than the
aboveground plant biomass (Norby and Cotrufo, 1998).

One of the management practices to sequester atmospheric CO₂ in agricultural and range soils and enrich soil organic matter is to plant perennial grasses either alone or in rotation with cereal crops (Paustian et al., 1997). The reason is that perennial grasses have higher root biomass that contributes more C to the soil than cereal crops (Paustian et al., 1997; Bollinder et al., 1997, 2002). Furthermore, the relatively undisturbed soil condition under perennial grasses reduces mineralization of soil organic matter and therefore favors soil C accumulation. Because of the difficulty of accurately measuring root biomass in the field due to high variability and tedious work of separating roots from the soil, measurement of root biomass, especially for perennial grasses, is often neglected (Bollinder et al., 2002). Other sources of variation in the measurement of root biomass include the soil sampling strategy employed, different sieve size used to separate roots from the soil affecting the quantification of root biomass, variation in root growth during the crop growing season making the sampling time critical, and age of the grass establishment (van Nordwijk et al., 1987; Amato and Pardo, 1994).

The root/shoot ratio at crop harvest is used to estimate root biomass and C input from belowground residue (Bollinder et al., 1997, 2002). Because of variations in root and shoot growth due to various soil and climatic conditions among regions and during different periods of crop growth, root/shoot ratios can vary for perennial grasses. Root/shoot ratios for perennial grasses have been mostly reported for pasture and natural grassland, which ranged from 0.57 to 6.25 in USA (Mo et al., 1992; Mortimer, 1992) and from 0.18 to 2.44 in other countries (Bray, 1963; Bollinder et al., 2002). The values also vary with the depth of soil sample collected for determining root biomass and age of grasses (Campbell and de Jong, 2001; Bollinder et al., 2002). For example, the root/shoot ratio of various perennial grasses can vary from 0.28 at 0–15 cm in the year of establishment to 2.33 at 0–45 cm in successive years (Bollinder et al., 2002).

Variations in root growth and distribution in the soil profile among crops can lead to differences in water and nutrient uptake by roots. Roots that grow near water and nutrient availability are usually dense, have large diameter, and are active in growth and resource uptake (Pierret et al., 2007). Only 10–30% of the total root length of a given root system, however, is actively involved in water and nutrient uptake (Robinson, 1991). Water stress can extend roots to a greater soil depth for water uptake more in grasses than legumes or forbs, resulting in greater root biomass and therefore greater root/shoot ratio in grasses (Skinner and Comas, 2010). Culman et al. (2013) reported that soil water content to a depth of 1 m was lower with intermediate wheatgrass than winter wheat, suggesting that perennial grasses are more effective in water uptake from the soil profile than cereal crops.

Nitrogen fertilization can have a variable effect on shoot and root biomass of perennial grasses among various regions and years due to variations in soil and climatic conditions. Heggenstaller et al. (2009) found that N fertilization at 140 kg N ha⁻¹ maximized shoot and root biomass (0–30 cm) of switchgrass in the same proportion, after which both declined with increased N rate. As a result, the root/shoot ratio of switchgrass was unaffected by N fertilization rates. Ibrahim et al. (2016) reported that increased N rate increased switchgrass shoot biomass in the first year, but not in the second year. Increased N rate from 0 to 90 kg N ha⁻¹ enhanced root and shoot biomass, after which root biomass remained constant, but shoot biomass continued to increase with further increases in N rate in smooth bromegrass (Power, 1988).

Little is known about the effect of perennial grasses and N fertilization rates on root biomass and root/shoot ratio of grasses and their relationships with soil water content compared with cereal crops. Differences in root biomass growth due to variations in grass species and N rates may result in different C inputs for C sequestration and soil water acquisition. We evaluated root and shoot biomass, root/shoot ratio, and soil water content to a depth of 120 cm for various perennial grasses with different N fertilization rates and compared them with annual spring wheat applied with recommended N rate from 2011 to 2013 in eastern Montana, USA. Our objectives were to: (1) quantify root and shoot biomass and root/shoot ratios of bioenergy perennial grasses applied with 0–84 kg N ha⁻¹, (2) compare root biomass and root/shoot ratio of perennial grasses and a cereal crop, and (3) relate these parameters with soil water content. We hypothesized that root and shoot biomass, root/shoot ratio, and soil water content vary with perennial grass species and N fertilization rates, and root biomass and root/shoot ratio will be greater, but soil water content will be lower for perennial grasses than for spring wheat.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Treatments and grass management

Perennial grasses were established on 5% sloping land on April 2009 and the study was conducted from 2011 to 2013 at the USDA Conservation District Farm, 11 km north of Culbertson, MT, USA. The soil in the experimental site was a Williams loam (fine-loamy, mixed, superactive, frigid, Typic Argiustoll), with 660 g kg⁻¹ sand, 180 g kg⁻¹ silt, 160 g kg⁻¹ clay, 10.1 g kg⁻¹ SOC, 7.2 pH, and 1.27 Mg m⁻³ bulk density at the 0–15 cm depth during the initiation of the experiment in April 2009. Mean (115-yr average) monthly air temperature ranges from −8 °C in January to 23 °C in July and August and a mean annual precipitation of 341 mm, 80% of which occurs during the growing season (April to October). Previous cropping history (10 yr) at the site was continuous spring wheat under conventional tillage.

Perennial grasses included three grasses (intermediate wheatgrass, smooth bromegrass, and switchgrass) as the main plot (plot size, 12.2 × 30.5 m) treatment where N fertilizer was applied at four rates (0, 28, 56, and 84 kg N ha⁻¹) as the split-plot (plot size, 3.1 × 30.5 m) treatment. Intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass are cool-season grasses, whereas switchgrass is a warm-season grass. Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with four replications. For this study, because of physical constraints, only three replications based on uniform slope with reduced spatial variability were considered. At the time of grass establishment in late April 2009, monoammonium phosphate (11% N, 23% P) at 280 kg ha⁻¹ was broadcast, which supplied N at 31 kg N ha⁻¹ and P at 64 kg P ha⁻¹. Immediately after fertilization, plots were cultivated using conventional tillage with a field cultivator to a depth of 7–8 cm for seedbed preparation and weed control. Using a no-till drill, intermediate wheatgrass, smooth bromegrass, and switchgrass were planted at 17, 24, and 17 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, at 20 cm spacing following tillage. In April, 2011–2013, N fertilizer at 0–84 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea (46% N) was broadcast at the soil surface in split plots. No K fertilizer and irrigation were applied. Depending on the shoot growth, aboveground biomass was harvested at 5 cm above the ground one to two times a year (July and October) from two 0.5 m² areas by hand, randomly within the plots and composited. A subsample was oven-dried at 60 °C for 3 d to determine dry matter yield, from which shoot yield was determined. Total shoot yield in a year was determined by adding yields from individual cuttings.

For comparing above- and belowground biomass of grasses with cereal crop, spring wheat was planted in a nearby area outside grass plots in April 2013. Wheat was planted at 71 kg ha⁻¹ under no-tillage using a no-till drill as above in three plots (plot size, 3.1 × 30.5 m) as three replications. Nitrogen fertilizer as urea and monoammonium phosphate at 100 kg N ha⁻¹, P fertilizer as monoammonium phosphate at 29 kg P ha⁻¹, and K fertilizer as muriate of potash (52% K) at 47 kg K ha⁻¹ were banded 5 cm to the side and 5 cm below the seed at planting. Herbicides and pesticides were applied as needed before and during crop growth. In August 2013, wheat was harvested from two 0.5 m² areas by hand, randomly within the plots as above, separated into grain and vegetative biomass, oven dried at 60 °C for 3 d, and yields
were determined. After harvesting grain from the rest of the plot using a combine harvester, wheat residue (stems and leaves) was returned to the soil.

2.2. Soil sampling for root biomass and water content

After aboveground biomass harvest, soil samples containing roots were collected from the 0–120 cm depth from each grass plot using a truck-mounted hydraulic probe (5 cm inside diameter) in October, 2011–2013 (Heggenstaller et al., 2009). Samples were collected randomly from four places within the plot, two between grass rows and two in the row where one was sampled between grasses and the other above the root crown. Samples were separated into 0–15, 15–30, 30–60, 60–90, and 90–120 cm increments to represent each depth, placed in plastic bags, and stored at 4 °C until roots were separated from the soil. About 50 g of root-free sample from each depth was collected before storage for determination of soil water content and other properties. A second undisturbed soil core from 0–120 cm was collected using the hydraulic probe as above for determining the bulk density. Samples were separated into 0–15, 15–30, 30–60, 60–90, and 90–120 cm depths, oven dried at 110 °C, and weighed, from which bulk density was calculated by dividing the weight of the oven-dried soil by the volume of the core.

The non-dried core soil samples were washed thoroughly with water in a hydropneumatic elutriator containing a 0.5-mm screen for several hours until all silt and clay particles were removed (Smucker et al., 1982). Roots and sand particles left in the screen were transferred into a container and coarse and fine live roots were hand-picked using forceps. Roots that could not be picked by hand were separated by immersing the sand and root particles in a 2.2 mol L⁻¹ NaCl solution and floated roots were picked using forceps. Roots from four locations within the plot were composited by depth, oven-dried at 60 °C for 7 d, and weighed to determine root biomass yield. Only live roots were used for biomass determination while dead roots and crop residue were discarded. Root biomass at 0–30, 30–90, and 0–120 cm depths were determined by summing biomass from individual depths. Root/shoot ratios at these depths were determined by dividing root biomass by shoot biomass. Gravimetric soil water content at root sampling was determined by oven drying 10 g root-free moist soil at 110 °C for 24 h. Volumetric water content in the soil sample was determined by multiplying gravimetric water content by the soil bulk density. Spring wheat root biomass and soil water content in 2013 were also determined with the same procedures described above.

2.3. Data analysis

Data for root biomass, root/shoot ratio, and soil water content at a depth and shoot biomass for grasses were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS after testing for homogeneity of variance (Littell et al., 2006). Grass, N fertilization rate, year, and their interactions were considered as fixed effects, and replication and replication × grass as random effects. Means were separated by using the least square means test when treatments and their interactions were significant (Littell et al., 2006). Correlation analysis was conducted between root biomass and soil water content to determine their relationship. Statistical significance was evaluated at \( P \leq 0.05 \), unless otherwise stated. Because of non-randomization of spring wheat plots with grass plots and incomplete year data (collected only in 2013), data for spring wheat could not be used for statistical analysis, but were shown only for descriptive comparison with perennial grasses.

3. Results

3.1. Root biomass yield

Root biomass yield of perennial grasses varied with grass species at all depths, except at 90–120 cm, and varied with years at 0–15, 15–30, 30–60, and 0–120 cm (Table 1). The grass × year interaction was significant at 0–15 and 0–120 cm. Averaged across N rates, intermediate wheatgrass and switchgrass had higher root biomass than smooth bromegrass and smooth bromegrass had higher root biomass than smooth bromegrass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Root biomass (Mg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>0–15 cm</th>
<th>15–30 cm</th>
<th>30–60 cm</th>
<th>60–90 cm</th>
<th>90–120 cm</th>
<th>0–120 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.90a</td>
<td>1.19b</td>
<td>1.96b</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>16.81a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.27b</td>
<td>1.58a</td>
<td>1.96b</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>12.56b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.54b</td>
<td>1.70a</td>
<td>3.24a</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>14.46ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at \( P = 0.05 \).
**Significant at \( P = 0.01 \).
*Numbers followed by different letters within a column are significantly different at \( P = 0.05 \) by the least square means test.

Table 2

Interaction between grass species and year on perennial grass root biomass yield at 0–15 and 0–120 cm depths averaged across N fertilization rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grass species</th>
<th>Root biomass (Mg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>0–15 cm</th>
<th>15–30 cm</th>
<th>30–60 cm</th>
<th>60–90 cm</th>
<th>90–120 cm</th>
<th>0–120 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IW</td>
<td>15.69aA</td>
<td>7.28B</td>
<td>7.62B</td>
<td>21.47aA</td>
<td>13.03B</td>
<td>14.64B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>6.69b</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>11.67b</td>
<td>13.42</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>13.32aA</td>
<td>6.89B</td>
<td>7.65AB</td>
<td>17.05aA</td>
<td>11.24B</td>
<td>12.54AB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers followed by different uppercase letters within a row (grass) between years in a depth are significant at \( P = 0.05 \) by the least square means test.
bromegrass at 0–15 and 0–120 cm in 2011 (Table 2). At 0–15 and 0–120 cm, root biomass was greater in 2011 than 2012 and 2013 with intermediate wheatgrass and greater in 2011 than 2012 with switchgrass. Averaged across N rates and years, root biomass decreased with increased depth (Fig. 1). Root biomass was greater at 30–60 cm than at 15–30, 60–90, and 90–120 cm. While smooth bromegrass had lower root biomass than other grasses at 0–15 cm, biomass was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than switchgrass at 15–30 and 60–90 cm. At 30–60 cm, smooth bromegrass, however, had greater biomass than switchgrass. Total root biomass at 0–120 cm was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than other grasses at 0–15 cm, biomass was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than switchgrass at 15–30 and 60–90 cm. At 30–60 cm, smooth bromegrass, however, had greater biomass than switchgrass. Total root biomass at 0–120 cm was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than other grasses (Fig. 2). Total root biomass at 0–120 cm was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than smooth bromegrass and greater in 2011 than 2012 and 2013 with switchgrass. Averaged across N rates and years, root biomass varied with soil depths and years (Table 1).

3.2. Shoot biomass yield

Shoot biomass yield varied with grass species, N rates, and years, with significant interactions for grass × year and N rate × year (Table 3). Averaged across N rates, shoot biomass was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than smooth bromegrass and switchgrass in 2011, but was greater with switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass in 2013. Shoot biomass decreased from 2011 to 2012 and then increased in 2013 for all grasses. Shoot biomass was greater in 2011 than 2012 and 2013 with intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass, but was greater in 2013 than 2011 and 2012 with switchgrass. Averaged across N rates and years, shoot biomass was greater with intermediate wheatgrass than smooth bromegrass.

Shoot biomass, averaged across grass species, showed a quadratic response with N fertilization rate in 2011 and a linear response in 2013 (P ≤ 0.10), but a nonsignificant quadratic response in 2012 (Fig. 3). Shoot biomass was greater with 84 than 0 and 28 kg N ha−1 in 2011.
Mean root/shoot ratios, averaged across N rates and years, were not different among grass species and ranged from 1.43–1.69 at 0–15 cm, 2.20–2.28 at 0–30 cm, 2.40–2.54 at 0–90 cm, and 2.43–2.65 at 0–120 cm. Root/shoot ratios for spring wheat in 2013 were 0.15, 0.19, 0.26, 0.32, and 0.33 at 0–15, 0–30, 0–60, 0–90, and 0–120 cm, respectively. Averaged across grass species and N rates, the root biomass at lower depth due to higher soil water content as suggested in other studies (Hodge, 2004; Skinner and Comas, 2010). About 70–90% of total root biomass of perennial forages to a 1 m depth occurs at the 0–20 cm layer (Stein, 1989). Bollinder et al. (2002) reported that root biomass at 0–15 cm was 55–64% of the total biomass for smooth bromegrass and 58–78% for switchgrass at 0–45 cm in eastern Canada, which is comparable to our values of 53–71% observed for perennial grasses in eastern Canada, 71% observed for perennial grasses in eastern Canada, and 58–78% for switchgrass. Soil water content was greater with 0 than 56 and 84 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in 2011, but was greater with 84 than 56 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in 2013. Root biomass correlated negatively with soil water content (r = −0.56, P = 0.03, n = 15).

### 3.4. Soil water content

Differences in soil water uptake among grasses resulted in significant effects of grass species and year on soil water content at grass harvest at all depths, with significant grass × year interaction at 0–15, 60–90, 90–120, and 0–120 cm and N rate × year interaction at 0–15 and 60–90 cm (Table 6). Averaged across N rates, soil water content was greater under switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass at 0–15, 90–120, and 0–120 cm in 2011, and at 0–15, 60–90, and 90–120 cm in 2013 (Table 7). Soil water content was greater under switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass at 0–120 cm in 2013. Soil water content was greater in 2013 than 2011 and 2012 for all grasses at all depths (Table 6), except for intermediate wheatgrass at 90–120 cm (Table 7). Averaged across N rates and years, soil water content under all grasses increased with increased depth (Fig. 1). Soil water content was greater under switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass at 0–15, 60–90, and 90–120 cm, and greater under switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass at 15–30 and 30–60 cm. Soil water content under annual spring wheat also increased with depth. Averaged across grass species, soil water content was greater with 28 and 84 than 0 and 56 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) at 0–15 cm in 2013 (Table 7). At 60–90 cm, soil water content was greater with 0 than 56 and 84 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in 2011, but was greater with 84 than 28 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) in 2013. Root biomass correlated negatively with soil water content (r = −0.56, P = 0.03, n = 15).

### 4. Discussion

The greater root biomass at 0–15 cm than at other depths for grasses and spring wheat (Fig. 1) shows the proliferation of roots at the surface soil likely linked to increased availability of soil water and nutrients as shown in other studies (Hodge, 2004; Skinner and Comas, 2010). About 70–90% of total root biomass of perennial forages to a 1 m depth occurs at the 0–20 cm layer (Stein, 1989). Bollinder et al. (2002) reported that root biomass at 0–15 cm was 55–64% of the total biomass for smooth bromegrass and 58–78% for switchgrass at 0–45 cm in eastern Canada, which is comparable to our values of 53–71% observed for perennial grasses at 0–60 cm. Drying of soil at the surface, however, can increase root biomass at lower depth due to higher soil water content as suggested by Skinner (2008), which could be a possible reason for greater root biomass at 30–60 cm than at other depths, except at 0–15 cm, in our experiment. Other possible reasons for greater root biomass at
mass for intermediate wheatgrass and switchgrass in 2011 due to increased precipitation. In contrast, we observed greater root biomass for all grasses after several years of growth, primarily due to increased nutrient availability, reduced soil compaction, and/or different soil texture that promoted root growth, but these factors were not examined in the study. Our proportion of root biomass at 0–15 cm to total biomass at 0–120 cm for spring wheat was in between 36 and 52% reported for spring wheat with or without N fertilization in western Canada (Campbell and de Jong, 2001).

The lower root biomass at 0–15 and 0–120 cm with spring bromegrass than other grasses in 2011 (Table 2) was a result of poor root growth, especially during initial years. The reasons for similar root biomass at 0–15 and 0–120 cm with all grasses in 2012 and 2013 were not clear, although growing season and annual precipitation were 190–226 mm higher than 14.8–22.2 mm in 2012 and 2013 at 30–60 cm, root biomass, however, increased from 2012 to 2013 (Table 1). More than three years of study may be needed to detect changes in root biomass of perennial grasses over time, as root biomass changes with age of perennial grasses (Troughton, 1957; Bollinder et al., 2002).

Our average root biomass of 10.3 and 12.1 Mg ha⁻¹ for smooth bromegrass and switchgrass, respectively, at 0–30 cm were slightly higher than 9.1 and 8.3 Mg ha⁻¹ reported by Bollinder et al. (2002) in eastern Canada. In contrast, our root biomass of 15.1 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0–90 cm for smooth bromegrass with or without N fertilization was between the values of 14.8–22.2 Mg ha⁻¹ observed in North Dakota (Power, 1988). However, our root biomass of 13.6 Mg ha⁻¹ at 0–120 cm for switchgrass with or without N fertilization was lower than above-average precipitation, after which they remained constant in successive years, although precipitation increased from 2012 to 2013 (Table 8). For smooth bromegrass, root biomass did not vary from 2011 to 2013. This suggests that precipitation plays an important role for root growth, especially during initial years. The reasons for similar root biomass at 0–15 and 0–120 cm with all grasses in 2012 and 2013 were not clear, although growing season and annual precipitation were 190 and 181 mm greater in 2013 than 2012. At 30–60 cm, root biomass, however, increased from 2012 to 2013 (Table 1). More than three years of study may be needed to detect changes in root biomass of perennial grasses over time, as root biomass changes with age of perennial grasses (Troughton, 1957; Bollinder et al., 2002).

Table 5
Interaction between grass species and year on the root/shoot ratio of perennial grass at the 0–120 soil depth averaged across N fertilization rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grass species*</th>
<th>Root/shoot ratio</th>
<th>Root biomass at 0–15 cm</th>
<th>Root biomass at 0–30 cm</th>
<th>Root biomass at 0–60 cm</th>
<th>Root biomass at 0–90 cm</th>
<th>Root biomass at 0–120 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IW</td>
<td>1.55b 1A</td>
<td>2.01A</td>
<td>0.90b</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.71b</td>
<td>2.50A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>0.87b b</td>
<td>2.24A</td>
<td>1.17b</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.08b</td>
<td>2.74A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>2.47a A</td>
<td>1.76b</td>
<td>0.85c</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.63a A</td>
<td>2.08A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IW</td>
<td>1.92b b</td>
<td>3.04A</td>
<td>1.65ab b</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.04b b</td>
<td>3.41ab A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>1.28b c</td>
<td>3.39A</td>
<td>2.18ab b</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.48c c</td>
<td>3.76ab A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>2.93a A</td>
<td>2.48A</td>
<td>1.24ab b</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>3.15a A</td>
<td>2.71b A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IW</td>
<td>2.12b b</td>
<td>3.63ab A</td>
<td>1.89ab b</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>1.51b c</td>
<td>3.92a A</td>
<td>2.51ab b</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG</td>
<td>3.07a A</td>
<td>2.82b A</td>
<td>1.39b b</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Perennial grasses are IW, intermediate wheatgrass; SB, smooth bromegrass; and SG, switchgrass.

**Numbers followed by different lowercase letters within a column (year) between grasses in a depth are significantly different at P = 0.05 by the least square means test.

***Numbers followed by different uppercase letters within a row (grass) between years in a depth are significantly different at P = 0.05 by the least square means test.

Table 6
Soil volumetric water content at the 0–120 cm depth from 2011 to 2013 averaged across perennial grass species (intermediate wheatgrass, smooth bromegrass, and switchgrass) and N fertilization rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Volumetric water content (cm³ cm⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–15 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.020b b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.014c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.040a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significance:
Grass (G) ***
N fertilization rate (N) NS
G × N NS NS NS NS NS NS
Year (Y) ***
G × Y **
N × Y *
G × N × Y NS NS NS NS NS NS

*Significant at P = 0.05.
**Significant at P = 0.01.
***Significant at P = 0.001; NS, not significant.

* Numbers followed by different letters within a column are significantly different at P = 0.05 by the least square means test.
wheat had a total root biomass of 1.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ to > 1 m depth has been reported (Lubofsky, 2016). In our study, higher root biomass of intermediate wheatgrass than winter wheat grasses under dryland conditions in the northern Great Plains, USA. Well and provide more C inputs for soil C sequestration than other grasses.

Iowa. Dryland conditions in Iowa receive 515 mm more annual precipitation than eastern Montana. Iowa receives 515 mm more annual precipitation than eastern Montana and central North Dakota. Heggenstaller et al. (2009) reported that maximum switchgrass shoot biomass was 18.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in eastern Canada and 16.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in eastern Montana and central North Dakota (Xue et al., 2011), a case similar to that observed in our study. It is possible that intermediate wheatgrass performs better in North Dakota and South Dakota due to lower precipitation than in Michigan. Bollinder et al. (2002) reported that shoot biomass was higher with smooth bromegrass and lower with switchgrass than most other grasses in eastern Canada. Our shoot biomass yields of 3.3–10.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for intermediate wheatgrass was similar to or lower than 3.9–17.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ observed in Michigan (Culman et al., 2013). Similarly, our shoot biomass yields of 3.5–7.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for smooth bromegrass and 4.1–9.3 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for switchgrass were similar to or lower than 9.7–12.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for smooth bromegrass and 6.2–6.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for switchgrass reported by Bollinder et al. (2002) in eastern Canada.

Below-average precipitation not only reduced shoot biomass at all N rates in 2012 than other years, but also resulted in non-significant response of shoot biomass with N rate in that year (Table 3, Fig. 3), suggesting that adequate soil water content is needed to increase grass shoot biomass yield with increased N rates. Results suggest that shoot biomass may further increase with increased N rates during years with above-average precipitation. Several researchers (Vogel et al., 2002; Heggenstaller et al., 2009) reported that maximum switchgrass shoot biomass yield reached at 120–140 kg N ha$^{-1}$ in Iowa and Nebraska, which had 2.5 and 2.2 times, respectively, more annual precipitation than in eastern Montana. Power (1988) also observed increased shoot biomass yield with increased N rate for smooth bromegrass in North Dakota.

Roots appeared to grow more than shoots in switchgrass compared to other grasses in 2011 with above-average growing season precipitation, as root/shoot ratio was higher with this grass (Tables 2 and 3).

in variations in shoot biomass yield among grass species (Table 3). Although the growing season and annual precipitation were above the 115-yr average in 2011 and 2013 (Table 8), it is likely that intermediate wheatgrass established quickly soon after planting, resulting in higher shoot biomass than other grasses in 2011. Growth of intermediate wheatgrass may have declined in successive years, resulting in lower shoot biomass than switchgrass in 2013. The reverse was true for switchgrass which had lower shoot biomass in 2011, but had greater biomass than other grasses in successive years. In 2012, however, below-average precipitation resulted in decline of shoot biomass for all grasses (Tables 3 and 8). Our trend of shoot biomass with year for intermediate wheatgrass was in contrast to that reported by Culman et al. (2013) in Michigan, but intermediate wheatgrass outperformed switchgrass in shoot biomass yield in South Dakota (Lee et al., 2009) and North Dakota (Xue et al., 2011), a case similar to that observed in our study. It is possible that intermediate wheatgrass performs better in North Dakota and South Dakota due to lower precipitation than in Michigan. Bollinder et al. (2002) reported that shoot biomass was higher with smooth bromegrass and lower with switchgrass than most other grasses in eastern Canada. Our shoot biomass yields of 3.3–10.4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for intermediate wheatgrass was similar to or lower than 3.9–17.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ observed in Michigan (Culman et al., 2013). Similarly, our shoot biomass yields of 3.5–7.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for smooth bromegrass and 4.1–9.3 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for switchgrass were similar to or lower than 9.7–12.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for smooth bromegrass and 6.2–6.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ for switchgrass reported by Bollinder et al. (2002) in eastern Canada.

Below-average precipitation not only reduced shoot biomass at all N rates in 2012 than other years, but also resulted in non-significant response of shoot biomass with N rate in that year (Table 3, Fig. 3), suggesting that adequate soil water content is needed to increase grass shoot biomass yield with increased N rates. Results suggest that shoot biomass may further increase with increased N rates during years with above-average precipitation. Several researchers (Vogel et al., 2002; Heggenstaller et al., 2009) reported that maximum switchgrass shoot biomass yield reached at 120–140 kg N ha$^{-1}$ in Iowa and Nebraska, which had 2.5 and 2.2 times, respectively, more annual precipitation than in eastern Montana. Power (1988) also observed increased shoot biomass yield with increased N rate for smooth bromegrass in North Dakota.

Roots appeared to grow more than shoots in switchgrass compared to other grasses in 2011 with above-average growing season precipitation, as root/shoot ratio was higher with this grass (Tables 2 and 3).
5). In successive years, however, more roots grew below 90 cm in smooth bromegrass (Fig. 1), resulting in greater root/shoot ratios with this grass than switchgrass at 0–90 and 0–120 cm in 2012 and 2013, regardless of whether the growing season precipitation was below or above the average. This indicates that biomass allocation in above- and belowground components vary with grass species and years, with more allocation in below- than aboveground component with switchgrass in initial years, with the reverse trend occurring with smooth bromegrass in successive years. This is in contrast to that observed by Bollinder et al. (2002) in eastern Canada, who reported that the root/shoot ratio was not significantly different between switchgrass and smooth bromegrass, although switchgrass tended to have a higher ratio. As switchgrass is warm-season grass and smooth bromegrass cool-season grass, it may be possible that above- and belowground growth of switchgrass may differ in eastern Montana and eastern Canada due to differences in soil and climatic conditions. Both root and shoot of perennial grasses may grow in the same proportion in the sandy loam soil with annual precipitation of 442 mm, resulting in similar root/shoot ratios among perennial grasses in eastern Canada compared with different proportions in the loamy soil and 350 mm precipitation in eastern Montana. Nitrogen rate did not influence the root/shoot ratio of grasses, suggesting that both shoot and root grow equally with increased N rates. Similar results have been reported for switchgrass by Heggenstaller et al. (2009) in Iowa, but the ratio decreased with increased N rates for smooth bromegrass in North Dakota (Power, 1988).

Our root/shoot ratios of 1.00–2.74 for smooth bromegrass and 1.02–2.63 for switchgrass at 0–30 cm were greater than the ranges 0.52–1.16 and 0.76–1.72, respectively, observed for these grasses by Bollinder et al. (2002) in eastern Canada. Power (1988) reported root/shoot ratios of 3.70–14.29 at 0–90 cm for smooth bromegrass with or without N fertilization in North Dakota, which were substantially greater than 1.48–3.76 observed in our study. Heggenstaller et al. (2009) found root/shoot ratios of 2.10–2.50 for switchgrass with or without N fertilization at 0–100 cm in Iowa which were within 1.39–3.07 at 0–120 cm found in our study. Root/shoot ratios of grasses differ among regions due to variability in soil and climatic conditions, age and growth of the plant, depth of soil sampling, management practices, and root separation methods (Bollinder et al., 2002). Our mean root/shoot ratios of 1.43–2.65 for perennial grasses at various soil depths were, however, 8.5–9.0 times greater than that observed for annual spring wheat (0.15–0.33) due to greater root than shoot biomass, a case similar to that reported by Bollinder et al. (1997, 2002) and Campbell and de Jong (2001).

The increased root/shoot ratio of intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass at all depths in 2012 than in 2011 and 2013 (Table 5) shows that more biomass was allocated to roots than shoots during the year with below-average precipitation in these grasses. Although not significant, root biomass was greater, but shoot biomass was lower, with intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass than switchgrass in 2012 (Tables 2 and 3). Skinner and Comas (2010) found that the root/shoot ratio of grasses increased during water stress due to limited effect of the stress on root compared with shoot growth. Skinner (2008) reported that soil drying at the surface layer increased root growth at lower depths where soil water content was higher, but reduced shoot growth, thereby increasing root/shoot ratio of perennial grasses during drought conditions. This appeared to be true in the current study for intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass which had higher root biomass than switchgrass below 15 cm (Fig. 1). It is likely that roots of cool-season grasses, such as intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass, compared with shoots grow earlier than warm-season grasses, such as switchgrass, thereby increasing the root/shoot ratio at subsurface layers. This was certainly the case with intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass during the year with below-average precipitation (2012).

The lower soil water content at surface than subsurface layers was a result of greater acquisition of water by roots from upper soil layers during grass growth. Roots proliferated by increasing water uptake near the surface layer (Fig. 1). Soil water content increased with depth as root biomass decreased, likely due to reduced water uptake. Except during periods with abundant precipitation, most water from precipitation may not reach to subsurface layers due to uptake by roots at the surface layer, especially in semiarid regions. There was a significant negative correlation between root biomass and soil water content \( r = -0.56, P = 0.03, n = 15 \). While this supports a relationship between root biomass and water uptake, the moderate level of the correlation was probably because of the fact that only 10–30% of the total root system is actually engaged in water uptake (Robinson, 1991). Water may also be lost through evaporation, runoff, and leaching (Pierret et al., 2007). Deep roots often appear during drought to extract water from deeper soil layers (Skinner and Comas, 2010). The lower root biomass in annual spring wheat was likely related to greater soil water content at all depths, a pattern not present in perennial grasses (Fig. 1).

The increased soil water content under switchgrass than other grasses at most soil depths in 2011 and 2013 (Table 7) indicates that switchgrass may not be as effective in extracting water from the soil as other grasses, probably because of decreased root biomass at lower soil depths. Although root biomass was similar between switchgrass and intermediate wheatgrass at 0–15 cm, root biomass was lower in switchgrass than intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass at 15–30, 30–60, and 60–90 cm layers (Fig. 1). Because switchgrass is a warm-season grass, it is likely that slower growth of roots in the spring may permit more water to accumulate in deeper soil layers, thereby increasing soil water content under switchgrass compared to other two cool-season grasses. Roots at deep layer can extract significant amounts of water, especially during drought (Skinner, 2008; Skinner and Comas, 2010). The greater soil water content in 2013 than other years (Tables 6 and 7) was due to higher precipitation (Table 8).

As root biomass was not affected by N rates in our study, it was unclear why N rates had variable effect on soil water content at various depths. Shoot biomass yield increased with N rates in 2011 and 2013 (Fig. 3), but there was no significant relationship between N rate and soil water content. It may be possible that heterogeneity in soil organic matter content, soil texture, and presence or absence of roots in the soil profile resulted in various soil water content at various depths.

5. Conclusions

Root biomass at various soil depths and shoot biomass of perennial grasses varied with grass species and years. Smooth bromegrass had lower root and shoot biomass than intermediate wheatgrass and switchgrass. The root/shoot ratio, however, was similar among grass species. Both root and shoot biomass responded well during years with above-average precipitation, but roots grew more than shoots during years with below-average precipitation, resulting in higher root/shoot ratio. Nitrogen fertilization increased shoot biomass, but had little effect on root biomass and the root/shoot ratio compared with no N fertilization. Root biomass decreased, but soil water content increased with increased depth. Switchgrass was less effective in removing soil water from the profile than intermediate wheatgrass and smooth bromegrass. Because of greater root biomass, intermediate wheatgrass can provide more C inputs for soil C sequestration than other grasses. Low root than shoot biomass substantially reduced the root/shoot ratio of annual spring wheat, likely related to soil water content. More than three years of study, however, may be needed to evaluate root and shoot biomass of perennial grasses with different N fertilization rates, as successive years’ results indicated that switchgrass continued to outperform in shoot biomass compared with other cool-season grasses.
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