

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff
Publications

U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant
Health Inspection Service


2019

Black Bear Use of Forest Roads in Western Washington

Gary W. Witmer

USDA/APHIS National Wildlife Research Center

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc

 Part of the [Natural Resources and Conservation Commons](#), [Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons](#), [Other Environmental Sciences Commons](#), [Other Veterinary Medicine Commons](#), [Population Biology Commons](#), [Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecology Commons](#), [Veterinary Infectious Diseases Commons](#), [Veterinary Microbiology and Immunobiology Commons](#), [Veterinary Preventive Medicine, Epidemiology, and Public Health Commons](#), and the [Zoology Commons](#)

Witmer, Gary W., "Black Bear Use of Forest Roads in Western Washington" (2019). *USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications*. 2271.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/icwdm_usdanwrc/2271

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in USDA National Wildlife Research Center - Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Black Bear Use of Forest Roads in Western Washington

Gary W. Witmer, USDA/APHIS National Wildlife Research Center, Fort Collins, CO

ABSTRACT: Radiolocations (108) of 20 black bears were used to assess use or avoidance of 3 forest road types in western Washington. Distances of bears to each type of road were compared to distances of 108 random points using t-tests. Females and males avoided 2-lane roads, while only males avoided 1-lane roads, the most common road type in the area. Females, but not males, were located closer to overgrown, spur roads than expected. This road type has substantial cover of grasses, forbs, and berry-producing shrubs along with protective tree cover. This situation may provide easy travel for females along with security cover and a relatively abundant source of high-energy foods that could favor high reproductive success. It also suggests that 1-lane roads and overgrown roads are the best places to locate supplemental feeding stations aimed at providing nutrition to female bears in the spring.

KEY WORDS: black bear, forest management, roads, *Ursus americanus*, wildlife management

Proceedings of the 18th Wildlife Damage Management Conference.
(J.B. Armstrong, G.R. Gallagher, Eds.). 2019. Pp. 34-39

INTRODUCTION

Black bears (*Ursus americanus*) commonly occur on western forestlands. The increased use of these lands by humans for forestry, recreation, and other activities can potentially lead to increased conflicts, especially as forest road densities and vehicular use increase. Additionally, on intensively managed public and private forest lands of the Pacific Northwest and Intermountain West, black bear damage to conifer trees caused by feeding on the cambium is a long-standing problem, and probably one of increasing scale (Stewart et al. 1999). The damage is primarily by female bears leaving dens in the spring when these bears are undernourished and need to find supplemental nutrients to support them and their cubs (Stewart et al. 2002). The interaction of wildlife and roads is an

important aspect in the management of bear populations, their habitats, and the damage they can cause. Roads provide humans with access to bear habitats that otherwise would not be easily accessible. The roads also provide access for the use of supplemental feeding stations which are being commonly used to reduce bear damage to trees (Ziegler 2006). The use of roads by humans can have various negative effects on bears. In contrast, if the roads receive little or no vehicular use, bears may not be adversely affected and may even benefit. We summarized these potential effects from a review of the published literature (Table 1).

We used aerial locations of radio-transmitted bears in western Washington, obtained from the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, to assess the use of habitats in relation to three forest road types. We

hypothesized that bears would use--or at least not avoid--forest roads with little vehicular use.

Table 1: Potential Effects of Roads on Black Bears

Potential Adverse Effects ¹	Potential Beneficial Effects ²
Direct loss of habitat	Easy travel routes
Indirect loss of habitat	Increased foraging opportunities:
Habitat fragmentation	--early successional plants
Loss of security	--carrion availability
Decreased dispersal and genetic isolation	--human refuse, baits
--supplemental feeding sites	
Increased mortality:	
--legal	
--illegal	
--accidental	

¹Brody and Pelton 1989, Brody and Stone 1987, Fies et al. 1987, Gibeau and Heuer 1996, Gilbert and Wooding 1996, Kasworm and Manley 1990, Kasworm and Thier 1994, Lindzey and Meslow 1977, Manville 1983, Mattson et al. 1987, Rossell and Litvaitis 1994, Ruediger 1996, Seibert 1989, Woods and Munro 1996, Young and Beecham 1986.

²Brody and Stone 1987, Kasworm and Manley 1990, Lindzey and Meslow 1977, Manville 1983, Seibert 1989.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

The 81.6 km² study area is in the forested foothills of the western Cascade Mountains, King County, Washington. The area has a maritime climate with more than 131 cm of annual precipitation. Elevations range from 366 to 1160 m. The low to mid-elevations are in the western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) forest zone and dominate tree species are Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and western hemlock. Higher elevations are in the Pacific silver fir (*Abies amabilis*) forest zone with silver fir and subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*) the dominant tree species. Salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*), devil's club (*Oplopanax horridum*), and huckleberry (*Vaccinium* spp.) are the most common understory species.

The area is a mixture of private and public lands and is intensively managed for wood fiber production. Consequently, the area (excluding the Tolt Reservoir) has a high density (about 2.7 km/km²) of forest roads. This includes 42.8 km of major, 2-lane through roads (0.55 km/km²); 141.3 km of 1-lane secondary roads (1.8 km/km²); and 125.4 km of overgrown, spur roads (0.32 km/km²). In part because the watershed provides water for the city of Seattle, public vehicular access to the area has been largely restricted since 1995. As part of an ongoing research program, bears had been trapped with foot snares at trail or bait sets and surgically implanted with abdominal radio-transmitters. Personnel of the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife aerielly relocate the bears twice per week. We used 108 radiolocations of 20 bears (10 female and 10 male) from April 30 to July 15, 1996, and measured the nearest distances of those locations to each of the 3 road types, using a USGS 7.5 minute quadrangle map that had been updated with all roads in the study area. The same measurements were made using 108

randomly-generated points in the study area and this provided the availability data set. T-tests were used to determine if the distances from roads of bear locations and random locations were significantly different from each other by road type and by sex of bear. An alpha significance level of 0.05 was used in all analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison of the 108 bear locations and the 108 random locations revealed that black bears exhibited preferences and avoidances of certain road types (Table 2). There was no significant avoidance ($p=0.2304$) of 2-lane, through roads, although these roads were relatively uncommon in the area, occurring around the periphery of the study area and the shoreline of the reservoir. The sizeable mean distances of bears (1106 m overall, 1126 m for females, 1034 m for males) and random points (1031 m) from 2-lane roads suggests that bears could easily remain far from these roads throughout most of their home ranges.

There was no significant avoidance ($p=0.1139$) of 1-lane, secondary roads by all bears combined. The mean distance (173 m) for bears was similar to the mean distance (147 m) for random points. In this case, however, there were significant differences between sexes. The mean distance (222 m) of males from these roads was significantly ($p=0.0338$) farther than the mean distance of random points (147 m), but this was not the case for female bears (160 m; $p=0.2635$). Because male bears in the area have larger home ranges (about 61 km² versus 11 km², unpubl. data) and do considerable travelling during this time of year (the breeding season), one might expect to find them closer to roads than females, unless they were actively avoiding this type of road. Special damage

hunts, to reduce bear density and hence damage to commercial trees, have been held in this area during this time of year for many years now. It is possible that these hunts have instilled a wariness in male bears of being near 1-lane, secondary roads, the most

common road type. On the other hand, the greater use of these 1-lane roads by female bears suggests that these roads should be used for the placement and maintenance of supplemental feeding stations used primarily by female bears in the spring.

Table 2: A Comparison of Average Distances of all Bears (20), Female Bears (10), Male Bears (10), and Random Points from 3 Types of Forest Roads in Western Washington, 1995.¹

Road type	Average Distance (m)			
	All bears	Female bears	Male bears	Random points
2-lane, through roads	1106	1126	1034	1031
1-lane secondary roads	173	160	222 ²	147
Overgrown, spur roads	390 ²	360 ²	501	638

¹See text for details, number of locations, and p-values.

²Distance is significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from the random points distance.

Bears were significantly ($p=0.0011$) closer (390 m) to overgrown, spur roads than the random points (638 m). This difference was accounted for by female bears which were significantly ($p=0.0002$) closer (360 m) to this road type than the random points (638 m), whereas male bears (501 m) were not ($p=0.1106$). The overgrown, spur roads

receive little, if any, vehicular use, mainly because of the rapid reinvasion of alder (*Alnus* spp.) trees. These roads commonly have a substantial cover of grasses, forbs, and berry-producing shrubs. Consequently, overgrown, spur roads may provide easy travel with adequate security cover along with a relatively abundant source of high-energy foods that

would favor high reproductive success.

We conclude, from our literature search and our data, that roads can have adverse effects on bears and are often avoided. Male bears may be more sensitive to roads than female bears, but bears will use roads, especially if vehicular use has been restricted for a long enough period. Finally, female bears with cubs may gain a nutritional advantage by foraging along or near overgrown roads with early successional vegetation and 1-lane roads with supplemental feeding stations.

LITERATURE CITED

Brody, A. J., and M. R. Pelton. 1989. Effects of roads on black bear movements in western North Carolina. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 17:5-10.

Brody, A. J., M. R. Pelton, and J. N. Stone. 1987. Timber harvest and black bear population dynamics in a southern Appalachian forest. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 7:243-250.

Fies, M. L., D. D. Martin, and G. T. Blank, Jr. 1987. Movements and rates of return of translocated black bears in Virginia. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 7:369-372.

Gibeau, M. L., and K. Heuer. 1996. Effects of transportation corridors on large carnivores in the Bow River Valley, Alberta. Pages 67-79 in G. Evink, D. Ziegler, P. Garrett, and J. Berry, eds. *Highways and movement of wildlife*. Florida Dept. of Transportation, Tallahassee, FL.

Gibert, T., and J. Wooding. 1996. An overview of black bear roadkills in Florida,

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the USDA National Wildlife Research Center (NWRC). The study was conducted under NWRC-approved study protocol QA-3064. Assistance was provided by the Washington Department of Forestry and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Cameron L. Heusser, a Washington State University student assisted with the study. Mention of a company or commercial product does not mean endorsement by the U.S. government.

1976-1995. Pages 308-322 in G. Evink, D. Ziegler, P. Garrett, and J. Berry, eds. *Highways and movement of wildlife*. Florida Dept. of Transportation, Tallahassee, FL.

Kasworm, W. F., and T. L. Manley. 1990. Road influences on grizzly bears and black bears in northwest Montana. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 8:79-84.

Kasworm, W. F., T. L. Manley, and T. J. Thier. 1994. Adult black bear reproduction, survival, and mortality sources in northwest Montana. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 9:223-230.

Lindzey, F. G., and E. C. Meslow. 1977. Home range and habitat use by black bears in southwestern Washington. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 41:413-425.

Manville, A. M. 1983. Human impact on the black bear in Michigan's Lower Peninsula. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 5:20-33.

Mattson, D. J., R. R. Knight, and B. M.

- Blanchard. 1987. The effects of developments and primary roads on grizzly bear habitat use in Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 7:259-273.
- Rossell, Jr., C. R., and J. A. Litvaitis. 1994. Application of harvest data to examine responses of black bears to land-use changes. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 9:275-281.
- Ruediger, B. 1996. The relationship between rare carnivores and highways. Pages 24-38 *in* G. Evink, D. Ziegler, P. Garrett, and J. Berry, eds. *Highways and movement of wildlife*. Florida Dept. of Transportation, Tallahassee, FL.
- Seibert, S. G. 1989. Black bear habitat use and response to roads on Pisgah National Forest, North Carolina. M.S. Thesis. Univ. of Tennessee, Knoxville. 144 pp.
- Stewart, W. S., G. W. Witmer, and G. M. Koehler. 1999. Black bear damage to forest stands in western Washington. *Western Journal of Applied Forestry* 14:128-131.
- Stewart, W. S., G. W. Witmer, and G. M. Koehler. 2002. Incisor analysis technique to predict the gender of black bears damaging trees. *International Biodeterioration and Degradation* 49:209-212.
- Woods, J. G., and R. H. Munro. 1996. Roads, rails, and environment: wildlife at the intersection in Canada's western mountains. Pages 39-45 *in* G. Evink, D. Ziegler, P. Garrett, and J. Berry, eds. *Highways and movement of wildlife*. Florida Dept. of Transportation, Tallahassee, FL.
- Young, D. D., and J. J. Beecham. 1986. Black bear habitat use at Priest Lake, Idaho. *International Conference of Bear Research and Management* 6:73-80.
- Ziegltrum, G. J. 2006. Cost-effectiveness of the black bear supplemental feeding program in western Washington. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 34:375-379.