

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)

Libraries at University of Nebraska-Lincoln

---

2020

## Bibliometric estimation of research productivity, published in the European Journal of International Law from 2006 – 2019

Zameer Hussain Baladi

*King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences, Riyadh KSA, baladiz@ksau-hs.edu.sa*

Sardar Ali Shah

*University of Sindh, Jamshoro/Hyderabad Sindh, Pakistan, sardar.shah@usindh.edu.pk*

Taimoor Ali

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac>



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

---

Baladi, Zameer Hussain; Shah, Sardar Ali; and Ali, Taimoor, "Bibliometric estimation of research productivity, published in the European Journal of International Law from 2006 – 2019" (2020). *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. 4561.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/4561>

# Bibliometric estimation of research productivity, publish in the European Journal of International Law from 2006 – 2019.

Sardar Ali Shah,<sup>1</sup> Zameer Hussain Baladi<sup>2</sup> and Taimoor Ali<sup>3</sup>

Assistant Professor, Institute of Law,  
University of Sindh, Jamshoro/Hyderabad Sindh, Pakistan  
[sardar.shah@usindh.edu.pk](mailto:sardar.shah@usindh.edu.pk)

King Saud Bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences,  
College of Applied Medical Sciences,  
Ministry of National Guard Health Affairs  
Riyadh. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
Emails: [baladiz@ksau-hs.edu.sa](mailto:baladiz@ksau-hs.edu.sa) & [zameer.baladi@gmail.com](mailto:zameer.baladi@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Final Year Student L.L.B / Role No. 70/ Batch 2015  
Indus College of Law, Hyderabad Sindh Pakistan.  
[taimooralibaladi97@gmail.com](mailto:taimooralibaladi97@gmail.com)

## **Abstract:**

**Introduction of study:** This study portrays the European Journal of International Law's published data from 2006 - 2019, indexed in the Scopus-Elsevier database.

**Methodology:** The retrieved data tabulated in MS Office Excel Sheet. The author's role and collaboration, type of documents, and association of the first author with the country aimed to explore the issue and year wise frequency of publications.

**Results:** Total 739 documents published and distributed in seven categories original articles 582; 78.7%, followed by 59; 8% review articles and 56; 7.5% editorials got the top slot in the publications. Single or solo authors wrote the documents produced by 841; 1.1% authors, majority 621; 84%; research papers. The affiliation of the first author belongs to forty-three countries. In this study, The United Kingdom on the top slot with 147; 19.8% publications, followed by United States 119; 16.1%, and Italy 118; 16%. Twelve articles published in random years got a maximum of 348 and a minimum of 100 citations.

**Conclusion:** The European Journal of International Law regularly publishes a consistent approach and promotes international law writings. This journal's dynamic is the facilitation of translations from French, Spanish, Italian and German languages to the English language.

**Key words:** Bibliometric, international law, Florence, European University Institute.

## **Introduction and literature review:**

Some sets of rules, to save the life, property, and honor of a person from another person's misconduct, regulated by society or governmental institutions. Do we wonder why education is necessary for all? Also, Thomas, A.M. (2018) came to rescue us and discussed the philosophy of Adam Smith's about the provision of education, and summarized that "in Smith's view, education conceived broadly to include both the learning of 'wisdom' and 'moral sentiments,' as central to a prosperous or flourishing society." He passed the quote of Smith's position that "education for all is necessary to create a prosperous society."

Newell, L.J (2018) supports Thomas with views that education strives to chase knowledge to serve ideological, ethical, intellectual, political, and religious expectations in the public interest. Wang, J. A (2020) explains and sustenance above arguments that a whole society requires freedom of human, which exists based on the collective wisdom of humanity, correct and right knowledge of rules, and its execution, persuasion, and positive behavior of law enforcement departments. Kuchuk, A.M et al. valued law education. They described that getting this education with realistic understanding provides critical minds that meet ethical requirements and characterized a harmonious society to fulfill education. Yang, X., Song, Z (2019) worried about the; pedagogical performances of teachers, low level of interest in legal education by students, and evaluation methods to gauge the construction of platforms for designing and refinement of law curriculum in the universities of China.

Before Yang, X., Song, Z, Gezer, M (2018) analysis the relations among the attitude of multicultural students, philosophy of education, and potential teachers. The study found that imminent teachers adopted educational philosophies significantly. Meantime, it is acceptable to discuss the participation and collaborations of institutes, policies, and attitudes of researchers in promoting ideas for society's betterment. Let us check what precedents to follow. Hahn, K.A., Galea, S, articulate the role and contribution of the Society for Epidemiologic Research, the American Journal of Epidemiology, and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health in the advancement of research in the subject of epidemiology in forthcoming decades. Similar to Hahn, in 2019, Kulczycki, E, investigated the role of science policy and practices of editors in research published in Polish journals support to evaluate of a journal-ranking system. The researcher collected 801 documents for examining the research evaluation system to help a journal became an international admiration from academic communities.

Wood, P.J., Nelson, K. admired the journal Kai Tiaki's contribution and services, an awareness of research among the nurses in terms of writing manuscripts, publishing original articles, and case studies about their practices. Calleigh, A.S. praised editors' role in nourishing the integrity, maintaining the article standards, eradicating the duplications, holding contacts alive with researchers and reviewers, and enforcing the policies to inspire the readers. However, in 1999, Davidhizar, R., Bechtel, G.A. sketched the worries of researchers in publishing their manuscripts not promptly but delayed and recommended to identify and remove the obstacles from acceptance to printing. Law or

set of rules is essential for everyone who lives in society. Types of research pertained to the surface that, how the knowledge of law shows humanity's collective wisdom. Ringholm, T (2018) acknowledged the participation of citizens in the Norwegian municipal planning department in the making of the Act, and its execution is effective or non-effective under the spirit of the law.

Meanwhile, some researchers had concerns that the law does not support a research culture in the field. Bedê, F.S., De Sousa, R.S. (2018) focused on testing this hypothesis; to find out the main aspects of the framework, determined the hurdles, and its validity in Brazil based on observations scripted and produced by the Law department. In this research, they declined the above narrative, with this explanation that "Legal research becoming a sort of "research-advocacy," whereby the confirmation bias would so contaminate a portion of the research that researchers would avoid going to the field." The bibliometric study used to evaluate research culture in the institutes, organizations, and growth of publications in one discipline to sub-fields of that discipline or one specialty to its sub-specialties of any subject. Ruiz-Pomeda, A et al. (2020) conducted a bibliometric study on literature under the title "Bibliometric study of scientific research on optometric visual therapy" published in journals indexed in scientific databases. The study retrieved 294 documents published from 1947 – 2017. Saberi, M.K et al. (2020) visualized the data published in Koomesh journal from 2006 – 2017; the study found 764 articles published during this period. Semnan University of Medical Sciences got first place with 310 papers due to the affiliation of the first author in articles.

**Material & Methods:** Scopus-Elsevier Database is best for qualitative and quantitative research utilizing its indexed journals. The *European Journal of International Law* is renowned as an academic journal for law and litigation worldwide. The publish data of as year and issue wise, types of documents, contribution of authors in publications, authorship pattern, the associations of the first author with countries, and top-cited articles in journals *from 2006 – 2019. The data downloaded and stored for tabulation in MS Office Excel Sheet 2010 in August 2020.* Comma-separated values (CSV) and plain text file on Note-paid utilized, self-possessed "1560 - 9014", an International Standard Serial Number (ISSN), was helpful as the source.


**Results:** Figure 1 and Table 1 shows a detailed picture of the European Journal of International Law. In fourteen years, 739 (197.7 per year and 12.1 per issue) documents were published from 2006 - 2019. Results reveal that the first three-year journal published 113; 15.2% documents in six issues from 2006 – 2008. The publications' ratio shows as a maximum 68; 9.2% and 34; 4.6% as a minimum in the years 2009 and 2006.



**Table 1:** Research productivity published in the European Journal of International Law for the period 2006 – 2019.

| S. No | Years     | Issue 1 | Issue 2 | Issue 3 | Issue 4 | Issue 5 | Total          | %              |
|-------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|
| 1     | Year 2006 | 11      | 5       | 6       | 6       | 6       | 34             | 4.6%           |
| 2     | Year 2007 | 9       | 5       | 7       | 8       | 9       | 38             | 5.1%           |
| 3     | Year 2008 | 8       | 6       | 6       | 7       | 14      | 41             | 5.5%           |
| 4     | Year 2009 | 10      | 9       | 21      | 28      |         | 68             | 9.2%           |
| 5     | Year 2010 | 13      | 8       | 11      | 12      |         | 44             | 6%             |
| 6     | Year 2011 | 12      | 17      | 17      | 13      |         | 59             | 8%             |
| 7     | Year 2012 | 17      | 12      | 16      | 16      |         | 61             | 8.2%           |
| 8     | Year 2013 | 26      | 13      | 10      | 15      |         | 64             | 8.6%           |
| 9     | Year 2014 | 28      | 11      | 15      | 11      |         | 65             | 8.8%           |
| 10    | Year 2015 | 12      | 12      | 10      | 15      |         | 49             | 6.6%           |
| 11    | Year 2016 | 10      | 14      | 15      | 19      |         | 58             | 7.8%           |
| 12    | Year 2017 | 16      | 15      | 15      | 20      |         | 66             | 9%             |
| 13    | Year 2018 | 13      | 15      | 1       | 20      |         | 49             | 6.6%           |
| 14    | Year 2019 | 14      | 15      | 14      |         |         | 43             | 5.8%           |
|       |           | 172     | 127     | 149     | 170     | 29      | 739            |                |
|       |           | 23.7%   | 17.1%   | 20.1%   | 23%     | 4%      | 197.7 per year | 12.1 per issue |

Original and review articles, case reports, short communications, editorials, letters to editors, conference papers, erratum, corrigendum, and notes are famous forms of international academic journals. Figure 2 and Table 2 indicate that 78.7 percent of publications in the European Journal of International Law existing on articles followed by editorials 8% as documents.



**Table 2:** Documents published in the European Journal of International Law for the period 2006 – 2019.

| S. No | Documents        | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Total | Average |
|-------|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|---------|
| 1     | Article          | 33   | 36   | 29   | 44   | 39   | 47   | 54   | 55   | 59   | 42   | 53   | 60   | 26   | 5    | 582   | 41.5%   |
| 2     | Review           | 1    |      | 2    | 2    | 1    | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      | 17   | 35   | 59    | 8.4%    |
| 3     | Editorial        |      | 2    | 7    | 8    | 4    | 5    | 5    | 5    | 4    | 4    | 4    | 4    | 3    | 1    | 56    | 4.3%    |
| 4     | Note             |      |      | 3    | 8    |      | 5    | 2    | 4    | 2    | 3    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 1    | 33    | 3%      |
| 5     | Letter           |      |      |      | 6    |      | 1    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 7     | 3.5%    |
| 6     | Conference Paper |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 1    |      | 1     | 1%      |
| 7     | Erratum          |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 1    | 1     | 1%      |
|       | Total            | 34   | 38   | 41   | 68   | 44   | 59   | 61   | 64   | 65   | 49   | 58   | 66   | 49   | 43   | 739   | 52.7%   |


Table 3 reveals the top twelve cited articles published in random years got a maximum of 348 and a minimum of 100 citations. The articles published in the year 2009 got full 580 citations, followed by 478 and 412 citations years 2008 and 2006.

| <b>Table 3:</b> Top cited documents published in the European Journal of International Law for the period 2006 – 2019 |                              |   |      |             |
|---|------------------------------|---|------|-------------|
| S. No   | Authors                      | Title of article  | Year | Cited times |
| 1   | McCrudden C.                 | Human dignity and judicial interpretation of human rights   | 2008 | 348         |
| 2   | Kingsbury B.                 | The concept of 'law' in global administrative law   | 2009 | 193         |
| 3   | Koskenniemi M.               | The politics of international law - 20 years later  | 2009 | 174         |
| 4   | Harlow C.                    | Global administrative law: The quest for principles and values  | 2006 | 165         |
| 5   | Sivakumaran S.               | Sexual violence against men in armed conflict   | 2007 | 143         |
| 6   | Meidinger E.                 | The administrative law of global private-public regulation: The case of forestry  | 2006 | 140         |
| 7   | Nouwen S.M.H., & Werner W.G. | Doing justice to the political: The international criminal court in Uganda and Sudan  | 2010 | 138         |
| 8   | Helfer L.R.                  | Redesigning the European Court of Human Rights: Embeddedness as a deep structural principle of the European human rights regime | 2008 | 130         |
| 9   | Peters A.                    | Humanity as the A and $\Omega$ of sovereignty   | 2009 | 113         |
| 10  | Cassese A.                   | The Nicaragua and Tadić Tests revisited in light of the ICJ judgment on genocide in Bosnia                                      | 2007 | 109         |
| 11  | Krisch N.                    | The pluralism of global administrative law  | 2006 | 107         |
| 12  | Tams C.J.                    | The use of force against terrorists   | 2009 | 100         |

Shared composing is recognizable in this period among specialists in places of their discipline, and data innovation devices are backing to researchers to satisfy the goals of their interdisciplinary investigation for advancing in unadulterated science into applied sciences. Kumar, S (2018) criticized the role of co-authorship as considered a reliable substitution for assessment of micro or macro level in scholarly collaboration. He dislikes the position of honorary or ghost authorship and advised to put their names in footnotes or bottom of articles with “Acknowledge” appropriately. Two years earlier of Kumar, Badar, K., et al. (2016) investigate the research performance, relationship, and nature of co-author in terms of academic ranks, gender, institutional affiliation, and ties between research and the character of co-author, and found supportive in investigative research.<sup>19</sup>

**Figure 3 and Table 4** show the mesmerizing combination of participated authors in the research published in the European Journal of International Law for 2006 – 2019. Total 716; 96.8% of a document written by 841 authors, an average of 1.1% per document out of 739 papers. A vast 621; 84% of research was written by the single or solo author, followed 88 articles written by two (176 authors) and seven; 1% documents by three (21

authors); instead of these results, researchers could not find the names or figures of 23; 3% documents published in this journal.



**Table 4:** Pattern of authorship in research productivity of the European Journal of International Law for the period 2006 – 2019.

| S. No | Authors       | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Total | Total authors |
|-------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|---------------|
| 1     | Single author | 27   | 35   | 37   | 58   | 38   | 54   | 50   | 53   | 53   | 39   | 53   | 51   | 40   | 33   | 621   | 621           |
| 2     | Two authors   | 7    | 3    | 3    | 9    | 4    | 4    | 9    | 6    | 9    | 5    | 2    | 10   | 7    | 10   | 88    | 176           |
| 3     | Three authors |      |      | 1    |      | 2    |      |      | 1    | 1    |      | 1    | 1    |      |      | 7     | 21            |
| 1     | Not Clear     |      |      |      | 1    |      | 1    | 2    | 4    | 2    | 5    | 2    | 4    | 2    |      | 23    | 23            |
|       | Total         | 34   | 38   | 41   | 68   | 44   | 59   | 61   | 64   | 65   | 49   | 58   | 66   | 49   | 43   | 739   | 841           |

**Figure 4** shows that the first authors affiliated with 43 countries participated in 739 documents. The United Kingdom got the first slot with 147; 19.8% publications, followed by United States 119; 16.1%, and Italy 118; 16%. Moreover, table five expresses the breakdown of participation of countries in publications. Greece, Ireland, Norway, and South Africa Participated in 04 articles. Cambodia, China, Denmark, Japan, Luxembourg, Malaysia, and Sweden with 03 documents. Seven countries; Argentina, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, India, and Turkey contributed with 02



articles. Eight countries; Chile, Hong Kong, Iceland, Jamaica, Latvia, Mexico, Poland, and Serbia added one paper.



Table 5: Participation of top countries in Research publish in European Journal of International Law from 2006 – 2019

|    | Name of Country                           | Publications | %      |
|----|---|--------------|--------|
| 1  | United Kingdom                            | 147          | 19.89% |
| 2  | United States                             | 119          | 16.10% |
| 3  | Italy                                     | 118          | 15.97% |
| 4  | Germany                                   | 65           | 8.80%  |
| 5  | Switzerland                               | 44           | 5.95%  |
| 6  | Australia                                 | 27           | 3.65%  |
| 7  | Israel                                    | 25           | 3.38%  |
| 8  | France                                    | 23           | 3.11%  |
| 9  | Not Clear                                 | 22           | 2.98%  |
| 10 | Netherlands                               | 20           | 2.71%  |
| 11 | Canada                                    | 19           | 2.57%  |
| 12 | Finland                                   | 17           | 2.30%  |
| 13 | Belgium                                   | 8            | 1.08%  |
| 14 | Singapore                                 | 8            | 1.08%  |
| 15 | New Zealand                               | 7            | 0.95%  |
| 16 | Spain                                     | 6            | 0.81%  |
| 17 | Austria                                   | 5            | 0.68%  |
| 18 | 04 Countries participate with 04 articles | 16           | 2.17%  |
| 19 | 07 Countries participate with 03 articles | 21           | 2.84%  |
| 20 | 07 Countries participate with 02 articles | 14           | 1.89%  |

|    |  |     |       |
|----|--|-----|-------|
| 21 | 08 Countries participate with 01 article | 8   | 1.08% |
|    |  | 739 |       |

### Discussion & Conclusion:

The peer-review journal facilitates researchers on presenting dialogue among the visibility of ideas, discusses the theoretical approaches of these ideas, focuses on the publication process, maintains long-term guidelines for every contributor of that journal, and develops knowledge within research and communication to knowledge seekers on specific disciplines. European Journal of International Law possesses its trust: readers, writers, and publishers' management. Every journal has a critical associate editor's post, and from this place, a high-quality review process gets takeoff any manuscript.

The average of publications shows the sturdy bond among the troika of readers, writers, and publishers; four editorials' issues per annum explain the sincerity and connection of editors, note, letters, and erratum combination acidity to accept mistakes and errors to clear and justified. Original and review articles are the backbones of every intellectual activity start from discussing the problem, flourish ideas, and putting suggestions. It is evident that the European Journal of International Law standing in a high place in the law and litigation community.

**Disclaimer:** None to declare.

**Conflict of Interest:** None to declare.

**Funding Disclosure:** None to declare

### REFERENCES:

1. Arya, Chanda, and Superna Sharma. "Authorship trends and collaborative research in veterinary sciences: A bibliometric study." *Chinese Librarianship: an International Electronic Journal* 34 (2011): 1-9. [www.iclc.us/cliej/c134AS](http://www.iclc.us/cliej/c134AS)
2. Badar, K., Frantz, T.L., Jabeen, M. Research performance and degree centrality in co-authorship networks: The moderating role of homophile. (2016) *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 68 (6), pp. 756-771. [DOI: 10.1108/AJIM-07-2016-0103](https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-07-2016-0103)
3. Bedê, F.S., De Sousa, R.S. 2018. Why the studies on law do not present a culture of field research in Brazil?. *Revista Brasileira de Políticas Publicas*, 8 (1), pp. 782-796.

<https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/brazjpp8&div=42&id=&page=>

4. Baladi, ZH. (2018). A Bibliometric Portrait of Pakistan Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research (PJSIR) During the Period of 1958-2007. *Pak. j. sci. ind. res. Ser. A: phys. sci.* 61A (3).  
[https://pjsir.org/documents/journals/15112018051203\\_61-3-September-December-Abstract-.pdf](https://pjsir.org/documents/journals/15112018051203_61-3-September-December-Abstract-.pdf)
5. Bedê, F.S., De Sousa, R.S. 2018. Why the studies on law do not present a culture of field research in Brazil?. *Revista Brasileira de Políticas Públicas*, 8 (1), pp. 782-796.  
<https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/brazjpp8&div=42&id=&page=>
6. Caellegh, A.S. 1993. Role of the journal editor in sustaining integrity in research (1993) *Academic Medicine*, 68 (9), pp. S23-S29.  
<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1994-15311-001>
7. Davidhizar, R. and Bechtel, G.A., 1999. Avoiding publication delays: author and editor responsibilities. *Nurse Author & Editor*, 9(4), pp.1-4.  
<https://europepmc.org/article/med/10661175>
8. Gezer, M., 2018. An analysis of correlations between prospective teachers' philosophy of education and their attitudes towards multicultural education. *Cogent Education*, 5(1), p.1475094.  
<https://www.cogentoa.com/article/10.1080/2331186X.2018.1475094.pdf>
9. Hahn, K.A. and Galea, S., 2016. The role of professional journals and societies in the future of a field: A reflection on the partnership between the American Journal of Epidemiology and the Society for Epidemiologic Research. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 183(5), pp.367-371.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv191>
10. Jiyan, W.A.N.G., 2020. A Study on the Effect of Law Education on Attitude toward Rule of Law Based on the Perspective of Legal Knowledge. *Revista de Cercetare si Interventie Sociala*, 69, pp. 357-370.  
<https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85085873015&doi=10.33788%2frcis.69.24&partnerID=40&md5=e9b81b0c588a16a59661651263890113>
11. Kuchuk, A.M., Serdiuk, L.M., Zavhorodnia, Y.S. (2019) Modern law education in the context of natural understanding of law. *Asia Life Sciences*, (2), pp. 359-370. <http://er.dduvs.in.ua/handle/123456789/5109>

12. Kumar, S. Ethical concerns in the rise of co-authorship and its role as a proxy of research collaborations. (2018) *Publications*, 6 (3), art. no. 37. [DOI: 10.3390/publications6030037](https://doi.org/10.3390/publications6030037)
13. Newell, L.J., 2018. En Route: Toward a Philosophy and Practice of Liberal Education. In *Contemporary Philosophical Proposals for the University* (pp. 81-102). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham.  
[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-72128-6\\_5](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-72128-6_5)
14. Ringholm, T., Nyseth, T., Hanssen, G.S. 2018. Participation according to the law?: The research-based knowledge on citizen participation in Norwegian municipal planning. *European Journal of Spatial Development*, (67), 20 p.  
<https://hdl.handle.net/10642/6713>
15. Ruiz-Pomeda, A., Álvarez-Peregrina, C., 2020. Povedano-Montero, F.J. Bibliometric study of scientific research on optometric visual therapy. *Journal of Optometry*. (13), pp 191-197.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optom.2019.12.007>.
16. Saberi, M.K., Sahebi, S. and Zerehsaz, M., 2020. Visualization of the Koomesh journal between 2006 and 2017: A bibliometric study. *Koomesh*, 22(1), pp.1-9. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85077691051&partnerID=40&md5=61839e1f1cbf241f017e8aa1fb0226d5>
17. Thomas, A.M., 2018. Adam Smith on the philosophy and provision of education. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics*, 30(1), pp.105-116.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0260107917728597>
18. Wood, P. and Nelson, K., 2013. The journal Kai Tiaki's role in developing research capability in New Zealand nursing, 1908-1959. *Nursing Praxis in New Zealand*, 29(1), pp. 12-22.  
<https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84880533355&partnerID=40&md5=3f94de5473ab0b9030cfb3e131cc5768>
19. Yang, X. and Song, Z., 2019. College Students' Law Education Platform Based on Browser/Server and Massive Open Online Courses. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET)*, 14(15), pp.202-210.  
<https://onlinejour.journals.publicknowledgeproject.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/11153>