

5-1975

THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF a^x

J. A. Eidswick

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub>

 Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Eidswick, J. A., "THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF a^x " (1975). *Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics*. 49.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/mathfacpub/49>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications, Department of Mathematics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF a^x

J. A. EIDSWICK

A "from scratch" proof of the differentiability of a^x , $a > 0$, is avoided by essentially all modern-day authors. A slick and popular way of handling the problem is to define a^x as $e^{x \log a}$ its differentiability and other properties following from that of the functions e^x and $\log x$. Unfortunately, the usual definitions of e^x and $\log x$ involve relatively sophisticated ideas (e.g., integration or power series). Furthermore, the student, having heard of e , the natural logarithm base, at an early stage of his development, is hardly enlightened when he is told that e is e^1 . He would have a much better feeling for the "naturalness" of e if it were defined as that number a for which $(a^x)' = a^x$.

The purpose of this note is to provide a direct and relatively simple way of getting at the differentiability of a^x . We define $a^x = \lim a^r$ as $r \rightarrow x$ through rational values of r from which continuity and other basic properties follow (see e.g., [1, p. 63]). The differentiability question obviously reduces to showing that the function $F(x) = (a^x - 1)/x$ has a limit at 0. Since $F(-x) = a^{-x}F(x)$, it suffices to show only that the right-hand limit exists. By a similar observation, we may assume that $a > 1$. As a final reduction, we note that, for $a > 1$, F is bounded below on $(0, \infty)$ and, hence, it is sufficient to show that F is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Define $S(x, n) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1}$ so that $S(x, n)(x-1) = x^n - 1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} n(a^{1/n} - a^{1/(n+1)}) &= na^{1/(n+1)}(a^{1/n(n+1)} - 1) \\ &> S(a^{1/n(n+1)}, n)(a^{1/n(n+1)} - 1) \\ &= a^{1/(n+1)} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

the sequence $\{F(1/n)\}$ is decreasing. Therefore, for positive rational numbers $m/n < p/q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(m/n) &= F(1/pn)S(a^{1/pn}, pn)/pn \\ &< F(1/qm)S(a^{1/qm}, pm)/pm = F(p/q). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, F is increasing on the positive rationals. By continuity, F is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

We conclude by noting that $(a^x)'$ is proportional to a^x and that the constant of proportionality can be taken to be 1 if a is chosen suitably, leading to an appealing definition of e (cf. [2, p. 41–44]).

References

1. Casper Goffman, *Introduction to Real Analysis*, Harper & Row, New York, 1966.
2. Edmund Landau, *Differential and Integral Calculus*, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1960.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68508.