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Search for a Technicolor $\omega_T$ Particle in Events with a Photon and a $b$-Quark Jet at Fermilab

If the technicolor $\omega_T$ particle exists, a likely decay mode is $\omega_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi_T$, followed by $\pi_T \rightarrow b \bar{b}$, yielding the signature $\gamma b \bar{b}$. We have searched 85 pb$^{-1}$ of data collected by the CDF experiment at the Fermilab Tevatron for events with a photon and two jets, where one of the jets must contain a secondary vertex implying the presence of a $b$ quark. We find no excess of events above standard model expectations. We express the result as an exclusion region in the $M_{\omega_T}$-$M_{\pi_T}$ mass plane.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Nz, 13.85.Rm, 14.80.–j

In the standard model of electroweak interactions, the elementary scalar fields of the Higgs mechanism break electroweak symmetry and give mass to the $W$ and $Z^0$ gauge bosons. A new particle is predicted, the Higgs boson, which couples to matter and causes the fermions to acquire mass. Technicolor is a dynamical version of
the Higgs mechanism which does not contain elementary scalar bosons \[1\]. In this approach, there are new heavy fermions interacting via the new, strong technicolor gauge interaction. These technifermions form vacuum condensates that perform the mass-generating functions of elementary scalars. They also form new boson bound states, including the \( \pi_T^0, \rho_T^0 \), and \( \omega_T \), analogous to the mesons of QCD.

In \( p \bar{p} \) collisions a quark and an antiquark may annihilate into a virtual photon or \( Z^0 \) which can fluctuate into a particle with the same quantum numbers, such as the hypothetical \( \omega_T \). In the model of technicolor considered here \[2\], the \( \omega_T \) may decay to \( \gamma \pi_T \) followed by the decay \( \pi_T \rightarrow b \bar{b} \); the resulting signature is \( \gamma b \bar{b} \). We have searched for events with a photon, a \( b \) quark jet, and at least one additional jet in 85 pb\(^{-1}\) of \( p \bar{p} \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \) TeV collected by the CDF experiment in 1994–1995. In this Letter we describe the search and the resulting limits on \( \omega_T \) and \( \pi_T \) mass combinations.

We briefly describe the relevant aspects of the Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) \[3\]. A superconducting solenoid magnet provides a 1.4 T magnetic field in a volume 3 m in diameter and 5 m long, containing three tracking devices. Closest to the beam line is a four-layer silicon microstrip detector (SVX) \[4\] used to identify the secondary vertices from \( b \)-hadron decays. Outside the SVX, a time projection chamber locates the \( z \) position of the interaction. In the region with radius from 30 to 132 cm is the central tracking chamber (CTC) which measures charged-particle momenta. Surrounding the magnet coil is the electromagnetic calorimeter which is in turn surrounded by the hadronic calorimeter. The calorimeters are constructed of towers, subtending 15\(^\circ\) in \( \phi \) and 0.1 in \( \eta \), pointing to the interaction region. The central preradiator wire chamber (CPR) is located on the inner face of the calorimeter in the central region \(|\eta| < 1.1\). This device is used to determine if a photon began its shower in the magnet coil. At a depth of six radiation lengths into the electromagnetic calorimeter, wire chambers with cathode strip readout (central electromagnetic strip chamber, CES) measure two orthogonal profiles of showers.

Collisions producing a photon candidate are selected by a three-level trigger which requires a central electromagnetic cluster with \( E_T > 23 \) GeV and limited additional energy in the region of the calorimeter surrounding the cluster. Off-line, we select events with an electromagnetic cluster with \( E_T > 25 \) GeV and \(|\eta| < 1.0\). Electron and jet backgrounds are reduced by requiring the cluster to be isolated from additional energy in the calorimeter, other energy deposits in the CES, and charged-particle tracks in the CTC. These requirements yield a data sample of 511 335 events.

Photon backgrounds, dominated by jets that fragment to an energetic \( \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \) and are misidentified as a single photon, are measured using the shower shape in the CES system for photon \( E_T < 35 \) GeV and the probability of a conversion before the CPR for \( E_T > 35 \) GeV \[5\]. We find \((55 \pm 1 \pm 15\%)\) \% of these photon candidates are actually jets misidentified as photons.

Jets in the events are clustered with a cone of 0.4 in \( \eta - \phi \) space. The jet energies are corrected for calorimeter gaps and nonlinear response, energy not contained in the jet cone and underlying event energy \[7\]. We then select events with at least two jets, each with \( E_T > 30 \) GeV and \(|\eta| < 2.0\). This reduces the data set to 10 182 events.

One of the jets is required to be identified as a \( b \)-quark jet by the algorithm used in the top-quark analysis \[8\]. This algorithm searches for tracks in the SVX that are associated with the jet but not associated with the primary vertex, indicating they come from the decay of a long-lived particle. We require that the track, extrapolated to the interaction vertex, has a distance of closest approach greater than 2.5 times its uncertainty. At least two of these tracks must form a vertex that is displaced from the interaction vertex. The tag’s decay length, \( L_{xy} \), is defined in the transverse plane as the dot product of the vector pointing from the primary vertex to the secondary vertex and a unit vector along the jet axis. We require \(|L_{xy}|/\sigma > 3\), where \( \sigma \) is the uncertainty on \( L_{xy} \). These requirements constitute a “tag.” In the data sample the tag is required to be positive, with \( L_{xy} > 0 \). This final selection reduces the data set to 200 events.

A control sample of QCD multijet events is used to study the backgrounds to the tags \[9\]. For each jet in this sample, the \( E_T \) of the jet, the number of SVX tracks associated with the jet, and the scalar sum of the \( E_T \) in the event are recorded. The probability of tagging the jet is determined as a function of these variables for negative tags, with \( L_{xy} < 0 \). Negative tags occur due to measurement resolution and errors in reconstruction. Since these effects should produce negative and positive tags with equal probability, the negative tagging probability can be used as the probability of finding a positive tag due to mismeasurement (mistags).

To estimate the standard model background to the 200-event data sample, we sum three sources: events with a jet misidentified as a photon, events with a real photon and a mistagged jet, and events with standard model production of a photon with heavy flavor quarks. Using the photon background method described above, the number of events with a jet misidentified as a photon is \( 56 \pm 30 \pm 8 \) events. The large statistical uncertainty is due to the low discrimination power of the method, and the systematic uncertainty reflects the uncertainty in the background composition and the level of internal consistency in the method.

It is necessary to directly measure the jets misidentified as photons in this tagged sample, rather than apply a universal photon background fraction, since the production of photons in events with specific quark content will have different production cross sections, due to the quark charges and masses, and therefore different ratios to the jet backgrounds.
To estimate the number of events with a real photon and a mistagged jet, we apply the photon background method and the negative tagging probability to the data sample with all requirements except the tag (10 182 events). The estimate of this background is $27 \pm 5 \pm 14$ events. The 50% systematic uncertainty accommodates a discrepancy in the number of predicted and observed negative tags (312 and 197, respectively) in the data sample with all the requirements except a second jet or a tag. This discrepancy may be caused by the difference between the QCD control sample, where two jets opposite each other cause hadronization with a balanced set of tracks to locate the primary vertex, and the photon sample, which does not have the same balanced tracking topology. The final source of backgrounds, standard model production of a photon with a heavy quark, is estimated using a custom Monte Carlo [10]. We expect $25 \pm 2 \pm 13$ events from $\gamma b\bar{b}$ production and $23 \pm 3 \pm 12$ events from $\gamma c\bar{c}$ production. The systematic uncertainty includes a conservative estimate of the uncertainty in the leading-order calculation. The total background estimate is $131 \pm 30 \pm 29$ events, and correlations between uncertainties have been included. We conclude that the 200 events in the data sample do not constitute a significant excess over standard model expectations.

To set limits on the technicolor model [2], we investigate points in the $M_{\omega_f}-M_{\pi_f}$ mass plane on a 20 GeV/c$^2$ grid. The theory does not strongly favor any one set of masses, but for definiteness we report some results for $M_{\omega_f} = 210$ GeV/c$^2$ and $M_{\pi_f} = 110$ GeV/c$^2$, suggested as a reference point by the authors of the model. At each point in the grid, limits are set using the following procedure. Two invariant masses are calculated for each event: the mass of the tagged jet and the highest-$E_T$ untagged jet, $M_{jj}$, corresponding to the $\pi_T$ mass, and the mass of the two jets plus the photon, $M_{jj\gamma}$, corresponding to the $\omega_T$ mass. The distributions of $M_{jj}$ and $M_{jj\gamma} - M_{jj}$ for the data are shown in Fig. 1. We collect the events with $M_{jj}$ within a window around $M_{\pi_f}$, $|M_{jj} - M_{\pi_f}| < 0.36M_{\pi_f}$, which is selected to be 90% efficient for the signal. For these events, we histogram the mass difference $\Delta M = M_{jj\gamma} - M_{jj}$, where a signal would appear as a peak. The mass difference has good resolution since the poor jet resolution is largely canceled. We fit the $\Delta M$ spectrum above 50 GeV/c$^2$ to a background distribution and a Gaussian peak. The central value of the Gaussian is fixed to the $\Delta M$ of the grid point and the width is fixed to the expected value, $\sqrt{\Delta M/(4 \text{ GeV/c}^2)}$ GeV/c$^2$, which is the experimental resolution. (The $\omega_T$ and $\pi_T$ have negligible natural width.) The fit likelihood is formed with Poisson statistics operating on the binned distribution, convoluted with the systematic uncertainty (described below), and integrated to find the 95% confidence level limit on the number of signal events. The fit is performed twice, with different background functions (an exponential and a sum of two exponentials). Little difference in the fit results is observed, and the result which leads to the more conservative limit is used [11].

Efficiencies are measured using the PYTHIA 6.1 [12] Monte Carlo program and a detector simulation. For the masses at the baseline point, $M_{\omega_f} = 210$ GeV/c$^2$ and $M_{\pi_f} = 110$ GeV/c$^2$, we find 44% of generated events contain a photon with $E_T > 25$ GeV and $|\eta| < 1.0$. The efficiency of the photon trigger, fiducial cuts, and identification cuts, calibrated with electromagnetic clusters in $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events, is 59%. The probability of reconstructing at least one jet with $E_T > 30$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.0$ is 91%. The efficiency for one or more tags, calibrated with $b\bar{b}$ data, is 36%. After including the acceptance and efficiency for the second jet (66%) and the $M_{jj}$ mass window cut (90%), the total $A\epsilon$ (where $A$ is the acceptance and $\epsilon$ is the efficiency) for this choice of masses is 5.1%. The maximum $A\epsilon$ of approximately 10% is obtained at the largest $M_{\pi_f}$ and $\Delta M$.

The combined systematic uncertainty of 22% consists of contributions from the uncertainties in photon identification efficiency (14%), tagging efficiency (9%), luminosity measurement (8%), initial-state and final-state radiation (6%), jet energy scale (6%), parton distribution function (5%), and Monte Carlo statistics (4%). Finally, $A\epsilon$, the luminosity (85 pb$^{-1}$), and the upper limit on the number of observed events are combined.
to yield a limit on $\sigma B$ (cross section times branching ratio) which can be compared to the theoretical prediction. The model parameters we use are $N_{TC} = 4$ (the number of technicolors, analogous to the three colors in QCD), $Q_D = Q_U - 1 = 1/3$ (the techniquark charges), and $M_T = 100 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (a dimensionful parameter of order the technicolor interaction scale; the cross section times branching ratio scales roughly as $M_T^{-2}$).

With this parameter set, the $\omega_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi T$ branching ratio ranges from 35% to 85% in the regions we investigated (the $\pi T \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ branching ratio is assumed to be 100%). The competing $\omega_T$ branching ratios are $q \bar{q}, \ell^+ \ell^-$, and $\nu \bar{\nu}$. The $Z^0 \pi T$ and $3 \pi T$ branching ratios are not included in the Monte Carlo and are assumed to be negligible in making the theoretical predictions for our limits. The limited scope of this model should be noted. Above their respective thresholds, the $Z^0 \pi T$ branching ratio may be comparable to $\gamma \pi T$ and the $3 \pi T$ branching ratio is expected to dominate [13]. In addition, the Monte Carlo does not include $\rho_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi T$ production or $\omega_T, \rho_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi T$ production which would tend to increase the excluded region.

We use the CTEQ4L parton distribution function in the Monte Carlo generation. The leading-order theoretical cross section has been scaled up by a $K$ factor of 1.3, which corrects for higher-order diagrams and is derived by comparing the PYTHIA Monte Carlo $Z^0$ cross section to the cross section measured in the CDF data. With these model assumptions, combinations of $\omega_T$ and $\pi T$ mass can be excluded at the 95% confidence level as shown in Fig. 2. To define the exclusion region we interpolate between the grid points. The fits to $\Delta M$ are sensitive to fluctuations in the data and this causes the ragged exclusion region boundary. For the baseline point, the model predicts a branching ratio of 63%, and a $\sigma B$ of 2.32 pb (10.2 events expected). At this point, a $\sigma B$ of more than 2.38 pb (10.4 events) is excluded at the 95% confidence level.

In conclusion, we have searched for the production of a technicolor $\omega_T$ that decays $\omega_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi T$ followed by the decay $\pi T \rightarrow b \bar{b}$ in 85 pb$^{-1}$ of data collected in the CDF experiment. We observe no evidence of this production and exclude a significant range of $\omega_T$ and $\pi T$ mass combinations.
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*Visitor.

[3] F. Abe et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 271, 387 (1988). The $z$ axis points along the proton beam axis; $r$ is the distance from the beam line and $\theta$ is the angle from the $z$ axis. Pseudorapidity ($\eta$) is $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ and transverse energy is defined as $E_T = E \sin(\theta)$.
[6] In this Letter when two uncertainties are reported, the first is statistical and the second is systematic.
[10] The $\gamma b \bar{b}$ and $\gamma c \bar{c}$ Monte Carlo programs were provided by M. Mangano. The calculation was performed at leading order based on $q \bar{q}$ and $gg$ initial states and a finite $b$-quark mass. The $Q^2$ scale is taken to be the photon $E_T$ squared plus the $b \bar{b}$ or $c \bar{c}$ mass squared. A systematic

FIG. 2. The 90%, 95%, and 99% confidence level exclusion regions in the $M_{\omega_T} - M_{\pi T}$ mass plane for $\omega_T \rightarrow \gamma \pi T$ followed by $\pi_T \rightarrow b \bar{b}$. The integrated luminosity of the data sample is 85 pb$^{-1}$. The difference between the regions is an indication of the robustness of the limits. In the regions below the dash-dotted lines, additional decay modes become available but are assumed to be negligible. If the $3 \pi T$ decay mode were included, it would most likely dominate in the region above threshold and would prevent any exclusion in that region. The inset shows the limit on $\sigma B$ for $M_{\pi T} = 120 \text{ GeV}/c^2$. The circles represent the limit and the solid line represents the theoretical prediction.
uncertainty of 30% is found by scaling $Q$ by a factor of 2 and the quark masses by 10%. An additional 20% systematic uncertainty allows for unmeasured effects.

[11] For good sensitivity to a possible peak, we also fit the data on a 5 GeV grid in the $\Delta M$ variable and find all fits report less than a 2.6$\sigma$ excess.


[13] After this analysis was completed, the model was updated in the following: K. Lane, hep-ph/9903369; hep-ph/9903372.