

November 1995

Spin Polarization of the Conduction Bands of Gd(0001)*

Dongqi Li

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL

J. Pearson

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL

S.D. Bader

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL

D. N. McIlroy

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

C. Waldfried

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsdowben>

 Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Li, Dongqi; Pearson, J.; Bader, S.D.; McIlroy, D. N.; Waldfried, C.; and Dowben, Peter A., "Spin Polarization of the Conduction Bands of Gd(0001)*" (1995). *Peter Dowben Publications*. 190.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/physicsdowben/190>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Papers in Physics and Astronomy at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Peter Dowben Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Dongqi Li, J. Pearson, S.D. Bader, D. N. McIlroy, C. Waldfried, and Peter A. Dowben

**Spin Polarization of the Conduction Bands
and Secondary Electrons of Gd(0001)***

RECEIVED

JAN 30 1995

OSTI

Dongqi Li, J. Pearson, and S.D. Bader

*Materials Science Division
Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439*

D.N. McIlroy, C. Waldfried, P.A. Dowben

*Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588-0111*

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U.S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Proc. of the 40th Annual Conference on Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, Philadelphia, PA, November 6-9, 1995, to be published in Journal of Applied Physics (sponsored by AIP, IEEE, ONR, APS, and ACS)

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

*Work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Basic Energy Sciences-Materials Sciences, under contract #W-31-109-ENG-38 (Argonne), ONR #N-00014-94-F-0085, and by NSF grant #DMR-92-21655 (Nebraska).

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

PLC

Spin Polarization of the Conduction Bands and Secondary Electrons of
Gd(0001)

Dongqi Li, J. Pearson, S. D. Bader

Materials Science Division, Argonne National Lab, Argonne, IL 60439

and

D. N. McIlroy, C. Waldfried, P.A. Dowben,

Dept. of Physics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588

Angle- and spin-resolved photoemission was utilized to investigate the *5d* bulk bands and the surface state of Gd(0001) in the temperature range of 130 - 350 K. The bulk bands at 1-2 eV below the Fermi energy E_F show Stoner-like behavior, while the temperature dependence of the surface state near E_F indicates spin-mixing behavior due to fluctuating local *5d* moments. The secondary electron spectra of the Gd surfaces both before and after initial oxygen adsorption show a polarization dip at low kinetic energies due to the extra scattering channel for minority electrons *via* the unoccupied *4f* level. The temperature dependences of the surface and bulk magnetization are separated using the spin polarization of the surface state and the bulk exchange splitting.

75.30.Et, 79.60.-i, 71.70.Gm, 73.20.At

Surface magnetism of the heavy rare earth metals has been the subject of great interest because of the unique phenomena exhibited. The Curie temperature T_C of the Gd and Tb surfaces are reported to be significantly higher than that of the bulk.¹⁻⁴ There is also evidence that the magnetic moments at the surface are canted out of the surface plane,^{3,5} while the bulk of the Gd(0001) films, < 400 Å thick, have in-plane anisotropy.⁶ The enhanced surface magnetic order is believed to originate from the surface electronic structure, namely, a magnetic surface state near the Fermi energy E_F located around the Brillouin zone center.⁷⁻⁹ The *5d* bulk bands appear in spin-integrated photoemission at binding energies of 1-2 eV and exhibit a temperature dependent exchange splitting Δ_b .^{10,11}

The study of surface magnetic order depends on separating the surface signal from that of the bulk. This has been accomplished previously by comparing relatively surface-sensitive techniques, like spin polarized low energy electron diffraction,¹ spin-polarized secondary electron spectroscopy,³ to a bulk measurement, or taking advantage of the surface core level shift of the Gd 4f levels.³⁻⁵ Even with these techniques, it is still difficult to unambiguously distinguish the surface and the bulk. In the present work, we use the spin polarization of a magnetic surface state as an indicator of the surface magnetic order, and Δ_b as the bulk indicator to separate the two. The temperature dependence of the exchange splitting itself is a very interesting issue, since theories for finite temperature magnetism of itinerant electron systems are less developed than ground state theories. In addition, we examine the spin polarization of the secondary electrons, both as an additional indicator of the magnetization and to understand the anomalous polarization dip at low energy.¹²

Spin-polarized photoemission experiments were performed on the U5 undulator beamline of NSLS at Brookhaven National Laboratory. The ultra-high vacuum chamber is equipped with LEED and a hemispherical electron energy analyzer with a low-energy spin detector. The details of the experimental setup are described elsewhere.¹³ The sample preparation followed previous procedures.^{10,14} The W(110) single crystal substrate was

cleaned by flushing and annealing in oxygen. The nominally 80 Å thick epitaxial Gd(0001) films were deposited thermally onto the room-temperature substrate and subsequently annealed to 780 K to improve the structural ordering and magnetic properties.¹⁵ The chamber pressure remained $<5 \times 10^{-11}$ Torr during the process to ensure cleanliness. Films made by similar procedures are known to have single domains with in-plane magnetization and low coercivities. The spin polarization was measured in the remanent state after the sample was magnetized in-plane with a pulse field. The samples show no sign of hydrogen or carbon contamination, although the annealed films have trace amount of oxygen that is equivalent to $<0.05L$ ($1L=1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr sec.) oxygen exposure at room temperature. All photoemission spectra were taken at normal emission with the light incident at an angle of 65° . The photoemission spectra of the conduction bands were taken at $h\nu=32.7$ eV and the secondary electrons at 69.5 eV. The sample was biased to -30V to minimize the stray field effects.

Typical spin-polarized photoemission spectra at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 1. The peak near E_F is the surface state of Gd(0001), which is responsible for the enhanced magnetic ordering of the Gd surface.⁷⁻⁹ This feature is strongly spin polarized at low temperature, and the polarization has the same sign as that of the occupied 4f feature at 8.6 eV binding energy (not shown in the figure). With increased temperature, the spin polarization of the surface state decreases and approaches zero, while the peak position and intensity show no change. The minority-spin counterpart of this state has been observed as an unoccupied state above E_F with inverse photoemission.^{16,17}

The bulk bands at 1-2 eV exhibit a different temperature dependence. At low temperature there are two well-defined peaks with opposite spin polarization. They are the majority and minority spin branches of the 5d bulk band at Γ , separated by Δ_b (with the former at higher binding energy). Note that the sign of the spin polarization of the surface state is the same as that of the majority-spin bulk band. This confirms that the surface state is of majority spin character and that the surface couples to the bulk ferromagnetically.^{5,18}

instead of antiferromagnetically.¹ With increased temperature the two peaks with distinct spin character shift toward each other and eventually overlap to form one peak with no spin polarization. In other words, Δ_b decreases and approaches zero upon warming to T_C .

The temperature dependence of the bulk bands is characteristic of Stoner-like¹⁹ behavior, where the exchange splitting Δ of the itinerant electrons directly correlates with the macroscopic magnetization and approaches zero as $T \rightarrow T_C$. The surface state, however, does not show an energy shift or intensity change near T_C , while the spin polarization varies significantly. This suggests that, instead of Stoner-like behavior, the local Δ of the surface state (Δ_s) does not go to zero at the surface Curie temperature T_{Cs} . Such non-Stoner-like behavior is common among transition metals, although they were the original subject of the Stoner model.¹⁹ This is usually attributed to the existence of local moments or short range order above T_C .²⁰⁻²² We believe that the difference originates from the different degree of itinerancy of the electrons, as discussed elsewhere.¹⁴

The secondary electrons are also spin polarized, as shown in Fig. 2. The intensity of the secondary electron emission increases with oxygen exposure as oxygen atoms enhance the inelastic scattering in Gd. For the clean Gd(0001) surface, the spin polarization shows a dip when the kinetic energy of the electrons $E_K < 1.5$ eV. This polarization anomaly, first observed by Tang *et al.*,¹² is in contrast to the polarization increase at low E_K for transition metal surfaces. Tang *et al.* suggested that an additional channel for the emission of minority spin electrons exists. The ordinary inelastic scattering of the hot electrons is determined by the unoccupied conduction bands, which provides the available states to scatter into. The unoccupied 4f state above the vacuum level, i.e., 4f⁸, could behave as an additional intermediate, where the minority hot electrons experience quasielastic scattering and emit to vacuum. This channel should selectively enhance the emission of minority spin electrons with $E_K \sim E_{4f} - E_V$, where E_{4f} is the energy of the empty 4f level above E_F and E_V is the vacuum level. For the clean Gd surface, the unoccupied 4f level is at 4.1 eV above E_F and $\sim 0.8-0.9$ eV above E_V . Taking the width of

the empty 4f levels (~ 1.5 eV)²³ into consideration, this possible mechanism explains the drop of spin polarization for $E_K < 1.5$ eV. Our data from the samples with initial oxygen adsorption supports such a hypothesis. Figure 2 shows that 0.2 L of oxygen exposure causes the onset of the spin-polarization dip to shift to higher energy by ~ 0.6 eV. This is consistent with the shift of the empty 4f level away from the E_F with oxygen adsorption due to reduced screening.²³ With 0.2L of oxygen, the empty 4f levels shift away from E_F by 0.2-0.3 eV²³ while the work function drops by 0.2-0.3 eV.²⁴ This results in the empty 4f levels shifting up ~ 0.5 eV with respect to E_V , consistent within experimental error with the 0.6-eV shift of the onset of the polarization dip. Other possible scattering channels fail to provide the correct energy or the oxygen-induced shift of the dip. With additional oxygen dosing, the overall spin polarization drops further as the oxygen destroys the surface magnetic order of Gd, and the dip disappears as both the 4f and the valence band lose the net spin polarization. The effect of oxygen adsorption on the surface magnetization of Gd(0001) will be discussed further elsewhere.²⁵

The spin polarization of the surface state and the secondary electrons and Δ_b are all correlated to the magnetization of the Gd(0001), though in somewhat different ways. The surface-state polarization reflects the magnetic order of purely the surface, and Δ_b reflects that of the bulk. The polarization of the secondary electrons should provide the mixed information from both the surface and the bulk. Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of all three quantities. The spin-polarization of the secondary electrons was measured at $E_K = 3-4$ eV to avoid the anomaly at low energy, as discussed above. All quantities decrease with increasing temperature. The spin polarization of the secondary electrons and Δ_b approach zero before the surface-state polarization, as is consistent with $T_{Cs} > T_{Cb}$. This enhanced magnetic order can also be seen from Fig. 1, where $\Delta_b = 0$ within experimental error, while the surface state and the background remain spin polarized. From Fig. 3, we find $T_{Cb} = 283 \pm 10$ K and $T_{Cs} = 297 \pm 10$ K, with the accuracy is limited by the signal-to-noise ratio.

In conclusion, we have studied the spin polarization of the conduction bands and secondary electrons of Gd(0001) at different temperatures. The 5*d* bulk band shows Stoner-like behavior at the Brillouin zone center, while the surface state has a non-zero exchange splitting even above T_C . The anomaly in secondary electron polarization for both the clean and oxygen-adsorbed surfaces are discussed in terms of an extra scattering channel *via* the empty 4*f* levels. The shift the polarization dip to higher kinetic energy with initial oxygen adsorption is consistent with the chemical shift of the empty 4*f* levels. In addition, our results are consistent with the enhanced magnetic order of the Gd(0001) surface.

We thank D.-J. Huang and Q. Dong for technical assistance and P. D. Johnson for valuable discussions. Work at Argonne is supported by DOE BES-MS #W-31-109-ENG-38 and ONR # N-00014-94-F-0085; work at Nebraska is supported by NSF grant #DMR-92-21655.

References

1. D. Weller, S. F. Alvarado, W. Gudat, K. Schröder and M. Campagna, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 1555 (1985).
2. C. Rau, C. Jin and M. Robert, *Phys. Lett. A* **138**, 334 (1989).
3. H. Tang, D. Weller, T. G. Walker, J. C. Scott, C. Chappert, H. Hopster, A. W. Pang, D. S. Dessau and D. P. Pappas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 444 (1993).
4. E. Vescovo, C. Carbone and O. Rader, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 7731 (1993).
5. Dongqi Li, Jiandi Zhang, K. Garrison and P. A. Dowben, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **5**, L73 (1993).
6. A. W. Pang, A. Berger and H. Hopster, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 6457 (1994).
7. Dongqi Li, C. W. Hutchings, P. A. Dowben, C. Hwang, R.-T. Wu, M. Onellion, A. B. Andrews and J. L. Erskine, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **99**, 85 (1991).
8. Dongqi Li, Jiandi Zhang, P. A. Dowben and M. Onellion, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 5612 (1993).
9. Ruqian Wu and A. J. Freeman, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **99**, 81 (1991).
10. Dongqi Li, Jiandi Zhang, P. A. Dowben and M. Onellion, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 7272 (1992).
11. Bongsoo Kim, A. B. Andrews, J. L. Erskine, K. J. Kim and B. N. Harmon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1931 (1992).
12. H. Tang, T. G. Walker, H. Hopster, D. P. Pappas, D. Weller and J. C. Scott, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 5047 (1993).
13. P. D. Johnson et al., *Rev. Sci. Instr.* **63**, 1902 (1992).
14. Dongqi Li, J. Pearson, S. D. Bader, D. N. McIlroy, C. Waldfried and P. A. Dowben, *Phys. Rev. B (Rapid Commun.)* **51**, 13895 (1995).
15. M. Farle, K. Baberschke, U. Stetter, A. Aspelmeier and F. Gerhardter, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 11571 (1993).

16. Dongqi Li, P. A. Dowben, J. E. Ortega and F. J. Himpsel, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 7734 (1994).
17. A. V. Fedorov, K. Starke and G. Kaindl, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 2739 (1994).
18. G.A. Mulhollan, K. Garrison and J.L. Erskine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 3240-3243 (1992).
19. E. C. Stoner, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser A* **154**, 656 (1936).
20. V. Korenman, J. L. Murray and R. E. Prange, *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 4032 (1977).
21. A. J. Pindor, J. Staunton, G. M. Stocks and H. Winter, *J. Phys. F* **13**, 979 (1983).
22. H. Hasegawa, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **46**, 1504 (1979).
23. J. E. Ortega, F. J. Himpsel, Dongqi Li and P. A. Dowben, *Solid State Commun.* **91**, 807 (1994).
24. Jiandi Zhang, Dongqi Li, M. Onellion and P. A. Dowben, *Surf. Sci.* **329**, 177 (1995).
25. D. N. McIlroy, C. Waldfried, Dongqi Li, J. Pearson, S. D. Bader, D.-J. Huang, P. D. Johnson, R. F. Sabirianov, S. S. Jaswal and P. A. Dowben, *in preparation.* (1995).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Spin polarized photoemission spectra at different temperatures at normal emission. The majority- and minority-spin components are shown with solid (up) and open (down) triangles, respectively. The majority- and minority-spin bulk bands are marked with up and down arrows. The solid lines are to guide the eye.

Fig. 2 Intensity and spin polarization of the secondary electron emission vs. electron kinetic energy E_K . The measurements were taken at 130 K on clean Gd(0001) and after 0.2L and 3.2L of oxygen exposure. The lines are to guide the eye.

Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of the bulk band exchange splitting (squares), and the spin polarization of the surface state (circles) and secondary electrons (diamonds). The lines are to guide the eye.





