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1. Introduction

Since the original description of the genus *Eiffellithus* by Deflandre and Fert (1954), various nannofossil workers have noted the obvious, often striking, interspecies variation within its most prominent species (Verbeek, 1977; Perch-Nielsen, 1968, 1973, 1979, 1985; Watkins and Bergen, 2003). This morphological variability is linked both to the construction of the coccolith itself and to a poorly defined taxonomy. These inconsistencies have generated a wealth of literature that debates and refines the morphological characteristics that distinguish two historical end-members: *Eiffellithus turri-seiffelii* (Deflandre and Fert, 1954; Reinhardt, 1965) and *E. eximius* (Stover, 1966; Perch-Nielsen, 1968). There has been little consensus within the nannofossil community with respect to discrete morphotypes, species descriptions, and evolutionary lineages (Gartner, 1968; Hill, 1976; Verbeek, 1977; Perch-Nielsen, 1968, 1973, 1979, 1985; Hill and Bralower, 1987; Watkins and Bergen, 2003).

The type-species for this genus, *E. turri-seiffelii*, was one of the first calcareous nannofossil markers used in biostratigraphy. Both *E. turri-seiffelii* and *E. eximius* are currently used as biostratigraphic datums in the Roth (1978) NC Zonation, Perch-Nielsen (1985) CC Zonation, and the Burnett and Whitham (1999) UC Zonation for calcareous nannofossils. Species within the genus are composed exclusively of coccoliths bearing an X-shaped central cross, such as *E. turri-seiffelii*, while in the Cenomanian through Campanian axial-cross forms such as *E. eximius* comprise more than 60% of the genus. Within the nannofossil assemblage the genus has low abundances in the Cenomanian but increases to >15% of the assemblage in well-preserved samples in the Santonian. In addition, the pattern of diversification of this genus, whereby a x-shaped, diagonal cross repeatedly gives rise to an axial cross by rotation about the central axis, is an excellent example of iterative evolution that may be related to repetitive shifts in Late Cretaceous climatic and paleoceanographic regimes.
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period and defines the morphological diversity and variabil-
ity present in these Eiffellithus assemblages. This taxonomic
framework aids in biostratigraphy, particularly when one con-
siders the likelihood of polytaxic markers in current Eiffellithus
datums.

In this study, over 9000 specimens of Eiffellithus from the
Upper Cretaceous were examined to verify the ranges of cur-
rent biostratigraphic markers, to define the taxonomic rela-
tionships between discrete morphotypes, and to examine the
potential source of variability expressed by these forms. This
study identifies numerous new species and potential biostrati-
graphic datums and gives an excellent demonstration of itera-
tive evolution.

2. Site localities

Samples were selected from five localities: three in the West-
ern Interior Basin (Washington Co., KS; Smoky Hill type area;
Sisseton, SD) and two North American deep water localities
(DSDP Leg 10–95; ODP Leg 171b-1049A/1050C) (Figure 1). Sites
were selected based on good average preservation of calcareous
nannofossils and best stratigraphic coverage (Figure 2). Due to
the variation in both depositional and recovery thickness, sam-
pies were taken at regular intervals at each locality in order to
maximize sampling from the available materials while generat-
ing a regular sample spacing throughout the column with re-
spect to time. A total of 201 samples were used in final analysis.
Samples with very low nannofossil abundance (≤ 5% sediment
volume) were excluded, as well as samples with poor preserva-
tion, where over-growth or dissolution obscures key morpho-
logical characteristics.

Greenhorn Limestone samples were collected from outcrop
in North-central Kansas (Washington Co.) at Localities 6–7 of
Hattin (1975), whose work provides a detailed lithologic de-
scription of each locality. Samples were collected at 10–20 cm
intervals through 16.35 m of section, and consisted primarily of
chalky limestone from the top of the Lincoln Member (Mbr)
through the Pfeiffer Member. This site contains the oldest sed-
iments examined in this study, from the base of the middle Cenomanian through the lower Turonian, with good coverage of the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary. Due to varying pres-
ervation, 69 of 115 samples were used in final analysis. First
appearance datums from this section have been dated by cor-
relation of marker units of Sageman et al. (1998). Dates are ten-

tative and are given to estimate the timing of evolutionary

The Niobrara Chalk Formation (Fm) was sampled from
outcrop at the Smokey Hill type area of Hattin (1982) in west
central Kansas. The type area consists of a series of localities
that spans the entire lithologic section, with Hattin’s study
(1982) providing very detailed stratigraphic and lithologic de-
scriptions of each type locality. The section was sampled over
191 m at 1 m intervals, and consists of nannofossil rich chalks,
for which the formation is named. This sample set includes the
Fort Hayes and Smoky Hill Mbrs, with good coverage from the Coniacian -lower Campanian. From the 191 original sam-

ples, 103 were closely examined for their excellent preserva-
tion and stratigraphic distribution.

Deep Sea Drilling Program (DSDP) Leg 10, Site 95 is lo-
cated on the Campeche Scarp face of the Yucatan Shelf in the
Gulf of Mexico. Sediments consist of nannofossil chalk and for-
aminifera-nannofossil chalk to ooze, with thin intervals of chert
clasts. Reports from DSDP Leg 10 by Worzel et al. (1973) give
lithologic descriptions and stratigraphic distributions for this

---

**Figure 1.** Geographical distribution of the five localities examined in this study, three in the Western Interior Basin (Washington Co., KS; Smoky Hill type area; Sisseton, SD) and two North American deep water localities (DSDP Leg 10-95; ODP Leg 171b-1049A/1050C). Sites were correlated to create a composite Late Cretaceous section. Paleolatitudes lines at 30° and 45° are also shown.
The Pierre Shale Group was sampled from a subsurface core in Sisseton, South Dakota. Sediments consist of nannofossil chalk and calcareous marl/claystone with intervals of siliciclastics and bentonites. The lithology and stratigraphy is detailed by Schultz et al. (1980) and Hanczaryk and Gallagher (2007). The interval studied (Cores 11–32; 33.5–97.5 meters below surface) includes the Crow Creek Mbr. of the De Gray Fm. and the Gregory Fm. of the Pierre Shale, and provides an expanded section of the middle-upper Campanian. The quality of sediments above and below this interval prohibits use in this study. Though this site provides some well-preserved materials, a total of 38 samples were used in final analysis, as portions of the core have very poor preservation and/or low nannofossil abundance.

Two sites from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) Leg 171B, along the eastern margin of Blake Nose, were examined specifically for preservation of Turonian, upper Campanian, and Maastrichtian nannofossils. Sediments consist of clayey nannofossil ooze and chalk. The Shipboard Scientific Party, et al. (1998) provide a detailed stratigraphic and lithologic description of these sites. A total of 33 samples were selected from Hole 1049A, cores 17-19, and Hole 1050C, cores

Figure 2. Stratigraphic distribution of calcareous sediments examined through the five sites in this study, correlated to geologic stage and Perch-Nielsen's, 1985 CC Zonation for calcareous nannofossils.
11–20, with well preserved nannofossils throughout these selected intervals.

3. Methods

Samples from DSDP Leg 10, Site 95 were prepared using a combined random settling and microsphere spiking procedure as detailed in Geisen et al. (1999), which provides consistent, reproducible results in calculating the absolute abundances of calcareous nannoplankton. Variation in settling device set-up as outlined in Geisen et al. (1999) is limited to a cylinder diameter increase from 80 mm to 120 mm, which does not affect abundance calculations. Slides from samples in the Western Interior Seaway and ODP Sites 1049A and 1050C were prepared using the double slurry method (Watkins and Bergen, 2003). Slides were viewed on a Zeiss Photoscope III at 1250x magnification using phase contrast, plane-polarized light, cross-polarized light, and a one-quarter λ mica plate.

Specimens were digitally photographed and analyzed using ImageJ 1.37, a JAVA-based digital image processing program with a resolution of ~0.20 μm (Rasband, 1997–2006). Morphological data (Figure 4) were analyzed using PAST (Hammer et al., 2001).

4. Physical characteristics and current taxonomy

The genus *Eiffellithus* is characterized by elliptical coccoliths with a distinct outer rim, infilled by overlapping back plates, bearing four disjunct cross-bars that support a large stem. The outer rim shows a smooth to serrate outline of ~30–80 blocky, imbricated elements, and the inner cycle is formed by 8–15 thin, triangular to polygonal plates. These inner plates can fill the central area or join to create an elliptical opening, particularly in earlier forms. This opening may be obscured by the stem-supporting central cross, which sits above the plane of the rims. The four cross-bars are composed of thin, lath-like crystallites. The presence or absence of the stem can greatly affect a specimen’s appearance, indicated by Reinhardt’s (1965) description of *Eiffellithus turrisseiffelii* inturratus ssp., a stemless version of *E. turrisseiffelii*. When present, the central stem appears solid or as a small circular opening. In well-preserved specimens the stem can appear as a ring of crystallites in the cross center. When absent, a prominent, square or diamond-shaped opening in the cross base spans 20–35% of the transverse axis in large specimens. Smaller morphotypes retain their stems more frequently than larger specimens such as *E. turrisseiffelii*, this relationship likely related to the relative increase in stem height with specimen size and a greater tendency of breakage in taller structures.

The variability of distinct morphological characteristics has not been utilized to distinguish unique morphotypes within the genus. The current mode of classification for *Eiffellithus* is based on the original description of *Clinorhabdus eximius* Stover 1966, distinguished from the type-species *Eiffellithus turriseiffelii* by a “differentially oriented axial structure with respect to the axes...
of the coccolith,” (Stover, 1966, p.138). This classification splits many distinct morphotypes into two categories: an axial cross or a diagonal cross. A pervasive binary approach is shown in Hill (1976) where “forms which are intermediate between these species [E. turrisieffeli and E. eximius] rarely exist,” (p. 139) and "specimens of Gartner (1968) and Manivit (1971) that are regarded as non-E. turrisieffeli are considered to be E. eximius,” (p. 140). For such reasons, inconsistencies persist in the genus taxonomy and the biostratigraphic markers E. eximius and E. turrisieffeli remain polytaxic.

Authors such as Verbeek (1977) have refined the turrisieffeli/eximius classification by placing limits on the angle made by the longitudinal cross-bar with the major axis of the ellipse. Perch-Nielsen (1979, 1985) examined the type material of both E. turrisieffeli and E. eximius to clarify the original descriptions and to develop a tentative lineage for the genus, with numerous morphotypes informally described using a large body of qualitative criteria (1985, Figure 12). Two of these morphotypes were given formal systematic descriptions as Albian species by Watkins and Bergen (2003). To date, there has been little discussion beyond Perch-Nielsen (1985) of distinct Late Cretaceous morphologies, either within these two polytaxic end-members or with respect to intermediate forms.

5. Data collection and preliminary results

After examining the general structure of Eiffellithus specimens (Figure 3), we developed a set of morphological criteria that best characterizes the variability expressed within the genus. Ten quantitative characteristics (Figure 4) were measured and analyzed: 1) coccolith length, 2) coccolith width, 3) eccentricity, 4) longitudinal cross-bar length, 5) transverse cross-bar length, 6) cross-bar ratio, 7) number of longitudinal cross terminations, 8) number of transverse cross terminations, 9) total number of cross terminations, and 10) the angle of the midline of the larger cross-bar to the longitudinal axis of the ellipse. Further reference to these characteristics, or variables, will be made with respect to these assigned numbers, such as V-1 for coccolith length.

For symmetrical diagonal forms, where all cross-bars are approximately equidistant from the long axis (~45°), angular data represent the average of two cross-bars (one above and one below the transverse axis). For terminal morphology, a value of zero was assigned to cross-bars with a distinctly pointed to blunt termination. A value of one represents cross-bars with a weakly forked tip. A value of two indicates a widely bifurcate morphology, while distinctly trifurcate cross-bars were assigned a value of three. Additional qualitative characteristics such as birefringence patterns and intensity, structural relief, plate thickness, and preservational effects were also considered in this study. Specimen descriptions follow the “Guidelines for coccolith and calcareous nannofossil terminology” (Young et al., 1997).

All 9,000 specimens were used in preliminary analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on a correlation matrix, to analyze the relationships among these morphological variables. A correlation matrix was used as morphological data were measured in different units. The first principal component accounted for 54.8% of the variance. Four loadings have similar correlations (~0.5) to this component, but all pertain to aspects of size of the coccolith and central cross (V-1, 2, 4, and 5). The second principal component accounts for 18.5% of the total variance. The shape of the cross, represented by the ratio of cross-bar lengths (V-6), has the greatest correlation to this component (0.925). The angle of the cross to the longitudinal axis of the ellipse (V-10) had the strongest inverse correlation to this component (-0.558). Structurally this correlation is sound: as the value of variable 10 decreases the value of variable 6 increases (Figure 5), so the ratio of cross-bar lengths is least when the cross-bars are furthest from the long axis (a diagonal cross), and greatest when the cross-bars are nearest to the long axis (axial cross). For the third principal component, the angle of the cross-bars has the highest positive loading (0.5897), while the total amount of cross-bar bifurcation (V-9) had the highest negative loading (−0.7162). This component accounts for 11.5% of the total variance. In summation, these three components represent 84.8% of the total variance within the Eiffellithus assemblage.

Some basic morphological principals were confirmed from this analysis: when comparing specimens through a morphological transition from a diagonal cross to an axial cross, the

Figure 6. Late Albian to early Cenomanian lineage of the genus Eiffellithus, modified from Watkins and Bergen (2003).

Figure 7. Length vs. width relationship showing size variation in specimens previously classified as Eiffellithus turrisieffeli (n = 300). A clear distribution break can be seen near 8.0 μm. Approximately 95% of specimens are >8.0 μm or <7.5 μm in length, with <5% of specimens falling between these ranges. While specimens of all lengths are present, this size range is much less abundant than the specimens on either size of this range. E. casulus sp. includes specimens <8.0 μm in length, and is the first to appear at 100.5 Ma (Watkins and Bergen), while of E. turrisieffeli (> 8.0 μm in length) appears at 99.9 Ma, approximately 0.6 m.y. afterward (Pnum length = 2.72 E−27; Pnum width = 2.48 E−25). The division appears to bisect specimens, but is only a result of the large circular markers centered over data points.
most significant characteristic in distinguishing morphotypes is the angle between the cross-bar and the longitudinal axis. The ratio of cross-bar lengths is the second most diagnostic feature in these morphological sequences. The size of the coccolith and number of cross-bar terminations (V-7, 8, and 9) are the most significant criteria for differentiating morphotypes when comparing specimens with similarly oriented central crosses. By these principals, approximately 10 potential morphotypes were developed. These morphotypes differed with respect to size, cross orientation and construction, and cross-bar distal morphology.

A representative sample of 50 specimens/morphotype was randomly selected from the larger database for further analysis, unless specifically noted. Only 30 specimens were used in the data analysis of E. keio n. sp. as it has low preservation potential, being somewhat rare, thinly constructed, and of short range.

Counts of 300 specimens/slide were performed on a total of 66 slides, to determine the total relative abundance of Eiffellithus in the nanofossil assemblage, and to analyze the general morphological trends within the genus with respect to the angular orientation of the central cross. Eiffellithus species were grouped as in Figure 7. As shown by Thierstein (1980), Eiffellithus is dissolution-resistant and, therefore, susceptible to abnormally high abundance values in poorly preserved samples. To avoid such bias, care was taken to select specimens with good overall preservation and high species richness. When possible, multiple samples were examined from the same stratigraphic horizon to compare the relative abundance at different sites.

These data are archived at Shamrock and Watkins (2008). The results of these analyses are incorporated into the text, figures, and systematic paleontology below.

6. Lower Cretaceous taxonomy

The genus Eiffellithus first appeared in the upper Albian from Vekshinella angusta (Stover 1966) Verbeek 1977, and rapidly diversified in the early part of its range below the Albian-Cenomanian boundary. Eiffellithus monochiae (Crux 1991) Watkins and Bergen 2003, the first species of the genus, gave rise to seven new taxa between ~101.5–99.5 Ma. This Lower Cretaceous lineage is shown in Figure 6, modified from Watkins and Bergen (2003).

Eiffellithus turri-seiffelii shows at least two distinct morphotypes by the uppermost Albian. The original description of E. turri-seiffelii does not state the size, though the holotype has been measured from micrographs to be ~9.2 μm along the long axis (V-1). No paratype was provided to account for the distinctly smaller morphotype (length = 5–8 μm). Watkins and Bergen (2003) showed that the large morphotype of E. turri-seiffelii (> 8.0 μm) does not appear until 99.9 Ma, approximately 0.6 m.y. after the FAD of E. turri-seiffelii (small) at 100.5 Ma. Perch-Nielsen (1968) noted that the small and large morphotypes represent distinct populations, with specimens of intermediate size less frequently observed.

Analysis of the length and width of 300 specimens of E. turri-seiffelii from the Cenomanian-Maastrichtian in the present study has confirmed the presence of two morphotypes (Figure 7), with a clear distribution break at 8.0 μm. F- and T-tests show two distinct populations (Psame length = 2.72 E−27; Psame width = 2.48 E−32). The small morphotype of E. turri-seiffelii, herein E. casulus n. sp., has an average length of 6.0 μm (max <8 μm). The larger E. turri-seiffelii has an average length of 8.7 μm (holotype 9.2 μm, min >8 μm). A typical field of view of Upper Cretaceous sediment contains specimens of E. turri-seiffelii that are often 2–3 times larger than E. casulus. Subsequent diversifications from this lineage gave rise to species of Eiffellithus observed in Upper Cretaceous assemblages.

7. Upper Cretaceous taxonomy

At the start of the Late Cretaceous all forms of Eiffellithus bear a symmetrical diagonal cross, with the midline of the crossbars 45°–60° from the longitudinal axis (Figures 8 & 9). Eiffellithus turri-seiffelii, E. casulus, and E. collis represent the entire genus through much of the Cenomanian. A new period of diversification began in the upper Cenomanian, giving rise to more ornate morphotypes and immediately oriented forms (Figure 9).

Perch-Nielsen (1985, Figure 12) illustrates two morphotypes of E. turri-seiffelii: Eiffellithus turri-seiffelii A has thinner
plates, cross-bars at ~90°, and a large central opening. *Eiffellithus turrisiellii s.str.* has thicker plates, cross-bars forming two obtuse and two acute angles, and distinctly pointed cross-bar terminations. These observations have been verified in this study, with the robust, pointed *E. turrisiellii s.str.* far more abundant and morphologically consistent. This morphotype corresponds to the original sketch of Deflandre and Fert (1954, Figure 65), which clearly shows a cross centered about both coccolith axes, with symmetrical, tapered cross-bars. Nearly all interspecies variation is due to differences in size or presence of slightly forked cross-bar terminations from the lath-like construction of the central cross.

*Eiffellithus* *turriseiellii* A, herein *E. keio n.sp.*, is much less abundant and most frequently preserved as broken specimens due to a thinner plate construction. The central cross of *E. keio* can appear slightly asymmetrical due to variability in bifurcate to trifurcate cross-bar terminations, but is centered about the coccolith axes. While Perch-Nielsen did not formally separate these two morphotypes, the structural transitions that begin in *E. keio* lead to a variety of morphologically distinct forms previously included in the *E. eximius* species complex (Figure 9). *Eiffellithus keio* can be distinguished from the similarly sized *E. turrisiellii* by the total number cross-bar terminations per specimen (V-9). *Eiffellithus turrisiellii* averages 3.2 terminations/specimen while *E. keio* averages 9.7 terminations/specimen ($p_{\text{mean variance}} = 0.567$; $p_{\text{mean mean}} = 1.68 \times 10^{-45}$). The relationship among these two specimens and *E. casulus* with respect to cross terminations (V-9) and coccolith length (V-1) is shown in Figure 10a.

Perch-Nielsen’s tentative Early Cretaceous lineage (1985, Figure 12) was similar to that of Watkins and Bergen, though some inconsistencies are noted: *Eiffellithus sp.2* was illustrated with an uninterrupted upper Albian-Maastrichtian range; however, this form represents at least two distinct periods of transitional, ‘intermediate’ morphotypes, where the mid-line of the larger cross-bar lies 20°–44° from the longitudinal axis of the ellipse (V-9). *Eiffellithus sp.2* was formally described by Watkins and Bergen (2003) as Albian species *E. vonsalisiae*, an intermediately orientated form between the axial cross of *E. monechiae* and the diagonal cross of *E. casulus*. After the LAD of *E. vonsalisiae* below the Albian-Cenomanian boundary, only symmetrical, diagonal *eiffellithids* are present until the upper Cenomanian.
Two new intermediate forms appear after this distinct break: *E. perch-nielseniae* n.sp. (FAD ~93.9 Ma) and *E. digitatus* n.sp. (FAD ~93.6 Ma), just below the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary. These ‘intermediates’, as with *E. vonsalisiæ*, are transitional forms between a diagonal cross and an axial cross, though each belongs to a discrete lineage (Figure 9) with specific derived characteristics. In both forms the cross-bar lengths, widths, and distal morphologies are quite variable, showing essentially no symmetry about either axis. The longitudinal cross-bar is aligned 20°–44° from the long axis of the coccolith (Figure 8), though specimens 40°–44° are infrequent (Figure 11a, b). Intermediate forms can be difficult to distinguish under cross-polarized light. The alternating birefringence of crystal elements allows the cross to appear near symmetrical at some orientations, similar to the birefringence patterns of *E. praestigium*. The asymmetry of intermediate forms is clearly visible when viewed in phase contrast or plane-polarized light: cross-bars nearer the longitudinal axis increase in size but decrease slightly along the transverse axis.

Figure 10. a-c. Graphs showing the morphological relationships among species with respect to length of the coccolith (x-axis) and total number of cross-bar terminations (y-axis). Species are grouped by angular orientation. A: Diagonal central cross (45°–60°). B: Intermediate central cross (20°–44°). C: Axial central cross (< 20°). Groupings show the expected range of each species with respect to these morphological criteria. Species with overlapping morphological ranges can be differentiated by the location of the terminations about the cross. *E. perch-nielseniae* very rarely shows bifurcation along the transverse arms while *E. digitatus* is bifurcate to trifurcate along this axis (n = 50 for all species except for *E. keio* where n = 30).

Figure 11. a-b. Graphs showing the morphological relationships among species of *Eiffellithus* with respect to length of the coccolith (x-axis) and the angle between the midline of the larger cross-bar and the longitudinal axis of the ellipse (y-axis). Species are grouped by cross-bar terminal morphology. A: Pointed to blunt, ‘simple’ cross-bar termination. B: Bifurcate to trifurcate, ‘ornate’ cross-bar terminations. In *E. turrisieffeli* and *E. keio* (45°–60°) all arms are approximately the same distance from the longitudinal axis so measurements represent the average angle of two of the four cross arms. Groupings show the expected range of each species with respect to these morphological criteria (n = 50 for each species, n total = 150).
These intermediate specimens can be statistically separated into two distinct populations (Figure 10b). *Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae* averages 3.2 terminations/specimen while *E. digitatus* has a mean of 8.1 terminations/specimen ($p_{\text{same variance}} = 7.71 \times 10^{-2}$, $p_{\text{same mean}} = 6.86 \times 10^{-24}$). The central cross of *E. digitatus* is a continuation of the structural changes of *E. keio*, and this branching morphology can be seen in plane- and cross-polarized light, though best observed in phase contrast or with a one-quarter $\lambda$ mica plates. As with its ancestor *E. keio* (Figure 9), all cross-bars of *E. digitatus* show evidence of bifurcation or trifurcation. In *E. turrisseiffelii* all cross-bars are morphologically uniform, while in the transitional *E. perch-nielseniae*
the longitudinal pair can be distinguished from the transverse pair by their increase length (V-4), width (V-5), and distal bifurcation (V-7, 9). Longitudinal cross-bars can broaden outward, while those near the transverse axis remain small and retain a tapered shape with pointed to blunt terminations.

The structure of the cross is key in the derivation of lineages. The central cross of *E. eximius*, and its ancestors *E. perch-nielseniae* and *E. turriseffelii*, (Figure 9) is composed of four ‘triangular-shaped’ optical units, while the central cross in the *E. keio* lineage, as noted by Bukry (1969), is composed of four “C”-shaped optical units. Each “C”-shaped crystals builds one half of two adjacent cross-bars (Figure 14E-1). This morphological development can be seen in *E. digitatus*, where cross-bars with strong crystal boundaries act as separate optical units that curve near the center to joint the adjacent cross-bar, creating a distinct “C”-shape. Specimens of *E. perch-nielseniae* can also show longitudinal cross-bar bifurcation, but the cross-bar is optically uniform. The orientation of cross-bars with trifurcate morphology can be obscured by the stacked and overlapping nature of the crystal laths, but the tips generally show alternating birefringence across well-expressed sutures when specimens are rotated. This optical division is masked in poorly developed specimens. If one of these “C”-shaped crystals is reduced, a thin unit with opposite optical orientation can often be seen “pinching-out” near the adjacent cross-bar.

*Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae* includes specimens intermediate to *E. turriseffelii* and *E. eximius* (Figure 11a). Through time, the cross-bars continue toward the longitudinal axis until the structure no longer appears as an intermediate, but rather an axial cross. Specimens are classified as *E. eximius* when the mid-line of the longitudinal cross-bar is <20° from the long axis of the ellipse (Figure 8). These specimens can be statistically separated into two distinct populations, where the mean angular orientation (V-10) of *E. perch-nielseniae* = 27°, while the mean orientation of *E. eximius* = 11° (p<sub>same variance</sub> = 3.7 E⁻⁴, p<sub>same mean</sub> = 9.2 E⁻²⁹). The central cross of *E. eximius* approaches two-fold symmetry, though it remains mildly asymmetrical with respect to some morphological variables.

The interspecies variability of the axial *E. eximius* is much greater than observed in the diagonal *E. turriseffelii* and *E. casulus*. Variability is more easily defined in diagonal forms, which show four-fold symmetry of the cross-bars and attachment to the rim at relatively consistent plate boundaries. In axial forms there are two distinct pairs of cross-bars: those near the longitudinal axis and those near the transverse axis. Both pairs show a fair degree of variability with respect to length and width (V-4, 5), though distal cross-bar morphology varies most in the longitudinal pair (V-7). As the cross-bars extend into the tightest angle of the ellipse, they intersect with the polygonal plates filling the rim, resulting in some bifurcation in nearly all specimens.

As in the transition of the intermediate *E. perch-nielseniae* to the axial *E. eximius, E. digitatus gives rise to *E. angus* Bukry, 1969, the second Late Cretaceous axial form to evolve from a discrete diagonal ancestor (Figure 9). *Eiffellithus angus* first appears in the Coniacian (CC14), when the cross-bars lie within 20° of the longitudinal axis (V-10), and can be statistically distinguished from *E. digitatus* (Figure 11b): mean orientation of *E. angus* = 14°, mean orientation of *E. digitatus* = 26.9° (p<sub>same variance</sub> = 0.092, p<sub>same mean</sub> = 1.58 E⁻²⁸). As with its parent form, *E. angus* shows the derived bifurcation at all cross-bar terminations, and the four “C-shaped” crystals described above are fully developed. At ≥14 μm in length *E. angus* is the largest of all axial forms.

The final axial form, *E. nudus* n.s.p., also appears in the Coniacian (CC14), though its origin is slightly unclear. *Eiffellithus nudus* is the smallest axial form and shows the least interspecies variation. The average length of *E. nudus* is 6.4 μm (max, ≤7.2 μm) while the average length of *E. eximius* is 8.3 μm (p<sub>same variance</sub> = 6.8 E⁻⁴, p<sub>same mean</sub> = 1.03 E⁻³⁵). *Eiffellithus nudus* averages 1.5 cross-bar terminations/specimen, while the more variable *E. eximius* has a mean of 4.4 terminations/specimen (p<sub>same variance</sub> = 0.338, p<sub>same mean</sub> = 6.09 E⁻²¹). *Eiffellithus nudus* can be separated in a similar manner from *E. angus*, which is even larger and more bifurcated than *E. eximius*. Figure 10c shows the relationship among these three axial species with respect to size (V-1) and terminal cross-bar morphology (V-9). *Eiffellithus nudus* likely originates from *E. casulus*, the smallest diagonal species, by rotation of the diagonal cross to an axial position (Figure 9), and would be the third iteration in the L. Cretaceous. *Eiffellithus casulus* and *E. nudus* show the lowest interspecies variability when compared to other forms. The cross-bars frequently taper to a pointed or blunt terminal morphology (Figure 5) and the central-area appears fully infilled. *Eiffellithus nudus* may be a daughter of *E. eximius*, however this would require a decrease in size at a time of general size increase, as well as a decrease in variability. Such considerations make it more parsimonious that *E. nudus* arises from *E. casulus*. The three axial forms dominate the genus in the Coniacian-Early Campanian, with relative abundances ≥60% (Figure 12) until all go extinct by the Late Campanian. During this decline, new intermediate and diagonal species evolve to replace these axial forms.

*Eiffellithus phantasma* n.s.p, first appears in the mid-Campanian (CC20), and rapidly dominates the *Eiffellithus* assemblage. This species is intermediate oriented (20°-44°) and thinly constructed. This form likely originates from *E. turriseffelii*, given the size of the coccolith and central cross construction (Figure 9). *Eiffellithus parallelus* Perch-Nielsen, 1973 evolves from *E. phantasma* through an increase in plate thickness, rotation of the central cross back toward 45°, and division of the cross bars down their length. Though evolution is slow, transitional specimens of *E. parallelus* bear the distinct cross and large central opening that characterize this species, but are weakly calcified and asymmetrical, as in *E. parallelus*.

One final cosmopolitan species, *E. gorkiae* Reinhardt, 1965 is described from the Maastrichtian. *E. gorkiae* is characterized by an infilled rim and a stem base with essentially no free length to the cross-bars, giving a nearly cubic outline to the central structure. Specimens that fit the original description of this species are identified by some nannofossil workers much earlier in the stratigraphic column. Structurally, *E. gorkiae* is akin to *E. turriseffelii* and *E. casulus*, but with no free-length to the “cross-bars” of the central cross. This form likely arises from *E. casulus* by a reduction in the cross-bar length, with specimens becoming larger up-section. All Maastrichtian members of the genus (Figure 9) disappear near or across the K/P boundary with 95-99% of the nannofossil assemblage. The morphological characteristics of the species discussed above are summarized in Table 1.

8. Biostratigraphy

Key characteristics such as resistance to dissolution, high abundance, cosmopolitan distribution, and rapid rates of evolution make species of *Eiffellithus* excellent candidates as biostratigraphic datums. Currently both *E. turriseffelii* and *E. eximius* are biostratigraphic markers in multiple nannofossil zonation schemes. The first occurrence of *E. turriseffelii* is the primary marker for the base of nannofossil zones CC9 of Perch-Nielsen (1985), NC10 of Roth (1978) and UC0 of Burnett and Whitham (1999). As shown by Watkins and Bergen (2003), the first appearance of the smaller *E. casulus* occurs at 100.5 Ma, approxi-
mately 0.6 m.y. before the FAD of \textit{E. turriseiffelii} (99.9 Ma). Further work in this study indicates that these two morphotypes are distinct populations (Figure 7 and Figure 10a). As a result, these biostratigraphic datums must be redefined by either changing the marker to \textit{E. casulus} or by moving the base of the zone up ~0.6 m.y. to the first appearance of the large morphotype (>8 μm), \textit{E. turriseiffelii} (Figure 9). An alternate option is to expand resolution by using both markers in a subzone scheme.

The FAD of \textit{E. eximius} is the current marker for the base of nannofossil zones CC12, NC15, and UC8, but can be unreliable due to the poor understanding of the morphological variation in the axial species complex. This study has shown that \textit{E. eximius} evolves in the Turonian, and is the first Upper Cretaceous axial form to appear in the genus, by the continued rotation of \textit{E. perch-nielseniae} to <20° from the longitudinal axis (Figure 9). \textit{Eiffellithus angustus} does not appear until the Coniacian (CC14), as shown at two separate localities: ODP 171b-1050C, above Core 20–2, and the Niobrara Chalk at Loc. 1, 11 m. If the datum is restricted to the FAD of specimens that are of simple construction, aligned within 20° of the longitudinal axis, and with pointed to blunt cross-bar terminations, \textit{E. eximius} should yield a fairly reliable biostratigraphic marker.

The current primary biostratigraphic datum for the base of CC23 and UC16 is the LAD of \textit{E. eximius}, which corresponds to the LAD of all axial morphotypes of \textit{Eiffellithus}. Based on the refined taxonomy of the \textit{E. eximius} complex in this study, the last axial species is not \textit{E. eximius}, but rather \textit{E. angustus}. All axial forms rapidly decline through the Campanian (Figure 12), with the LAD of \textit{E. nudus} and \textit{E. eximius} in Sisseton Core 20, ~2 m below the LAD of \textit{E. angustus} in Sisseton Core 19. The current datums CC23 and UC16 should then be reassigned to the LAD of \textit{E. angustus}, which marks the LAD of all \textit{Eiffellithus spp.}, with an axial cross.

The first appearance of intermediate species in the uppermost Cenomanian can provide a useful biostratigraphic datum, as this is a notable morphological change in the \textit{Eiffellithus} assemblage (Figure 9). Authors have noted inverse sequencing and diachronity in some nannofossil datums in the Western Interior Seaway from the Cenomanian-Santonian (Bralower and Bergen, 1998; Watkins et al., 1993), so investigation of additional cores with a global distribution is needed to confirm the precise stratigraphic distribution of these new species. The FAD of \textit{E. phantasma} in CC19 may also prove biostratigraphically useful, as it increases in abundance rapidly after its first appearance. Again, this should be confirmed with further research and global correlation. While individual speciation and extinction events have been highlighted here, the character if the entire \textit{Eiffellithus} assemblage can also rapidly provide biostratigraphic information, as discussed below.

9. Evolutionary patterns

Examination of cores from the Western Atlantic shows the abundance of \textit{Eiffellithus} increased from <1% in upper Albian sediments to ~8% in the lower Cenomanian (Watkins and Bergen, 2003, Figure 5). This general rise in abundance continued through much of the Upper Cretaceous, averaging >10% through the mid-Campanian (Figure 12). \textit{Eiffellithus} abundance reached a maximum in the Santonian, with levels of 15–20% during peak species richness within the genus. Well-preserved sediments from the lower Campanian give values similar to the Santonian, though intervals of lower abundance also occur. Abundance levels gradually declined from the mid-Campanian through Maastrichtian, averaging ~5.5%. Values dropped to 2–3% in the mid-late Maastrichtian, the lowest for the genus through the entire Late Cretaceous, overshadowed by genera such as \textit{Micula} and \textit{Prediscosphaera}.

There are several assemblage changes within the genus with respect to morphology: At the start of the Cenomanian, all forms of \textit{Eiffellithus} bear a symmetrical diagonal cross with crossbars at 45°–60° (Figure 9). The first major morphological shift of the Late Cretaceous occurred with the FADs of \textit{E. perch-nielseniae} and \textit{E. digitatus} in the Late Cenomanian. Immediately oriented specimens rapidly increased to >30% of the genus in the Turonian, while the relative abundance of the genus remained at ~10–12% (Figure 12).

The first appearance of axial forms in the Turonian and Coniacian (Figure 9) marks a second morphological shift. Axial forms quickly became dominant, reaching maximum abundances of >60% in the Santonian. This peak coincides with the highest species richness and relative abundance of the genus (> 15–20%) (Figure 12). Diagonal forms maintained fairly steady average values of ~30–40%, but intermediate forms seem to be replaced by axial species, reaching a low of ≤10% of all \textit{Eiffellithus}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morphological characteristics</th>
<th>\textit{E. angustus}</th>
<th>\textit{E. casulus}</th>
<th>\textit{E. digitatus}</th>
<th>\textit{E. eximius}</th>
<th>\textit{E. keo}</th>
<th>\textit{E. nudus}</th>
<th>\textit{E. perch-nielseniae}</th>
<th>\textit{E. parallelus}</th>
<th>\textit{E. phantasma}</th>
<th>\textit{E. turriseiffelii}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coccolith length (1)</td>
<td>small (&lt; 5 μm)</td>
<td>medium (5–8 μm)</td>
<td>large (8–12 μm)</td>
<td>very large (&gt; 12 μm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eccentricity/ Axial ratio (3)</td>
<td>broad (1.1–1.2)</td>
<td>normal (1.3–1.4)</td>
<td>narrow (1.5–1.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular orientation (10)</td>
<td>axial (&gt; 20°)</td>
<td>intermediate (20°–44°)</td>
<td>diagonal (45°–60°)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-bar ratio (6)</td>
<td>equant (~1.0)</td>
<td>asymmetrical(1.1–2.5)</td>
<td>elongate (&gt;1.25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-bar terminal morphology (7–8)</td>
<td>pointed to blunt forked</td>
<td>bifurcate</td>
<td>trifurcate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-bar suture</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>prominent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central stem height</td>
<td>confocal</td>
<td>weakly confocal</td>
<td>vaulted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.
A third shift in morphological dominance occurs with the rapid decline of axial forms and the FAD of *E. planctinum*. These mid-Campanian events greatly increased the relative abundance of intermediates for the second time in the Late Cretaceous (Figures 9 & 12), though each peak was induced by different species. After the FAD of *E. parallelus* the abundance of intermediatively oriented forms slowly declined and diagonal forms finally regain dominance within the genus, though the abundance of *Eiffellithus* in the nannofossil assemblage had been greatly reduced since these forms last dominated in the Cenomanian and Turonian (Figure 12).

In the Late Cretaceous, three axial forms arose from discrete ancestors. The statistical relationships among species with respect to size and distal morphology indicates that cross orientation is a parallel characteristic that developed independently in multiple lineages of the Upper Cretaceous (Figure 9). These axial species arose iteratively, by the continued rotation of diagonal forms through intermediate orientations, with each expressing the derived characteristics of its ancestral forms. The sequence of morphological development makes it more parsimonious to attribute the angular orientation to parallel evolution. Despite the minute size of calcareous nannofossils, these organisms are structurally unique and highly diverse. An extensive study on the patterns of evolutionary divergence and convergence across multiple genera may provide insight into structural constraints, gene flow, and speciation.

10. Conclusions

The calcareous nannofossil genus *Eiffellithus* has long been used as a biostratigraphic marker, both in paleoceanographic research and in industry-related applications. Broad interpretations of the original descriptions and varying criteria encouraged inconsistencies in species identification and biostratigraphic correlation. Detailed examination of *Eiffellithus* from the Upper Cretaceous indicates a more taxonomically diverse assemblage than heretofore noted. Quantitative and semi-quantitative morphological analysis of ~9000 specimens of *Eiffellithus* has shown at least six new Late Cretaceous species. Genetic assessment, if possible, would yield a far greater species richness than calcified morphology alone (Geisen et al., 2004; De Vargas and Probert, 2004; De Vargas et al., 2001, 2004; Renaud and Klaas, 2001).

The taxonomy of *Eiffellithus* has been complicated by the iterative nature of this group, with at least three distinct episodes of central cross rotation. This iterative action has been documented in numerous fossil groups (Aubry, 1988; Haas, 1942; Geary et al., 2002), but was obscured by the relatively simple morphology of these microfossils. Detailed stratigraphic investigation has clarified a number of inconsistencies within current *Eiffellithus* biostratigraphic datums, and suggests potential steps to reconcile these issues.

Finally, morphological turnovers within the genus show distinct changes in dominance, where each turnover is related to a period of extinction and/or diversification. These morphological shifts may be related to paleoenvironmental changes during the Late Cretaceous (Bollmann et al., 1998; Norris, 1991). The most striking morphological turnover and increase in relative abundance of *Eiffellithus* occurs during the Turonian-Santonian, with the iterative appearance of at least three species of *axial Eiffellithus*. This diversification may be related to changes in environmental determinants such as sea-level, surface water temperatures, nutrient availability, and water stratification. Such events are seen in several microfossil taxa through time (Aubry, 1988; Cifelli, 1969) and highlights the need for further discussion and collaboration on such topics within the microfossil community. This type of community study, however, requires a better understanding of nannofossil taxonomy, morphology and diversity through time.
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EIGHTY YEARS OF THE NANNOFossil GENUS EIFFELLITHUS AND ITS BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC SIGNIFICANCE


Type species: Eiffellithus turniseiffelii Reinhardt, 1965

Eiffellithus angustus (Bukry, 1969) amended herein Figure 14, E-G

2002 Eiffellithus eximius (Stover) Perch-Nielsen-Hadavi, pl.I, Figure 19 1999 Eiffellithus angustus, (Stover) Perch-Nielsen-Burnett, pl. 6, Figure 24 1969 Eiffellithus angustus, Bukry, pl. 28, figs 10–12. 1968 Eiffellithus turniseiffelii, (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Gartner, pl. 18, Figure 10; pl. 19, fig 2a–

Amended diagnosis: Medium to very large, normally to broadly ellipsoidal eiffellithid, with a stem base of four disjunct, “C” shaped crystals that rise above the central area, forming a large, diamond shaped opening through the center of the axial cross. Amended description: Species of eiffellithid with a broadly to normally elliptical rim consisting of 40–80 steeply inclined elements, giving a smooth to serrate outline. The inner rim consists of 8–15 radially-orientated, polygonal elements that converge in the center to form an elliptical opening. This opening can be obscured by overgrowth of the central plates that fill the central area. Rims display first order birefringence, though inner plates tend to be far brighter than the outer rim. A broad, slightly asymmetrical axial cross spans much of the central area. Four “C” shaped crystals composed of thin, lath-like elements curve to form one-half of each adjacent cross-bar. Crystal boundaries are prominent, splitting the cross-bars down their length from the tip to the cross center. This results in an alternating pattern of birefringence across the cross-bars when rotated. Cross-bars show alternate extinction with one-quarter λ mica plate (Figure 17). Broad bifurcation occurs when the tips of these crystals curve around the back plates. Crossbars join in the center to form a large, diamond-shaped opening that rises above the plane of the coccolith. A large stem sits atop this elevated cross, but is rarely preserved.
Measurements:
Length = 7.4–14 μm (μ = 9.7, s.d. = 0.86, n = 50)
Width = 5.7–9.2 μm (μ = 7.7, s.d. = 0.69, n = 50)
Eccentricity = 1.15–1.45 (μ = 1.27, s.d. = 0.05, n = 50)
Occurrence: Coniacian - upper Campanian
Remarks: Eiffellithus angustus can be distinguished from most other species of the genus by the axial orientation of the central cross, <20° from the longitudinal axis. Though originally described by Bukry (1969) this species had been absorbed into the E. eximius species-complex. Eiffellithus angustus is the largest species with an axial cross, up to 14 μm in length, so can be easily distinguished from the small axial species E. nudus (maxL = 7.2 μm). Eiffellithus angustus can be distinguished from E. eximius by a number of features: Generally, E. angustus is larger than E. eximius, though specimens of the latter

Figure 13. Light photomicrographs of selected specimens at 1250x magnification. Scale bars are 5 μm with 1 μm divisions. XPL = cross polarized light, PC = phase contrast. A. E. casulus n.sp. (holotype), U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 10.15 m; A-1: PC, A-2: XPL. B. E. casulus (paratype), U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 10.25 m; B-1: PC, B-2: XPL; C. E. casulus (paratype), L. Campanian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 24, 11.5 m; C-1: PC, C-2: XPL. D. E. turrieseiffelii (Deflandre and Fert, 1954; Reinhardt, 1965) Perch-Nielsen 1968, U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 10.45 m; D-1: PC, D-2: XPL. E. E. turrieseiffelii, U. Maastrichtian, ODF Leg 171b-1049a-17-2-(119–126 cm); E-1: PC, E-2: XPL. F. E. turrieseiffelii, mid-Campanian, Gregory Mbr, Pierre Shale, Core 19-3-(6.5 cm); F-1: PC, F-2: XPL. G. E. keio, n. sp. (paratype), U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 10.65 m; G-1: PC, G-2: XPL. H. E. keio (paratype), L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 11.45 m; H-1: PC, H-2: XPL.
reach lengths comparable to *E. angustus*; The cross-bars of *E. angustus* are more broad and robust, show prominent sutures along their length, and have obvious bifurcations on all four terminations. *E. eximius* does not show this characteristic along the transverse axis. The crystal boundaries of *E. eximius* are less prominent and discontinuous. The outline of the cross-bars, which are less robust, tapers away from the center of the structure in *E. eximius*, while they broaden in *E. angustus*.

**Figure 14.** Light photomicrographs of selected specimens at 1250x magnification. Scale bars are 5 μm with 1 μm divisions. XPL = cross polarized light. PC = phase contrast. A. *E. keio* n.sp. (holotype), L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 13.45 m; A-1: PC, A-2, 3: XPL. B. *E. digitatus* n.sp., (holotype), L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 11.35 m; B-1, 2: PC, B-3: XPL. C. *E. digitatus* (paratype), U. Santonian, DSDP Leg 10-95-17-1-(27 cm); C-1: PC, C-2: XPL. D. *E. digitatus* (paratype), L. Campanian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 25, 4.5 m; D-1: PC, D-2: XPL. E. *E. angustus* Bukry, 1969, M. Santonian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 12, 2.5 m; E-1: PC, E-2: XPL. F. *E. digitatus*, M. Santonian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 19, 16 m; F-1: PC, F-2: XPL. G. *E. angustus*, M. Santonian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 12, 2.5 m; G-1: PC, G-2: XPL.
Type section: Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Limestone Fm, Hattin (1975) Loc. 6.

Type Level: 0.48 m, upper Cenomanian

Diagnosis: Small to medium, broadly to narrowly elliptical eiffelli-thid with a stem base consisting of four disjunct cross-bars that form a symmetrical diagonal cross aligned 45°–60° to the longitudinal axis of the coccolith.

Description: Species of eiffelli-thid with a broadly to normally elliptical rim consisting of 30–60 steeply inclined elements giving a smooth to serrate outline. The inner rims consist of 8–12 radially oriented, roughly triangular back plates, which converge in the center to form an elliptical opening. This opening can occupy ~50% of the longitudinal axis. Overgrowth of the back plates is common, forming additional crystal boundaries, occasionally obscuring the central opening. This tendency increases up section with younger specimens completely infilled. The rims display first order birefringence, though inner plates

Figure 15. Light photomicrographs of selected specimens at 1250x magnification. Scale bars are 5 μm with 1 μm divisions. XPL = cross polarized light. PC = phase contrast. A. E. collis (Hoffman 1970), U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 10.25 m; A-1: PC, A-2: XPL. B. E. collis, L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 13.95 m; B-1: PC, B-2: XPL. C. E. collis U. Cenomanian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 9.95 m; C-1: PC, C-2: XPL. D. E. perch-nielseniae n.sp. (holotype), Santonian, DSDP Leg 10-95-17-1-(24-25 cm); D-1: PC, D-2: XPL. E. E. perch-nielseniae (paratype), Santonian, DSDP Leg 10-95-16-1-(124-125 cm); E-1: PC, E-2: XPL. F. E. perch-nielseniae, L. Campanian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 20, 19 m; F-1: PC, F-2: XPL. G. E. perch-nielseniae (paratype), L. Campanian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 21, 13 m; G-1: PC, G-2: XPL.
tend to be much brighter than the outer rim. A symmetrical diagonal cross rises above the central-area. The proximal base is composed of lath-like elements which curve from the diagonal cross-bars to run parallel to the axes before recurving to joint the adjacent cross-bar. Extinction lines run parallel with the axes of the coccolith and divide the cross into four cross-bars which appear distinctly triangular and optically uniform under cross-polarized light. The cross-bars taper to a point 45°–60° to the longitudinal axis. The central opening frequently appears as a small hole. The central cross may have relief, but it is confocal with the coccolith rim. Overgrowth decreases the free length of the cross-bars and gives an hourglass-shaped outline to the base.

Measurements:
Length = 4.4–8.0 μm ($\mu = 6.0$, s.d. = 0.74, n = 50)
Width = 3.3–6.1 μm ($\mu = 4.5$, s.d. = 0.62, n = 50)
Eccentricity = 1.21–1.50 ($\mu = 1.34$, s.d. = 0.07, n = 50)

Occurrence: upper Albian - Maastrichtian
Remarks: Though *E. casulus* is distinguished from the structurally similar *E. turriseiffelii* by its smaller size, *Eiffellithus turriseiffelii* averages 9.0 μm in length (holotype 9.2 μm), with a minimum length ≥8 μm. The FAD of *E. turriseiffelii* is approximately 0.6 m.y. after *E. casulus*. *Eiffellithus casulus* tends to retain its stem more frequently, while the opening within the cross is more prominent in *E. turriseiffelii*, whose stem is frequently absent. *Eiffellithus casulus* can be distinguished from the similar sized *E. collis* by the blunt, rectangular shape of the central cross in the latter when compared to the pointed, diagonal cross of *E. casulus*. *Eiffellithus gorkiae* has a constricted central cross, the back plates completely infill the central-area, and does not appear until the Late Cretaceous.

Figure 17. Light photomicrographs of selected specimens at 1250x magnification. Scale bars are 5 μm with 1 μm divisions. XPL = cross polarized light. PC = phase contrast. Specimens E-G viewed through a one-quarter λ mica plate. A. *E. phantasma* (paratype), mid-Campanian, Gregory Mbr, Pierre Shale, Core 23-3 (25 cm); A-1: PC, A-2: XPL. B. *E. parallelus* (Perch-Nielsen, 1973), U. Maastrichtian, ODP Leg 171b-1049a-17-2-(119–126 cm); B-1: PC, B-2: XPL. C. *E. parallelus*, U. Maastrichtian, ODP Leg 171b-1049a-17-2-(119–126 cm); C-1: PC, C-2: XPL. D. *E. parallelus*, Maastrichtian, ODP Leg 171b-1050c-13-2-(139 cm); D-1: PC, D-2: XPL. E. *E. turriseiffelii*, U. Maastrichtian, ODP Leg 171b-1049a-17-2-(119–126 cm). F. *E. keio* n.sp. (holotype), L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 13.45 m. G. *E. digitatus* n.sp., (holotype), L. Turonian, Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Lst, Loc. 6, 11.35 m. H. *E. parallelus*, U. Maastrichtian, ODP Leg 171b-1049a-17-2-(119–126 cm); 1: PC, 2: XPL. I. *E. eximius*, M. Santonian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 19, 16 m. J. *E. casulus*, L. Campanian, Smokey Hill Mbr, Niobrara Chalk, Loc. 20, 15 m.
**Eiffellithus digitatus** Shamrock, n. sp.

Figure 14, B-D; Figure 17, G

1968 *Eiffellithus turrisseiffelii*, (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Gartner, pl. 13, Figure 2; pl. 23, Figure 10

**Etymology:** (L.) - having fingers, in reference to the numerous cross-bar terminations.

**Types:** Holotype: Figure 13-B, 17-G. Paratypes: 13-C, 13-D

**Type Section:** Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Limestone Fm, Hattin (1975) Loc. 6

**Type Level:** 11.35 m, lower Turonian

**Diagnosis:** Medium to large, broadly to normally elliptical eiffellithid, with a stem base of four irregular cross-bars. The larger cross-bars of this asymmetrical diagonal cross fall 20°–44° from the longitudinal axis of the coccolith.

**Description:** Species of eiffellithid with a broadly to normally elliptical rim of 40–60 steeply inclined elements giving a smooth to serrate outline. The inner rim consists of 8–15 radially oriented, irregular back plates, which converge in the center to form an elliptical opening. This opening can occupy >50% of the long axis. Overgrowth of back plates is common, forming additional crystal boundaries, occasionally obscuring the central opening. Rims display first order birefringence, though inner plates tend to be much brighter than the outer rim. An asymmetrical diagonal cross rises above the central area. The midline of the larger cross-bar falls 20°–44° of the longitudinal axis of the ellipse. The central cross bears a square-shaped opening which tapers away from the center in well-preserved specimens, though can be overgrown or obscured by the circular stem projecting distally from this base at 60°–90°. The central cross may have high relief, making it impossible to focus on the cross-bar terminations and the interior structure simultaneously, though relief appears to decrease up-section. The cross-bars are composed of lath-like crystallites of irregular shape and size, with bifurcate to trifurcate cross-bar terminations that join the inner plates, though can stretch to the outer rim. Trifurcate morphotypes are structurally similar to bifurcates, but with an additional crystallite group between the outer pair. Specimens with >3 terminations/cross-bar are occasionally seen. The structure of the intermediate cross can appear chaotic, giving a wide range of morphological diversity to this form.

**Measurements:**

Length = 7.7–12 μm (μ = 9.2, s.d. = 0.8, n = 50)

Width = 5.7–10.2 μm (μ = 7.3, s.d. = 0.8, n = 50)

Eccentricity = 1.17–1.41 (μ = 1.28, s.d. = 0.06, n = 50)

**Occurrence:** Upper Cenomanian - lower Campanian

**Remarks:** *Eiffellithus digitatus* can be distinguished from most other species of *Eiffellithus* by the structure and orientation of the central cross, which falls 20°–44°. Asymmetry distinguishes it from its symmetrical ancestor *E. keio* and from other symmetrical diagonal forms such as *E. turrisseiffeli*. The cross-bars fall within 20° of the longitudinal axis in *E. eximius* and *E. angustus*. *Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae* and *E. phantasma* have orientations similar to *E. digitatus* (20°–44°) but can be differentiated by the construction of the central cross. *Eiffellithus digitatus* has a more ornate outline with bifurcate to trifurcate distal morphology on all cross-bars, often with greater than 10 total terminations. *Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae* shows a much simpler construction, in that only the larger cross-bars show bifurcation, while the transverse cross-bars are tapered and optically uniform. *Eiffellithus phantasma* is thinner and smaller, with all cross-bars showing a simple, dominantly rounded to blunt, terminal morphology.

**Eiffellithus eximius** (Stover, 1966) Perch-Nielsen, 1968

Figure 16, A-C; Figure 17, I

1966 *Clinoorhabdus eximius*, Stover, pl. 2, Figure 15–16

1968 *Eiffellithus eximius*, (Stover), Perch-Nielsen, fig 5a; pl. 3, Figure 8–10

1968 *Eiffellithus turrisseiffeli*, (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Gartner, pl. 19, fig, 1; pl. 23, Figure 11

1999 *Eiffellithus eximius*, (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Bown, pl. 6.4, Figure 22–23 only

**Description:** (Note: this description emphasizes the original photographs and sketches of Stover, 1966, to clarify the morphological criteria of the species, and to aid in differentiation from *E. angustus* and *E. nudus*). Species of eiffellithid with a broad, frequently elliptical rim, consisting of 40–70 steeply inclined elements, giving a smooth to serrate outline. The central area consists of 8–15 radially oriented, roughly triangular elements which converge to nearly fill the central area. Overgrowth of the back plates is common, forming additional crystal boundaries. The coccolith displays first order birefringence, though inner plates tend to be brighter than the outer rim. Above the back plates is a symmetrical to slightly asymmetrical axial cross that spans 60–75% of the length of the coccolith. The mid-line of the longitudinal cross-bar falls within 20° of the longitudinal axis of the coccolith. The cross-bars are composed of lath-like elements that gently taper towards the outer rim. Along the transverse axis the cross-bars are shorter, thinner, and taper towards the rim to a point, blunt, or weakly clavate terminal morphology. The longitudinal cross-bars can be wider and longer. In larger specimens the longitudinal cross-bars may be widely bifurcate, as the laths of the broader cross-bar spread around the back plates. The cross-bars converge in the center, rising to a low peak. The central cross can be highly birefringent in cross-polarized light, and is dissected into four roughly triangular pieces by extinction lines oriented at 45° to the axes of the ellipse. When nearly extinct, vague and discontinuous crystal boundaries run down the center of the cross-bars. When present, the stem is roughly circular in phase contrast, but dark in cross-polarized light. When absent the stem base contains a large opening through which the back plates can be seen filling the central area.

**Measurements:**

Length = 7.3–10.4 μm (μ = 8.3, s.d. = 0.66, n = 50)

Width = 5.2–7.8 μm (μ = 6.2, s.d. = 0.55, n = 50)

Eccentricity = 1.23–1.47 (μ = 1.33, s.d. = 0.06, n = 50)

**Occurrence:** Turonian - lower Campanian

**Remarks:** *Eiffellithus eximius* can be differentiated from most species of *Eiffellithus* by the orientation of the larger cross-bars, whose midline falls within 20° of the longitudinal axis of the coccolith. The species concept of *E. eximius* is similar to that of Stover, 1966 and Perch-Nielsen, 1968, but can now be statistically distinguished from other axial species by limitations on coccolith size and cross-bar terminal morphology. The central cross of *E. nudus* is also oriented within 20° of the longitudinal axis but specimens of this species are ≤7.2 μm in length while those of *E. eximius* are >7.2 μm in length. *Eiffellithus eximius* is similar in size and cross-bar orientation to *E. angustus*, but all cross-bars are broad, bifurcate, and have prominent crystal boundaries in the latter.

**Eiffellithus keio** Shamrock, n. sp.

Figure 13, G-H; Figure 14, A; Figure 17, F

1976 *Eiffellithus turrisseiffeli* (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Hill, pl. 2, Figure 37–38

1985 *Eiffellithus turrisseiffeli* A, Perch-Nielsen, Figure 12

**Etymology:** (Gr.) - keio — to cleave or to split, in reference to the distal tip morphology and the lineage that evolves from this form

**Types:** Holotype: Figure 14-A, 17-F. Paratypes: Figure 13-G, 13-H

**Type Section:** Hartland Mbr, Greenhorn Limestone Fm, Hattin (1975) Loc. 6

**Type Level:** 13.45 m, lower Turonian

**Diagnosis:** Medium to large, broadly to normally elliptical eiffellithid, with a stem base consisting of four disjunct cross-bars with bifurcate to trifurcate terminations, forming a roughly symmetrical diagonal cross aligned ≥45° to the axes of the coccolith.

**Description:** Species of eiffellithid with a broad, generally elliptical rim consisting of 40–70 steeply inclined elements giving a smooth to serrate outline. The inner rim consists of 8–15 radially oriented, roughly triangular back plates, which converge in the center to form an elliptical opening. The opening can occupy >50% of the long axis. Overgrowth of the back plates is common, forming additional crystal boundaries, occasionally obscuring the central opening. The rims display first order birefringence, though inner plates tend to be much brighter than the outer rim. The cross-bars are symmetrical about the axes, but distal terminations are highly variable both between specimens and within an individual specimen, giving a slightly asymmet-
rical appearance to the cross itself. Bifurcate to trifurcate terminations occur on all cross-bars, with both lobate and highly forked forms common. Due to the overlapping nature of the crystal laths, the crystal boundaries along each cross-bar are also highly variable. The cross-bars rise above the plane of the coccolith, and the resultant base contains a deep, square-shaped opening which tapers away from the center in well-preserved specimens. This structure can be overgrown or obscured by the circular stem projecting distally from this base at 60°–90°. The central cross has high relief, making it impossible to focus on the cross-bar terminations and central structure simultaneously. Overall the coccolith is thin and whole specimens are rare, though it can be seen frequently through its range as fragments. Fragments containing at least half of the central cross can be positively identified by the highly ornate cross-bar terminations.

**Measurements:**
- Length = 7.3–11.3 μm (μ = 9.0, s.d. = 1.11, n = 30)
- Width = 5.3–9.5 μm (μ = 7.1, s.d. = 0.97, n = 30)
- Eccentricity = 1.15–1.42 (μ = 1.3, s.d. = 0.07, n = 30)

**Occurrence:** Upper Cenomanian - Turonian.

**Remarks:** Eiffellithus keio can be differentiated from most species by the diagonal central cross whose cross-bars are symmetrical about the axes of the coccolith. Eiffellithus turriseifelli has blunt, pointed, or weakly forked cross terminations, a simpler cross construction, and is more uniformly birefringent than E. keio. Eiffellithus casalus has blunt to pointed terminations and is considerably smaller in size. Other species with a symmetrical diagonal cross, such as E. parallelus with E. gorkiae, are constructed far differently and have non-overlapping ranges with E. keio.

**Eiffellithus nudus** Shamrock, n. sp.

Figure 16, D-F

1968 Eiffellithus turriseifelli, (Defflandre) Gartner, pl. 17, Figure 3

**Etymology:** (L.) nudus - naked, bare

**Types:** Holotype: Figure 16-D. Paratypes: Figure 16-E, 16-F

**Type section:** DSDP Leg 10 Site 95 Core 6–1

**Type Level:** 124–125 cm, Santonian

**Diagnosis:** Small to medium, normally to narrowly elliptical eiffellithid, with a stem base consisting of four triangular to rectangular shaped cross-bars forming an axial cross aligned within 20° of the longitudinal axes of the coccolith.

**Description:** Species of eiffellithid with a normally to narrowly elliptical rim, consisting of 30–50 steeply inclined elements, giving a smooth to serrate outline. The central-area consists of 8–15 radially oriented, polygonal back plates, which converge in the center to form an elliptical opening. The central cross has first order birefringence, though inner plates tend to be much brighter than the outer rim. An asymmetrical diagonal cross sits above the central-area. The stem base is composed of lath-like elements that form four, roughly triangular cross-bars. The midline of the larger cross-bars falls 20°–44° of the longitudinal axis. The length, width, and terminations of these cross-bars are highly variable both between specimens and within an individual specimen, giving the central structure a distinctly asymmetrical outline with respect to both axes of the coccolith. As the central cross approaches the longitudinal axis the larger cross-bars widen and attach between the back plates, causing bifurcation along this direction. The transverse cross-bars are small, simply constructed, and tapered to blunt in outline, similar to its ancestor, E. turriseifelli. The central cross contains a square-shaped opening in well-preserved specimens, though this can be overgrown or obscured by the circular stem which projects distally from this base at 60°–90°.

**Measurements:**
- Length = 6.6–10.3 μm (μ = 8.1, s.d. = 0.75, n = 50)
- Width = 5.2–7.6 μm (μ = 6.1, s.d. = 0.37, n = 50)
- Eccentricity = 1.2–1.46 (μ = 1.33, s.d. = 0.06, n = 50)

**Occurrence:** Upper Cenomanian - Campanian

**Remarks:** Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae can be distinguished from most species in the genus by the intermediate orientation of the central cross, where the midline of the larger cross-bars lie 20°–44°. Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae has a symmetrical diagonal cross. In species with an axial cross, the larger cross-bars fall within 20° of the longitudinal axis. Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae can be distinguished from the immediately oriented E. digitatus by the relatively simple construction of the central cross in the former. Eiffellithus digitatus is more ornate, with bifurcate to trifurcate cross-bars, often with >10 total terminations. Eiffellithus perch-nielseniae is very similar in form to the Lower Cretaceous E. vonsalisiae, however there is a >5 m.y. hiatus between these forms through much of the Cenomanian.

**Eiffellithus phantasma** Shamrock, n. sp.

Figure 16, G-H; Figure 17, A

2002 Eiffellithus gorkiae, Reinhardt, Hadavi, pl. 1, Figure 17

**Etymology:** (Gr.) phantasma; image, ghost, apparition

**Types:** Holotype: Figure 16-H. Paratypes: Figure 16-G, 17-A

**Type section:** Gregory Mbr, Pierre Shale, Sisseton, SD Core 21–3

**Type Level:** 21 cm, lower Campanian

**Diagnosis:** Medium to large, asymmetrically elliptical eiffellithid, with a disjunct stem base consisting of four, roughly triangular cross-bars. The larger cross-bars of this asymmetrical cross are 20°–44° from the longitudinal axis of the coccolith.

**Description:** Medium to large species of eiffellithid with a rim consisting of 30–60 steeply inclined elements, giving a relatively smooth, broadly to normally elliptical outline. The interior consists of 8–15 thin, radially oriented, roughly triangular back plates, which converge behind the central cross. The thin, outer rim is dim, with the inner plates showing first order birefringence. Although the individual plates can be resolved, the overall appearance is faint when viewed in either phase contrast or cross-polarized light. An asymmetrical diagonal cross sits slightly above the central-area. The low peak can be seen well in phase contrast illumination. The interference of the lamella can give the central cross a petaloid appearance around the stem, as short
extinction lines partially bisect, but do not extend the full length of, the cross-bars. The cross-bars closest to the longitudinal axis, though generally larger, can be similar in size to the pair nearer the transverse axis. This species can be readily identified by the outline of the cross in phase contrast, which resembles an asymmetrical hourglass (Figure 15G-I). 

**Measurements:**
Length = 5.6–8.4 μm (μ = 6.8, s.d. = 0.5, n = 50)
Width = 4.1–6.1 μm (μ = 5.1, s.d. = 0.5, n = 50)
Eccentricity = 1.22–1.48 (μ = 1.33 s.d. = 0.06, n = 50)

**Occurrence:** Lower Campanian - Maastrichtian 

**Remarks:** Eiffellithus phantasma can be differentiated from most species of Eiffellithus by the intermediate orientation of the central cross (20°–44°). *Eiffellithus phantasma* can be differentiated from other intermediate forms by the thickness of the plate and the terminal morphologies of the cross-bars. *Eiffellithus perchorbendae* is a robust, highly birefringent form. The longitudinal cross-bars are notably longer than the transverse cross-bars. *Eiffellithus digitatus*, which can also be thin, is typically larger and has highly ornate cross-bar morphology, with bifurcate to trifurcate terminations. The Campanian first appearance of E. phantasma is widely separate from the first appearance of other intermediates, which appear in the upper Cenomanian to Turonian.

1954 (Deflandre and Fert) Reinhardt, p. 32

**1954** *Zygolithus turriseiffeli* (Deflandre and Fert), Reinhardt, p. 32

**1965** *Eiffellithus turriseiffeli* (Deflandre), Reinhardt, p. 32

**1966** *Clinorthus turriseiffeli* (Deflandre), Stever, pl. 3, Figure 7–9

**1968** *Eiffellithus turriseiffeli* (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Gartner, pl. 2, Figure 22; pl. 5, Figure 19; pl. 6, Figure 7; pl. 18, Figure 11; pl. 23, Figure 7

**1976** *Eiffellithus turriseiffeli* (Deflandre) Reinhardt, Hill, pl. 6, Figure 39–42; pl. 14, Figure 8–9

**Emended diagnosis:** Large, broadly to normally elliptical eiffellithid, with a disjunct stem base consisting of four cross-bars that form a symmetrical diagonal cross aligned 45°–60° to the longitudinal axis of the coccolith.

**Description:** Species of eiffellithid with a broadly to normally elliptical rim consisting of 50–70 steeply inclined elements that give a smooth to serrate outline. The inner rim consists of 8–15 radially oriented, roughly triangular back plates, which converge to form a central elliptical opening. This opening can occupy >50% of the longitudinal axis. Overgrowth of the back plates is common, forming additional crystal boundaries, occasionally obscuring this opening. The central-area is increasingly filled in younger forms. The rims display first order birefringence, though the broad inner cycle is much brighter than the outer rim. A symmetrical diagonal cross rises above the central-area. The proximal base is composed of lath-like elements which curve from the diagonal to run parallel to the axes before recurving to join the adjacent cross-bar. Small extinction lines run parallel with the axes of the coccolith and divide the central cross into four units that appear roughly triangular and uniformly filled under cross-polarized light. The cross-bars are of equal length and terminal morphology, typically tapered to blunt. A square-shaped opening sits within the central cross, and tapers away from the center in well-preserved specimens, though this can be overgrown or obscured by the circular stem projecting distally from this base at 60–90°. The central cross may have high relief, making it impossible to focus on the cross-bar terminations and central structure simultaneously, though this tendency decreases up section. Small forked tips may occasionally be present, however, this is a vestigial characteristic. Overgrowth reduces the free length of the cross-bars and may give an hour-glass outline to the central cross.

**Measurements:**
Length = ≥ 8.0–11.5 μm (μ = 8.8, s.d. = 0.5, n = 50)
Width = 5.6–8.3 μm (μ = 6.6, s.d. = 0.6, n = 50)
Eccentricity = 1.22–1.47 (μ = 1.36 s.d. = 0.07, n = 50)

**Occurrence:** Uppermost Albian - Maastrichtian

**Remarks:** Eiffellithus casulus can be distinguished from E. turriseiffeli by its smaller size, averaging 6.0 μm in length, with a maximum length of <8 μm. The large morphotype (μlength = 8.7 μm, holotype = 9.2 μm) has retained the name E. turriseiffeli, as it is the type-species for the genus and carries historical precedent. *Eiffellithus turriseiffeli* can be distinguished from E. keio by the pointed to weakly forked cross-bar terminations in the former, in contrast to the highly ornate, bifurcate to trifurcate terminations which distinguish the latter. The central cross of E. parallellus is composed of parallel lamellae in contrast to the curving elements in E. turriseiffeli.