

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

POD Network Conference Materials

Professional and Organizational Development  
Network in Higher Education

---

2001

## POD Conference Evaluation

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

---

"POD Conference Evaluation" (2001). *POD Network Conference Materials*. 208.  
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/podconference/208>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Professional and Organizational Development Network in Higher Education at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in POD Network Conference Materials by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

## POD Conference Evaluation

### Interfaces, Intersections, and Gateways (October 10-14, 2001)

We invite you to provide feedback to conference organizers and presenters on the entire conference. Your feedback will help to assess the quality of plenary, concurrent, and roundtable sessions as well as other events and activities during the conference. In addition to providing summary reports on individual sessions, the POD Core Committee will use this information in conference follow-ups and the design of future conferences. Please submit your completed responses at the Registration Desk before leaving the conference. They can also be mailed to: Bill Burke; Teaching and Learning Center; University of Kentucky; 5-47 W.T. Young Library; Lexington, KY 40506-0456. We value your feedback so please complete and return your comments!

**Instructions:** For each section, please indicate (a) each offering or service you experienced by marking (X) before the item, (b) your overall rating of its quality (1=poor, 2=marginal, 3=satisfactory, 4=good, 5=excellent), and (c) any comments that help to clarify or elaborate your experience.

#### Pre-Conference Workshops

- W1. Getting Started (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W2. Using Classroom Action Research (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W3. Assessment: The Implications for Faculty Development (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W4. Individual Teaching Consultations with Faculty (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W6. The Educational Developers' Portfolio (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W6. Helping Faculty (Re) Discover Their Great Teaching: How to Organize and Host a Great Teaching Seminar (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W7. Techno-CATs (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W8. Bridging Teaching and Research Cultures (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W10. Using Collegial Qualitative Techniques to Strengthen Teaching (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W11. How People Learn (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W13. Increasing Technology Integration in Instruction (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- W14. Managing Organizational Change (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments (if more than one workshop, indicate which is referred to in comments):

## Plenary Sessions

\_\_ President's Welcome Address at the Thursday dinner (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments:

\_\_ Edward Zlotkowski: "Academics as Public Problem-Solvers: Implications for Faculty Training and Development" (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments:

\_\_ Robin Zuniga: "Where Inquiry and Pedagogy Meet: Asking Good Questions About Teaching and Learning with Technology" (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments:

\_\_ Orlando Taylor: "Preparing Faculty for New Gateways in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities" (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments:

Any other comments or suggestions about the plenary sessions?

## Concurrent and Roundtable Sessions

Which concurrent or roundtable sessions did you find particularly well done and satisfying as a participant? Also, please indicate one or two things about each exemplary session that made it outstanding for you.

Which concurrent or roundtable sessions were unsatisfactory for you? Please indicate one or two things about each unsatisfactory session that made it less than satisfying for you.

Based on your experiences at this conference, what suggestions do you have for concurrent and roundtable sessions at future POD conferences? Please be as specific as possible.



## Conference Food and Refreshments, Lodging, and Services

### Conference Food and Refreshments

(mark all you used)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Continental breakfasts (quality rating: ____) | <input type="checkbox"/> Morning Breaks (quality rating: ____)         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Lunches (quality rating: ____)                | <input type="checkbox"/> Afternoon breaks (quality rating: ____)       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dinners (quality rating: ____)                | <input type="checkbox"/> Reception refreshments (quality rating: ____) |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> _____   |

Comments (if more than one meal/break, indicate which is referred to in comments):

### Conference Lodging

(mark the one you used)

- Single occupancy (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)
- Double occupancy (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Comments:

### Conference Services

(mark all you used)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conference registration (quality rating: ____) | <input type="checkbox"/> Food services (quality rating: ____)         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hotel registration (quality rating: ____)      | <input type="checkbox"/> Ground transportation (quality rating: ____) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hotel room services (quality rating: ____)     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other services: _____                        |
|   | (quality rating: ____)  |

Comments (if more than one service, indicate which is referred to in comments):

## Overall Assessment and Worst/Best Experiences at the Conference

This is an opportunity to give us some idea of your assessment of the conference quality overall and what kinds of experiences at this conference were most and least satisfying for you. After indicating your overall assessment of the conference, please highlight one or two experiences that are most memorable for you and why you think they had a significant impact (positive or negative) on you.

Overall conference assessment (quality rating: \_\_\_\_)

Most positive experience(s):

Least positive experience(s):

Other comments or suggestions:

Is this your first POD conference? \_\_\_\_ If not, how many other POD annual conferences have you attended? \_\_\_\_ How many years have you been a member of the POD Network? \_\_\_\_ Have you helped to plan/ organize a previous POD conference? \_\_\_\_ Would you be willing to help with a future conference? \_\_\_\_ Are you planning to come to next year's conference? \_\_\_\_ Arrival date at this year's conference? \_\_\_\_ Departure date at this year's conference? \_\_\_\_

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Your position: \_\_\_\_\_

Your institution: \_\_\_\_\_

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR RESPONSES AND  
FOR PARTICIPATING IN THIS YEAR'S PODCONFERENCE!**