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Abstract

Background: Child temperament is a measure of an individual’s behavioral tendencies. The primary objective of this study was to examine whether child temperament modified the overweight risk associated with parent feeding behaviors and child eating behaviors. Methods: A sample of predominantly African American, Midwest families (N = 120) recruited from four metropolitan primary care clinics participated in this cross-sectional, mixed methods study. Parents reported on feeding practices, child eating behaviors, and child temperament. Results: Difficult temperament was not statistically related to parent feeding practices or child eating behaviors (p > 0.05). Tests of interaction indicated that the risk of child overweight differed by difficult temperament and easy temperament for two child eating behaviors (emotional eating and food fussiness, p < 0.05). For example, the effect of food fussiness decreased the risk of overweight for difficult temperament children but increased overweight risk for easy temperament children. Further, the effect of emotional eating increased the risk of overweight for difficult temperament children but decreased overweight risk for easy temperament children. Conclusions: Tailoring parent-level interventions to child temperament or promoting environments that trigger less reactive individual responses may be effective in lowering risk of child overweight.
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Previous studies focusing on interpersonal determinants of child overweight have shown that parent feeding practices (e.g., restriction and pressure-to-eat) and child eating behaviors (e.g., food fussiness and emotional eating) are associated with weight status (Birch & Fisher, 2000; Birch, Fisher & Davison, 2003; Loth, MacLehose, Fulkerson, Crow & Neumark-Sztainer, 2013; Loth, MacLehose, Fulkerson, Crow & Neumark-Sztainer, 2014; Wardle, Sanderson, Guthrie, Rapoport & Plomin, 2002). Little information, however, is available about whether child temperament modifies the overweight risk associated with parent feeding practices and child eating behaviors. For example, one recent study has proposed that temperament may modify the child overweight risk associated with parent emotional feeding (e.g., soothing) (Anzman-Frasca et al., 2012). Parents who use this feeding practice with difficult temperament children may inadvertently promote more emotional eating behaviors than would occur with children who have easy temperament. As a result, children with difficult temperament may subsequently develop eating behaviors that are responsive to emotional triggers rather than to hunger and satiety cues. Information about these pathways would be useful for clinicians if child temperament were found to interact with parent feeding and child eating behaviors that affect obesity risk (Agras et al., 2004; Farrow, Galloway & Fraser, 2009; Haycraft, Farrow, Meyer, Powell & Blissett, 2011; Ventura & Birch, 2008).

Other research related to parent feeding practices indicates that controlling feeding practices may interfere with the natural development of child eating behaviors (Horn, Galloway, Webb & Gagnon, 2011). Although parents may manage caloric intake with controlling feeding practices, food restriction may have an unintended effect of promoting eating when children are not hungry (Horn et al., 2011). Other studies suggest that controlling feeding practices may promote healthy eating behaviors (Rhee et al., 2010). More work is needed to understand the specific pathways by which temperament affects weight status and examining these pathways is of practical importance for intervention design (Anzman-Frasca et al., 2012; Anzman-Frasca et al., 2014).

The objective of this paper is to (1) evaluate whether child temperament is related to child overweight, (2) examine whether child eating behaviors differ according to temperament, and (3) investigate if parents use similar feeding practices with difficult and easy temperament children. The primary aim of this study is to perform an exploratory analysis (4) to explore whether child temperament modifies the child overweight risk associated with parent feeding practices and with child eating behaviors. Results from this study may provide new information about obesity risk factors modifiable in childhood and could potentially inform the development of future preventive interventions, particularly with respect to parent feeding practices.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design

The Family Meals LIVE! study (FML) is a two-year, mixed-methods, cross-sectional study designed to identify key risk and protective factors for childhood obesity in the home food environment (Berge et al., 2014). FML recruited children (N = 120) ages 6–12 years and their families from four primary care clinics primarily serving diverse and low-income families in the Minneapolis/St. Paul metropolitan area between 2012 and 2013. Recruitment was stratified by child weight status defined as (a) nonoverweight: >5th body mass index (BMI) percentile < 85th BMI percentile, and (b) overweight/obese: ≥85th percentile (Berge et al., 2015; Fulkerson, Neumark-Sztainer, Hannan & Story, 2008). BMI percentiles were calculated using CDC guidelines (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2000)

Families participated in two home visits, two weeks apart. Data collected at home visits included anthropometric data on all family members, child 24-h dietary recalls, a home food inventory, parent qualitative interview, and child and parent surveys. In between home visits, participants were provided an iPad and asked to video record eight days of family dinner meals.

Heights and weights were taken in the first home visit by trained researchers following standardized procedures (Lohman, Roche & Martorell, 1988). Feeding practices and child eating behaviors were assessed by a quantitative survey, which was completed by the primary caregiver at the second home visit. The survey was developed for FML and was guided by Family Systems Theory. It used standardized measures identified by in-depth literature review and by examining other pre-existing validated instruments and surveys. The research team and other experts in the fields of family relations and nutrition reviewed the survey to ensure content validity. Comprehensive study procedures have been previously documented (Berge et al., 2014).

2.2. Measures

Temperament was operationalized based on nine questions drawn from the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) (Goodman, 1997) to assess individual reactivity and self-regulation in children. Component items were reverse coded where appropriate to reflect a difficult temperament scale. Items were selected to characterize low self-regulation and negative reactivity. Parents rated their children on a scale of “(1) Not true, (2) Somewhat true, and (3) Certainly true.” The temperament scale measure was constructed as an average across the nine temperament trait questions (min/max: 1–5), and tests of internal consistency indicate good reliability of the measure (α: 0.80). Children receiving an average score of two or higher on the scale measure were categorized as having a difficult temperament. All other children receiving a mean score below two were placed in the referent category representing easy temperament.

Subscales were created to represent the average scale response for parent feeding practices (restriction α: 0.84, pressure to eat α: 0.68, and monitoring α: 0.89), parent feeding style (encouragement, α: 0.76) and child eating behaviors (emotional eating α: 0.72, food responsiveness α: 0.68, and food fussiness α: 0.63) and were assessed using items drawn from previously validated scales, including the Child Feeding Questionnaire (CFQ), Parent Feeding Style Questionnaire (PFFSQ), and the Child Eating Behavior Questionnaire (CEBQ) (Birch et al., 2001; Carnell & Wardle, 2007; Wardle, Guthrie, Sanderson & Rapoport, 2001).

Scale representations were evaluated as indicator categorized “high” and “low” at the median value for the interaction analysis.

Height was assessed to the nearest 0.1 cm using a stadiometer and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated scale. To ensure inter-rater reliability, both measures were taken twice, and agreement of less than 1 cm for height and 0.5 kg for weight was required. Body mass index (BMI) percentiles were calculated using CDC guidelines (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2000).

2.3. Statistical analysis

Generalized linear models were used to assess the relationship between explanatory variables and dichotomous child overweight status. All analyses are adjusted for child sex, child gender, and parent race status. Binomial variance family and identity links were used to produce risk difference estimates for dichotomous outcomes. For ordinal outcomes, Gaussian variance family and agreement of less than 1 cm for height and 0.5 kg for weight was required. Body mass index (BMI) percentiles were calculated using CDC guidelines (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2000)
identity links were used. Sampling weights were applied to produce estimates that were generalizable to the clinic level population. Analyses were performed in Stata 13.1 SE (StataCorp, College Station, TX 77845).

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Demographic characteristics of the sample population are presented in Table 1. Families were predominantly African-American and nearly all caregivers were female. The sample of children was evenly split on sex, and the children were on average 9 years old (SD = 2). Parents provided information on household characteristics including employment status and household income. Thirty-one percent of caregivers reported working full time, 18% reported working part time, and 15% reported that they were home caregivers. Sixteen percent reported that they were unemployed and seeking work and 20% reported that they were not currently working. Fifty-one percent of households earned <$20,000 annually, and 16% reported income of $50,000 or more.

3.2. Descriptive results

Mean temperament score was 1.6 (SD = 0.4; min: 1 and max: 2.6; possible range: 1–3) and the prevalence of difficult temperament was 28% (n = 33). Among children with difficult temperament, 55% (n = 18) were overweight and 45% (n = 15) were non-overweight. Of the children with an easy temperament, 48% (n = 42) were overweight and 52% (n = 45) were non-overweight.

3.3. Associations between child temperament, parent feeding practices and childhood overweight and obesity

Results showed that difficult temperament was not independently associated with child overweight (p = 0.92) after controlling for child sex, child gender, and parent race. Additionally, mean differences in child eating behaviors and parent feeding practices for difficult and easy temperament children were examined (Table 2). Difficult and easy temperament children did not differ statistically on child eating and parent feeding practices (p > 0.05).

3.4. Evaluation of interaction

In an exploratory analysis, temperament was evaluated as an effect measure modifier to determine if the association between parent feeding style and child overweight and child eating behaviors and child overweight differed within strata of difficult or easy temperament. Statistically significant interactions are presented in Table 3. The overweight risk associated with food fussiness (p-interaction < 0.05) and emotional eating (p-interaction < 0.02) was found to differ by child temperament status. Overweight risk associated with parent feeding practices was not found to statistically differ by temperament status.

Evidence of a temperament interaction was found for two child eating behaviors: food fussiness and emotional eating. First, among difficult temperament children, the risk of overweight was –0.38 lower for fussy eaters (i.e., picky eaters) compared to less fussy eaters (95% CI: –0.73, –0.04). Among easy temperament children, the risk of overweight was 0.07 higher for fussy eaters compared to less fussy eaters (95% CI: –0.25, 0.41). Similarly, within the stratum of fussy eaters, difficult temperament children had –0.29 lower risk of overweight compared to easy temperament children (95% CI: –0.67, 0.08). Within the less fussy eater stratum, the risk of overweight was 0.16 higher among difficult temperament children compared to easy temperament children (95% CI: –0.13, 0.46). Thus, overweight risk associated with food fussiness was found to depend on child temperament. Last, among difficult temperament children, the risk of overweight was 0.48 higher for high emotional eaters compared to low emotional eaters (95% CI: 0.12, 0.82). Among easy temperament children, the risk of overweight was –0.11 lower for emotional eaters compared to low emotional eaters (95% CI: –0.38, 0.17). Within the stratum of emotional eaters, difficult temperament children had 0.32 greater risk of overweight compared to easy temperament children (95% CI: –0.09, 0.71). Within the low emotional eater stratum, the risk of overweight was –0.27 lower among difficult temperament children compared to easy temperament children (95% CI: –0.54, 0.01). Thus, emotional eating was found to be an overweight risk factor for difficult temperament children, however it was a protective factor for easy temperament children.

4. Discussion

Child temperament may play a complex role in obesity outcomes as other research has suggested (Zeller, Boles & Reiter-Purtill, 2008). An important finding in the current study was that the risk of overweight due to child eating behaviors (emotional eating and food fussiness) might depend on a child’s temperament. These two eating behaviors were found to be relevant for future study.

First, the risk of overweight associated with emotional eating (i.e., eating more due to worry or irritation) was higher among difficult temperament children compared to easy temperament children. This finding may support a view that certain contexts may affect difficult temperament children who are emotional eaters more than easy temperament children. In other words, overweight risk corresponding to environmental triggers (e.g., interpersonal relationships (Eisenberg, Neumark-Sztainer, Story & Perry, 2005; Lieberman, Gauvin, Bukowski & White, 2001) or salient foods (Wansink, 2004)) might differentially affect difficult and easy temperament children. Difficult temperament, emotional eaters may be less self-regulated than easy temperament, emotional eaters (Rothbart & Bates, 2006). In the presence of low nutrient density foods (i.e., salient foods (Wansink,
interaction are significant at risk difference. Low emotional eating 0.31 0.58 –0.27 (–0.54, 0.01) overweight for difficult temperament children but negatively associated with overweight risk for easy temperament children. Interpretation Example:

High emotional eating 0.79 0.47 0.32 (–0.09, 0.71)

High food fussiness 0.28 0.57 –0.29 (–0.67, 0.08)

Low food fussiness 0.06 0.50 0.16 (–0.13, 0.46)

Risk difference –0.38 (–0.73, –0.04) 0.07 (–0.25, 0.41)

High emotional eating 0.79 0.47 0.32 (–0.09, 0.71)

Low emotional eating 0.31 0.58 –0.27 (–0.54, 0.01)

Risk difference 0.48 (0.12, 0.82) –0.11 (–0.38, 0.17)

Table 2. Adjusted temperament association with child eating behaviors and parent feeding practices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperament (difficult/easy reference)</th>
<th>Mean scale response (% of scale range)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child eating behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional eating</td>
<td>0.09 (2%)</td>
<td>(–0.23, 0.41)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food responsiveness</td>
<td>0.45 (11%)</td>
<td>(–0.10, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food fussiness</td>
<td>–0.34 (–9%)</td>
<td>(–0.72, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent feeding practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction</td>
<td>0.18 (5%)</td>
<td>(–0.40, 0.77)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure to eat</td>
<td>0.33 (8%)</td>
<td>(–0.27, 0.93)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>–0.31 (–8%)</td>
<td>(–0.87, 0.25)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encouragement</td>
<td>–0.42 (–11%)</td>
<td>(–0.96, 0.12)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models are adjusted for child sex, child gender, and parent race. Interpretation example: Parents provided information about their child’s temperament and eating behaviors. The adjusted child mean food responsiveness was 0.45 higher, corresponding to 11% of the scale range, for difficult temperament children compared to easy temperament children (95% CI: –0.10, 1.00; p = 0.11).

Table 3. Interaction tests: stratified overweight risk differences (RD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child eating behaviors</th>
<th>Difficult temperament</th>
<th>Easy temperament</th>
<th>Risk difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High food fussiness</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>–0.29 (–0.67, 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low food fussiness</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.16 (–0.13, 0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference</td>
<td>–0.38 (–0.73, –0.04)</td>
<td>0.07 (–0.25, 0.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High emotional eating</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.32 (–0.09, 0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low emotional eating</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.27 (–0.54, 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk difference</td>
<td>0.48 (0.12, 0.82)</td>
<td>–0.11 (–0.38, 0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interactions are significant at p < 0.05. Models are adjusted for child sex, child gender, and parent race. Interpretation Example: Tests of interaction indicated that the risk of child overweight differed by difficult temperament and easy temperament for two child eating behaviors (emotional eating and food fussiness, p < 0.05). The effect of food fussiness decreased the risk of overweight for difficult temperament children but increased overweight risk for easy temperament children. Emotional eating was positively associated with the risk of overweight for difficult temperament children but negatively associated with overweight risk for easy temperament children.
be a limitation as the temperament scale measure was created using the SDQ in the absence of the widely used Child Behavior Questionnaire (Putnam & Rothbart, 2006). These were exploratory analyses and replication is needed in better-powered studies, and interpretation of point estimates is recommended for hypothesis-generation. Similarly, failure to find statistically significant findings may be due to sample size. For example, we were surprised that overweight risk associated with restrictive feeding practices (and other parent feeding practices) did not differ by temperament status, particularly because restrictive feeding practices have been proposed to deregulate formation of healthy eating behaviors (Fisher & Birch, 1999; Fisher & Birch, 2000). Other research has theorized that exposure to restrictive feeding environments disrupts formation of healthy eating habits by failing to foster self-regulation in children (Birch et al., 2003). Children with difficult temperament have, by definition, lower levels of self-regulation. We expected that individual differences in self-regulation due to temperament and the combination of restrictive feeding practices would be particularly detrimental for promoting healthy weight for children with difficult temperament. Future studies with more power may elucidate whether temperament interacts along the pathways examined here. Longitudinal studies are needed to measure childhood exposures and to capture the formation of early eating behaviors and related parent feeding practices into middle childhood. Experimental designs may provide the most convincing evidence that parent feeding practices and child eating behaviors could give rise to child overweight with regard to individual differences in temperament and the eliciting context of the food environment.

5. Conclusion

This exploratory analysis provides evidence that difficult temperament may synergistically increase the risk of overweight among emotional eaters. Difficult temperament may also decrease overweight risk for fussy eaters. Temperament interactions with eating behaviors provide new information that overweight risk may depend on individual differences that could confer greater or lower risk in obeseogenic environments. Tailoring parent level interventions to child temperament or modifying environments to elicit less reactive eating responses when individual characteristics are difficult to modify may be effective in lowering risk of child overweight.
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What’s known on this subject

A growing body of evidence has identified difficult temperament as a risk factor for child overweight. Few studies have evaluated whether temperament modifies the overweight risk associated with parent feeding practices and child eating behaviors.

What this study adds

Difficult temperament was found to synergistically increase the risk of overweight among emotional eaters. Difficult temperament was also found to reduce the risk of overweight for fussy eaters.
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