

2012

Assessment of Management to Mitigate Anthropogenic Effects on Large Whales

Julie M. Van Der Hoop

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, jvanderhoop@whoi.edu

Michael J. Moore

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, mmoore@whoi.edu

Susan G. Barco

Virginia Aquarium & Marine Science Center, SGBarco@VirginiaAquarium.com

Timothy V.N. Cole

NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service

Pierre-Yves Daoust

University of Prince Edward Island, daoust@upe.ca

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdeptcommercepub>

Van Der Hoop, Julie M.; Moore, Michael J.; Barco, Susan G.; Cole, Timothy V.N.; Daoust, Pierre-Yves; Henry, Allison G.; Mcalpine, Donald F.; Mclellan, William A.; Wimmer, Tonya; and Solow, Andrew R., "Assessment of Management to Mitigate Anthropogenic Effects on Large Whales" (2012). *Publications, Agencies and Staff of the U.S. Department of Commerce*. 430.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/usdeptcommercepub/430>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the U.S. Department of Commerce at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications, Agencies and Staff of the U.S. Department of Commerce by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Julie M. Van Der Hoop, Michael J. Moore, Susan G. Barco, Timothy V.N. Cole, Pierre-Yves Daoust, Allison G. Henry, Donald F. Mcalpine, William A. Mclellan, Tonya Wimmer, and Andrew R. Solow



Assessment of Management to Mitigate Anthropogenic Effects on Large Whales

JULIE M. VAN DER HOOP,^{*†} MICHAEL J. MOORE,^{*} SUSAN G. BARCO,[‡] TIMOTHY V.N. COLE,[§] PIERRE-YVES DAOUST,^{**} ALLISON G. HENRY,[§] DONALD F. MCALPINE,^{††} WILLIAM A. MCLELLAN,^{‡‡} TONYA WIMMER,^{§§} AND ANDREW R. SOLOW^{***}

^{*}Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, U.S.A.

[‡]Virginia Aquarium & Marine Science Center, Research & Conservation Division, Virginia Beach, VA 23451, U.S.A.

[§]NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service, NEFSC, Woods Hole, MA 02543, U.S.A.

^{**}Department of Pathology & Microbiology, Canadian Cooperative Wildlife Health Centre, Atlantic Veterinary College, University of Prince Edward Island, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island C1A 4P3, Canada

^{††}New Brunswick Museum, Saint John, New Brunswick E2K 1E5, Canada

^{‡‡}Biology and Marine Biology, University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, NC 28403, U.S.A.

^{§§}Marine Animal Response Society, Nova Scotia Museum, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J1, Canada

^{***}Marine Policy Center, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA 02543, U.S.A.

Abstract: *United States and Canadian governments have responded to legal requirements to reduce human-induced whale mortality via vessel strikes and entanglement in fishing gear by implementing a suite of regulatory actions. We analyzed the spatial and temporal patterns of mortality of large whales in the Northwest Atlantic (23.5°N to 48.0°N), 1970 through 2009, in the context of management changes. We used a multinomial logistic model fitted by maximum likelihood to detect trends in cause-specific mortalities with time. We compared the number of human-caused mortalities with U.S. federally established levels of potential biological removal (i.e., species-specific sustainable human-caused mortality). From 1970 through 2009, 1762 mortalities (all known) and serious injuries (likely fatal) involved 8 species of large whales. We determined cause of death for 43% of all mortalities; of those, 67% (502) resulted from human interactions. Entanglement in fishing gear was the primary cause of death across all species (n = 323), followed by natural causes (n = 248) and vessel strikes (n = 171). Established sustainable levels of mortality were consistently exceeded in 2 species by up to 650%. Probabilities of entanglement and vessel-strike mortality increased significantly from 1990 through 2009. There was no significant change in the local intensity of all or vessel-strike mortalities before and after 2003, the year after which numerous mitigation efforts were enacted. So far, regulatory efforts have not reduced the lethal effects of human activities to large whales on a population-range basis, although we do not exclude the possibility of success of targeted measures for specific local habitats that were not within the resolution of our analyses. It is unclear how shortfalls in management design or compliance relate to our findings. Analyses such as the one we conducted are crucial in critically evaluating wildlife-management decisions. The results of these analyses can provide managers with direction for modifying regulated measures and can be applied globally to mortality-driven conservation issues.*

Keywords: entanglement, evaluation of management/mitigation efforts, human-interaction, large whales, mortality, necropsy, vessel-strike

Evaluación del Manejo para Mitigar Efectos Antropogénicos sobre Ballenas Mayores

Re-use of this article is permitted in accordance with the Terms and Conditions set out at http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/onlineopen#OnlineOpen_Terms

†email jvanderhoop@whoi.edu

Paper submitted January 19, 2012; revised manuscript accepted May 26, 2012.

Resumen: Los gobiernos de Estados Unidos y Canadá han respondido a requerimientos legales para reducir la mortalidad de ballenas inducida por humanos por medio de impacto con embarcaciones y enmarañamiento en artes de pesca mediante la implementación de un conjunto de acciones reguladoras. Analizamos los patrones espaciales y temporales de la mortalidad de ballenas mayores en el Atlántico Noroccidental (23.5°N a 48.0°N), de 1970 a 2009, en el contexto de cambios de manejo. Utilizamos un modelo logístico multinomial ajustado por la máxima probabilidad de detección de tendencias en mortalidades por causa específica en el tiempo. Comparamos el número de muertes provocadas por humanos con los niveles de remoción biológica potencial (i.e., mortalidad específica provocada por humanos sustentable). De 1970 a 2009, hubo 1762 muertes (conocidas) y lesiones serias (casi fatales) involucrando 8 especies de ballenas mayores. Determinamos la causa de 43% de todas las muertes; de ellas, 67% (502) resultaron de interacciones humanas. El enmarañamiento en artes de pesca fue la causa principal de muerte en todas las especies ($n = 323$), seguida de causas naturales ($n = 248$) e impacto de embarcaciones ($n = 171$). Los niveles sustentables de mortalidad establecidos fueron excedidos consistentemente hasta en 650% en 2 especies. Las probabilidades de muerte por enmarañamiento y por impacto de embarcaciones incrementaron significativamente de 1990 a 2009. No hubo cambio significativo en la intensidad local de mortalidad por todas las causas o por impacto de embarcaciones antes y después de 2003, año en el que se implementaron numerosos esfuerzos de mitigación. Hasta ahora, los esfuerzos regulatorios no han reducido los efectos letales de las actividades humanas sobre las ballenas a nivel de población, aunque no excluimos la posibilidad de éxito de medidas enfocadas a hábitats locales específicos que no estuvieron dentro de la resolución de nuestro análisis. No es claro como se relacionan con nuestros resultados las deficiencias en el diseño o implementación del manejo. Análisis como el que realizamos son cruciales para la evaluación crítica de decisiones para el manejo de vida silvestre, y los resultados de estos análisis pueden proporcionar directrices a los manejadores para que modifiquen medidas regulatorias y puedan ser aplicadas globalmente en temas de conservación relacionadas con mortalidad.

Palabras Clave: Ballenas mayores, enmarañamiento, evaluación de esfuerzos de manejo/mitigación, impacto con embarcaciones, interacción humana, mortalidad, necropsia

Introduction

Six of 8 populations of large whales in the Northwest Atlantic are endangered or of special concern under existing U.S. and Canadian legislation (Table 1). Yet, many of these managed populations have not recovered (Clapham et al. 1999; Fujiwara & Caswell 2001) and have high mortality rates, especially from vessel strikes and entanglement in fishing gear (Volgenau et al. 1995; Laist et al. 2001).

All species of large whales appear to be susceptible to vessel strikes, although high encounter probability suggests mortality may be more prevalent in species occupying areas with higher levels of vessel traffic (van der Hoop et al. 2012). Fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*) are the most commonly reported species (29.2%) in the current global vessel-strike data set maintained by the International Whaling Commission, followed by humpback (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) (21.2%) and North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) (hereafter right whales) (16.0%) (Van Waerebeek & Leaper 2008). However, when placed in context of population size, right whales are 2 orders of magnitude more prone to vessel strikes (Vanderlaan & Taggart 2007).

Results of analyses of scar occurrence on whales indicate 82% of right whales and 48-57% of humpback whales in the Gulf of Maine have had at least one previous entanglement in fishing gear (Robbins & Mattila 2004; Knowlton et al. 2011). Entanglement of large whales may result in death of individuals anchored in gear or individuals

breaking free either cleanly or carrying all or a portion of the entangling lines (Clapham et al. 1999). Trailing gear can create severe drag and may inhibit foraging ability and thus lead to starvation. A whale can remain entangled for months to years (Moore et al. 2006; NARWC 2011).

The governments of Canada and the United States are required by law to reduce human-induced mortality by vessel strikes and entanglement in fishing gear to assist species recovery (NOAA 1999; NMFS 2005; Brown et al. 2009). Numerous mitigation efforts have been implemented at state and federal levels (Supporting Information), and some federal efforts have been adopted by international agencies (e.g., International Maritime Organization [IMO]).

Management efforts to mitigate anthropogenic effects on endangered species require ongoing assessment. Current tools to assess the efficacy of such actions are limited (Pace 2011), and the effects of management should be reflected in reduced numbers and causes of mortalities over time. Although many management plans have been developed and implemented specifically for right whales, it is often, perhaps incorrectly, assumed that other large whale species will also benefit. We included 8 species of large whales in our analyses because sample size limits determination of success of a given management action to right whales alone. Although these species all inhabit the study area for all or a portion of their lives, they exhibit ecological differences. Management actions aimed at one species may not provide similar benefits to other

Table 1. Population status, listing date, and current potential biological removal (PBR) (calculated for transborder species range estimates) for large whale species inhabiting coastal eastern North America (PBR values from Waring et al. 2011).

<i>Common and scientific name</i>	<i>Population status in Canada (authority, listing year)^a</i>	<i>Population status in United States (authority, listing year)^b</i>	<i>Minimum population estimate (stock, year)</i>	<i>Current PBR (stock, year)</i>
Blue whale <i>Balaenoptera musculus</i>	Endangered (SARA, 2005)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	440 (2009)	0.9 (2010)
Bryde's whale <i>Balaenoptera edeni</i>	Not assessed	Not listed (data deficient)	5 (Gulf of Mexico stock, 2007)	0.1 (2009)
Fin whale <i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>	Special concern (SARA, 2006)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	3269 (2007)	6.5 (2010)
Humpback whale <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	Not at risk ^d (COSEWIC, 2003)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	549 ^c (2006)	1.1 (2010)
Minke whale <i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i>	Not at risk ^d (COSEWIC, 2006)	Not listed	6909 (2007)	69 (2010)
North Atlantic right whale <i>Eubalaena glacialis</i>	Endangered (SARA, 2005)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	461 (2009)	0.7 (2010)
Sei whale <i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>	Data deficient ^d (COSEWIC, 2003)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	208 (2004)	0.4 (2010)
Sperm whale <i>Physeter macrocephalus</i>	Not at risk ^d (COSEWIC, 1996)	Endangered (ESA, 1970)	3539 (Atlantic, 2004) 1409 (Gulf of Mexico, 2003–2004)	7.1 (Atlantic, 2007) 2.8 (Gulf of Mexico, 2010)

^aSpecies at Risk Act (SARA) and Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC).

^bUnited States Endangered Species Act (ESA).

^cAs is assumed for analyses conducted by federal agencies (e.g., Glass et al. 2010), we assumed all humpbacks occurring in or near U.S. and southeast Canadian waters involved the Gulf of Maine stock.

^dPopulations assessed by COSEWIC as not at risk or data deficient are not required to be considered for listing under SARA.

species. Similarly, a substantial reduction in mortality of other, nontarget large whales does not mean mortality of the target species is reduced.

Data compiled from observed strandings and sightings of dead or seriously injured whales in Canada and the United States provide a sample with which to study whale mortality. Data collected in over 60 countries (IWC 2011) is available and can be used to evaluate changes in relative spatial and temporal patterns of whale mortality following major regulatory and management changes; assess the sustainability of takes of specific endangered species compared with federally established values; and identify species, regions, or age classes specifically at risk.

We summarized all known mortalities and serious injuries (defined below) to 8 species of large whales along the U.S. East Coast and Canadian Maritimes from 1970 through 2009 and examined their spatial, temporal, and cause-specific trends to determine whether mitigation has been successful in reducing human-induced mortality to these species and to inform future regulations.

Methods

Data Acquisition

We compiled reports of large-whale strandings, mortalities, and necropsies that occurred between 23.5°N and 48.0°N latitude from the coast to continental shelf, from 1970 through 2009. We obtained Canadian records from the Marine Animal Response Network of Atlantic Canada (Nemiroff et al. 2010) and American records from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Southeast and Northeast US Marine Mammal Stranding Network Databases, to which all strandings and sightings are reported through local response programs; NOAA Northeast Science Fisheries Center; NOAA National Marine Mammal Health and Stranding Response National Database; North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium Database; and Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History Division of Mammals Collections Database. We completed data management and archiving in Microsoft Access.

From these records, we compiled field identification number(s), taxon, date, location, sex, length, and presumed cause of death. We left fields blank if information was missing. Species identification was provided in initial reports.

We standardized total length measurements to centimeters and latitude and longitude coordinates (where an animal was first reported dead) to decimal degrees. If absent (104 cases, 5.9%), we estimated coordinates from locations provided (e.g., 3 miles south of Ocracoke Inlet, North Carolina).

We assigned age class by species-specific age-length relations (Kraus 1985; Geraci & Lounsbury 2005) unless age was known from catalogue identification or maturity confirmed by evidence of pregnancy. Due to sexual dimorphism, we did not assign age class to sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*) of unknown gender between 900 and 1500 cm and excluded these records from age-related analyses.

To integrate variable uses and interpretations of cause of death, we accepted the most likely scenario as the presumed cause of death. We used histopathological and gross necropsy evidence to determine whether human interaction was a causal factor of death (Geraci & Lounsbury 2005). We categorized living whales as having a serious injury if the injury would “likely result in mortality” (NOAA 2011) attributable to either entanglement or vessel strike on the basis of an additional set of criteria (e.g., fishing line constricted any body part or was likely to become constricting as the whale grew) (Glass et al. 2010). Thus, we considered a serious injury ($n = 117$) a mortality. We categorized cause of death as entanglement, vessel strike, other human cause (gunshot wounds, marine debris), nonhuman cause (complications at birth, parasitic infection, live stranding), and undetermined (due to decomposition, an inaccessible carcass, or where no necropsy data were provided to indicate cause of death).

We identified duplicate records and consolidated relevant information from each contributing source to produce one complete record. When we found discrepancies (e.g., mismatched dates of sighting) in overlapping data sets, we consulted original reports. We removed reports of live animals successfully disentangled or returned to sea when these events did not qualify as serious injuries.

Statistical Analyses

Potential biological removal (PBR) is a measure of sustainable human-caused mortality to a species (Wade 1998) and is calculated as

$$\text{PBR} = N_{\min} \frac{1}{2} R_{\max} F_r, \quad (1)$$

where R_{\max} is the maximum theoretical or estimated net productivity rate, F_r is a recovery factor between 0.1 and 1.0, and N_{\min} , the minimum population estimate, which

is calculated as

$$N_{\min} = N / \exp \left(0.842 \sqrt{\log(1 + CV^2)} \right), \quad (2)$$

where the population estimate N has a corresponding coefficient of variation (CV). Since 1995, PBR has been used to calculate the species-specific level below which human-induced mortality must be reduced. By definition, PBR also provides a measure of population abundance. To assess the sustainability of the level of human-caused mortality to populations of large whales, we followed a method similar to that of US federal agencies (e.g., Glass et al. 2010) in which we compared the number of human-caused mortalities (cause of death entanglement, vessel strike, and other human cause) per species per year with the calculated species-specific PBR for those years (NMFS 2012). We applied this method for years following the initial calculation of PBR for all stocks in 1995 (2000 for Gulf of Maine humpback whales due to management unit redefinition). We excluded blue (*Balaenoptera musculus*) and sei (*Balaenoptera borealis*) whales because PBR was poorly and inconsistently established for these species over the study period due to insufficient stock-assessment data. We included mortalities in US and Canadian waters because the population ranges over which abundance estimates are calculated span US and Canadian waters. As is assumed for similar comparisons of human-caused mortalities to PBR levels conducted by federal agencies (Glass et al. 2010), we assumed all humpback events occurring in or near US and southeast Canadian waters involved the Gulf of Maine stock.

We used chi-square tests to determine departures from expected mortality events for sex, age class, and cause of death and 2-way contingency tables and associated Pearson's chi-square tests to assess changes in the expected proportions of mortalities observed in US and Canadian waters between 2 nonoverlapping periods (representing different levels of mitigation effort): 1 April 1990–31 March 2003 and 1 April 2003–31 December 2009. We chose the month of April to reflect seasonality in the geographical distribution of whale populations as a whole and the year 2003 because numerous mitigation actions were taken in 2002 to address concerns about mortality of large whales from entanglement and vessel strikes (Supporting Information).

We categorized carcasses as stranded or floating to reduce the effect of variable effort in at-sea carcass detection. We defined mortality events within 5.56 km (equal to 3 nautical miles, i.e., state waters of the United States) of the smoothed coastline as stranded ($n = 894$) because these carcasses were most likely to land within their specific geographic location and events farther offshore as floating ($n = 868$) because their coastal geographic location could not be similarly assumed or used in coastal distribution analyses. We calculated the coordinates of each stranded event in ArcGIS 9.2 (ESRI 2006) as a

distance along the coastline from the U.S.-Mexico border (26.0°N) to Escuminac, New Brunswick (47.05°N), and smoothed embayments 24.6 km across or less between the north and south points of entrance to reduce coastline complexity.

To assess the significance of changes in the geographical distribution of mortality following extensive management changes, reflected in the location along the coast of stranded cases, we used a Cramér-von Mises test with randomization (Zhang & Wu 2007). We used linear regression on the proportion of cases where necropsies had been performed and for which cause of death had been determined to identify changes in effort over the study period.

To address changes in the rate of mortalities attributed to specific causes, we used maximum likelihood to fit a multinomial logistic model. For reference, subscripts refer to *e*, entanglement; *v*, vessel strike; *o*, other known causes; *s*, stranded; *f*, floating. $\mathbf{N}_s(\mathbf{t}) = [N_{es}(t), N_{vs}(t), N_{os}(t)]$ was the vector of counts of stranded events in year *t* attributable to entanglement, vessel strike, and other known causes (other and non-human). The corresponding total number of stranded events (M_s) with an attributable cause of death was $M_s(t) = N_{es}(t) + N_{vs}(t) + N_{os}(t)$. Similarly, $\mathbf{N}_f(\mathbf{t}) = [N_{ef}(t), N_{vf}(t), N_{of}(t)]$ was the vector of counts of floating events in year *t* with total count (M_f) equal to $M_f(t) = N_{ef}(t) + N_{vf}(t) + N_{of}(t)$. The basic statistical model was that, conditional on the observed value $m_s(t)$ of $M_s(t)$, $N_s(t)$ had a multinomial distribution with $m_s(t)$ trials and probability vector for stranded events (\mathbf{p}_s) equal to $\mathbf{p}_s(\mathbf{t}) = [p_{es}(t), p_{vs}(t), p_{os}(t)]$. Similarly, we assumed, conditional on the observed value $m_f(t)$ of $M_f(t)$, that $N_f(t)$ had a multinomial distribution with $m_f(t)$ trials and probability vector for floating events (\mathbf{p}_f) equal to $\mathbf{p}_f(\mathbf{t}) = [p_{ef}(t), p_{vf}(t), p_{of}(t)]$.

To test for a trend over time in probability vectors for stranded and floating events, $p_s(t)$ and $p_f(t)$ respectively, we adopted a multinomial logistic model (Hosmer & Lemeshow 2000) that allowed for a linear trend in time:

$$\log \frac{p_{es}(t)}{p_{os}(t)} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t \quad (3)$$

and

$$\log \frac{p_{vs}(t)}{p_{os}(t)} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t. \quad (4)$$

With inverse transformation

$$p_{es}(t) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 t)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 t) + \exp(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t)}, \quad (5)$$

$$p_{vs}(t) = \frac{\exp(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 t) + \exp(\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t)}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$p_{os}(t) = 1 - p_{es}(t) - p_{vs}(t). \quad (7)$$

Although we could not assume that $p_s(t) = p_f(t)$, we assumed the trend parameters (β_1 and γ_1) in the 2 data sets were the same, so that

$$\log \frac{p_{ef}(t)}{p_{of}(t)} = \beta_2 + \beta_1 t \quad (8)$$

and

$$\log \frac{p_{vf}(t)}{p_{of}(t)} = \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 t. \quad (9)$$

We used likelihood ratio to test the null hypothesis ($\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$) of no change over time in mortality rates against the general alternative hypothesis.

Results

Mortality Events

Over 40 years (1970–2009 inclusive), at least 1762 confirmed mortalities involved 8 species of large whales (Fig. 1a). Fourteen mortalities (0.8%) involved 2 or more individuals (maximum 12 individuals). These cases of multiple mortalities included a mass stranding of 11 sperm whales (1980), a presumed saxitoxin poisoning event of humpbacks in 1987 (Geraci et al. 1989), and a multispecies event in 2003 (Cassoff et al. 2011).

Individuals were identified to species in 1472 (85%) cases. Mortality reports involved all species of large whales found in the Northwest Atlantic except the bowhead whale (*Balaena mysticetus*) (Table 2). Cause of death was determined for 750 individuals (42.6%) (Table 2), 66.9% of which resulted from interaction with human activities. Necropsies were performed on 478 individuals (27.1%); cause of death was determined for 74.3% of these cases. The leading cause of death for all species combined was entanglement in fishing gear ($n = 323$) (Fig. 1b), followed by nonhuman causes ($n = 248$) and vessel strikes ($n = 171$) (Fig. 1c). Entanglement was the leading presumed cause of death for humpback, minke (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), and unidentified whales; vessel strike was the leading cause for fin and right whales; and nonhuman causes were the leading cause for sperm whales ($\chi^2 > 22.9$, $p < 0.001$ for all). Although not statistically significant, sei whales died more often than expected by vessel strike, and Bryde's (*Balaenoptera edeni*) whales died more often than expected due to nonhuman causes (Table 2) ($\chi^2 = 8.94$, $p = 0.063$; $\chi^2 = 2.08$, $p = 0.721$, respectively).

Over the study period, 473 humpback whales (the greatest proportion, 32%) and 122 right whales died. Human-caused mortalities of these species exceeded their PBRs by an average of 6.4 (SD 3.5) and 3.1 (0.5) individuals/year (579% and 650%), respectively (Table 2 & Fig. 2). For these 2 species, PBR was exceeded in all years except one. Entanglement mortalities alone




Figure 1. Locations of all (a) observed mortalities and serious injuries of large whales in the Northwest Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico from 1970 through 2009 and locations of mortalities attributed to (b) entanglement and (c) vessel strike. Events located inland occur in river inlets.

exceeded PBR for humpback and right whales by an average of 4.6 (SD 2.7) and 1.5 (1.4) individuals/year (430% and 300%), respectively. On average the annual observed human-caused mortality of Bryde's, minke, fin, and sperm whales was below PBR.

Sex, which was determined for 783 individuals (44.4%) (Supporting Information), differed from parity only for minke whales ($\chi^2 = 6.49$, $p = 0.011$) for which there was significantly higher female mortality. Significantly higher female mortality also occurred in right whales (total mortality: 46 females vs. 39 males - $\chi^2 = 5.12$, $p = 0.024$; entanglement, vessel-strike, other human causes: $n = 32$ females vs. 19 males - $\chi^2 = 9.01$ $p = 0.003$) (population 58% male [NARWC 2011]).

Age class (calf, subadult, adult) was estimated for 1164 individuals (66.1%). Subadults had the highest mortality ($n = 602$) across all causes of death, followed by calves ($n = 346$) and then adults ($n = 216$). Adult females and subadult males had significantly greater mortality than adult males and subadult females in humpback and sperm whales ($\chi^2 = 7.83$, 27.3; $p = 0.020$, < 0.001 , respectively). Right whales had significantly higher male calf

mortality and female subadult and adult mortality than female calves and subadult males ($\chi^2 = 7.28$, $p = 0.026$). Cause of death differed significantly across age classes ($\chi^2 = 29.5$, $p < 0.001$). Calves died significantly more often from non-human causes ($n = 98$), subadults significantly more often by vessel strike ($n = 97$), and adults significantly more often by entanglement ($n = 35$) than expected (Supporting Information).

Pregnancy was detected in 13 females: 5 minke, 3 right, 2 fin, 2 sperm, and 1 sei. Cause of death was determined for 8 of these animals. Vessel strike was the leading cause ($n = 4$), followed by entanglement ($n = 2$) and nonhuman causes ($n = 2$; 1 presumed saxitoxin, 1 mass-stranding).

Temporal and Spatial Trends

Maximum likelihood estimates were $\hat{\beta}_0 = -0.51$, $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.040$, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.68$, $\hat{\gamma}_0 = -1.21$, $\hat{\gamma}_1 = 0.042$, $\hat{\gamma}_2 = -0.11$. Positive slope parameters (β_1 and γ_1) indicated increasing probability vectors for entanglement and vessel-strike mortalities over time for both stranded and floating carcasses (Fig. 3).




Figure 2. Annual potential biological removal (PBR), a species-specific measure of sustainable human-caused mortality (dotted line) and human-induced (HI) mortality (solid line) for (a) Bryde's, (b) fin, (c) humpback, (d) minke, (e) right, and (f) sperm whales.

The proportion of cases for which necropsies were performed decreased slightly since 1970 ($p = 0.024$, $R^2 = 0.007$) (Fig. 4a). On average from 1990–2009 necropsies were performed on 27.4% of cases per year (SD 5.96). The proportion of cases for which cause of death was determined linearly increased ($p = 0.026$, $R^2 = 0.030$; Fig. 4b) from 1970 to 2000, after which it decreased.

Spatial Trends in Mortality

Events were not uniformly distributed. Most mortalities occurred in particular regions (Fig. 1). Smoothed-kernel estimates of mortality density for 1990–2002 and 2003–2009 suggested relative increases in mortality density in South Carolina, Virginia, Massachusetts, and Nova




Figure 3. Annual number of mortalities of large whales (no hatching) and serious injuries (hatched lines) attributed to vessel strike (shaded) and entanglement (unshaded).

Scotia and relative decreases off New York and Prince Edward Island (Fig. 5). Cramér-von Mises tests indicated no significant differences in the spatial distribution of all stranded mortalities ($p = 0.187$) or of vessel strike mortalities ($p = 0.937$) (Fig. 5b) in the United States and Canada before and after 2003; however, entanglement mortalities differed significantly in their distribution before and after 2003 ($p = 0.010$) (Fig. 5a). Proportions of stranded and floating mortalities in US and Canadian waters before and after 2003 do not differ significantly from expected proportions for all causes of death ($\chi^2 = 3.15$, $p = 0.076$) or for determined entanglement ($\chi^2 = 594$, $p = 0.441$) and vessel-strike ($\chi^2 = 0.004$, $p = 0.427$) mortalities.

Discussion

Our results show significantly increasing trends in large whale mortality probabilities associated with entanglement and vessel strikes despite regulatory efforts to reduce these risks. We found 66.9% of mortalities were related to human activities, which consistently exceeds established PBR for right and humpback whales. So far, regulatory efforts have been insufficient to reduce the lethal effects of human activities on large whales on a population-range basis, although we cannot exclude the possibility of success of targeted measures for specific localized areas that were not within the resolution of our analyses. In interpreting the causal factors of these trends, changes in industry effort; fluctuations in population sizes of large whales; changes in effort in stranding response, mortality detection, and large whale forensics; and compliance with regulations must be considered.

Factors other than regulation may affect shipping patterns and densities and may have acted as confounding factors in our analyses. For instance, the economic downturn beginning in late 2007 reduced consumer demand for goods transported by ship and thus the amount of cargo distributed, although levels of domestic shipping remained fairly constant (P. Turner, personal communication). The complexity of the shipping industry makes it difficult to resolve a simple metric of economic effects on spatial and temporal shipping trends, and changes in fishing effort over these spatial and temporal scales are too varied to determine a useable value.

Our results provide minimum estimates of mortality events. Mortality events may have gone undetected or have been insufficiently documented (i.e., no cause of death determined). Despite increased awareness, collaboration, and allocation of resources toward carcass detection and retrieval, a substantial proportion of mortalities are not examined or observed (range: 0–6.2% for Gulf of Mexico species [Williams et al. 2011] to 17–33% for extensively studied right whales [Knowlton & Kraus 2001; Kraus et al. 2005]).

The development of stranding programs and the consistency and quality of event documentation varied over the years of the study. Systematic data collection from events in the United States began in 1972 when the Marine Mammal Protection Act was enacted; response networks in Canada developed more recently (around 1990) (Nemiroff et al. 2010). Standard protocols, diagnostic gross necropsies, and collection of samples for histopathology, microbiology, genetics, and biotoxin analyses (McLellan et al. 2004; Campbell-Malone et al. 2008) have increased.

Variable detection effort inshore versus offshore supports our separation of data into subsets of stranded and




Figure 4. (a,b) Number and proportion of annual mortalities of large whales (grey bars); (a) number and proportion of cases for which necropsies were performed (black bars) and the resulting annual proportion of necropsied cases (black line); and (b) number and proportion of cases in which cause of death was determined (black bars) and the resulting annual proportion of determined cause of death (black line).

floating carcasses. Stranded large whales are unlikely to escape notice and have a higher degree of reporting due to relatively constant public and media interest. Detection of floating carcasses has increased, due to opportunistic reporting by vessel operators and to recent coordination between dedicated surveys and fishery, coast guard and naval agencies. The interest in towing carcasses ashore or to examine them at sea has also increased (beginning in 1986). The proportion of necropsies performed remained relatively stable even though the number of mortalities increased (Fig. 4a). The proportion of cases for which cause of death was determined increased since 1970 (Fig. 4b), although a recent decrease (since 2000) can be attributed to increased detection of floating or inaccessible carcasses to which a cause of death cannot be assigned. We believe carcass examination to

determine the cause of death is crucial to identifying risk factors and management successes. Where possible, a system-wide standard process to acquire data from all species, not just right whales, should exist to increase determination of cause of death.

In cases for which cause of death was determined, human activities were associated with 66.9% of large-whale mortalities; entanglement was the leading cause. Primary cause of death may reflect the relative vulnerability of certain species to specific industries. Fin and right whales are more often struck by vessels (Vanderlaan & Taggart 2007; Van Waerebeek & Leaper 2008), humpback and minke whales are more often fatally entangled (Volgenau et al. 1995; Song et al. 2010), and sperm whale mortalities are primarily natural and associated with live stranding (Lucas & Hooker 2000). We suggest cause-of-death




Figure 5. Smoothed-kernel density estimates of the distance along the coastline of mortality events for stranded large whales due to (a) entanglement and (b) vessel strike from 1990-2002 and 2003-2009, and (horizontal lines at the top of each graph) spatial extent of efforts implemented to address the specific sources of mortality in each period (FL, Florida; NC, North Carolina; NY, New York; MA, Massachusetts; NS, Nova Scotia; PEI, Prince Edward Island).

determinations we examined were biased toward entanglement and nonhuman factors due to the cryptic nature of blunt trauma associated with vessel-strike mortalities.

Entanglement occurs more frequently in juveniles (Lien 1994; Knowlton & Kraus 2001). Adults do become entangled, but it is likely that dying is protracted: individuals may become seriously entangled as subadults and succumb to entanglement injuries as adults. In contrast, death from vessel strikes is relatively instantaneous. Furthermore, detection rates may vary by size or age class; smaller or subadult whales may lack the force required

to dislodge gear and may therefore remain anchored at depth (Lien 1994; Cassoff et al. 2011).

High mortality of adult females and pregnant individuals is problematic for slow-growing and recovering populations. For right whales, the prevention of 2 female mortalities per year has the potential to increase population growth to replacement levels (Fujiwara & Caswell 2001). Although this statistic is less dramatic for other large whales, the lost lifetime reproductive potential for any endangered, k-selected species is likely to be severe. Because the recovery factor included in PBR calculations

Table 2. Total number and percentage of mortalities per species and cause of death from 1970–2009 (inclusive), average annual potential biological removal (PBR), average difference between PBR and the number of human-caused mortalities per year, and average percent PBR met or exceeded by human-caused mortalities per year.

Cause of death	Blue whale	Bryde's whale	Fin whale	Humpback whale	Minke whale	Right whale	Seti whale	Sperm whale	Unknown	Total (%)
EN	0	2	26	116	101	31	5	9	33	323 (18.33)
VS	1	1	59	31	17	38	9	6	9	171 (9.7)
ENVS	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	5 (0.28)
OH	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3 (0.17)
NH	1	5	30	52	57	17	3	76	7	248 (14.07)
UN	2	7	141	270	220	35	12	85	240	1012 (57.43)
Total (%)	4 (0.23)	15 (0.85)	257 (14.59)	473 (26.84)	396 (22.47)	122 (6.92)	29 (1.65)	176 (9.99)	290 (16.46)	1762
Average (SD) PBR/year	NA ^b	0.2 (0.1)	3.9 (0.6)	1.4 (0.7)	26.0 (6.8)	0.1 (0.2)	NA	7.0 (2.8)	NA	
Average (SD) difference from PBR/year	NA	-0.1 (0.4)	-0.5 (1.8)	6.7 (3.5) ^c	-21.5 (6.9)	3.1 (0.5)	NA	-6.6 (3.0)	NA	
Average (SD) % PBR met	NA	88.9 (2.67)	90.2 (46.0)	579 (284)	18.3 (9.4)	650 (379)	NA	8.4 (11)	NA	
Average (SD) % PBR met or exceeded/year										

^aEN, entanglement; VS, vessel strike; ENVS, entanglement and vessel strike; OH, other human cause; NH, nonhuman cause; UN, undetermined; see text for definition of categories.

^bNot applicable.

^cCalculated only for years 2000–2009 due to management unit redefinition.

can be adjusted to reflect higher-than-expected female mortality, we suggest this adjustment be made for minke and right whales.

Results of the comparisons of mortalities with established PBR levels (Fig. 2) indicated the sustainability of the level of human-caused mortality to specific populations of large whales. Since 1995 and 2000, respectively, average human-caused mortalities of right and humpback whales exceeded their PBRs, whereas Bryde's, fin, minke, and sperm-whale mortalities were below PBR. This difference likely reflects the high proportion of natural mortality in sperm whales, the size of the minke whale population relative to this species' rate of detected entanglement, and the lack of basic population data for Bryde's whales. The goal of the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan (NOAA 2012) is to reduce mortalities and serious injuries related to commercial fishing to below PBR within 6 months of its implementation; that goal has been met for only 1 year (and by a fraction of an individual) for humpback or right whales since its establishment (NOAA 1997). We suggest basic population data be collected at least every 5 years and that regular data collection begin on blue, Bryde's, and sei whales, where it is almost completely lacking.

Consistency in the spatial distribution of stranded carcasses is due to presence of high-risk areas and geographical factors (Fig. 5). Compared with the spatial extent of regulations, vessel-strike mortality continues to be highest in the mid-Atlantic coast. We suggest efforts in this region be aimed at reducing mortality risk.

In 2003 efforts designed to address large-whale mortality became more frequent and extensive (Supporting Information). Since then policies have continued to evolve, and their effects are manifest in the years 2003–2009. Although some regulations have been evaluated for their potential to reduce the rates and relative risk of lethal vessel strikes in a given region (e.g., Vanderlaan et al. 2008), the effects of these regulations are unlikely to be detected over large areas because they have only been in place for ≤6 years (Pace 2011).

A U.S. regulation (Ship Strike Rule, effective in 2008 [Table S1]) designed “to reduce or eliminate the threat of vessel-strikes” to right whales expires in December 2013 (NOAA 2008). No significant decrease in the frequency of vessel-strike mortalities is yet apparent (Pace 2011). Rule compliance in the first year was poor; only 20% of transiting vessels fully complied with the ≤18.5 km/h (i.e., 10 knots) limit (MMC 2010). Regional estimates indicate greater compliance off Massachusetts (approximately 50%) (MMC 2010) and in the southeastern United States calving ground (75%) (Lagueux et al. 2011). As with mandatory speed restrictions, recommendations put in place since 2003 on vessel speeds and routing have not had a measurable effect on reducing the number of lethal vessel strikes on the scale of our analyses for 8 large-whale species. However, to better assess the efficacy of

the Ship Strike Rule prior to expiration or renewal, the current analyses should be repeated early in 2013 and mortalities before and after 2008 should be compared.

It is premature to conclude the 2008 Ship Strike Rule has had any direct effects on reducing lethal vessel strikes to right whales or other large whale species. The rule is up for review in 2013, and we suggest the review focus on assessing overall compliance, redesigning regulation and increasing awareness and monitoring to address low compliance in particular areas, considering actions that benefit all species of large whales over larger areas (e.g., Schick et al. 2009), and addressing the high mortality in the mid-Atlantic United States, where only limited efforts have been taken to reduce vessel strikes. Lagueux et al. (2011) describe greater compliance in the southeastern United States to recommended vessel routing compared with mandatory speed restrictions. This difference may indicate practical considerations of the shipping industry. Greater success in reducing vessel-strike mortalities may be achieved by restructuring lanes than by restricting speeds.

We present analyses crucial to evaluating conservation decisions and that can provide managers with direction for modifying current methods. Although our analyses have specific relevance to large whales in the Northwest Atlantic, they are equally applicable to mortality-driven conservation issues for other populations of large whales (Panigada et al. 2006), elephants (Sarma et al. 2006), tigers (Kerley et al. 2002), and amphibians (Glista et al. 2008). The continued detection and investigation of relatively rare events and their inclusion in time series of data are crucial to the evaluation of the efficacy of existing management of living ocean resources and to improve rules that address factors associated with unsustainable levels of mortality in large whales.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the magnitude of effort put forth by the northeast and southeast regional standing networks and the various agencies that generated the primary data and their volunteers and donors. We thank S. and H. Simmons for funding for this project.

Supporting Information

A timeline of major mitigation efforts to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species (Appendix S1), a male-to-female mortality comparison (Appendix S2), and an age class and gender breakdown of cause-specific mortality (Appendix S3) are available online. The authors are responsible for the content and functionality of these materials. Queries (other than absence of the material) should be directed to the corresponding author.

Literature Cited

- Brown, M. W., D. Fenton, K. Smedbol, C. Merriman, K. Robichaud-Leblanc, and J. D. Conway. 2009. Recovery strategy for the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in Atlantic Canadian waters. Species at Risk Act recovery strategy series. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa.
- Campbell-Malone, R., S. G. Barco, P.-Y. Daoust, A. R. Knowlton, W. A. McLellan, D. S. Rotstein, and M. J. Moore. 2008. Gross and histologic evidence of sharp and blunt trauma in North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) killed by vessels. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 39:37–55.
- Cassoff, R. M., K. M. Moore, W. A. McLellan, S. G. Barco, D. S. Rotstein, and M. J. Moore. 2011. Lethal entanglement syndrome in baleen whales. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 96:175–185.
- Clapham, P. J., S. B. Young, and R. L. Brownell Jr. 1999. Baleen whales: conservation issues and the status of the most endangered populations. *Mammal Review* 29:35–60.
- ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute). 2006. ArcView 9.2. ESRI, Redlands, California.
- Fujiwara, M., and H. Caswell. 2001. Demography of the endangered North Atlantic right whale. *Nature* 414:537–541.
- Geraci, J. R., D. M. Anderson, R. J. Timperi, D. J. St. Aubin, G. A. Early, J. H. Prescott, and C. A. Mayo. 1989. Humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) fatally poisoned by dinoflagellate toxin. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 46:1895–1898.
- Geraci, J. R., and V. J. Lounsbury. 2005. Marine mammals ashore: a field guide for strandings. 2nd edition. National Aquarium in Baltimore, Baltimore, Maryland.
- Glass, A. H., T. V. N. Cole, and M. Garron. 2010. Mortality and serious injury determinations for baleen whale stocks along the United States and Canadian Eastern Seaboards, 2004–2008. Technical memorandum NMFS-NE-214. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Glista, D. J., T. L. DeVault, and J. A. DeWoody. 2008. Vertebrate road mortality predominantly impacts amphibians. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 3:77–87.
- Hosmer, D. W., and S. Lemeshow. 2000. Applied logistic regression. 2nd edition. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- IWC (International Whaling Commission). 2011. List of stranding networks – 2011. Circular communication 159, 18 February. IWC, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Kerley, L. L., J. M. Goodrich, D. G. Miquelle, E. N. Smirnov, H. B. Quigley, and M. G. Hornocker. 2002. Effects of roads and human disturbance on Amur tigers. *Conservation Biology* 16:97–108.
- Knowlton, A. R., P. K. Hamilton, M. K. Marx, H. M. Pettis, and S. D. Kraus. 2011. Final report on 2007/2008 right whale entanglement scar coding efforts. National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Knowlton, A. R., and S. D. Kraus. 2001. Mortality and serious injury of northern right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in the western North Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management (Special Issue)* 2:193–208.
- Kraus, S. D. 1985. A review of the status of right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in the western North Atlantic with a summary of research and management needs. Final report to the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission, National Technical Information Service PB86-154143. Marine Mammal Commission, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Kraus, S. D., et al. 2005. North Atlantic right whales in crisis. *Science* 309:561–562.
- Lagueux, K. M., M. A. Zani, A. R. Knowlton, and S. D. Kraus. 2011. Response by vessel operators to protection measures for right whales *Eubalaena glacialis* in the southeast US calving ground. *Endangered Species Research* 14:69–77.
- Laist, D. W., A. R. Knowlton, J. G. Mead, A. S. Collet, and M. Podesta. 2001. Collisions between ships and whales. *Marine Mammal Science* 17:35–75.

- Lien, J. 1994. Entrapments of large cetaceans in passive inshore fishing gear in Newfoundland and Labrador (1979 - 1990). Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue) **15**:149-157.
- Lucas, Z. N., and S. K. Hooker. 2000. Cetacean strandings on Sable Island, Nova Scotia, 1970-1998. Canadian Field Naturalist **114**:45-61.
- McLellan, W. A., S. Rommel, M. J. Moore, and D. A. Pabst. 2004. Right whale necropsy protocol. Final report for contract 40AANF112525. National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland.
- MMC (Marine Mammal Commission). 2010. Annual report to Congress 2009. MMC, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Moore, M. J., A. Bogomolni, R. Bowman, P. K. Hamilton, C. T. Harry, A. R. Knowlton, S. Landry, D. S. Rotstein, and K. Touhey. 2006. Fatally entangled right whales can die extremely slowly. In Oceans 2006. IEEE, Washington, D.C.
- NARWC (North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium). 2011. North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium Database, 9 March. New England Aquarium, Boston.
- Nemiroff, L., T. Wimmer, P.-Y. Daoust, and D. F. McAlpine. 2010. Cetacean strandings in the Canadian Maritime Provinces, 1990-2008. Canadian Field Naturalist **124**:32-44.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2005. Recovery plan for the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland.
- NMFS (National marine Fisheries Service). 2012. Marine mammal stock assessment reports (SARs) by species/stock. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Fisheries Office of Protected Resources, Silver Spring, Maryland. Available from <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/sars/species.htm#largewhales> (accessed July 2012).
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 1997. North Atlantic right whale protection. Federal Register **62**:6729-6738.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 1999. Taking of marine mammals incidental to commercial fishing operations; Atlantic large whale take reduction plan regulations. Federal Register **64**:7529-7556.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2008. Final rule to implement speed restrictions to reduce the threat of ship collisions with North Atlantic right whales. Federal Register **73**:60173-60191.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2011. National policy for distinguishing serious from non-serious injuries of marine mammals. Federal Register **76**:42116-42118.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2012. Atlantic large whale take reduction plan. NOAA, Gloucester, Massachusetts. Available from <http://www.nero.noaa.gov/whaletrp/> (accessed July 2012).
- Pace, R. M. 2011. Frequency of whale and vessel collisions on the US eastern seaboard: ten years prior and two years post ship strike rule. Reference document 11-15. National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Panigada, S., G. Pesante, M. Zanardelli, F. Capoulade, A. Gannier, and M. T. Weinrich. 2006. Mediterranean fin whales at risk from fatal ship strikes. Marine Pollution Bulletin **52**:1287-1298.
- Robbins, J., and D. K. Mattila. 2004. Estimating humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*) entanglement rates on the basis of scar evidence. Report order 43EANF030121. National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Sarma, U. K., P. S. Easa, and V. Menon. 2006. Deadly tracks - a scientific approach to understanding and mitigating elephant mortality due to train hits in Assam. Wildlife Trust of India, New Delhi.
- Schick, R. S., et al. 2009. Striking the right balance in right whale conservation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science **66**:1399-1403.
- Song, K.-J., Z. G. Kim, C. I. Zhang, and Y. H. Kim. 2010. Fishing gears involved in entanglements of minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*) in the east Sea of Korea. Marine Mammal Science **26**:282-295.
- van der Hoop, J. M., A. S. M. Vanderlaan, and C. T. Taggart. 2012. Absolute probability estimates of lethal vessel-strikes to North Atlantic right whales in Roseway Basin, Scotian Shelf. Ecological Applications: in press. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/11-1841.1>
- Van Waerebeek, K., and R. Leaper. 2008. Second report of the IWC Vessel Strike Data Standardisation Working Group. SC/60/BC5 IWC. International Whaling Commission Scientific Committee, Santiago, Chile.
- Vanderlaan, A. S. M., and C. T. Taggart. 2007. Vessel collisions with whales: the probability of lethal injury based on vessel speed. Marine Mammal Science **23**:144-156.
- Vanderlaan, A. S. M., C. T. Taggart, A. R. Serdynska, R. D. Kenney, and M. W. Brown. 2008. Reducing the risk of lethal encounters: vessels and right whales in the Bay of Fundy and on the Scotian Shelf. Endangered Species Research **4**:283-297.
- Volgenau, L., S. D. Kraus, and J. Lien. 1995. The impact of entanglements on two substocks of the western North Atlantic humpback whale, *Megaptera novaeangliae*. Canadian Journal of Zoology **73**:1679-1698.
- Wade, P. R. 1998. Calculating limits to the allowable human-caused mortality of cetaceans and pinnipeds. Marine Mammal Science **14**:1-37.
- Waring, G. T., E. Josephson, K. Maze-Foley, P. E. Rosel, editors. 2011. U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico marine mammal stock assessments - 2010. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS NE 219; 598 p.
- Williams, R., S. Gero, L. Bejder, J. Calambokidis, S. D. Kraus, D. Lusseau, A. J. Read, and J. Robbins. 2011. Underestimating the damage: interpreting cetacean carcass recoveries in the context of the *Deepwater Horizon*/BP incident. Conservation Letters **4**:228-233.
- Zhang, J., and Y. Wu. 2007. k-Sample tests based on the likelihood ratio. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis **51**:4682-4691.

Appendix S1.

Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Establishment of right whale conservation areas	Promotes awareness and education among mariners of high concentrations of right whales.	1993	Grand Manan Basin and Roseway Basin, Canada	(Brown et al. 1995)
Establishment of right whale critical habitat	Ensures federal agency actions will not destroy or adversely modify critical habitat.	5 July 1994	Cape Cod Bay (CCB), Stellwagen Bank, Great South Channel (GSC), coastal waters of Georgia and east coast of Florida	(59 FR 28805; NOAA 1994)
Approach restrictions	Restricts vessels and aircraft from approaching within 460m (500 yards) of right whales to reduce the possibility of interaction and injury.	17 March 1997	All US waters	(62 FR 6729; NOAA 1997)
Implementation of Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan (ALWTRP)	Seeks to reduce serious injury and mortality to right, humpback, fin, and minke whales.	1 April 1999	US Atlantic Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) from Maine (43.9667°N) through Florida (26.775°N).	(64 FR 7529; NOAA 1999)
Mandatory Ship Reporting Systems	Reduce vessel-whale collisions by providing timely information to mariners entering areas used by right whales.	As of 1 July 1999; Year-round (Northeast; NE); 15 November – 16 April (Southeast; SE)	Massachusetts coastal waters (NE); Georgia and Florida coastal waters (SE).	(Silber et al. 2002)

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Gear Modifications	Requires buoy weak line links, net panel weak links with anchoring systems, gear marking; restricts number of buoy lines.	22 January 2001	Northern Inshore Lobster Waters, CCB Restricted Area, GSC Restricted Lobster Area, Northern Nearshore Lobster Waters Area, Southern Nearshore Lobster Waters, Offshore Lobster Waters	(65 FR 80368; NOAA 2000)
Dynamic Area Management (DAM) scheme implemented	Restricts use of lobster trap/pot and gillnet fishing gear to protect aggregations of right whales outside of critical habitat.	8 February 2002	US waters North of 40°N. DAM zones: areas triggered by aggregations of 3 or more right whales outside of previously established management areas or critical habitat zones, or within and outside these areas when seasonal management is not in effect.	(67 FR 1133; NOAA 2002a)
Gear Modifications	Replaces existing Gillnet Take Reduction Technology List with mandatory weak link requirements. Allows the use of neutrally buoyant line in lobster fishing gear.	11 February 2002	All ALWTRP Regulated Lobster Waters and ALWTRP Regulated Gillnet Waters.	(67 FR 1300; NOAA 2002b)

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Seasonal Area Management (SAM) scheme implemented	Prohibits use of floating ground line and buoy line; establishes the number, strength, location of weak links; limits single buoy line per net string.	As of 1 March 2002; SAM West 1 March – 30 April, SAM East 1 May – 31 July.	Massachusetts coastal waters.	(67 FR 1142; NOAA 2002c)
Southeast US (SEUS) Gillnet Prohibition	Prohibits straight set gillnets during nighttime hours.	As of 2002; 15 November – 31 March, annually.	Coastal waters of Georgia and east coast of Florida	(67 FR 59471; NOAA 2002d)
Bay of Fundy Traffic Separation Scheme (TSS) Amendment	Alters vessel traffic around highest concentration of right whales to reduce possibility of vessel-collisions; International Maritime Organization (IMO) adopted.	1 July 2003	Bay of Fundy, Canada	(IMO 2003)
DAM Gear Modifications	Allows use of specific anchored gillnet and lobster trap/pot modifications that reduce entanglement risk	25 September 2003	DAM zones, as above.	(68 FR 51195; NOAA 2003)
Recommended Voluntary Ship Traffic Routes	Suggests alternate ship traffic routes to mariners to reduce vessel activity in areas frequented by whales.	30 November 2006	Jacksonville and Fernandina, FL; Brunswick, GA; Cape Cod Bay, MA.	(NOAA 2006)

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Boston TSS Amendment	Shifts traffic pattern to avoid large aggregations of whales; IMO adopted.	1 July 2007	Boston, Cape Cod Bay, MA waters.	(IMO 2006)
Changes to Boundaries and Seasons, Gear Modifications	Extends ALWTRP gear modifications for regulated areas to the eastern edge of the EEZ; requires weak links of appropriate breaking strength; replaced/eliminated SAM & DAM programs.	5 April 2008; North of 40°00N year-round; between 32°00N and 40°00N 1 September – 31 May; between 29°00N and 32°00N 15 November – 15 April; between 27°51N and 29°00N 1 December – 31	All ALWTRP-Regulated Trap/Pot Waters	(72 FR 57104; NOAA 2007a)
Roseway Basin Area To Be Avoided	Recommendatory, seasonal; limits transits of vessels (>300 gross tonnage) at peak time of abundance in right whale critical habitat. IMO adopted.	As of 2008; 1 June – 31 December annually.	Roseway Basin, Southwest Scotian Shelf, Canada.	(IMO 2007)

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Speed Restrictions	Restricts vessel speeds to no more than 10 knots, to reduce likelihood of death in the event of a vessel-whale collision.	9 December 2008 – 9 December 2013: SEUS 15 November – 15 April; Mid-Atlantic 1 November – 3 April; CCB 1 January – 15 May; Off Race Point (ORP) 1 March – 30 April; GSC 1 April – 31 July.	SEUS: St. Augustine, FL to Brunswick, GA. Mid-Atlantic: Brunswick, GA to Rhode Island; Ports of New York, New Jersey, Philadelphia PA, Wilmington VA, Moorehead City NC and Beaufort NC; Entrance of Chesapeake Bay; Block Island Sound NEUS: CCB, ORP, GSC Any Triggered DMA zones (as above).	(73 FR 60173; NOAA 2008a)
Broad-Based Sinking Groundline Requirement	Implements broad-based sinking groundline requirement for all trap/pot fisheries; eliminates DAM fishing restrictions.	5 April 2009	All ALWTRP-Regulated Trap/Pot Waters	(72 FR 57104, 73 FR 19171, 73 FR 51228; NOAA 2007a, 2007b, 2008b)
Great South Channel Area To Be Avoided	Voluntary, seasonal; limits vessel transits at peak time of abundance in right whale critical habitat. IMO adopted.	1 June 2009; Annually 1 April – 31 July	Great South Channel, Massachusetts.	(IMO 2008a)

Table S1. Timeline of major mitigation efforts at state, Canadian/US Federal and international levels to increase awareness and reduce the potential for human-associated mortality to large whale species.

Event	Goal	Date or annual time period of implementation	Location	Reference
Modification of Boston TSS	Modifies existing lanes to reduce the threat of collisions; IMO adopted.	1 June 2009	Massachusetts.	(IMO 2008b)
Designation of critical habitat for right whales in the Grand Manan Basin and Roseway Basin.	Establishes critical habitat areas federally protected under the Species At Risk Act (SARA).	1 June 2009	Bay of Fundy and Roseway Basin, Canada	(Brown et al. 2009)

Literature Cited

Brown, M.W., J.M. Allen, and S.D. Kraus. 1995 The designation of seasonal right whale conservation areas in the waters of Atlantic Canada. Pages 90-98 in N.L. Shackell and J.H.M. Willison, editors. Marine protected areas and sustainable fisheries. Science and Management of Protected Areas Association, Wolfville, Nova Scotia.

Brown, M.W., D. Fenton, K. Smedbol, C. Merriman, K. Robichaud-Leblanc, and J.D. Conway. 2009. Recovery strategy for the North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) in Atlantic Canadian waters. Species at Risk Act Recovery Strategy Series. Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Ottawa, Ontario.

IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2003. New and amended traffic separation schemes. Ref T2/2.07, COLREG.2/Circ.52. IMO, London.

IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2006. New and amended traffic separation schemes. Ref T2-OSS/2.7.1, COLREG.2/Circ.58. IMO, London.

IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2007. Routeing measures other than traffic separation schemes. Ref. T2-OSS/2.7, SN.a/Circ.263. IMO, London.

IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2008a. Routeing measures other than traffic separation schemes. Ref. T2-OSS/2.7.1, SN.1/Circ.272, IMO, London.

IMO (International Maritime Organization). 2008b. Routeing of ships, ship reporting, and related matters. Amendment to the Traffic Separation Scheme “In the Approach to Boston, Massachusetts”. Ref. NAV 54/3/XX, IMO, London.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 1994. Designated Critical Habitat; Northern Right Whale. Federal Register **59**:28805-28834.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 1997. North Atlantic Right Whale Protection. Federal Register **62**:6729-6738.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 1999. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **64**:7529-7556.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2000. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **65**:80368-80381.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002a. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **67**:1133-1142.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002b. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **67**:1300-1314.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002c. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **67**:1142-1160.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2002d. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **67**:59471-59477.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2003. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **68**:51195-51201.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2006. News From NOAA For Immediate Release, November 17, 2006.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2007a. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **72**:57104-57194.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2007b. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations; Correction. Federal Register **73**:19171.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2008a. Endangered Fish and Wildlife; Final Rule To Implement Speed Restrictions to Reduce the Threat of Ship Collisions With North Atlantic Right Whales. Federal Register **73**:60173-60191.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association). 2008b. Taking of Marine Mammals Incidental to Commercial Fishing Operations; Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan Regulations. Federal Register **73**:51228-51242.

Silber, G.K., L.I. Ward, R. Clarke, K.L. Schumacher, and A.J. Smith. 2002. Ship traffic patterns in right whale critical habitat: Year one of the Mandatory Ship Reporting System. NOAA Tech Memo NMFS OPR 20, 27 pp.

Table S2. Determined male and female mortality for 8 large whale species in the coastal Northeast Atlantic, 1970 - 2009 (inclusive), and χ^2 and p values testing for parity of the observed male:female mortality ratio.

Species	Male	Female	χ^2	p
Blue whale	2	1	0.33	0.564
Bryde's whale	3	8	2.27	0.132
Fin whale	73	66	0.353	0.553
Humpback whale	108	107	0.00465	0.946
Minke Whale	72	106	6.49	0.011
Right whale	39	46	5.12	0.024
Sei whale	10	3	3.77	0.052
Sperm whale	75	58	2.17	0.14

Table S3. Determined cause of death in large whales observed 1970 - 2009 (inclusive), separated by sex and age class, where known. COD = Cause Of Death, EN = Entanglement, VS = Vessel Strike, ENVS = Entanglement and Vessel Strike, OH = Other human interaction, NH = Non-human interaction; see text for definition of categories.

COD	Total	Male	Female	Undetermined	Calf	Subadult	Adult	Undetermined
EN	323	59	68	196	51	115	35	122
VS	171	56	77	38	29	97	21	24
ENVS	5	1	3	1	2	3	0	0
OH	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
NH	248	116	91	41	98	103	29	18