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The Effect of Microcrack Dilatancy on the Permeability of Westerly Granite

MARK D. ZOBACK AND JAMES D. BYERLEE

U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, California 94025
Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Permeability and volumetric strain were measured under constant confining pressure and pore pressure as a function of increasing and decreasing differential stress. Permeability was found to increase appreciably during dilatancy. Our results have also shown that permeability and dilatant volume changes are not unique functions of differential stress and that permeability changes with differential stress are not uniquely dependent upon dilatant volume changes. Most significant, however, is that if dilatancy-fluid diffusion occurs in situ, our results indicate that microcrack dilatancy is not a reasonable physical mechanism to account for such a phenomenon.

The report of Nersesov et al. [1969] and Semenov [1969] of travel time anomalies preceding earthquakes in the Garm region of central Asia led Nur [1972] to propose the now familiar dilatancy-fluid diffusion theory. Scholz et al. [1973] and others have subsequently related other earthquake phenomena to the dilatancy-fluid diffusion theory. Since the proper interpretation of field data requires an understanding of fluid flow in dilatant rock, laboratory experiments were undertaken to investigate this phenomenon.

In this study we consider the effect of microcrack dilatancy on the permeability of Westerly granite. Comparison of permeability changes to dilatant (or nonelastic) volume changes may enable us to extend our results to other materials that exhibit microcrack dilatancy and possibly to predict in situ permeability changes resulting from inferred dilatant volume changes.

The permeability of Westerly granite has previously been investigated by Brace et al. [1968]. The effects of hydrostatic confining pressure and pore pressure on permeability were considered in that study. Dilatancy in Westerly granite has also been discussed by Brace et al. [1966]. In that study, dilatant volume changes were observed in a variety of crystalline rocks under different confining pressures and at different loading rates. Dilatant volume changes associated with cyclically applied differential stress are discussed elsewhere [Zoback and Byerlee, 1974].

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE**

In our investigation, permeability was measured as the samples were deformed under constant confining pressure and constant pore pressure. Volumetric strain was measured in the manner described by Brace et al. [1966], strain gauges were attached to the sample, and volumetric strain was computed by summing the axial strain with twice the circumferential strain.

Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of the pore pressure system, sample assembly, and triaxial apparatus used in the experiments. A cylindrical sample (6.35 cm long and 2.54 cm in diameter) was enclosed in a thin copper jacket. Permeability and volumetric strain could then be measured as the confining pressure, pore pressure, and applied axial load were independently varied.

Pore fluid flowed in the direction of maximum compression and was made available to the sample by means of a porous plug and piston. Highly porous spacers distributed the pore fluid evenly over the ends of the sample. The pore fluid used in these experiments was argon. Since argon is a gas, the low product of its compressibility times viscosity (compared with that of a liquid) reduced the amount of time necessary to measure permeability. Also since argon is chemically inert, it was only necessary to consider the mechanical effects of the pore fluid.

Permeability was measured by using a transient technique similar to that of Brace et al. [1968] and Sanyal et al. [1972]. Upon equilibration of the sample, valves A and B (Figure 1) were closed in order to isolate the sample from the pore pressure system. The pressure in the system was then raised by several bars. Upon thermal equilibration of the fluid in the system, valve B was opened, this event causing an instantaneous pore pressure gradient across the sample. The pore pressure gradient then decayed as fluid flowed through the sample and pressure built up on the low-pressure side (the high-pressure side had virtually infinite volume, and the pore pressure did not noticeably change during a measurement). The decay of the pore pressure gradient was measured with a highly sensitive differential pressure transducer that enabled us to measure accurately small pressure differences (the pressure difference was usually about 5 bars) at pore pressures of the order of 100 bars. Both the output of the differential transducer and the pore pressure at the high-pressure side of the sample were continuously recorded (Figure 2, top). The pore pressure gradient decays exponentially with time (see appendix). Replotting the decay as log Δp versus time yields a straight line with slope m (Figure 2, bottom). Permeability is then determined from the slope by using the formula k = μ(Δp/L)ΔV/s, where m is the slope of the line, μ and β are the dynamic viscosity and compressibility of the pore fluid, L and A are the length and cross-sectional area of the sample, and V/s is the system volume adjacent to the low-pressure side of the sample.

Owing to the low permeability of Westerly granite, experiments were performed at a maximum effective confining pressure of about 400 bars. This is because of the length of time required during which the most minute pore pressure leak could not be tolerated (experiments would typically last up to 10 h).

**RESULTS**

Figures 3 and 4 present data for a sample that was previously loaded to high differential stress over 20 times. This procedure was used in an attempt to simulate more closely in...
situ materials that may repeatedly undergo large stresses. The upper parts of these figures show volumetric strain (compression is positive) as a function of differential stress (the difference between the axial stress and the confining pressure). Permeability (measured in nanodarcys) is shown as a function of differential stress in the lower parts of the figures. These measurements were made at 500 bars of confining pressure and 110 bars of pore pressure (Figure 3) and at 250 bars of confining pressure and 110 bars of pore pressure (Figure 4). As the sample was stressed at a strain rate of $10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, the loading was periodically stopped, and permeability and volume strain were measured at the points shown.

The dashed line in the upper part of the figures represents the purely elastic compression of Westerly granite [from Brace et al., 1966]. Changes in crack volume are given by the difference between the actual volumetric strain and the expected elastic strain.

As a sample was initially stressed (Figures 3 and 4), the permeability slightly decreased. This phenomenon is presumably due to the closure of preexisting cracks, since the decrease in sample volume was greater than elastic. With further increase in differential stress the samples became dilatant, and the permeability correspondingly increased. As the differential stress was removed from the samples, the
During an experiment the output of the strain gauges was continuously recorded as a function of time. The gauges indicate that the time-dependent volumetric strain, or creep, that occurs at high stress is caused by growth of axially oriented cracks, since the time-dependent strain primarily involved the radial strain component. Scholz [1968] discusses room temperature creep in Westerly granite to some detail.

The permeability under hydrostatic stress shown in Figures 3 and 4 is about 50% higher than that reported by Brace et al. [1968] for intact samples of Westerly granite. This situation is evidently caused by the crack porosity introduced by the previous stress cycles. A hydrostatic compression test showed 0.25% crack porosity in the sample at the beginning of the experiment shown in Figure 3, whereas Brace et al. [1966] reported crack porosities of 0.16% in intact Westerly granite. Thus our results for previously cycled samples at hydrostatic pressure seem fairly consistent with those of Brace et al. [1968].

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Brace and Byerlee [1967] showed that dilatant microcracks are strongly oriented parallel to the axis of maximum compression. These cracks would seem therefore to affect greatly such properties as permeability and electrical conductivity measured in the directing maximum compression. Our work has shown, however, that although permeability is quite dependent upon dilatant volume changes, it seems that it is less dependent on dilatancy than is electrical conductivity. For dilatant volume changes similar to those reported here, Brace and Orange [1968] found an increase of more than an order of magnitude in conductivity, whereas we repeatedly found increases in permeability of about 300%.

This result suggests that dilatant microcracks are somewhat isolated from each other. With increasing differential stress, cracks not oriented parallel to the axis of maximum compression tend to close. This process restricts flow between the opening dilatant cracks and thereby limits the increase in permeability. Since electrical conductivity is dependent on surface conduction as well as ionic conduction [Brace et al., 1965], flow can be restricted by extremely narrow cracks that nevertheless permit significant conductivity increases. Another observation supporting the suggestion that dilatant microcracks are fairly well isolated is that no permeability change (that is, no change in the slope m) was noticeable during the time-dependent crack growth that took place in samples while they were at high differential stress.

With much of the decrease of differential stress the permeability remains fairly constant because there is no significant crack closure as the stress is initially removed [Zoback and Byerlee, 1974] and because with further decreases of differential stress, two competing effects determine the permeability. Flow decreases through the axial cracks as they begin to close, but flow increases through the opening nonaxial cracks. This interpretation explains the increase in permeability with decreasing differential stress shown in Figure 4, the nonaxial cracks temporarily dominating the behavior of the sample.

Nur [1974], Scholz [1974], and Anderson and Whitcomb [1973] have suggested a diffusion coefficient (equal to k/μb) of 10^4 cm²/s for fluid flow in the focal regions of the earthquakes that they considered. To compare our results with theirs, we must assume an appropriate μ and b. Assuming that 400 bars of effective stress is representative of an approximate depth of 2 km, we can estimate a hydrostatic pore pressure of...
about 220 bars and a temperature of roughly 75°C. Using
these values and considering the data in Figure 3, we see
that the maximum permeability (about 400 nanodarcys) corre-
sponds to a diffusion coefficient of about $2 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$/s,
some 6 orders of magnitude less than that suggested by
analysis of field data. This discrepancy is much too large to
be compensated for by any reasonable assumption about the
fluid phase. It appears therefore that dilatancy-fluid dif-
fusion occurs in situ and if diffusion coefficients of about
10$^4$ cm$/s$ are indeed reasonable, fluid flow does not take
place through microcracks. Rather, dilatancy and fluid flow
must occur on the scale of joints and fractures.

**APPENDIX**

From Darcy's law, flow through the sample is governed by
the equation

$$q = \frac{kA}{\mu} \left( p_1 - p_2 \right)$$

where $q$ is the volumetric flow rate, $k$ is the permeability, $\mu$
the dynamic viscosity, $A$ and $L$ are the cross-sectional area
and length of the sample, and $(p_1 - p_2)$ is the difference in
pore pressure across the sample. The subscripts 1 and 2 refer to
the high-pressure and low-pressure ends of the sample, respec-
tively.

In using Darcy's law we assume that there is a linear
pressure gradient across the sample and that the flow rate is
only a function of time. Since the mass flow rate $Q (Q = qp)$,
where $p$ is the fluid density) into the sample must be the same
as that out of the sample, then, for $q = q_e$, as was assumed,
$p_1 \approx p_2$. This situation requires a small pore pressure gradient
across the sample (especially when gas is the pore fluid).

Since $p_1$ remains constant throughout experiments, $V_1$ is vir-
tually infinite. The fluid density on the low-pressure side of the
sample is, at any given time,

$$p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + \int_0^t \frac{Q}{V_2} dt$$

where the superscript $(0)$ refers to initial conditions.

From the definition of the isothermal compressibility of the
fluid, $\beta$,

$$p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\beta \rho_0^{(0)}} (p_2 - p_2^{(0)})$$

Therefore for small pressure gradients,

$$p_2 = p_2^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\beta V_2} \int_0^t q dt$$

Substituting Darcy's law yields

$$p_1 - p_2^{(0)} = \frac{Lq}{Ak} + \frac{1}{\beta V_2} \int_0^t q dt$$

and differentiating with respect to time yields

$$\frac{dq}{dt} - \frac{kA}{BV_2 \mu L} q = 0$$

The solution of this equation is

$$q = q_0 e^{-mt}$$

where $m = kA/\beta V_2 \mu L$. Thus resubstituting Darcy’s law yields

$$p_1 - p_2 = (p_1 - p_2^{(0)}) e^{-mt}$$

Except for our slightly different boundary conditions this
solution is similar to that given by Sanjul et al. [1972] and
Brace et al. [1968]. As is discussed by Brace, the excellent cor-
respondence between the theoretical and observed decay char-
acteristics provides confirmation of Darcian flow.
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