

September 2008

## *Nomina dubia* and faunistic issues with New Zealand spiders (Araneae)

Pierre Paquin

*Cave and Endangered Invertebrate Research Laboratory*

Cor J. Vink

*Biosecurity Group, AgResearch Lincoln Science Centre*

Nadine Duperre

*American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York*

Phil J. Servid

*Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, Wellington 6140, New Zealand*

David J. Court

*National University of Singapore, Block S6, Level 3, Faculty of Science, Science Drive 2, Singapore*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi>



Part of the [Entomology Commons](#)

Paquin, Pierre; Vink, Cor J.; Duperre, Nadine; Servid, Phil J.; and Court, David J., "*Nomina dubia* and faunistic issues with New Zealand spiders (Araneae)" (2008). *Insecta Mundi*. 574.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/574>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Systematic Entomology, Gainesville, Florida at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Insecta Mundi by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# INSECTA MUNDI

A Journal of World Insect Systematics

---

0046

*Nomina dubia* and faunistic issues with New Zealand spiders  
(Araneae)

Pierre Paquin

Cave and Endangered Invertebrate Research Laboratory  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
4407 Monterey Oaks Boulevard, Building 1, Suite 110  
Austin, Texas 78749, U.S.A.

Cor J. Vink

Biosecurity Group, AgResearch  
Lincoln Science Centre  
Private Bag 4749  
Christchurch 8140, New Zealand

Nadine Dupérré

Division of Invertebrate Zoology  
American Museum of Natural History  
Central Park West at 79th Street  
New York, New York 10024, U.S.A.

Phil J. Sirvid

Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa  
PO Box 467  
Wellington 6140, New Zealand

David J. Court

c/o Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research  
Department of Biological Sciences  
National University of Singapore  
Block S6, Level 3, Faculty of Science, Science Drive 2  
Singapore 117600

Date of Issue: September 26, 2008

Pierre Paquin, Cor J. Vink, Nadine Dupérré, Phil J. Sirvid, and David J. Court  
*Nomina dubia* and faunistic issues with New Zealand spiders (Araneae)  
Insecta Mundi 0046: 1-6

**Published in 2008 by**

Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc.  
P. O. Box 141874  
Gainesville, FL 32614-1874 U. S. A.  
<http://www.centerforsystematicentomology.org/>

**Insecta Mundi** is a journal primarily devoted to insect systematics, but articles can be published on any non-marine arthropod taxon. Manuscripts considered for publication include, but are not limited to, systematic or taxonomic studies, revisions, nomenclatural changes, faunal studies, book reviews, phylogenetic analyses, biological or behavioral studies, etc. **Insecta Mundi** is widely distributed, and referenced or abstracted by several sources including the Zoological Record, CAB Abstracts, etc.

As of 2007, **Insecta Mundi** is published irregularly throughout the year, not as quarterly issues. As manuscripts are completed they are published and given an individual number. Manuscripts must be peer reviewed prior to submission, after which they are again reviewed by the editorial board to insure quality. One author of each submitted manuscript must be a current member of the Center for Systematic Entomology.

**Managing editor:** Paul E. Skelley, e-mail: [insectamundi@gmail.com](mailto:insectamundi@gmail.com)  
**Production editor:** Michael C. Thomas, e-mail: [insectamundi@gmail.com](mailto:insectamundi@gmail.com)  
**Editorial board:** J. H. Frank, M. J. Paulsen

**Printed copies deposited in libraries of:**

CSIRO, Canberra, ACT, Australia  
Museu de Zoologia, São Paulo, Brazil  
Agriculture and Agrifood Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada  
The Natural History Museum, London, England  
Muzeum I Instytut Zoologii Pan, Warsaw, Poland  
National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan  
California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA  
Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL, USA  
Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, IL, USA  
National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA

**Electronic copies in PDF format:**

Printed CD mailed to all members at end of year.  
Florida Center for Library Automation: [purl.fcla.edu/fcla/insectamundi](http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/insectamundi)  
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Digital Commons: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/>

**Author instructions** available on the Insecta Mundi page at:  
<http://www.centerforsystematicentomology.org/insectamundi/>

|              |                |
|--------------|----------------|
| Printed Copy | ISSN 0749-6737 |
| On-Line      | ISSN 1942-1354 |
| CD-ROM       | ISSN 1942-1362 |

*Nomina dubia* and faunistic issues with New Zealand spiders  
(Araneae)

**Pierre Paquin**

Cave and Endangered Invertebrate Research Laboratory  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
4407 Monterey Oaks Boulevard, Building 1, Suite 110  
Austin, Texas 78749, U.S.A.  
ppaquin@swca.com

**Cor J. Vink**

Biosecurity Group, AgResearch  
Lincoln Science Centre  
Private Bag 4749, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand  
cor.vink@agresearch.co.nz

**Nadine Dupérré**

Division of Invertebrate Zoology  
American Museum of Natural History  
Central Park West at 79th Street  
New York, New York 10024, U.S.A.  
nduperre@amnh.org

**Phil J. Sirvid**

Entomology Department,  
Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa  
PO Box 467, Wellington 6140, New Zealand  
phils@tepapa.govt.nz

**David J. Court**

c/o Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research  
Department of Biological Sciences,  
National University of Singapore  
Block S6, Level 3, Faculty of Science, Science Drive 2  
Singapore 117600  
araneus@singnet.com.sg

**Abstract.** Attempts to clarify the identity of obscure New Zealand spider taxa have lead to the conclusion that six species are best treated as *nomina dubia* [*Philodromus rubrofrontus* Urquhart 1891 (Philodromidae); *Dictyna urquhartii* Roewer 1951, (Dictynidae); *Linyphia albiapiata* Urquhart 1891, *Linyphia cruenta* Urquhart 1891, *Linyphia multicolor* Urquhart 1891, *Linyphia pellos* Urquhart 1891 (Linyphiidae)]. Four species currently listed in *Araneus* Clerck 1757 (Araneidae) are re-affirmed as synonyms [*Araneus lineacutus* (Urquhart 1887) = *Zealaranea crassa* (Walckenaer 1842), *Araneus powelli* (Urquhart 1894) = *Novaranea laevigata* (Urquhart 1891), *Araneus sublutius* (Urquhart 1892b) = *Zealaranea trinotata* (Urquhart 1890), *Araneus ventricosellus* (Roewer 1942) = *Eriophora heroine* (L. Koch 1871)]. An old record of *Araneus brisbanae* (L. Koch 1867b) (Araneidae) from New Zealand is a misidentification of *Eriophora decorosa* Urquhart 1894. The family Philodromidae, the genera *Dictyna* Sundevall 1833 (Dictynidae) and *Linyphia* Latreille 1804 (Linyphiidae), as well as *Tharpyna munda* L. Koch 1875 (Thomisidae) and *Araneus brisbanae* (Araneidae) are absent from New Zealand.

**Key words.** Species list, *nomen dubium*, destroyed types, identification problems, museum collections, curation.

## Introduction

In the preparation of an identification guide to New Zealand spiders (Vink et al. in prep), we discovered several species listed for New Zealand that are not identifiable on the basis of published data. Some of these names have been overlooked by recent workers or proposed as synonyms that are not included as such in the World Spider Catalog (Platnick 2008). In addition, we noticed taxa erroneously listed for New Zealand. In order to resolve these problems, we attempted to study the type specimens but our efforts to locate this material have been mostly unsuccessful. Many of these types have apparently been destroyed or lost. In order to favor faunistic accuracy and to eliminate useless names, we report the results of our investigations.

## Philodromidae

*Philodromus rubrofrontus* Urquhart 1891: 179, no illustration. ***Nomen dubium.***

Urquhart described four species that he placed in *Philodromus* Walckenaer 1826: *P. ambarus* Urquhart 1885, *P. sphaeroides* Urquhart 1885, *P. ovatus* Urquhart 1887, and *P. rubrofrontus* Urquhart 1891. *Philodromus ovatus* has been transferred to *Diaea* Thorell 1869 and synonymised under *Diaea albolimbata* L. Koch 1875 by Bryant (1933) (as *D. albomaculata*, lapsus). Upon examination of type specimens, she also transferred *P. ambarus* and *P. sphaeroides* to *Diaea*, but did not treat *P. rubrofrontus*. It seems likely that Bryant did not transfer the species to *Diaea* because the type specimen was not available to her or had already been lost. Urquhart's description of *P. rubrofrontus* is long but does not include illustrations and, unfortunately, it is not sufficient to recognize the species. However, the description of the legs and cephalothorax along with the predominantly green coloration indicate that it is not a member of the Philodromidae (as defined by Jocqué and Dippenaar-Schoeman 2007). It is very likely that it is a species of *Diaea*, given that Urquhart regarded this species as congeneric with his other *Philodromus* species and these have subsequently all been transferred to *Diaea*. All of Urquhart's existing types are in the Canterbury Museum, Christchurch, New Zealand (CMNZ) (Court and Forster 1988; Nicholls et al. 2000). However Hann (1994) and Millidge (1988) reported that some of Urquhart types were at the Otago Museum, Dunedin, New Zealand (OMNZ). This appears to be an error as Nicholls et al. (2000) listed all the CMNZ types, which included the Urquhart types mentioned by Hann (1994) and Millidge (1988). The reason behind this error is unknown, but we have verified that the type of *P. rubrofrontus* is not present in OMNZ. The type list of Nicholls et al. (2000) does not include *P. rubrofrontus*, which corroborates our failure to locate the type in CMNZ. Based on this information, we have reached the following conclusions: (1) *P. rubrofrontus* likely belongs in *Diaea*; (2) the original description does not allow us to recognize the species; (3) the type is lost and it is unlikely that the species will ever be recognized; (4) aside from listings in catalogues (e.g. Parrott 1946), the name has not been used since the original description; (5) *Philodromus rubrofrontus* is best considered a *nomen dubium*; (6) thus, there are no Philodromidae in New Zealand.

## Dictynidae

*Linyphia decolora* Urquhart 1894: 208, no illustrations (now *Dictyna urquhartii* Roewer 1951: 454). ***Nomen dubium.***

*Linyphia decolora* Urquhart 1894 was described from a single specimen from the Powell collection, no data or locality given. Upon examination of the type, Bryant (1933) transferred it to *Dictyna* and proposed that *Dictyna nigella* Dalmas 1917 was a junior synonym, a placement followed by Chamberlain (1946: 92) and Marples (1959: 358), but the latter noted that this synonymy was unlikely. Forster (1970: 130) rejected the synonymy under *D. decolor* without any justification and listed *D. nigella* as a junior synonym of *D. cornigera* Dalmas 1917 (now *Arangina cornigera*).

*Dictyna decolor* was preoccupied by Westring (1861) and Roewer (1951) provided a replacement name for it: *Dictyna urquhartii*, under which it is still known today. Marples (1959) gave a detailed description and a rough illustration of the female epigynum (as *Dictyna decolor*), but there was no indication that he examined the type. Marples (1959) noted that his description of *D. decolor* corresponded to *D. nigella*

Dalmas 1917, and that the descriptions of Dalmas (1917) and Urquhart (1894) were contradictory and thus doubted the synonymy of Bryant (1933), but still used *D. decolor* as the name of the species he described.

There are two possible explanations:

(Scenario 1) Marples (1959) examined Urquhart's type and, therefore, his description is of *D. urquhartii* Roewer 1951. Marples (1959) noted that in many characters, the species resembled other members of the *Ixeuticus* species group III that he described in the same paper [*Ixeuticus angustiae* Marples (now *Dunstanoides angustiae*), *Ixeuticus nuntius* Marples (now *Dunstanoides nuntia*) and *Ixeuticus vallus* Marples (now *Oparara vallus*)], all currently placed in the Amphinectidae (Forster and Wilton 1973). In a revision of the New Zealand Dictynidae, Forster (1970) stated that all known New Zealand species are placed in three endemic genera, and did not make any reference to *Dictyna* or *Dictyna urquhartii*. Based on Marples' statements, it is possible that Forster considered the species to belong to another family, probably the Amphinectidae, and did not mention it in his revision of dictynids. However, in a revision of New Zealand Amphinectidae, Forster and Wilton (1973) did not mention *D. urquhartii* either. Provided that the statements of Marples (1959) are correct, and that Forster and Wilton (1973) overlooked *Dictyna urquhartii*, this species would likely be a senior synonym of another species in the Amphinectidae.

(Scenario 2) Marples (1959) did not examine the type, and provided a description of a female specimen that he believed was conspecific. This seems likely as he stated that the female he examined was from Lake McKerrow, but did not mention the specimen belonged to the Powell collection, as Urquhart did. In this case, the description he gives cannot be used to clarify the identity of *D. urquhartii*.

We were able to locate the type specimen (under *Linyphia decolora*) at CMNZ (see Nicholls et al. 2000), but the specimen is in very poor condition and the female genitalia are missing. Apparently, after Ray Forster left in 1956 (see Patrick et al. 2000), the collection at CMNZ went through a phase without proper curation and many specimens deteriorated (P.M. Johns pers. comm.). The type label did not include any locality data, which supports scenario 2 that Marples (1959) provided a description of a specimen he believed to be conspecific, otherwise he could not have provided a locality. In an attempt to investigate whether scenario 1 was possible, we tried to match Marples' illustration (1959, fig. 9) of the female genitalia with Amphinectidae illustrations of Forster and Wilton (1973), but we were not successful; a few species may be a match, but we could not be certain enough to reliably recognize the species.

Therefore, we have reached the following conclusions: (1) while the type specimen of *Linyphia decolora* has been found and examined, it was unrecognizable due to its poor condition, even to the family level; (2) Marples' (1959) description is not of *D. urquhartii*; (3) the species described by Marples (1959) could be an amphinectid redescribed under another name; (4) the most recent work on New Zealand Dictynidae and Amphinectidae (Forster 1970; Forster and Wilton 1973) both ignored *D. urquhartii* and it is doubtful that the species will ever be recognized or that it is known under another name [in which case, Marples' (1959) *D. urquhartii* is a simple misidentification]; (5) *Dictyna urquhartii* is best considered a *nomen dubium*; (6) thus, there are no *Dictyna* in New Zealand.

## Linyphiidae

*Linyphia albiapiata* Urquhart 1891: 143, no illustration. ***Nomen dubium.***

*Linyphia cruenta* Urquhart 1891: 142, no illustration. ***Nomen dubium.***

*Linyphia multicolor* Urquhart 1891: 140, no illustration. ***Nomen dubium.***

*Linyphia pellos* Urquhart 1891: 146, pl. XXI, fig. 10. ***Nomen dubium.***

In his 1891 paper, Urquhart described five species that he placed in the genus *Linyphia*. One of these species was fairly well illustrated and is now placed in Mimetidae as *Mimetus sennio* (Urquhart 1891). Unfortunately, he did not provide illustrations for the other species except a dorsal view of the male palpal tibia of *L. pellos*. The text descriptions of Urquhart are long but do not allow the recognition of these species. All Urquhart's known types are housed at CMNZ, but the types of these species could not be located (Nicholls et al. 2000) and are now considered lost or destroyed. Given that New Zealand Linyphiidae have received recent taxonomic attention (Blest 1979; Millidge 1988; Blest and Vink 2002, 2003) and that the species described by Urquhart are likely redescribed under another name, it seems best to conclude the following: (1) Urquhart's original descriptions are inadequate for the recognition of these species; (2)



the types are lost or destroyed; (3) these four species are best considered *nomina dubia*; (4) thus, there are no *Linyphia* in New Zealand.

### Araneidae

*Araneus lineacutus* (Urquhart 1887: 90) = *Zealaranea crassa* (Walckenaer 1842) **re-affirmed synonymy.**

*Araneus powelli* (Urquhart 1894: 214) = *Novaranea laevigata* (Urquhart 1891) **re-affirmed synonymy.**

*Araneus sublutius* (Urquhart 1892b: 241) = *Zealaranea trinotata* (Urquhart 1890) **re-affirmed synonymy.**

*Araneus ventricosellus* (Roewer 1942: 835) = *Eriophora heroine* (L. Koch 1871) **re-affirmed synonymy.**

Court and Forster (1988) addressed the identity of all New Zealand species included in *Araneus* and synonymised or transferred these species to other genera, but a few *Araneus* species are still listed for New Zealand in the World Spider Catalog (Platnick 2008). We report here the conclusion of Court and Forster (1988) who studied the type material of Urquhart kept at CMNZ and/or recognized species based on Urquhart's original descriptions. *Araneus lineacutus* (Urquhart 1887) was declared a synonym of *Zealaranea crassa* (Walckenaer 1842: 127) in the summary table of Court and Forster (1988: 69) [as *linea acuta*] but was omitted in the species synonymy given on page 70. Similarly, *Araneus powelli* (Urquhart 1894) is a synonym of *Novaranea laevigata* (Urquhart 1891: 171) but was omitted in species synonymy (page 114); *Araneus sublutius* (Urquhart 1892b) is a synonym of *Zealaranea trinotata* (Urquhart 1890: 247) [as *sublutia*] but was omitted in the species synonymy (page 72); *Araneus ventricosellus* (Roewer 1942) is a replacement name for *Epeira ventriosa* Urquhart 1892b: 243 (preoccupied), and declared a synonym of *Eriophora heroine* (L. Koch 1871: 49) but was omitted in the species synonymy (page 100). These omissions in Court and Forster (1988) were accidental and did not reflect taxonomic uncertainty. In order to provide accurate faunistic data for New Zealand we re-affirm these synonymies.

*Araneus brisbanae* (L. Koch 1867: 176) from New Zealand. **Misidentification.**

The original and only record of *A. brisbanae* in New Zealand is from Keyserling (1887: 164) who stated: "Mr Bradley sammelte dieselbe Art in Neu Seeland." (Mr. Bradley collected the same species in New Zealand). After studying specimens of *A. brisbanae* from Australia, Court and Forster (1988: 113) concluded that the species does not occur in New Zealand and the specimens Bradley collected in New Zealand were misidentified and were likely to have been *Eriophora decorosa* Urquhart 1894. *Araneus brisbanae* is a well-known Australian species that belongs in an undescribed genus (V.W. Framenau, pers. comm.).

### Thomisidae

*Tharpyna munda* L. Koch 1875: 600, plate 47, fig. 3. **Erroneously reported in New Zealand.**

Koch's description does not include any locality but mentions "Ein Exemplar in Mr. Bradley's Sammlung". Mr. Bradley collected some spiders in New Zealand (see comments above for *Araneus brisbanae*), and Simon (1895: 1014) concluded that the species occurs in New Zealand, possibly because of the comments of Keyserling (1887). This interpretation was repeated in Roewer (1955) and Platnick (2008) but in contrast, the New Zealand species lists of Urquhart (1892a), Hutton (1904), and Parrott (1946) do not mention this species or any other *Tharpyna*. Rainbow (1911) and Bonnet (1959: 4414) reported the species from Australia only, which appears the correct interpretation. In the light of actual data, we conclude that the description and illustration of *Tharpyna munda*, which are quite distinctive (see fig. 3 of Koch 1875), do not match any known species from New Zealand, and that the record is best considered erroneous.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to AgResearch and Warren Chinn of the Department of Conservation, Canterbury Conservancy for providing accommodation and logistical support to the first author; to Simon Pollard (CMNZ) for access to type material; to Peter Johns for historical information on the curation of specimens at CMNZ; to Cody Fraser (OMNZ) for efforts to locate material; and to Volker W. Framenau (Department of Terrestrial Zoology, Western Australian Museum, Australia) for unpublished information on Australasian Araneidae. We thank Volker W. Framenau and Mark Harvey (Department of Terrestrial Zoology, Western Australian Museum, Australia), Mike Fitzgerald (Museum of New Zealand Te Papa Tongarewa, New Zealand), Paul Skelley (Center for Systematic Entomology, Florida), Norman Platnick (American Museum of Natural History, United States) and an anonymous reviewer for reviewing the manuscript and providing helpful insights and suggestions. This is publication no. 12 of the Karst Biosciences and Environmental Geophysics Research Laboratories, SWCA Environmental Consultants.

## Literature Cited

- Blest, A. D. 1979.** The spiders of New Zealand. Part V. Linyphiidae-Mynoleninae. Otago Museum Bulletin 5: 95-173.
- Blest, A. D., and C. J. Vink. 2002.** New Zealand spiders: Linyphiidae, Mynogleninae. Supplement to the Records of the Canterbury Museum 16: 1-31.
- Blest, A. D., and C. J. Vink. 2003.** New Zealand spiders: Linyphiidae, Mynogleninae, Linyphiinae. Supplement to the Records of the Canterbury Museum 17: 1-30.
- Bonnet, P. 1959.** Bibliographia Araneorum. Tome II, 5<sup>e</sup> partie: T-Z. Analyse méthodique de toute la littérature aranéologique jusqu'en 1939. Les Artisans de l'Imprimerie Douladoure; Toulouse. p. 4231-5058.
- Bryant, E. B. 1933.** Notes on types of Urquhart's spiders. Records of the Canterbury Museum 4: 1-27.
- Court, D. J., and R. R. Forster. 1988.** The spiders of New Zealand. Part VI. Araneidae-Araneinae. Otago Museum Bulletin 6: 68-124.
- Chamberlain, G. 1946.** Revision of Araneae of New Zealand. Part 2. Records of the Auckland Institute and Museum 3: 85-97.
- Dalmas, R. 1917.** Araignées de Nouvelle Zélande. Annales de la Société entomologique de France 86: 317-340.
- Forster, R. R. 1970.** The spiders of New Zealand. Part III. Desidae, Dictynidae, Hahniidae, Amaurobioididae, Nicodamidae. Otago Museum Bulletin 3: 1-184.
- Forster, R. R., and C. L. Wilton. 1973.** The spiders of New Zealand. Part IV. Agelenidae, Stiphidiidae, Amphinectidae, Amaurobiidae, Neolanidae, Ctenidae, Psechridae. Otago Museum Bulletin 4: 1-309.
- Hann, S. W. 1994.** Descriptions of four *Steatoda* species (Araneae, Theridiidae) found in New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Zoology 21: 225-238.
- Hutton, F. W. 1904.** Index Faunae Novae Zealandiae. Philosophical Institute of Canterbury New Zealand; London. 307 p.
- Jocqué, R., and A. S. Dippenaar-Schoeman. 2007.** Spider Families of the World (second edition). Musée Royal de l'Afrique Centrale; Tervuren. 336 p.
- Keyserling, E. 1887.** Die Arachniden Australiens (Vol. 2). Bauer & Raspe; Nürnberg. p. 153-232.
- Koch, L. 1867.** Beschreibungen neuer Arachniden und Myriapoden. II. Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft Wien 17: 173-250.
- Koch, L. 1871.** Die Arachniden Australiens, nach der Natur beschrieben und abgebildet (Vol. 1). Bauer & Raspe; Nürnberg. p. 1-104.
- Koch, L. 1875.** Die Arachniden Australiens (Vol. 1). Bauer & Raspe; Nürnberg. p. 577-740.
- Marples, R. R. 1959.** The dictynid spiders of New Zealand. Transactions of the Royal Society of New Zealand 87: 333-361.
- Millidge, A. F. 1988.** The spiders of New Zealand. Part VI. Linyphiidae. Otago Museum Bulletin 6: 35-67.
- Nicholls, D. C., P. J. Sirvid, S. D. Pollard, and M. Walker. 2000.** A list of arachnid primary types held in Canterbury Museum. Records of the Canterbury Museum 14: 37-48.



- Parrott, A. W. 1946.** A systematic catalogue of New Zealand spiders. Records of the Canterbury Museum 5: 51-92.
- Patrick, B. H., P. J. Sirvid, and C. J. Vink. 2000.** Obituary: Raymond Robert Forster D. Sc., F.E.S. N.Z., Q.S.O. 19 June 1922 - 1 July 2000. New Zealand Entomologist 23: 95-99.
- Platnick, N. I. 2008.** The World Spider Catalog, version 8.5. Available from <http://research.amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/intro1.html> (accessed March 2008).
- Rainbow, W. J. 1911.** A census of Australian Araneidae. Records of the Australian Museum 9: 107-319.
- Roewer, C. F. 1942.** Katalog der Araneae von 1758 bis 1940. (Vol. 1). Paul Budy; Bremen. p. 1-1040.
- Roewer, C. F. 1951.** Neue Namen einiger Araneen-Arten. Abhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereines zu Bremen 32: 437-456.
- Roewer, C. F. 1955 [imprint date 1954].** Katalog der Araneae von 1758 bis 1940, bzw 1954, Vol. 2a (Lycosaeformia, Dionycha [excl. Salticiformia]). Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique; Brussels. p. 1-923.
- Simon, E. 1895.** Histoire naturelle des araignées (Vol. 1). Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret; Paris. p. 761-1084.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1885.** On the spiders of New Zealand. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 17: 31-53.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1887.** On new species of Araneidea. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 19: 72-118.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1890.** Descriptions of new species of Araneidae. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 22: 239-266.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1891.** On new species of Araneae. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 23: 128-189.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1892a.** Catalogue of the described species of New Zealand Araneidae. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 24: 220-230.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1892b.** Descriptions of new species of Araneae. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 24: 230-253.
- Urquhart, A. T. 1894.** Descriptions of new species of Araneae. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 26: 204-218.
- Vink, C. J., P. Paquin, and N. Dupérré. [In preparation].** Spiders of New Zealand: annotated family key and species list. Manaaki Whenua Press; Lincoln.
- Walckenaer, C. A. 1842.** Histoire naturelle des Insectes. Aptères (Vol. 2). Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret; Paris. p. 1-549.
- Westring, N. 1861.** Araneae Svecicae. Götheborgs Kongliga Vetenskaps och Vitterhets Samhälles Handlingar 7: 1-615.

Received June 23, 2008; accepted August 11, 2008.