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A FAUNAL SURVEY OF STREBLID FLIES (DIPTERA: STREBLIDAE) ASSOCIATED WITH BATS IN PARAGUAY

Carl W. Dick* and Donald Gettinger†
Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409-3131. e-mail: carl.w.dick@ttu.edu

ABSTRACT: An extensive survey of the ectoparasites infesting bats in Paraguay provides information regarding the taxonomy and host distribution of streblid bat flies at a geographic interface between subtropical and temperate habitats. Five families of bats representing 45 species, including Molossidae (5 genera and 15 species), Phyllostomidae (11 genera and 15 species), Noctilionidae (1 genus and 2 species), and Vespertilionidae (4 genera and 12 species) were collected from 24 localities across Paraguay and sampled for ectoparasites. In total, 2,467 bat flies were collected, representing 11 genera and 31 nominal species of Streblidae, of which 6 genera and 24 species are new records for Paraguay. No streblids were collected from vespretilionid bats; 23 species infested phyllostomids, 6 species noctilionids, and 1 species natalid, and 1 species molossids. Streblid bat flies are highly specific to certain host groups and individual host species, and their geographic distributions closely followed those of their host bats. Of 31 streblid species surveyed, 27 were monoxenous (i.e., associated with a group of phylogenetically related hosts). The number of streblid species is greatly reduced in the Chaco region west of the Paraguay River, largely because of the lack of phyllostomid host bats.

Streblid flies are obligate, blood-feeding ectoparasites of bats. In temperate North America, they primarily infest vespretilionid bats (Wenzel, 1970; Guerrero, 1994), and a few species over-winter on hibernating bats (Zeve, 1958; Reisen et al., 1976). However, in Central and South America, the species richness of these flies is increased, primarily in association with phyllostomid bats (Wenzel, 1970). The most important previous studies regarding the taxonomy and distribution of neotropical Streblidae were based on faunal surveys in Panama (Wenzel et al., 1966) and Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976). During those studies, 10 new genera and 94 new species were described, and the taxonomic foundation of New World Streblidae was established. However, very little is known about the distribution and host associations of streblid flies infesting bats in the subtropical and temperate regions of South America. From 1991 to 1997, bats of the Yungas Forests in Argentina were surveyed for ectoparasites (Autino et al., 1999). Although 37 bat species are known from this region, which includes sites in the Argentine provinces of Jujuy, Salta, Tucuman, and Catamarca, only 7 species of streblids were reported (Autino et al., 1999). In southern Brazil, 23 species are known from the state of Paraná (Gracioli and Carvalho, 2001) and 11 species from the state of Rio Grande do Sul (Gracioli and Rui, 2001). An extensive, 5-yr survey of ectoparasites infesting bats in Paraguay provided the opportunity to increase our understanding about the distribution of streblid bat flies across hosts and habitats at the interface of subtropical and temperate zones.

Paraguay is a land-locked country at the northern edge of South America’s “Southern Cone.” Not only do subtropical and temperate climate zones interdigitate across the Paraguayan landscape, elements of the Interior Atlantic Forest, Cerrado, Pantanal, and Chaco regions have faunal and floral connections within the country (Gorham, 1973). Eastern and western portions of Paraguay represent ecogeographic extremes. The east is dominated by hilly, subtropical deciduous forest and forms the western edge of Atlantic rainforest, whereas western Paraguay is Gran Chaco, which is dry and relatively flat, gradually gaining elevation in the west toward the border of Bolivia. Major rivers include the Paraguay, with its slow, southward-flowing channel bisecting the country into east and west; the Parán, which is a fast-flowing river forming Paraguay’s eastern border with Brazil and its southeastern border with Argentina; and the Pilcomayo, a slow-flowing river that separates southwestern Paraguay from Argentina (Fig. 1). Floristically and geographically (Hayes, 1995), the country is divided into 7 phytochoral regions. Willig et al. (2000) provided detailed information regarding Paraguay’s phytochoral regions.

The climatic and biotic complexity of landscapes within Paraguay are ideal for surveying bats and their associated streblid bat flies. The Paraguayan bat fauna is moderately diverse, with 6 families, 28 genera, and 54 species known (López-González, 1998), and a number of the tropical bat species reach their range limits within the country (Koopman, 1982; Willig et al., 2003; Stevens et al., 2004). Few published records exist, however, for Paraguayan Streblidae; only 9 species are known from the country. García and Casal (1965) described Euctenodes (= Strebla guarani) based on 3 specimens from Paraguay, later to be identified as S. mirabilis (Waterhouse) (Wenzel, 1976). Wenzel et al. (1966) reported 2 species from insect collections housed at the Field Museum of Natural History (FMNH), Chicago, Illinois: Megistopoda proxima Ségyu, and Trichobius furmani Wenzel. Whitaker and Abrell (1987) added 4 more species: Aspidoptera falcata Wenzel, Megistopoda aranea Coquillett, Trichobius joblingi Wenzel, and T. parasiticus Gervais. Additionally, Guerrero (1997) listed Aspidoptera phyllostomatis Perty and Metelasmus pseudopterus Coquillett. Except for S. mirabilis, all these species are associated exclusively with phyllostomid bats that are common and widespread in Paraguay.

The purpose of the present paper is to report the results of a faunal survey of streblid bat flies infesting bats in Paraguay and to establish a foundation for understanding the distribution and host associations of these ectoparasites in a temperate region of South America near the geographic limits of many of the bats known to host streblids in the neotropics. These faunal surveys and the host–parasite collections that they produce are fundamental to the development of future research in coevolution and biogeography.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field methods

During 1994–1999, an extensive collection of small mammals and ectoparasites was made in Paraguay during a survey entitled “Mammals of Paraguay and their ectoparasites: a tropical–subtropical interface.” Bats hosting bat flies were collected from 24 localities across Paraguay (Fig. 1), and locality codes were standardized to those used by Willig et al. (2000). Site selection ensured representation of all major biomes, moisture, and temperature gradients in Paraguay. Bats were collected with nylon mist nets or by hand and were kept individually in either cloth bags or polyvinyl chloride tubes with the ends covered by cotton cloth. Details regarding the collection of bats have been reported previously by Willig et al. (2000). Bats were anesthetized and brushed for ectoparasites, which were stored in 70% ethanol. Details regarding ectoparasite collection have been described previously by Gettinger (1992). At all times, great care was taken to avoid cross-host contamination of ectoparasites.
**Table I.** Locality information for 24 sites in Paraguay at which bat flies were collected from 1995 to 1999. Site numbers are as described in Figure 1 and Willig et al. (2000).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Latitude (S)</th>
<th>Longitude (W)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Asunción, Universidad Católica</td>
<td>25°19.49'</td>
<td>57°38.29'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Presidente Hayes</td>
<td>Estancia La Victoria</td>
<td>23°39.03'</td>
<td>58°34.79'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cordillera</td>
<td>Estancia Sombrero</td>
<td>25°04.26'</td>
<td>56°36.08'</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Paraguari</td>
<td>Lago Ypoá</td>
<td>25°56.71'</td>
<td>57°26.80'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Concepción</td>
<td>Estancia Cerrito</td>
<td>23°15.14'</td>
<td>57°29.57'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Alto Paraguay</td>
<td>Fuerte Olimpo</td>
<td>21°02.37'</td>
<td>57°52.29'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Misiones</td>
<td>Ayolas</td>
<td>27°23.42'</td>
<td>56°50.15'</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Itapúa</td>
<td>Parque Nacional San Rafael</td>
<td>26°45.46'</td>
<td>55°51.67'</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Alto Paraguay</td>
<td>Bahía Negra</td>
<td>20°10.98'</td>
<td>58°09.42'</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>San Pedro</td>
<td>Yaguaréte Forest</td>
<td>23°48.50'</td>
<td>56°07.68'</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Amambay</td>
<td>Parque Nacional Cerro Corá</td>
<td>22°37.90'</td>
<td>56°01.43'</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Concepción</td>
<td>Parque Nacional Serranía de San Luis</td>
<td>22°37.91'</td>
<td>57°21.35'</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Neembucú</td>
<td>Estancia Yacaré</td>
<td>26°37.94'</td>
<td>58°07.46'</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Canindeyú</td>
<td>Reserva Natural del Bosque Mbaracayú</td>
<td>24°07.69'</td>
<td>55°30.34'</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Presidente Hayes</td>
<td>Estancia Loma Porá</td>
<td>23°29.92'</td>
<td>57°32.92'</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Alto Paraguay</td>
<td>Estancia Tres Marias</td>
<td>21°16.72'</td>
<td>59°33.13'</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Presidente Hayes</td>
<td>Estancia Samaklay</td>
<td>23°28.81'</td>
<td>59°48.43'</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Boquerón</td>
<td>Dr. Pedro P. Peña</td>
<td>22°27.16'</td>
<td>62°20.65'</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Canindeyú</td>
<td>Estancia Rivas</td>
<td>24°30.43'</td>
<td>54°38.25'</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Caazapá</td>
<td>Estancia Golondrina</td>
<td>25°32.30'</td>
<td>55°29.02'</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Paraguari</td>
<td>Parque Nacional Ybycuy</td>
<td>26°04.64'</td>
<td>56°50.98'</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Boquerón</td>
<td>Parque Nacional Teniente Enciso</td>
<td>21°11.40'</td>
<td>61°41.81'</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Alto Paraguay</td>
<td>Palmar de Las Islas</td>
<td>19°32.91'</td>
<td>60°31.64'</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Itapúa</td>
<td>Estancia Parabel</td>
<td>26°21.80'</td>
<td>55°31.45'</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Laboratory methods**

Most specimens were studied in alcohol under a dissection microscope, whereas some were slide-mounted in Canada balsam (cf. Wenzel et al., 1966) for examination under a compound microscope. Identifications were confirmed by comparison of the Paraguayan specimens to type specimens and other reference collections housed at the FMNH. The specimen information presented here extends the classic taxonomic catalogs documenting streblids in Panama (Wenzel et al., 1966) and Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976). The specimens from Paraguay have been deposited in the research collection of the FMNH as well as in other institutions that have substantial holdings of neotropical Streblidae. Each streblid specimen can be traced to a voucher host specimen that has been deposited in either the Museum of Texas Tech University or the Museo Nacional de Historia Natural del Paraguay.

**RESULTS**


In total, 2,893 individuals representing 45 species of bats were sampled for ectoparasites in Paraguay; streblids were collected from 19 host species (42.2%). Of 5 bat families surveyed, 3 were highly infested; *Phylllostomidae* (11 of 15 bat species, hosting 23 species of streblids), *Noctilionidae* (2 of 2 bat species, hosting 6 species of streblids), and *Natalidae* (1 of 1 bat species, hosting 1 species of streblid). Bats of the *Molossidae* also were infested, but at lower levels (5 of 15 bat species, hosting 1 streblid species). Although 52 specimens of *Pygoderma bilabiatum* Wagner (Phylllostomidae) were sampled, none yielded bat flies. No streblid flies were collected from vespertilionid bats.

Streblid bat flies were highly host specific, and their geographic distributions closely followed those of their host bats. No fly species were collected outside the range of the primary host. Species richness is much higher in eastern than in western Paraguay; 31 species of streblids collected, 28 occur in the east (and 19 of these only in the east). In contrast, species occur in the west (and 3 of these only in the west). Twenty-seven of the 31 species were monoxenous (i.e., associated with a single host species). Four species were stenoxenous (= pleioxyenous; i.e., associated with ≥2 phylogenetically related host species). One of the monoxenous fly species is based on a questionable record (see *Speiseria ambigua* species account).

For each fly species, the following accounts list the author and year of description, distribution within Paraguay by site number(s) (Fig. 1, Table I), and commentary where applicable. The streblid fly species collected in Paraguay during the...
Table II. List of streblid bat fly species collected in Paraguay during the present study. Host association and ecological information are presented as follows: Species = bat fly species; Primary host(s) = host species defined as primary host; SI = specificity index, percentage of total bat flies of a single species found on the primary host(s); Prevalence = percentage of primary host individuals sampled that yielded bat flies (no. of positive host individuals, no. of host individuals sampled); Other host(s) = host species defined as nonprimary hosts (no. of parasites found on host).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Primary host(s)</th>
<th>SI (%)</th>
<th>Prevalence(s) (%)</th>
<th>Other host(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aspidoptera falcata</td>
<td>Sturnira lilium (230)</td>
<td>98.7</td>
<td>29.6 (120, 406)</td>
<td>Artibeus fimbriatus (1) A. lituratus (1) Platyrrhinus lineatus (1) A. lituratus (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. phyllostomatis</td>
<td>Artibeus fimbriatus (19)</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>18.5 (15, 81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastopora guimardesi</td>
<td>Lophostoma brasiliense (11)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0 (1, 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megistopoda aranea</td>
<td>A. fimbriatus (66)</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>55.6 (45, 81)</td>
<td>A. lituratus (2) A. fimbriatus (2) Carollia perspicillata (2) P. lineatus (1) A. lituratus (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. proxima</td>
<td>Sturnira lilium (360)</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>48.3 (196, 406)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metelasmus pseudopterus</td>
<td>A. fimbriatus (17)</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>18.5 (15, 81)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. wenzeli</td>
<td>S. lilium (5)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1.0 (4, 406)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocitillosstrebla aitkeni</td>
<td>Nocitillo leporinus (79)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>46.4 (13, 28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. dubia</td>
<td>N. leporinus (16)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>25.0 (7, 28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. maai</td>
<td>N. albiventeris (213)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>67.2 (45, 67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paradysschiria fusca</td>
<td>N. leporinus (227)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>75.0 (21, 28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. parvula</td>
<td>N. albiventeris (434)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>91.0 (61, 67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. longicurus</td>
<td>A. lituratus (156)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>24.4 (85, 348)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. salvini</td>
<td>Platyrrhinus lineatus (3)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>3.4 (3, 89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speiseria ambigua</td>
<td>Glossohaga soricina (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stebula chrotoperti</td>
<td>Chrotopterus auritus (15)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>50.0 (2, 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. curvata</td>
<td>G. soricina (5)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>5.5 (3, 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. diaeni</td>
<td>Diaemus youngi (37)</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>80.0 (8, 10)</td>
<td>Eumops patagonicus (1) A. fimbriatus (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. guajiro</td>
<td>Carollia perspicillata (25)</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>23.6 (17, 72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. wiedemanni</td>
<td>Desmodus rotundus (76)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>23.5 (12, 51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichobius angulatus</td>
<td>P. lineatus (10)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>6.7 (6, 89)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. diaemi</td>
<td>Diaemus youngi (5)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>30.0 (3, 10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. dagesii</td>
<td>G. soricina (8)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>9.1 (5, 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. galei</td>
<td>Natalus stramineus (6)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0 (1, 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. joblingi</td>
<td>C. perspicillata (64)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>45.8 (33, 72)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. jubatus</td>
<td>Eumops patagonicus (29)</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>4.4 (23, 521)</td>
<td>E. glaucinus (1) Molossops temminckii (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. longipes</td>
<td>Phyllostomus hastatus (1)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0 (1, 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. parasiticus</td>
<td>Desmodus rotundus (219)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>31.4 (16, 51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. uniformis</td>
<td>G. soricina (8)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>10.9 (6, 55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xenotrichobius noctilionis</td>
<td>Nocitillo albiventeris (6)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>9.0 (6, 67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Present study are listed in Table II. Table II also presents data relevant to the species accounts, such as the primary host(s), specificity index, prevalence, and other hosts.

**Aspidoptera falcata** Wenzel, 1976

**Distribution:** Amambay (12); Canindeyú (15, 21); Concepción (13); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (9, 28); Neembucú (14); Paraguari (5, 23); San Pedro (11).

**Commentary:** The primary host in Paraguay is *Sturnira lilium*. Because nonprimary hosts are infested only by single specimens and the primary host also was collected on the same day, the records from other host bats are considered to be contaminants. In Venezuela, 98.9% of the 755 *Aspidoptera falcata* specimens were collected from *Sturnira* spp. (Wenzel, 1976).

**Aspidoptera phyllostomatis** Perry, 1833

**Distribution:** Canindeyú (21); Concepción (13); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (28).

**Commentary:** The primary hosts are *Artibeus fimbriatus* and *A. jamaicensis* (together hosting 93.1% of the specimens collected). The remaining 2 specimens were collected from 2 individuals of *A. lituratus* on 2 separate days when individuals of the primary host were collected. In Venezuela, 95.5% of *A. phyllostomatis* were associated with *A. jamaicensis*, and Wenzel
(1976) also did not consider *A. lituratus* to be a true host of this fly species.

**Mastoptera guimaraeasi** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Concepción (13).

*Commentary:* This host represents the second record of *P. hastatus* in Paraguay. The pregnant female was collected in Cerrado habitat at the extreme northeast of Paraguay.

**Mastoptera minuta** Costa Lima, 1921

*Distribution:* Presidente Hayes (1).

*Commentary:* In Venezuela, the characteristic host of *M. minuta* was *Lophostoma silvicolum* d’Orbigny (Wenzel, 1976). The 11 specimens from Paraguay were collected from 1 individual of *Lophostoma brasiliense*. The taxonomy of *Mastoptera* is complex and poorly understood, and Wenzel (1976) noted that undescribed species exist within *M. minuta*. We assign the Paraguayan specimens to *M. minuta*, but a revision of *Mastoptera* may establish these specimens as distinct.

**Megistopoda aranea** Coquillett, 1899

*Distribution:* Amambay (12); Caazapá (22); Canindeyú (15, 21); Concepción (6, 13); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (9, 28); Neembucú (14); Paraguari (5).

*Commentary:* The primary hosts are *Artibeus fimbriatus* and *A. jamaicensis* (together hosting 98.1% of the specimens collected). The remaining 2 flies were collected from 1 individual of *A. lituratus* on the same day that several primary hosts also were collected; we consider the records from *A. lituratus* to be contaminants. Most large *Artibeus* bats typically are infested by a species of *Aspidoptera*, a species of *Megistopoda*, and occasionally, a species of *Metelasmus*. However, *A. lituratus* is unique in that it is infested only by *Paratrichobius longicrus*.

**Megistopoda proxima** Séguy, 1926

*Distribution:* Amambay (12); Caazapá (22); Canindeyú (15, 21); Concepción (13); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (9, 28); Neembucú (14); Paraguari (5, 23); San Pedro (11).

*Commentary:* The characteristic host is *Sturnira lilium*. The remaining 7 flies were collected from 7 individuals of 4 bat species; all of these dubious records were collected on days that the primary host was collected. Thus, we consider records of *M. proxima* from hosts other than *S. lilium* to be contaminants. Wenzel (1976) suggested that *Megistopoda proxima*, as currently described, represents a complex of species; specimens infesting other species of *Sturnira* (e.g., *S. ludovici* Anthony, *S. tildae* de la Torre, *S. erythromos* Tschudi) and that have been referred to *M. proxima* almost certainly represent other species of *Megistopoda*. The morphological differences among *M. proxima* and *M. theodoroi* Wenzel (1976), *Megistopoda* spp. have not been studied in detail and are in need of revision. In Venezuela, 98.7% of specimens referred to the *M. proxima* complex were collected from *Sturnira* spp. (Wenzel, 1976). In Panama, *S. lilium parvidens* was the characteristic host of *M. proxima*, and Paraguayan specimens from *S. lilium*, when compared to the Panamanian material, were referred to *M. proxima* (Wenzel et al., 1966).

**Metelasmus pseudopterus** Coquillett, 1907

*Distribution:* Canindeyú (15, 21); Concepción (13); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (28); San Pedro (11).

*Other Paraguayan records* (Coquillett, 1907): Paraguari: 1 (sex not given) from *Artibeus lituratus* Sapucáí (no specific locality or date given).

*Commentary:* Coquillett (1907) briefly described *M. pseudopterus* and included the following information for the type specimen: “Sapucay, Paraguay, South America. A single specimen collected on a bat, *Artibius (sic) lituratus*.” Coquillett did not identify the sex, although his illustrated specimen appears to be female. Graciolli and Dick (2004) discussed host relationships of *Metelasmus* spp. and concluded that across the range of *M. pseudopterus*, the true hosts were *A. fimbriatus*, *A. jamaicensis*, and *A. planirostris* (Spix). More comparative study is needed on this genus.

**Metelasmus wenzeli** Graciolli and Dick, 2004

*Distribution:* These are type localities. Canindeyú (21).

*Commentary:* A new form of *Metelasmus* was first reported as a result of the Smithsonian Venezuelan Project (Wenzel, 1976) from 2 flies infesting *Sturnira ludovici* in Barinas, Venezuela. Wenzel did not describe the new form, however, because the 2 specimens were damaged. The form from *S. ludovici* is almost certainly not *M. wenzeli*, but we lack specimens to confirm this (G. Graciolli, pers. comm.). *Metelasmus wenzeli* also is known from Paraná and São Paulo states in Brazil. *Metelasmus wenzeli* was described recently by Graciolli and Dick (2004), and the characteristic host in both Paraguay and Brazil was *Sturnira lilium*. *Metelasmus wenzeli* is a rarely sampled species given the prevalence of <1% in >400 bats sampled in Paraguay.

**Noctiliostrela aitkeni** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Boquerón (19); Concepción (6); Presidente Hayes (1, 18).

*Commentary:* This species is known to coexist on *Noctilio leporinus* with a congener, *N. dubia* (see species account).

**Noctiliostrela dubia** Rudow, 1871

*Distribution:* Concepción (6); Presidente Hayes (1, 18).

*Commentary:* It is a remarkable exception among streblid flies when congeners coexist on host individuals. Of the 7 bats hosting *N. dubia*, 6 were simultaneously infested with *N. aitkeni*; 1 bat was parasitized by 15 *N. aitkeni* and 4 *N. dubia*. These species are very similar in overall size and morphology, but they possess distinctly different second sternites. *Noctiliostrela aitkeni* possesses the generalized sternum 2, which is slightly convex and without any heavy setae. However, *N. dubia* possesses a strongly tumid sternum 2, which is covered posteroventrally with very heavy, spinelike setae. Observational and experimental studies are needed for confirmation, but the differences in sternum 2 morphology of these coexisting congeners possibly adapt them to inhabit different microhabitats on the host’s body.
**Noctiliostrebla maai** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (10); Concepción (6); Misiones (8); Paraguari (5); Presidente Hayes (1).

**Paradyschiria fusca** Speiser, 1900

*Distribution:* Boquerón (19); Concepción (6); Presidente Hayes (1, 18).

**Paradyschiria parvula** Falcoz, 1931

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (7, 10); Concepción (6); Misiones (8); Paraguari (5); Presidente Hayes (1).

**Paratrichobius longicus** Miranda Ribeiro, 1907

*Distribution:* Caazapá (22); Canindeyú (15, 21); Central (0); Cordillera (4); Itapúa (28); Paraguari (23); San Pedro (11).

*Commentary:* As in Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976), the characteristic host in Paraguay is *Artibeus lituratus*, which is not known to host parasites of *Megistopoda* spp. and *Aspidoptera* spp., characteristic parasites of other species of *Artibeus* in Paraguay (*A. jamacensis* and *A. fimbriatus*) and elsewhere in the New World. *Paratrichobius* and *Megistopoda* may be ecological equivalents, because they are fairly similar in gross morphology, possess long hind legs, and appear to be adapted to move over the fur of their hosts. They can be distinguished easily, however, in that *Paratrichobius* spp. have fully functional wings but *Megistopoda* spp. have nonfunctional, straplike wings.

**Paratrichobius salvini** Wenzel

*Distribution:* Amambay (12).

*Commentary:* *Chiroderma salvini* Dobson is the type host of *Paratrichobius salvini*, but this species has been reported in stenoxenous association with several related host species. Wenzel et al. (1966) in Panama, and Wenzel (1976) in Venezuela, noted a wide range of morphological variation among *P. salvini* infesting different host species. It is probable that *P. salvini* is a complex of species infesting bats of certain species in the genera of Stenodermatinae, including *Chiroderma* Peters, *Platyrhinus* Saussure, *Vampyressa* Thomas, and *Vampyrodes* Thomas. The specimens collected from Paraguay certainly fall into this complex, and pending revisionary studies, we have assigned the Paraguayan specimens infesting *P. lineatus* to *Paratrichobius salvini*.

**Speiseria ambigua** Kessel, 1925

*Distribution:* Amambay (12).

*Commentary:* In Venezuela and most of the New World tropics, *Speiseria ambigua* is a characteristic parasite of *Carollia perspicillata*. Fully 96% of the *S. ambigua* collected in Venezuela were from 220 specimens of *C. perspicillata* (Wenzel, 1976). *Carollia perspicillata* also was commonly captured in Paraguay. Four individuals of *C. perspicillata* were collected at Cerro Corá the same night as this particular *Glossophaga soricina*, and one of the *Carollia* specimens possibly hosted this species of *Speiseria*. This streblid is known to be an unusually exciting species that readily abandons the host when disturbed (pers. obs.). However, it is puzzling that of the 72 *C. perspicillata* searched for parasites, none was host to *S. ambigua* (although other characteristic streblids, *Trichobius joblingi* and *Strebla guajiro*, were prevalent in Paraguay).

**Strebla chrotopteri** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Canindeyú (15); San Pedro (11).

**Strebla curvata** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Amambay (12).

**Strebla diaemi** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (7); Presidente Hayes (1, 16).

*Commentary:* This bat fly species is a typical parasite of the vampire bat (*Diaemus youngi*). The single specimen recorded from the molossid bat (*Eumops patagonicus*) is almost certainly a contaminant, because a specimen of *D. youngi* was sampled on the same day before the specimen of *E. patagonicus*. Moreover, Wenzel et al. (1966) and Wenzel (1976) concur that *D. youngi* is the true host of *S. diaemi*.

**Strebla guajiro** Garcia and Casal, 1965

*Distribution:* Amambay (12); Canindeyú (15, 21).

*Commentary:* The characteristic host in Paraguay is *Carollia perspicillata* (hosting 25 of 26 specimens [96.2%]). The single specimen from *A. fimbriatus* probably represents a contaminant, because the host bat sampled immediately before was *C. perspicillata*. In Venezuela, *S. guajiro* also was known from other species of *Carollia* (Wenzel, 1976). Of the 586 specimens of *S. guajiro* sampled during the Venezuelan survey, 577 (98.5%) were collected from *Carollia* spp. The 9 remaining specimens were sampled individually from 9 bats representing 9 different species (Wenzel, 1976).

**Strebla wiedemanni** Kolenati, 1856

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (7); Amambay (12); Concepción (13).

**Trichobius angulatus** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Amambay (12).

*Commentary:* Although *Trichobius angulatus* was recorded from *Platyrhinus aurarius* Handley and Ferris in Venezuela, the congener *P. lineatus* appears to be the characteristic host in Paraguay.

**Trichobius diaemi** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Presidente Hayes (16).

**Trichobius dugesii** Townsend, 1891

*Distribution:* Amambay (12); Concepción (13).

*Commentary:* *Trichobius dugesii* is a characteristic parasite of *Glossophaga soricina* in Paraguay. It was known to occur commonly on *Glossophaga longirostris* Miller in Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976). This species co-occurs on *G. soricina* with another congener, *T. uniformis*.
**Trichobius gaei** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Concepción (13).

**Trichobius joblingi** Wenzel, 1966

*Distribution:* Amambay (12); Caazapá (22); Canindeyú (15, 21); Concepción (13); Itapúa (9); San Pedro (11).

**Trichobius jubatus** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (17, 25); Boquerón (24); Cordillera (4); Neembucú (14); Paraguari (5); Presidente Hayes (1, 16, 18).

*Commentary:* *Trichobius jubatus* is exceptional among Paraguayan bat flies in that it is the only species regularly collected from more than 1 genus of host bat. However, it was collected exclusively from molossid bats. Wenzel (1976) reported *T. jubatus* from both *M. rufus* and *M. mollusus*, as does the present study. However, our specimens from *E. patagonicus* represent a new host record for *T. jubatus*. The molossid genera *Molossus* É. Geoffroy and *Promops* Gervais are closely related sister taxa, with *Eumops* Miller as the sister group to the *Molossus–Promops* clade (Jones et al., 2002; L. K. Ammerman, pers. comm.). Both *Promops centralis* Thomas (4 specimens) and *P. nasutus* Spix (8 specimens) were sampled for parasites during the study, but both species failed to yield bat fly specimens. Given the relationships of these molossid genera, either vertical or horizontal transmission may explain the presence of *T. jubatus* on *E. patagonicus*. However, whether *T. jubatus* represents 2 or more species is unknown at this time and warrants further study. The records from *E. glaucinus* and *Molossops temminckii* could represent contamination, because primary host bats were captured on the same day.

**Trichobius longipes** Rudow, 1871

*Distribution:* Concepción (13).

*Commentary:* *Trichobius longipes* was a characteristic parasite of *P. hastatus* in Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976), but this bat species is rare in Paraguay. The pregnant female host was collected in Cerrado habitat in the extreme northeast of Paraguay, and it represents the second record of *P. hastatus* in Paraguay.

**Trichobius parasiticus** Gervais, 1844

*Distribution:* Alto Paraguay (7, 17); Amambay (12); Concepción (13); Cordillera (4).

*Commentary:* Wenzel et al. (1966) reported that *T. furmani* may replace *T. parasiticus* on *D. rotundus* in some parts of South America. This does not appear to be the case in Paraguay (see Discussion).

**Trichobius uniformis** Curran, 1935

*Distribution:* Amambay (12).

*Commentary:* The holotype of *T. uniformis* was collected in Panama from *Glossophaga soricina* (Curran, 1935). The strong association of *T. uniformis* with *G. soricina* has been verified by survey work in Panama (Wenzel et al., 1966) and in Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976). This bat fly co-occurs on *G. soricina* with a congener, *T. dugesii*.

**Xenobichrotrichobius noctilionis** Wenzel, 1976

*Distribution:* Misiones (8); Presidente Hayes (1).

*Commentary:* To our knowledge, this is the first report of *Xenobichrotrichobius* sp. for Paraguay. Guerrero (1998) provided a review, including the description of *X. linaresi* from Venezuelan *N. leporinus*. Two other forms (both occurring on Peruvian *N. albiventris*) were described by Guerrero (1998) but not named at the time, because only a single specimen represented each form. It remains unclear whether these 2 forms represent 1 or 2 species (Guerrero, 1998). The Paraguayan specimens compare with *Xenobichrotrichobius* sp. (sensu Guerrero, 1998) in that the females possess long, fine, dorsolateral connexival setae and long setae on the epiproct and the male postgonites are long, fine, and acuminate. However, they differ from *Xenobichrotrichobius* sp. (sensu Guerrero 1998) in that the termini of the postgonites of the male Paraguayan specimens are more curved ventrally. Also, 1 of the forms reported by Guerrero (1998) had a complete longitudinal thoracic suture, whereas this suture extended only half the distance to the transverse suture in the other form. All the Paraguayan specimens possess an incomplete longitudinal suture; however, they vary in length from one-half to three-fourths of the distance to the transverse suture. We have had the opportunity to study 28 specimens (13 male and 15 female) of *Xenobichrotrichobius* sp. collected from *N. albiventris* in the Manu Biosphere Reserve in Peru (pers. obs.). All the Manu specimens possessed a complete longitudinal suture; however, they also all possessed a short gap in the suture, approximately two-thirds of the distance to the transverse suture. The specimens reported by Guerrero (1998) possessed a truly complete longitudinal suture. Given that the character variation within *Xenobichrotrichobius* sp. is in need of further study, and that the specimens from Manu will be treated elsewhere, we shall defer a taxonomic decision regarding the Paraguayan specimens pending a complete generic revision of *Xenobichrotrichobius*.

**DISCUSSION**

This specimen-based survey provides the first extensive documentation of the taxonomy, geography, and host distribution of streblid flies infesting bats in Paraguay. Until now, only 9 species were known from the country, but the occurrence of *Strebla mirabilis* in Paraguay is controversial. García and Casal (1965) described *Euctenodes guarani* from “Paraguay, sobre murciélago.” Wenzel (1976) studied these illustrations and determined that their new taxon was in synonymy with *Strebla mirabilis*, which in Venezuela is a xenogenous parasite of *Trachops cirrhosus* Spix, *Phyllostomus elongatus* É. Geoffroy, and *P. hastatus*. To our knowledge, the first 2 host species have never been collected in Paraguay (López-González, 1998; Willig et al. 2000), and only 2 Paraguayan specimens of *P. hastatus* are known (the second of which hosted specimens in the present study), making it improbable that *S. mirabilis* has been collected in Paraguay. However, while studying Brazilian *Strebla*, Gracioli (2004) determined that *S. guarani* was, indeed, a true species and validated the taxon in association with 2 phyllostomine bats, *Mimon Bennettii* Gray and *Tonatia bidens* Spix, in Brazil. Gracioli has been unable to examine the type specimens of *S. guarani*, which are in Buenos Aires, Argentina (G. Gracioli, pers. comm.), to confirm that the Paraguayan specimens are, indeed, *S. guarani*. Nonetheless, it is plausible that *S. gua-
rani occurs in Paraguay in association with *T. bidens*, and if so, the type specimens of García and Casal (1965) likely came from the same host.

The report by Wenzel et al. (1966) of Paraguay an *T. furmani* requires comment. One specimen is known from “Rückenau, Friesland Colony near Itacurubí del Rosario, [Department San Pedro] Paraguay, 14 June 1960, S. L. Loewen,” collected from *Glossophaga soricina* soricina. *Trichobius furmani* is a characteristic parasite of the vampire bats (Wenzel et al., 1966). It is unlikely that *G. soricina* was the true host to this specimen. However, the association may represent a transitory one, because *G. soricina* and *Desmodus rotundus* have been observed roosting in close proximity (B. D. Patterson, pers. comm.; unpub. data). In Paraguay, 58 and 11 *D. rotundus* and *Diaemus youngi*, respectively, were collected, but none was host to *T. furmani*. It has been suggested that the species may replace *Trichobius parasiticus* in some parts of South America (Wenzel et al., 1966). Indeed, 22 of 139 *Desmodus rotundus* searched for parasites in Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil, hosted 97 individuals of *T. furmani*, yet none hosted *T. parasiticus* (C. E. Esbérard, pers. comm.). Given the faunal affinities of eastern Paraguay with the Atlantic Forest region of Brazil, it is noteworthy to encounter complete species replacement in a short geographic distance.

Bat flies were recovered from only 58% of the total number of sampled bat species, but 8 of 11 genera and 23 of 31 species of flies (74%) infested phyllostomid bats. Of 10 species of phyllostomid bats with >5 specimens sampled in the survey, 9 were infested with streblids; only *Pygoderma bilabiatum* (*n* = 52) remained free of bat flies. *Pygoderma* is a member of the phyllostomid subtribe Stenodermatina, a highly supported monophyletic clade that includes species of *Ametrida Gray*, *Ardops Miller*, *Ariteus Gray*, *Centurio Gray*, *Pygoderma*, *Sphaeronyceteris* Peters, and *Stenodera* É. Geoffroy (Baker et al., 2003).

To date, we are aware of no substantiated records of bat flies associated with any hosts in this clade. In his summary of host associations for New World Streblidae, Guerrero (1998) listed 2 species associated with *Ametrida centurio* Gray and 5 species associated with *Sphaeronyceteris toxophyllum* Peters. However, Guerrero (1998) did not discriminate between primary-host associations and those that may have been transitory or the result of sample contamination. Because each of the 7 streblid species listed for these 2 hosts is strongly associated with other host species, we regard the records for species of *Ametrida* and *Sphaeronyceteris* to be transitory or to represent contamination. Moreover, these 2 hosts, considered together, represent the most derived clades within the Phyllostomidae (Baker et al., 2003). If members of the host clade were true hosts to bat flies, we would expect the flies to form a unique fauna; to our knowledge, there exist no such flies. Three explanations are possible (Paterson et al., 1999) for the lack of streblids on the tribe Stenodermatina: (1) Streblids may have gone extinct on this lineage following the host speciation event, (2) streblids may have been absent on the ancestral population that gave rise to the host lineage, or (3) streblids occur in such low numbers on these hosts that they have not yet been detected.

Although 403 bats representing 4 genera and 12 species were sampled, streblids were not collected from any vespertilionid bats in Paraguay. In North America, Central America, and the Antilles, vespertilionid bats are infested with species of the *Tri-

chobius major* species group (Wenzel, 1970; Peterson and Härka, 1974). However, species of this group reach their southern distributional limits in northern South America, and no species of the *T. major* group are known from south of Peru (Guerrero, 1994). No equivalent group of species parasitize vespertilionid bats in the southern temperate zone. Wenzel et al. (1966) provided morphological evidence suggesting that the *Trichobius major* group, along with *Anatrichobius* Wenzel and *Joblingia schmicki* Dybas and Wenzel, form a phylogenetically related group. *Anatrichobius* spp. and *J. schmicki* are relatively rare species that parasitize species of *Myotis* in Central and South America. *Joblingia schmicki* appears to be restricted to Guatemala and Panama (Guerrero, 1995), and *Anatrichobius* spp. are distributed as far south as northern Argentina and southern Brazil (Gracioli, 2003). Wenzel and Tipton (1966) stated that *A. scorzai* Wenzel and *J. schmicki* were, in Panama, restricted to the “Lower Montane Zone” of 1,500–2,600 m. In southern Brazil, *Anatrichobius* spp. are restricted to elevations >600 m (Gracioli, 2003). The highest altitude sampled in Paraguay was 400 m. Results of the present survey concur with those of Gracioli (2003), and it is probable that a lack sampling at elevations >600 m precluded the collection of bat flies from vespertilionid bats in Paraguay.

Two other families of insect ectoparasites share many ecological and morphological characteristics with the Streblidae and infest Paraguayan bats. Nycteribiid flies (Diptera: Nycteribiidae) (Guimarães, 1972) and polycytenid bugs (Hemiptera: Polycytenidae) (Ueshima, 1972) are obligate blood-feeding associates of vespertilionid and molossid bats, respectively. In Paraguay, we collected nycteribiids from vespertilionid bats and polycytenids from molossid bats. Streblid flies were not collected from vespertilionids, and a single species, *T. jubatus*, was collected from molossids in low numbers (prevalence <1%). These complementary faunal distributions have been proposed as evidence of competitive displacement (Wenzel and Tipton, 1966; Marshall, 1982).

Life-cycle studies with streblid bat flies show that they are not completely host limited but pupiposit on substrates near roosting bats; newly emerged adult flies must find and choose their hosts (Overal, 1980; Fritz, 1983). Given this dynamic of their life cycle, the observed level of host specificity is truly remarkable. Noc-tilionid bats often roost with other species of bats and usually are heavily infested with streblids. In Paraguay, we collected 6 species of streblids from both species of noctilionid bats. Eighty-eight percent of sampled noc-tilionids were infested with streblids; 63 of 67 *Noctilio albiventris* and 21 of 28 *N. leporinus* were infested with 653 and 322 streblids, respectively. However, the host specificity of these fly species was 100%. Moreover, of 2,467 streblid flies collected in the present study, only 21 (0.85%) were collected from nonprimary host species. Whereas this level of host specificity is remarkable alone, in all but 1 case of a nonprimary host association, the primary host species was captured and sampled the very same day. In major mammal–ectoparasite surveys conducted over the years in Panama (Wenzel et al., 1966), Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976), and Paraguay (present study), increasing emphasis has been placed, via sampling protocols, on controlling for cross-host contamination of ectoparasites. The remarkable host specificity of streblid bat flies becomes evident when such measures are in place while sampling the hosts and parasites.
Not surprisingly, the distribution of host-specific parasites, such as streblid bat flies, tends to follow the distribution of their hosts and little else. Biogeographically, the streblid fauna of Paraguay can be broken down into a rich eastern fauna, a depauperate western fauna, and a widespread fauna. For example, 19 species were collected only from localities east of the Paraguay River, whereas 3 species are known only from west of the river. Nine species were collected on both sides of the river. Of the 33 streblid species known from Paraguay, only 16 have been collected in numbers >20 individuals. Eight species (Aspidoptera falcata, A. phyllostomatis, Megistopoda aranea, M. proxima, Paratrichobius longicrus, Metelasmus pseudopterus, Streblu guajiro, and Trichobius jobjingi) are parasites of frugivorous phyllostomid bats and are restricted completely to eastern Paraguay. Seven species are widespread in their distribution (Noctiliostrebla aitkeni, N. maal, Paradyctyris fusca, P. parvula, Strebla diaemi, S. wiedemanni, and Trichobius parasiticus), infesting either Desmodus rotundus (widespread because of the influence of domestic livestock) or noctilionid fishing bats (found along riverine habitats, especially those of the Paraguay and Pilcomayo rivers) (Fig. 1). Finally, a mostly western fauna is constituted by only 1 species, Trichobius jubatus, exclusively a parasite of molossid bats. Of 80 individuals of this species, 75% (60) were collected west of the Paraguay River, and only 1.25% (1 specimen) was collected in an eastern department not bordering the Paraguay River. The strong east-west distributional pattern of streblid flies mirrors the conclusions of Willig et al. (2000). Although eastern and western Paraguay are comparable in bat species richness (34 vs. 30 species, respectively), the western molossid bat assemblage supports only 1 streblid species, whereas the eastern phyllostomid bat assemblage supports 19 species.

Streblid species richness is lower in southern South America than in more tropical regions (Wenzel et al., 1966; Wenzel, 1976). Although the disparity may, in part, reflect the high level of sampling effort undertaken in the latter 2 countries, the differences are clearly explained by the species richness of host bats. For example, considering the results of these 3 large, extensive surveys, 100 bat species were sampled in Panama (Handley, 1966), 132 species in Venezuela (Handley, 1976), and 54 species in Paraguay (López-González, 1998), and bat fly species number 66 for Panama (Wenzel et al., 1966), 115 for Venezuela (Wenzel, 1976), and 31 for Paraguay (this study). The number of bat fly species is clearly correlated with the number of host species \((r = 0.9805, 95\% \text{ confidence interval} = 0.994–0.947, \text{not significant because of sample size})\). The most influential characteristic for lower bat fly richness in southern South America is probably the lowered species richness of phyllostomid bats.

Given the lack of previous collection in Paraguay, it is remarkable that even more new species of Streblidae were not found in this survey. Recent collections in the Manu Biosphere reserve of Peru, for example, yielded <2,000 specimens of streblid bat flies, yet these collections contain 9 undescribed species of Streblidae (unpubl. data). One explanation for the lack of new streblid species in Paraguay may relate to the bat species there. Not only does Paraguay have fewer bat species compared to most South American countries (particularly those that straddle the eastern versant of the Andes), Paraguay lacks endemic bat species, and most species occurring in Paraguay are distributed widely (López-González, 2004). We predict that the number of streblid species reported in the present paper underestimates the true number occurring in Paraguay. Given the host species known from Paraguay, and assuming that each of these species is, in Paraguay, host to fly species known from those hosts at other locations, then by following the host–parasite associations listed in Wenzel (1976), we estimate that the number of species in Paraguay may be as high as 67—more than double the number of species reported here.
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