Identification of a Second Asian Soybean Rust Resistance Gene in Hyuuga Soybean

Mandy D. Kendrick  
*USDA-ARS Foreign Disease-Weed Science Research Unit*

Donna K. Harris  
*University of Georgia, harris@uga.edu*

Bo-Keun Ha  
*University of Georgia*

D. L. Hyten  
*USDA-ARS, Soybean Genomics and Improvement Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland, david.hyten@unl.edu*

P. B. Cregan  
*USDA-ARS, Soybean Genomics and Improvement Lab., Beltsville, Maryland, creganp@ba.ars.usda.gov*

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub)

Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), [Agriculture Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), [Botany Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), [Horticulture Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), [Other Plant Sciences Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub), and the [Plant Biology Commons](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub)

[https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/798](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/798)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticuture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.
Authors
Mandy D. Kendrick, Donna K. Harris, Bo-Keun Ha, D. L. Hyten, P. B. Cregan, Reid D. Frederick, H. Roger Boerma, and Kerry F. Pedley
Identification of a Second Asian Soybean Rust Resistance Gene in Hyuuga Soybean

Mandy D. Kendrick, Donna K. Harris, Bo-Keun Ha, David L. Hyten, Perry B. Cregan, Reid D. Frederick, H. Roger Boerma, and Kerry F. Pedley

First, sixth, and eighth authors: United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) Foreign Disease-Weed Science Research Unit, Ft. Detrick, MD 21702; second, third, and seventh authors: Institute of Plant Breeding, Genetics & Genomics, University of Georgia, Athens 30602; third author: Advanced Radiation Technology Institute (ARTI), Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), 1266, Sinjeong-dong, Jeongeup, Jeonbuk 580-185, South Korea; and fourth and fifth authors: USDA-ARS Soybean Genomics and Improvement Laboratory, Beltsville, MD 20705.

Accepted for publication 5 January 2011.

ABSTRACT

Asian soybean rust (ASR) is an economically significant disease caused by the fungus Phakopsora pachyrhizi. The soybean genes Rpp3 and Rpp5 (Hyuuga) confer resistance to specific isolates of the pathogen. Both genes map to chromosome 6 (Gm06) (linkage group [LG] C2). We recently identified 12 additional soybean accessions that harbor ASR resistance mapping to Gm06, within 5 centimorgans of Rpp3 and Rpp5 (Hyuuga). To further characterize genotypes with resistance on Gm06, we used a set of eight P. pachyrhizi isolates collected from geographically diverse areas to inoculate plants and evaluate them for differential phenotypic responses. Three isolates elicited different responses from soybean accessions PI 462312 (Ankur) (Rpp3) and PI 506764 (Hyuuga) (Rpp5)(Hyuuga). In all, 11 of the new accessions yielded responses identical to either PI 462312 or Hyuuga and 1 of the new accessions, PI 417089B (Kuro daiz), differed from all others. Additional screening of Hyuuga-derived recombinant inbred lines indicated that Hyuuga carries two resistance genes, one at the Rpp3 locus on Gm06 and a second, unlinked ASR resistance gene mapping to Gm03 (LG-N) near Rpp5. These findings reveal a natural case of gene pyramiding for ASR resistance in Hyuuga and underscore the importance of utilizing multiple isolates of P. pachyrhizi when screening for ASR resistance.

Asian soybean rust (ASR) is an economically important disease due to the formidable threat it poses to the world’s soybean crop. The causal agent of ASR is Phakopsora pachyrhizi Syd. and P. Syd., an obligate biotrophic fungus (4,40,44). First identified in Japan in 1902 (17,23), the pathogen has since been observed in all major soybean-growing regions of the world and has caused substantial economic damage to regions of Asia and South America over the past two decades (26,45,47,48). In 2004, P. pachyrhizi was identified for the first time in the continental United States (42), and the number of U.S. states in which the disease is present has increased annually to 20 states in 2009 (7,13,21,26).

At ≈8 days after infection by P. pachyrhizi, a susceptible soybean plant develops tan-colored (TAN) lesions on the leaf surface. The fungus produces uredinia, structures that give rise to asexual urediniospores, primarily on the abaxial surface of the leaf (3,28). In susceptible plants, the accumulation of fungal mass that spreads throughout the leaf tissue can lead to defoliation (2,3,12).

Fungicide application is the most commonly employed management practice used in protecting and treating soybean crops against ASR (12). Such treatments can be effective but are economically and environmentally costly. Success depends on the timing of the treatment (application later in the growing season is less effective) and on environmental conditions at the time of application (39). An attractive alternative to fungicide use is the development of adapted soybean cultivars with resistance to P. pachyrhizi.

Two types of ASR resistance have been observed in soybean germplasm screens (3). In the immune reaction (IM), no visible lesions appear on the surface of an infected soybean leaf, a consequence of three to four host cells rapidly collapsing and restricting fungal growth (31). The second type of resistance is marked by the appearance of reddish brown (RB) lesions on the host leaf, resulting from a somewhat delayed inhibition of fungal growth relative to the IM reaction (31). In some instances, uredinia develop in the RB lesions and produce urediniospores but the number of uredinia formed is greatly reduced (31).

Five ASR resistance genes, which recognize specific isolates of P. pachyrhizi, have been identified in soybean. Resistance to Phakopsora pachyrhizi-1 (Rpp1), identified in the plant introduction (PI) 200492 (also referred to as Komata), confers an IM reaction (19,32). Accession PI 230970 produces RB lesions in response to select isolates of P. pachyrhizi and carries Rpp2 (16,43), PI 459025B (Bing nan) forms RB lesions with resistance conferred by Rpp4, which encodes a coiled-coil nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat (CC-NBS-LRR) protein (15,34). Accessions PI 200456 (Awashima Zairai), PI 200487 (Kinoshita), PI 200526 (Shira Nushi), and PI 471904 (Orba) are collectively referred to as Rpp5 lines, because ASR resistance of these acces-
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sions maps to the same region on Gmt03 (linkage group [LG]-N). All of the Rpp genes are dominant except for rpp5, which is a recessive allele in PI 200456 (11).

Rpp3, identified in the accession PI 462312 (Ankur), is a dominant resistance gene that maps to Gmt06 between markers Satt460 and Sat_263 on LG-C2 (16,20). The resistance gene Rpp3 (?Hyuuga) from the accession PI 506764 (Hyuuga) maps to the same chromosome, between markers STS70887 and STS70923 (37,38).

Recently, Hyuuga, PI 462312, and two of the Rpp5 lines (PI 200487 and PI 471904) were shown to have identical single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) haplotypes at five nucleotides on Gmt06 relative to 38 other soybean cultivars tested (37). These identical SNP haplotypes suggest that Rpp3, Rpp5 (?Hyuuga), and the allele at the Rpp3 locus in PI 462087 and PI 471904 may originate from the same genetic background (37). Hyuuga (Rpp5 (?Hyuuga)) and PI 462312 (Rpp3) had similar reaction phenotypes when inoculated with 10 different isolates and one field sample of Phakopsora pachyrhizi (37,38). However, the resistance in PI 462312 (Rpp3) has been defeated in Brazilian field studies while Hyuuga (Rpp5 (?Hyuuga)) has maintained resistance (43). It remains unknown whether Rpp3 and Rpp5 (?Hyuuga) are alleles or tightly linked genes or whether Hyuuga carries the Rpp3 gene and a second, unlinked gene that confers resistance to the Brazilian field isolate.

In this study, we evaluated 12 additional soybean accessions with ASR resistance that map to Gmt06, similar to Rpp3 and Rpp5 (?Hyuuga). Our objective was to delineate ASR resistance on Gmt06 by inoculating these 12 soybean accessions, as well as PI 462312 (Rpp3) and Hyuuga (Rpp5 (?Hyuuga)), with eight isolates of Phakopsora pachyrhizi and comparing their reaction phenotypes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Pathogen isolates.** The Phakopsora pachyrhizi isolates used in this study, with the exception of Colombia 04-2 (CO04-2) and Vietnam 05-1 (VT05-1), have been described previously (20,41). Isolate CO04-2 was collected in 2004 from Armenia, Quindio, Colombia, and VT05-1 was collected in 2005 from Hanoi, Vietnam. The Phakopsora pachyrhizi isolates (Table 1) were propagated by inoculating the susceptible soybean ‘Williams 82’ and collecting urediniospores using a mechanical harvester (6). The urediniospores were then stored under liquid nitrogen at the United States Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS) Foreign Disease-Weed Science Research Unit (FDWSRU) Biological Safety Level-3 Plant Pathogen Containment Facility (BSL-3P) at Fort Detrick, Maryland (33).

**Plant materials.** The soybean genotypes used in this study include the susceptible Williams 82 and ‘Dillon’, PI 462312 (Rpp3) (16), Hyuuga (Rpp5 (?Hyuuga)), 97 F1-derived recombinant inbred lines (RILs) developed from crossing Dillon × Hyuuga (38), and the four Rpp5 lines: PI 200456, PI 200487, PI 200526, and PI 471904 (11). Twelve additional accessions come from the 805 lines that were initially identified in an ASR resistance screen of 16,595 soybean accessions from the USDA Soybean Germplasm Collection (University of Illinois) (36). These 805 lines were screened in the field at Attapulgus, GA in 2005 and 2006, with a combination of natural infection and artificial spore inoculations of Phakopsora pachyrhizi, and in a greenhouse in Griffin, GA in 2006, with a sample of Phakopsora pachyrhizi collected from soybean and kudzu in Georgia in 2005 and 2006 (46). This screening identified 18 ASR-resistant accessions: PI 200488, PI 416826A, PI 416873B, PI 417089B, PI 417120, PI 471503, PI 476905A, PI 567024, PI 567059, PI 605829, PI 605838, PI 605854B, PI 605773, PI 605865B, PI 605885B, PI 605891A, PI 606405, and PI 615437. These ASR-resistant accessions were used as male parents and crossed to the ASR-susceptible ‘Boggis’ (PI 417503, PI 567059, PI 605773, PI 605829, PI 605838, PI 605854B, PI 605865B, PI 605885B, PI 605891A, PI 606405, and PI 615437) and ‘5601T’ (PI 476905A) or the ASR-susceptible breeding line G00-3880 (PI 200488, PI 416826A, PI 416873B, PI 417089B, PI 417120, and PI 567024). The F1 or F2,3 populations derived from these crosses were screened in the Griffin, GA greenhouse using Phakopsora pachyrhizi samples collected in 2007 and 2008 from soybean and kudzu in Georgia. From each of the F1 or F2,3 populations, a resistant bulk was created by sampling a single leaf from at least 12 resistant F2 plants or a composite from 12 homozygous resistant F2,3 lines (from each line, a single leaf was sampled from at least eight F3 plants) and a susceptible bulk was created by sampling a single leaf from at least 12 susceptible F2 plants or a composite from 12 homozygous susceptible F2,3 lines (from each line, a single leaf was sampled from at least eight F3 plants), along with 12-plant composite samples of the resistant parent and the susceptible parent. The DNA from the four 12-plant composites was extracted using a modified hexadecyltrimethylammonium acid protocol (22) and resuspended in Tris-EDTA buffer, pH 8.0. These DNA samples were sent to the USDA-ARS Soybean Genetics and Improvement Laboratory, Beltsville, MD for evaluation with a 1,536 SNP assay (18). This bulk segregant analysis (BSA) approach (35) provided information on the genomic location of the ASR resistance gene in each PI and was carried out as described by Hyten et al. (20). Seed for all lines inoculated in the FDWSRU containment facility, except the RILs, were sown three seeds per pot in 9-by-9-cm pots (T.O. Plastics, Clearwater, MN) filled with Sunshine Mix1/LC1 (Sun-Grow Horticulture Products, Bellevue, VA) and thinned to two plants after germination. For each inoculation experiment, two pots of each soybean line were planted. The pots containing plants to be inoculated with the same fungal isolate were randomly arranged in 38-by-47-cm flats (Humert International, Earth City, MO) during and after inoculation. A replicate set of plants was set up in exactly the same fashion. The RILs were sown one seed per cell, alongside Dillon, Hyuuga, and PI 462312, in 72-cell flats (Humert International, Earth City, MO), with each row of 12 cells containing seed from one RIL. All plants were grown in the greenhouse under 16 h of light, fertilized with 14-14-14 Osmocote (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products, Co., Marysville, OH) 1 week following germination, and, after 3 weeks, transferred to the BSL-3P (33) for inoculations.

**Inoculation.** The day before inoculations, 50 mg of urediniospores from each of the eight Phakopsora pachyrhizi isolates were removed from liquid nitrogen. The spores were heat shocked at 40°C for 5 min and placed into a weigh boat, where they were left to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year collected</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO04-2</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>R. Tisne(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW98-1</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Oahu</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>E. Kilgore(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN73-1</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Pantnagar</td>
<td>1973</td>
<td>D. N. Thapliyal(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA04-1</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Ben Hur, Louisiana</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>R. Schneider(d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA01-1</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Natal Province</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Z. A. Pretorius(e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW72-1</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>Taipei</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>L.-C. Wu(f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT05-1</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Hanoi</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>B. Nguyen(g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZM01-1</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Harare</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>C. Levy(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Valle del Cauca, Calcedonia, Colombia.  
\(b\) Hawaii Department of Agriculture, Hilo.  
\(c\) Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India.  
\(d\) Department of Plant Pathology and Crop Physiology, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.  
\(e\) Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa.  
\(f\) Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Taipei, Taiwan.  
\(g\) Vietnam Agricultural Science Institute, Hanoi, Vietnam.  
\(h\) Commercial Farmers Union of Zimbabwe, Harare, Zimbabwe.
hydrate overnight by floating the weigh boat on water and in an enclosed petri dish in the dark at room temperature. The inoculum for each isolate was prepared by suspending the urediospores in 7.5 ml of 0.1% Tween 20 in sterile distilled water, mixing vigorously, and bringing the total volume up to 150 ml (300 ml when screening the RILs) with sterile distilled water. Spore concentrations were determined via hemacytometer measurements and were 67,000 to 236,000 spores ml⁻¹. Each inoculum was applied with an atomizer. Spore viability counts were determined by spraying inoculum of each isolate onto the surface of sterile 2% agar media (in petri dishes) and allowing the dish to incubate overnight at 20°C. The plates were analyzed the next day using ×100 magnification to assess spore germination. Germination rates were 45 to 95%. After inoculations, the RILs were incubated in a single dew chamber for 24 h, with temperatures of 20 to 21°C between replicates. For experiments using eight isolates, the inoculations were performed on the same day. Following the spray inoculations, the plants were divided into two identical sets so that the replicate soybean lines treated with the same fungal isolate could be incubated in separate dew chambers. Each set was incubated in a large dew chamber for 24 h with temperatures of 19 to 22°C. A replicate was performed in the same fashion. Following inoculations and overnight incubations in the dew chambers, plants were then moved into a greenhouse where supplemental illumination was provided by 1,000-W metal arc lights (Sylvania, Danvers, MA). Pots were placed in metal trays and watered from the bottom.

**Disease ratings.** Soybean leaves were evaluated 14 days after inoculation for reaction phenotypes. Lesions were recorded as RB, TAN, or intermediate (INT), between RB and TAN. The INT lesions were darker in color than TAN lesions but appeared to be smaller and have more sporulation than the RB lesions. To verify reaction phenotypes, a subset of leaves was collected from individual plants, analyzed, and photographed with a Nikon DS-L1 camera control unit (Nikon Instruments Inc., Melville, New York). A replicate was performed in the same fashion. Following inoculations and overnight incubations in the dew chambers, plants were then moved into a greenhouse where supplemental illumination was provided by 1,000-W metal arc lights (Sylvania, Danvers, MA). Pots were placed in metal trays and watered from the bottom.
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The reaction phenotypes of each soybean line are shown in Table 2. Consistent with previous reports, isolates IN73-1, LA04-1, and SA01-1 elicited RB responses on both PI 462312 and Hyuuga plants. Eleven of the new ASR-resistant accessions also produced RB lesions to these three isolates, while 1 of the new accessions, PI 417089B, had lesions that were INT for all isolates tested except SA01-1 (data not shown). With the exception of PI 417089B, all of the accessions formed TAN lesions when inoculated with TW72-1 (Fig. 1).

HW98-1 elicited RB lesions on all soybean accessions except PI 417089B. Three *P. pachyrhizi* isolates (CO04-2, VT05-1, and ZM01-1) elicited differential responses among the soybean accessions tested (Fig. 1 and data not shown). Hyuuga and the new accession PI 567024 formed RB lesions following inoculation with CO04-2, VT05-1, or ZM01-1. These three isolates elicited INT lesions on PI 417089B plants and TAN lesions on the 11 other accessions, including PI 462312 (*Rpp3*). Collectively, these data suggest that the 14 soybean lines screened represent at

Fig. 1. Differential lesion phenotypes of soybean accessions inoculated with *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* isolates TW72-1 and CO04-2. A, Wm82 (top left) and plant introduction (PI) 462312 (*Rpp3*) (top right) develop tan-colored (TAN) lesions when inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* isolate CO04-2 while Hyuuga (*Rpp?[Hyuuga]*) (bottom left) has reddish-brown (RB) lesions. PI 417089B (bottom right) has lesions that are intermediate (INT) between the TAN and RB phenotypes. B, Plants infected with *P. pachyrhizi* isolate TW72-1, photos are in the same order as in A. Wm82, PI 462312, and Hyuuga develop TAN lesions while PI 417089B forms INT lesions. Photos were taken of the adaxial surface of soybean leaves 16 days after inoculation.
least three different types of ASR resistance: PI 417089B-like, Hyuuga-like, and PI 462312-like. The resistance observed in PI 417089B seems to be unique; therefore, we focused on resolving the differences between Hyuuga and PI 462312.

**Hyuuga carries two ASR resistance genes.** The differential responses observed in Hyuuga and PI 462312 could be driven by different alleles of the same resistance locus or tightly linked resistance genes on *Gm06*. Because Hyuuga has resistance to all of the isolates that PI 462312 is resistant to but also recognizes isolates that PI 462312 is susceptible to, a third hypothesis is that Hyuuga carries *Rpp3* and a second, unlinked ASR resistance gene, which conditions resistance to additional isolates such as ZM01-1, VT05-1, and CO04-2, and the previously described Brazilian field isolate (11). This latter possibility was tested by inoculating a previously developed F₆-derived RIL population from the cross of Hyuuga to the susceptible parent Dillon (38), with *P. pachyrhizi* isolate CO04-2 that differentiated PI 462312 and Hyuuga. Previously, 117 RILs were inoculated with urediniospores collected from Georgia field samples (GFS) in 2005 (37,38). Both Hyuuga (*Rpp3*{Hyuuga}) and PI 462312 (*Rpp3*) produce RB lesions when challenged with GFS. Therefore, PI 462312- and Hyuuga-mediated resistance could not be differentiated in this study, and the *Rpp?*{Hyuuga} map position on *Gm06* could either be a result of *Rpp3* and *Rpp?*{Hyuuga} being a different allele at the *Rpp3* locus, or *Rpp?*{Hyuuga} being a second locus which is tightly linked to *Rpp3*. Initially, we used isolate CO04-2 to inoculate 29 of these RILs that were previously shown to be resistant to the GFS of *P. pachyrhizi*. In total, 10 lines

Fig. 2. F₆-derived recombinant inbred lines (RILs) that were derived from a cross of Hyuuga to the susceptible parent Dillon and were resistant to a Georgia field sample of *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* were screened with isolate CO04-2. **A**, Dillon is the susceptible parent of the RILs and **B**, Hyuuga is the resistant parent. **C** and **D**, F₆ plants from two RILs that were resistant to the Georgia field isolate. **C**, G01-PR89 displays the susceptible tan-colored lesions to the CO04-2 isolate while **D**, G01-PR86 displays the resistant reddish-brown lesions. Photos were taken 14 days after inoculation.
had RB lesions like Hyuuga plants, while 13 lines had TAN lesions like PI 462312 (Fig. 2). Six RILs had mixed populations, with most plants displaying TAN or RB lesions. Previous analysis of the 117 RILs by Monteros et al. (38) revealed that ≈4% of the RILs resulted from residual heterozygosity present in the original F6 plant; therefore, we expected 1 or 2 heterogeneous lines out of the 29 RILs scored for lesion type (38). In four of the six RILs analyzed here, >75% of the plants had RB lesions. We speculate that the remaining 25% of plants from each line, having TAN lesions, were the result of seed contamination and reclassified the four lines as RB for mapping. The other two RILs had ratios of 71% of plants with RB lesions to 29% plants with TAN lesions and 63% TAN to 37% RB, respectively, and we arbitrarily classified these two lines as heterogeneous for mapping. Given that all of these RILs were classified as resistant to the GFS of P. pachyrhizi but only approximately half of them were resistant to the CO04-2 isolate, it is likely that Hyuuga’s resistance to CO04-2 is conditioned by a second resistance gene independent of Rpp7 (Hyuuga).

In order to map this second ASR resistance gene in Hyuuga, 68 additional RILs were screened with the CO04-2 isolate. In total, 40 lines had TAN lesions, 34 lines produced RB lesions, and 23 lines displayed a mixture of TAN and RB lesions in response to CO04-2. Of these 23 RILs, 7 had >75% of the plants producing TAN lesions and were reclassified as TAN for mapping purposes. In all, 11 of the 23 lines had >75% of the plants with RB lesions and were likewise classified as RB. We speculate that the remaining plants are the result of seed contamination. We were unable to clearly define five of these lines as producing either RB or TAN lesions and classified them as heterogeneous for the mapping study. Using previously developed SSR markers (18,37), the second ASR resistance locus in Hyuuga, associated with RB lesions (upon inoculation with CO04-2), was mapped to Gm03 (LG-N), between markers SSR03-866 and SSR03-940 (Fig. 3). This is the same chromosome and genomic region that contains the Rpp5 genes (11).

**Soybean accessions PI 471904 and PI 200487 react similarly to Hyuuga.** To further characterize the Hyuuga- and Rpp5-mediated ASR resistance, we inoculated the Rpp5 accessions with the same eight isolates of *P. pachyrhizi* (listed in Table 1). Two of the four Rpp5 lines, PI 471904 and PI 200487, reacted similarly to Hyuuga (Table 3) (one out of eight PI 200487 and Hyuuga plants yielded TAN lesions when inoculated with CO04-2, while all PI 471904 plants displayed RB lesions). All three soybean accessions produced RB lesions when inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi* isolates HW98-1, IN73-1, LA04-1, SA01-1, VT05-1, and ZM01-1. PI 200456 carries the recessive rpp5 gene, and the accession produced TAN lesions when inoculated with IN73-1 and LA04-1 but was heterogeneous with both RB and TAN lesions following inoculations with CO04-2, HW98-1, SA01-1, VT05-1, and ZM01-1 (Table 3). PI 200526, which also has resistance mapping to the Rpp5 genomic region, was susceptible to all isolates tested.

---

**Fig. 3.** Genetic linkage map of a fragment of soybean linkage group N on chromosome 3, which contains the second Hyuuga gene, [Rpp5] PI 506764. A, Soybean consensus genetic map (18) showing the position of the Rpp5 locus (or loci). B, Genetic map derived from screening 97 Hyuuga (resistant) × Dillon (susceptible) F2-derived recombinant inbred lines with *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* isolate CO04-2 and with the map position of [Rpp5] PI 506764 indicated. Values on the left side in A and the right side in B represent genetic distance in centimorgans.
DISCUSSION

Presently, no soybean genes have been identified that confer resistance to all isolates of *P. pachyrhizi* (14); therefore, studies to identify additional sources of ASR resistance continue (11,36,46). In this study, ASR resistance genes in 12 soybean PI's were identified by BSA on *Gm06* (LG-C2), near *Rpp3* and *Rpp5* (Hyuuga). By inoculating PI 462312 (*Rpp3*), Hyuuga (*Rpp5* [Hyuuga]), and each of the newly identified PI's with eight geographically diverse *P. pachyrhizi* isolates (Table 1), the accessible could be grouped based on reaction phenotypes (Table 2). In all, 10 soybean accessions responded similarly to PI 462312 (*Rpp3*) and 1 similarly to Hyuuga (*Rpp5* [Hyuuga]). Accession PI 417089B displayed INT lesions when inoculated with all isolates except SA04-1. In the recent screen of the USDA Soybean Germplasm Collection, PI 417089B was scored as TAN in the first trial and RB in the second trial (36), and had inconsistent reactions across several southern U.S. locations (46), possibly indicating the difficulty in scoring this line as either TAN or RB.

Our results confirm that PI 462312 (*Rpp3*) and Hyuuga are not identical in their resistance to *P. pachyrhizi*; this finding is in agreement with Garcia et al. (11). To determine whether *Rpp3* and *Rpp5* (Hyuuga) are tightly linked genes or alleles of the same gene would require either a very large mapping population or cloning of either the *Rpp3* or the *Rpp5* (Hyuuga) gene. We chose to focus on a third hypothesis, that Hyuuga carries the *Rpp3* gene and a second, unlinked ASR resistance gene. We chose to address this hypothesis due to the readily available resources, namely Hyuuga (resistant) × Dillon (susceptible) RILs, the previously developed SSR map of this population, and the new information on *P. pachyrhizi* isolates that differentiate between PI 462312 and Hyuuga resistance.

Twenty-nine RILs previously shown to be resistant to a 2005 GFS of *P. pachyrhizi* (38) were challenged with isolate CO04-2, which can differentiate between PI 462312 and Hyuuga. Whereas all of the RILs were resistant to GFS, roughly half were susceptible when challenged with CO04-2, suggesting that all 29 of the RILs contain *Rpp3* but only a subset has the second resistance gene that provides resistance to CO04-2. By inoculating 68 additional RILs with CO04-2 and using the resulting lesion type data for mapping, the second resistance locus was mapped to *Gm03* (LG-N) in the same genomic region as *Rpp5* and has been designated as [*Rpp5*] PI 506764.

ASR resistance in the four *Rpp5* accessions was previously shown to map to *Gm03* (11). Two of these accessions, PI 200487 and PI 471904, have more recently been shown to share identical SNP haplotypes to Hyuuga and PI 462312 on *Gm06*, where *Rpp3* maps (37). In our study, both PI 200487 and PI 471904 reacted similarly to Hyuuga when challenged with each of the eight *P. pachyrhizi* isolates. In one replicate, an individual plant of PI 200487 and an individual plant of Hyuuga developed TAN lesions when inoculated with CO04-2 (Table 3). This is most likely the result of seed contamination.

The two other *Rpp5* accessions yielded somewhat unexpected results. PI 200526 produced TAN lesions after inoculation with each of the eight isolates, indicating that the ASR resistance in this accession represents a different allele or an altogether different but tightly linked locus. PI 200456 (*rpp5*) plants developed TAN lesions following inoculation with isolates IN73-1 and LA04-1 (Table 3). When inoculated with CO04-2, VT05-1, and ZM01-1, the PI 200456 lines had some plants with TAN and some plants with RB reaction types (Table 3). These data, along with that collected from the Hyuuga, PI 400487, and PI 471904, inoculations, suggest that *Rpp5/rpp5* drives resistance to CO04-2, VT05-1, and ZM01-1 while *Rpp3* conditions the resistance to IN73-1 and LA04-1 observed in Hyuuga, PI 200487, and PI 471904.

The PI 200456 (*rpp5*) population also segregated when inoculated with isolates HW98-1 and SA01-1 (Table 3). Because this accession is highly inbred, this result is likely due to a seed mixture. This is not the first observation of PI 200456 responding unexpectedly when inoculated with *P. pachyrhizi*. Calvo et al. (5) crossed PI 200456 to accession PI 224270 (Howgyoku), which carries the recessive ASR resistance gene *rpp2*, and the F2 progeny displayed a 3:1 RB/TAN segregation pattern, an unexpected ratio when crossing two recessive mutants (5). These data may collectively imply heterogeneity in the PI 200456 population or, possibly, the presence of a second gene conditioning ASR resistance, and it remains unclear whether the resistance in this accession is conferred by an allele of the *Rpp5* gene in PI 200487, PI 471904, and Hyuuga or an independent but tightly linked gene.

The identification and subsequent cloning of the *Rpp3* and *Rpp5* genes would be useful in further clarifying the number of resistance sources represented by the PI 462312-like, Hyuuga-like, and *Rpp5* accessions. *Rpp3* maps to *Gm06* between markers Sat460 and Sat263 (16,20). The *Rpp5* (*Hyuuga*) gene has been mapped to a 371-kb region between markers STS70887 and STS70923 on *Gm06* and falls within the *Rpp3* mapping region (37). Among the *Rpp5* (*Hyuuga*) and *Rpp3* candidates within this region are seven genes encoding Toll/interleukin1 receptor NBS-LRRs (20,37). Another ASR resistance gene, *Rpp4*, has already been shown to encode a second class of NBS-LRRs, a CC-NBS-LRR (34). Both types of NBS-LRR proteins have been shown to

---

**TABLE 3.** *Rpp5* soybean accessions inoculated with *Phakopsora pachyrhizi* formed either reddish-brown (RB) or tan-colored (TAN) lesions 14 days after inoculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry (gene)</th>
<th>CO04-2</th>
<th>HW98-1</th>
<th>IN73-1</th>
<th>LA04-1</th>
<th>SA01-1</th>
<th>TW72-1</th>
<th>VT05-1</th>
<th>ZM01-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Williams82</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 462312 (<em>Rpp3</em>)</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyuuga (<em>Rpp5</em> [Hyuuga])</td>
<td>RB/TAN</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 200456 (<em>rpp5</em>)</td>
<td>RB/TAN</td>
<td>RB/TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 471904 (<em>Rpp5</em>)</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 471904 (<em>Rpp5</em>)</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
<td>TAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 200487 (<em>rpp5</em>)</td>
<td>RB/TAN</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>RB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Nomenclature represents country and year the isolate was identified. CO 04-2, Columbia 2004; HW 98-1, Hawaii 1998; IN 73-1, India 1973; LA 04-1, Louisiana 2004; SA 01-1, South Africa 2001; TW 72-1, Taiwan 1972; VT 05-1, Vietnam 2005; and ZM 01-1, Zimbabwe 2001. Data based on eight plants unless otherwise noted.

* Data based on seven plants scored in the two replicates per trial.
* Data based on six plants scored in the two replicates per trial.
* Seven plants scored as RB; one plant scored as TAN.
* Six plants scored as RB; two plants scored as TAN.
* Five plants scored as RB; three plants scored as TAN.
* Data based on five plants scored in the two replicates per trial.
function in disease resistance in other plant–microbe interactions (8–10).

Rpp5 has been mapped to Gmt03, between markers Sat_275 and Sat_280 (11). In total, >80 candidate genes are present in the Rpp5 region but none is annotated as an NBS-LRR. There are three putative serine/threonine kinase proteins at this locus, and serine/threonine kinases have also been implicated in disease resistance (29,30).

Future studies to elucidate the Rpp3 and Rpp5 genes include the use of reverse genetic approaches such as virus-induced-gene silencing (49), which was useful in the identification of Rpp4 (34).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially funded by the United States Department of Agriculture–Agriculture Research Service, the Georgia Agricultural Experiment Stations, and grants from the United Soybean Board and the American Seed Trade Association.

This work was partially funded by the United States Department of Agriculture–Agriculture Research Service, the Georgia Agricultural Experiment Stations, and grants from the United Soybean Board and the American Seed Trade Association.


