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Iron (Fe) and copper (Cu) are trace heavy metals that are required by plants for their roles in redox chemistry. These metals form the active sites in numerous enzymes involved in disparate processes, such as mitochondrial respiration, photosynthesis, oxidative stress protection, and various metabolic pathways. Low metal concentration leads to deficiency and inefficiencies in metabolism, while too much causes metal toxicity. Consequences of metal toxicity are oxidative damage to cellular components, or displacement of the correct metal from active sites in proteins. Certain soils may have too little or low availability of metal micronutrients naturally, whereas other soils may have excess metals naturally or due to human activities. Excess or deficient supply of one metal may lead to deficiencies or toxicities of other metals, thus there is a need for the plant to have crosstalk mechanisms to coordinate uptake, chelation, transport, or other gene expression mechanisms to maintain metal homeostasis.

Crosstalk between Fe and Cu has been shown to occur in previous reports. In chloroplasts, superoxide dismutases (SODs) scavenge reactive oxygen species, and both Fe-containing SODs (FeSODs) and Cu-containing (CuSODs) are present, with both functioning equivalently. We noted that CuSODs had increased expression under Fe deficiency, while FeSOD expression decreased. Several Cu and Fe related genes respond to both Fe and Cu deficiencies, such as COPT2, ZIP2, and the ferric-chelate reductase FRO3. Similarly, Cu deficiency upregulated ferric-chelate reductase activity in roots of a number of species.

To test whether crosstalk between Fe and Cu supply influences accumulation of Fe and Cu in leaves, Arabidopsis plants were grown in hydroponics for 9 d on Fe and Cu treatments (fig. 1A). When Cu was withheld, rosette Cu concentration was low and did not change across Fe supply. At 0.2 μM Cu, rosette Cu concentration was higher at 1 μM Fe than at 25 μM Fe, whereas at 0.5 μM Cu supply, rosettes accumulated significantly more Cu at 1 and 5 μM Fe than at 25 μM Fe. These results indicate that moderate-term low Fe supply increases Cu accumulation in Arabidopsis rosettes, and that this phenomenon is not limited to conditions of sudden withdrawal of Fe supply as performed previously. Iron and Cu treatments had no effect on overall Fe concentration in rosettes (fig. 1B), indicating that total leaf Fe quantity was maintained, although it is possible that the Fe was partitioned to different compartments or proteins under low Fe supply. In the first leaf of cucumber plants (fig. 1C), Fe deficiency (0 μM Fe supply) decreased Fe concentration to 42% of that of Fe replete leaves, and increased Cu concentration by 2.8-fold. This result supports our model that Fe-deficient plants require additional Cu in leaves to supply CuSOD proteins. In previous results, Fe-deficient Arabidopsis also accumulated Zn, but later than Cu and to lower relative levels, and Mn concentration was not increased, supporting the idea that Cu accumulation is specific and not a secondary effect of increased metal uptake.
Root ferric-chelate reductase activity is a reliable biomarker for plant Fe uptake activity, and thus an indicator of Fe sufficiency/deficiency status. To test Fe-Cu crosstalk effects on Fe uptake responses, we measured root ferric-chelate reductase activity in Arabidopsis thaliana plants treated with 0 or 25 μM Fe and a range of Cu for 3 d (fig. 2A). Peak ferric-chelate reductase activity was observed at 0.5 μM, while at lower Cu supply, a decrease in Fe reductase activity was observed. As Cu supply increased above 0.5 μM, ferric-chelate reductase activity decreased. When Cu was at 0.75 μM, there was no elevation in ferric-chelate reductase in –Fe plants over +Fe, and at 1.0 and 1.5 μM, ferric-chelate reductase of –Fe plants was less than half that of +Fe plants. This was due to Cu toxicity in the –Fe plants, as the –Fe roots with 1.0 or 1.5 μM Cu were noticeably stunted and discolored, with a green-brown appearance, while +Fe plants treated with 1.5 μM Cu had a normal bright white coloration. We have grown Arabidopsis (with 25 μM Fe) at Cu supply of up to 5 μM with no signs of toxicity. Thus, Fe-deficient plants are substantially more sensitive to Cu toxicity than Fe replete plants.

A similar experiment was conducted using cucumber (Cucumis sativus cv Ashley, Jung Seed Co) seedlings (fig. 2B). Cucumber plants were switched from complete nutrient solution to treatments of 0 or 0.5 μM Fe, and a range of Cu. Supplying cucumber plants with 0.5 μM Fe is sufficiently low to induce ferric-chelate reductase but does not result in severe leaf chlorosis. In –Fe treatments, Fe reductase peaked at 0.25 μM Cu and was lower at 0.1 μM and 0 Cu. Ferric-chelate reductase decreased at concentrations above 0.25 μM until at 1.5 μM Cu the activity was not higher than in +Fe plants. Plants grown on 0.5 μM Fe had highest ferric-chelate reductase activity at 0 Cu. Activity declined as Cu supply increased, and at higher Cu concentrations 0 Fe, 0.5 μM Fe, and 10 μM Fe treatments had similar ferric-chelate reductase. To test whether there were trace amounts of Cu in our solution that might influence the results, we also included a treatment with the Cu chelator BCS (bathocuproinedisulfonic acid, Sigma Chemical Co) to bind potential trace Cu. This treatment resulted in no additional ferric-chelate-reductase activity for 0 Fe plants, and a slight increase in 0.5 μM Fe plants.

Pumpkin (Cucurbita max cv Big Max, Eden Brothers) seedlings were grown in a hydroponic solution used previously for Cucurbita pepo.29 Ferric-chelate reductase activity in Fe-deficient pumpkin roots was highest at 0 Cu. As Cu supply increased, ferric-chelate reductase activity decreased (fig. 3A), until at 3 μM Cu, activity was the same as in control plants, and activity was inhibited at 5 μM Cu. Roots of the 0 Fe, 5 μM Cu treatment looked similar to roots of the 10 μM Fe 5 μM Cu treatment and were not stunted and discolored like roots of Arabidopsis thaliana on Fe-deficient, high-Cu treatments, suggesting that pumpkin may be the more Cu tolerant species. Iron concentration in the first leaf of pumpkin did not vary across the Cu treatments in the –Fe treatment, but in +Fe treatments decreased at 3 and 5 μM Cu (fig. 3B). This suggests that at high Cu supply, the plant decreased its demand for and subsequently accumulated less Fe. However, Cu concentrations steadily increased as Cu supply increased in both Fe treatments (fig. 3C) until leveling off at 2 μM. Copper concentration was higher in the leaves of Fe-deficient plants at all levels above 0 μM Cu. This provides another example of Fe-Cu crosstalk, corroborating our results from Arabidopsis and cucumber, which together suggest that Fe status of plants modulates Cu uptake and accumulation in leaves.
The relationship between ferric-chelate reductase activity and Cu supply to Fe-deficient plants (fig. 2) is complex, with an optimal Cu concentration of 0.5 and 0.25 μM for Fe-deficient Arabidopsis and cucumber, respectively. Along the Cu supply curve there were three phases. In the first phase, below optimal Cu, there was an inhibition of ferric-chelate reductase activity, suggesting that Cu may be required for some aspect of ferric-chelate reductase synthesis or activity. A similar inhibition for whole root ferric-chelate reductase activity by low Cu was observed for Plantago lanceolata. In pumpkin, and in cucumber supplied with 0.5 μM Fe, 0 Cu was optimal. As Cu was increased above optimal concentrations, lower ferric-chelate reductase activity occurred. It is possible that increased Cu results in more efficient or rapid synthesis of Cu proteins that replace Fe proteins, thus reducing the Fe demand and generating a feedback inhibition of ferric-chelate reductase activity or decreased shoot-to-root demand signal. For example, in Arabidopsis, FeSOD expression increased under Cu deficiency and decreased under high Cu supply. Indeed, ferric-chelate reductase activity decreased (fig. 3A) as Cu concentration in leaves of Fe-deficient pumpkin increased (fig. 3C). The third phase of the curve indicates Cu toxicity, which abolished ferric-chelate reductase activity. Similar inhibition of ferric-chelate reductase activity by high Cu has been observed in cucumber and Plantago lanceolata, but high Cu did not inhibit ferric-chelate reductase protein activity in Plantago membrane isolates, which suggested that Cu blocked expression rather than function of the ferric reductase.

In conclusion, our results show that Fe and Cu status and supply interact to influence uptake of both metals. The sensing mechanisms are not known, but the metal chelator nictianamine is important for Fe homestasis and can bind both Fe and Cu. We also showed that under Fe deficiency, plants were strikingly more susceptible to Cu toxicity. Understanding this aspect of Fe-Cu crosstalk could have implications for agriculture and for phyto remediation of metal contaminated soils. The conditions described here provide an entry point into growth conditions that can be used to produce plants that are engaging in Fe-Cu crosstalk to further understand these mechanisms.
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**Figure 3.** Effect of Cu supply on root ferric-chelate reductase activity and leaf Fe and Cu accumulation. (A) Pumpkin root ferric-chelate reductase activity in Fe sufficient or deficient plants, treated for 3d with –Fe or +Fe (10 μM) and different Cu concentrations in the hydroponic solution. (B) Iron concentration and (C) Cu concentration of first leaf of pumpkin plants from panel A.
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