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HAVING A PURPOSE IN LIFE
WESTERN WOMEN TEACHERS IN THE
TWENTIETH CENTURY

COURTNEY ANN VAUGHN-ROBERSON

Beginning late in the eighteenth century, social theorists developed an ideology of domesticity, maintaining that women’s proper role lay in the care of children, the nurture of the husband, the physical maintenance of the domicile, and the guardianship of both home and social morality.1 Although this ideology helped to propel females into teaching, historians have not agreed on the impact of domestic ideology on women teachers and on the education profession itself. Some scholars conclude that women’s easy access to teaching posts turned the classroom into a workshop for motherhood for the average female, perpetuating anti-intellectualism in education to the present day.2 Other research, focusing on women who dedicated themselves to teaching during the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, portrays them as highly professional.3 A few studies seem to bridge the gap between those contradictory views, highlighting both the professional opportunities and the behavioral restrictions that domestic ideology provided to women teachers and to other women whose careers were and still are defined by the traditional women’s role.4

This paper depicts 547 western women teachers, most of whom were born during the first decade of the twentieth century, who developed their own personal and professional variations on the traditional ideology of domesticity, an ideology that seemed to them to give their work meaning and purpose. Although changing social conditions and personal experiences encouraged them to emphasize varying interpretations of the domestic role during their lives, these teachers have remained firmly entrenched within the traditional female sphere, and it is from there that they have observed the world and judged themselves.

DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECTS

Because most published historical studies of female educators do not deal with the twentieth century, I began in 1980 to locate subjects for a study that would test earlier scholars’ observations on the importance of domestic
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ideology for women teachers by evaluating the significance of domesticity in the lives of a new era of career-oriented women. If the traditional belief had survived as part of their vocational and personal value system, then its potency as a social force would be documented well into the current century. Moreover, I could trace the historical and social reasons for this potency despite the paradoxes inherent in using the idea of domesticity to show women's increased involvement in gainful employment outside the home. Thus my search centered around professional associations and other organizations likely to include women who had taught for many years. My end product was a large body of reminiscences, letters, personal interviews, and biographical sketches of 547 women teachers from three western states—Oklahoma, Texas, and Colorado.

The Oklahoma Retired Teachers Association in the mid-1970s had written to its members asking each to "tell her or his story" and had been rewarded with a wealth of material. From seven volumes of that correspondence I found 214 women teachers of the appropriate age to form the nucleus of this study. They were all of predominantly white ancestry, although a few reported partial Indian descent. Even those "mixed-bloods," however, were patriotic Protestants who identified with the dominant society. I obtained additional information on 47 of the 214 teachers by writing to them myself. Their responses contained such demographic information as degrees held, marital status, and place of birth. Respondents also explained why they had selected careers in education, how supportive their families had been, what constituted their own educations, and what they held to be acceptable male and female behavior. I contacted another 104 teachers by mail and interviewed 3 more, having obtained all of their names from fellow teachers. In Oklahoma I also located 25 black teachers, and 3 full-blood Indian educators, but because many professional groups (my major source of contacts) initially excluded minority members, my sample size for the tri-state region was so small that I omitted any separate analysis of those teachers.

In Texas, a state contiguous to but more southern in character than Oklahoma, I contacted sixty-three women teachers, most of whose names I found on the membership lists of Delta Kappa Gamma. Personalities, a work of paraphrased interviews with selected state members published in 1980 by the Texas Iota chapter of Delta Kappa Gamma, contributed twenty-seven more subjects. A series about notable Texas women, run in the *Amarillo Daily News*, added another seven teachers; and citations from these articles led to the work of two more female educators— *Light 'n' Hitch*, by Laura Hammers, and *A Pioneer Farmer's Daughter of the Red River Valley*, by Emma Bourne. Finally, I conducted one personal interview, and then the entire list totalled ninety-nine Texas teachers.

In an attempt to maintain some geographical consistency while obtaining subjects from a more liberally-oriented western state, I contacted forty-three Colorado women teachers, again gleaning names from the Delta Kappa Gamma rolls. *Torchbearers*, a 1967 publication about key women teachers in that organization, contained an additional forty-eight Colorado women appropriate for this study, and manuscript material originally gathered for the book but not published offered information on eleven more. I found the remainder of my Colorado teachers among the subjects of oral history interviews conducted largely by the state's county library personnel and catalogued at the Denver Public Library Western History Collection. One more I found through an article in *Colorado Magazine*, and one I interviewed.

My final sample, then, consisted of professionally minded women teachers from each state who had spent twenty to fifty-five years on the job. Moreover, 85 percent of them hailed from small towns or rural areas, pervaded with traditional American values including conservative views of women's roles. These were women who felt a sense of consistency and purpose despite the complexity involved in trying to reconcile the contradictory messages of domestic ideology.
HISTORY, DOMESTIC IDEOLOGY, AND WOMEN TEACHERS

Domesticity's rich heritage in American educational thought makes perfectly understandable its persistence well into the twentieth century. During the American Revolution, progressive social theorists first popularized the notion that the new nation's mothers had a patriotic duty to rear morally sound and literate children. Advocates of women's education such as Benjamin Rush and Sarah Pierce reasoned that the new country's women should be molded for Republican Motherhood—the rearing of patriotic, ethical, and knowledgeable children.7

As the self-sufficient rural household gave way to an urban ideal of consumption, women's productive role declined, and their claims to influence rested ever more on their alleged ethical, emotional, and spiritual superiority.8 For the early-nineteenth-century woman, economically displaced by the emergence first of a commercial market and then by an urban-industrial economy, caring for children and shaping their morals became the woman's paramount duty, sanctified by the domestic ideology. In the midst of growing class antagonism, urban disorder, and changes in the division of labor, a new generation of educators such as Catharine Beecher, Horace Mann, and Mary Lyon hoped women's pious and quiet influence over men and children would cure the ever-increasing social unrest. In their ideal society, gender, rooted in biology and hence unalterable, would be the only division.9 The celebration of male and female spheres had arrived but not without feminist opponents such as Margaret Fuller, who confided that after leaving teaching in 1838, "she now hoped to do something good for women."10 Despite such opponents, the "culture of professionalism" flourished, offering a separate set of careers to men and women, based on the assumption that males were mandated to manage and females to nurture.11

Female teachers, nurses, social workers, and volunteers, laboring in both sparsely populated and urban settings, greatly influenced the building of communities in the United States.12 Women followed the frontier across the North American continent, and many helped create new settlements, erecting churches and schools or staffing social service agencies. Though a few historians emphasize the role of domestic ideology in subordinating female pioneers to male authority, other scholars highlight the power and influence western women gained as community builders.13 Julie Roy Jeffrey, in an analysis of the trans-Mississippi frontier from 1840 to 1880, and Mary Ryan, in a depiction of Oneida County, New York, from 1780 to 1865, explain that women formed social institutions that were the agents of morality in the community.14 Although, according to Ryan, later generations of the more urbanized Oneida women spent more time within the new privatized home, the female sphere had already been extended and formalized beyond the domicile. Despite the many ways women's interests extended into the community, however, the school remained the primary institution for single women's professional gainful employment because dedicated teachers were always needed "to facilitate the passage of children out of the home and into society and the economy."15

More and more females responded to this need, until by 1888, 63 percent of the nation's teachers were women.16 Western settlers seemed to show a special appreciation for women's roles in establishing and running schools. In 1838, Kentucky, then a frontier state, initiated a novel experiment, granting women the right to vote in elections that concerned education. Although it was twenty-three years before another jurisdiction, Kansas, would grant the same right to its adult females, the Kansas action ignited a trend in the trans-Mississippi West, where thirteen other states and territories had implemented the measure by 1890.17 In 1893, North Dakota became the first state to elect a female state superintendent of schools. By 1922, nine western states had placed women in the educational chief executive's seat, the only females in the country to hold such positions.18
Colorado women involved themselves in educational policy making during and after Reconstruction. With statehood in 1876, they won for themselves suffrage in school elections. Seventeen years later, marking a period of Populist ascendancy, Colorado males became the third group of voters in the country to approve the full franchise for adult females. Beginning in 1895, after J. F. Murray’s term ended as Colorado state superintendent, the expanded state electorate consistently designated women to the position until 1952. Many of these administrators became known and respected in national education circles. Helen Grenfell, who held the post from 1898 to 1904, in 1903 was elected the country’s first female vice-president of the National Education Association (NEA), founded in 1857. In 1909, the organization named Ella Flagg Young, Chicago city school superintendent, its first woman president. Mary C. C. Bradford, a regional promoter for the national suffrage campaign, served as Colorado state superintendent from 1913 to 1921 and again from 1923 to 1927. Willing to stretch the limits of domestic ideology in their day, neither Coloradan accepted the common notion that marriage and motherhood demanded that she relinquish her position. As Grenfell reasoned, education was part of the female domain, an “outgrowth of the home or ... the family’s way of working out the best interests of the child.”

Like their colleagues to the northwest, Oklahoma women educators actively reached for the political power to shape their social environment. In 1895 Oklahoma Territory sixth-grade teacher Margaret Rees founded the Oklahoma Equal Suffrage Association, and when...
Oklahoma and Indian territories joined to become the state of Oklahoma in 1907, women obtained the vote in school-related elections. Even so, four years later a newly appointed state board of education put a ceiling on women’s involvement in running schools, decreeing that “no person was eligible to the office of state superintendent except a male . . . of more than thirty years of age.” Until the Nineteenth Amendment was ratified in 1920, white racism helped defeat the full suffrage issue in Oklahoma because many white citizens feared the potential for black power if that race of women could vote.

Despite restrictions, Oklahoma women educators such as Indian Territory’s Alice Robertson eventually gained state and nationwide recognition. Like hundreds of other nineteenth-century teachers, Robertson was an ardent Christian who sought to impart moral values and practical knowledge to both Indian and white children. She became a founder of the Indian Territory Teachers Association in 1884, and sixteen years later federal officials selected her superintendent of education for the Creek Nation. In 1905, two years after Grenfell had made history, Robertson became the NEA’s next vice-president. By 1920 the Oklahoma Republican educator’s popularity helped make her the country’s second woman to serve as representative to the United States Congress. Though she had opposed woman suffrage, while serving Oklahoma in Washington, D.C., Robertson challenged her newly enfranchised sisters to continue spreading domestic values:

The American women, instead of standing aside and drawing their skirts about them...
piously, must now pitch in and work for the reforms they have been demanding. Women suffrage is like an automobile ride. When something goes wrong with the flivver and the man gets out to fix it, the woman in the back seat ought to either get out and help him or keep her mouth shut. 28

Texas women also sought to broaden their social influence. The Texas Equal Rights Association was established in 1893 and reorganized twenty years later as the Texas Woman Suffrage Association. Also hampered by racism, it accomplished only the partial enfranchisement of women in 1918 when Texas males finally granted women suffrage in primary elections. A year later Texas suffragists supported in her successful bid for state superintendent of education Annie Webb Blanton, the only woman in the state ever to hold that position. 29

Blanton held a doctorate from Cornell University and as superintendent worked to improve the credentials of all Texas teachers, but especially of women. In return for her demands on female educators, she lobbied for their equitable treatment on the job and for a non-gender-based pay scale throughout the state. 30 In addition to being state superintendent from 1919 to 1923, Blanton served as president of the Texas State Teachers Association, founded in 1879, and was elected vice-president of the NEA on three separate occasions. 31 In 1929 in Austin she and a handful of others founded Delta Kappa Gamma. Created initially for white female educators with impeccable credentials, its purpose was to provide money and encouragement for capable women teachers to work toward advanced degrees and to claim places as administrators in local schools. A few years later Oklahoma and Colorado formed their own chapters of Delta Kappa Gamma, which is today an integrated international society. Despite advances in female solidarity, neither Blanton nor most of her more labor-oriented sisters who were founding teachers' unions to the northeast overtly challenged the concept of separate spheres, using domesticity instead to argue for women's paramount position within the education profession. 32 For example, in 1910 Grace Strachan, head of the New York Interborough Association of Women Teachers executive committee wrote, "I am firmly convinced that while teaching is a natural vocation for most women, it is rarely the true vocation of a man." 33

TEACHER SOCIALIZATION AND EDUCATION

Although notable women educators were role models for girls coming of age during the early twentieth century, the women in this study were more strongly influenced in their initial career decisions by their own families and teachers. As a child, Coloradan Minnie Schroter strove to be like Marion, the school-teacher next door, whom her parents greatly admired. "That girl is very intelligent," Schroter's father had said, and Minnie's mother had reiterated, "Indeed, she is, and what's more she is very pretty." 34 Christine Kirkpatrick's parents concurred with the Schroters that "teaching was the highest profession a young woman could pursue," while Opal Scales recounted that she and her sisters "became teachers because at that time teaching was considered the most desirable occupation for a young girl." 35 Presenting a dramatic example of a parent's commitment to education, Allie Collin's mother pleaded with her father-in-law from her deathbed, "Pa, promise me that my children will get an education"; for Allie such schooling led to a teaching career. 36

To some parents preparing a daughter for teaching was an act of almost religious importance. Eleanor Reser remembers her father admonishing her to "sincerely commit myself to the child, community, and school and [to remember] that each individual child's future education depends on the foundation I could instill within him or her." Zelma Farris received the same encouragement, which led to her goal of "instill[ing] in the minds of our young Americans the art of living an honest and upright life that they might be able to take their place in this fast changing world as useful
FIG. 3. Last day of school at Teacross, in Hollis, Oklahoma, 1910. Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library.

FIG. 4. Tonkawa, Oklahoma, school, with teachers Levi and Lenna Shovely, no date. Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library.
and law-abiding citizens.” Ada Faus was one of dozens who felt that during her years of service she had become a minister of sorts, reckoning that she had helped to produce countless “substantial citizens.”

In preparation for future duties as educators, girls constantly played the role of “teacher.” Sally Reeves wrote that she wrapped corn cobs with paper and pretended to teach them words from a Blue Backed Spelling Book. Charlotte McGinnis exclaimed, “How I loved to... order my imaginary pupils around and tap a bell to assist them to march!” As teenagers, the future teachers often had the chance actually to live out their fantasies, for country educators, faced with large ungraded classes, called on the better female students to instruct the younger children. The experience gained in such cases, added to the relative ease of obtaining teaching certificates, encouraged a number of the women to begin their careers on or before their eighteenth birthday.

Despite some early entries into the classroom, a sense of professional pride drove all of the women in this study for whom data is available to complete a baccalaureate degree years before Texas, Oklahoma, or Colorado required it for teacher certification. Moreover, 68.6 percent of the 83 Texans, half of the 308 Oklahomans, and 48.9 percent of the 98 Coloradans earned at least a master’s degree; while 18 from the three states went on to obtain a doctorate. Such achievements appear to be higher than the regional norm. By the 1940s, many of the women depicted here had completed their undergraduate training or were working on an advanced degree. In 1942 in Colorado, however, 32.8 percent of the state’s teachers lacked a four-year degree. In 1946 in Oklahoma, 29.3 percent of the teachers had not acquired a bachelor’s degree, and even by 1948, 18.6 percent of Texas teachers lacked that credential.

Alone, this impersonal degree data could, however, tell a somewhat erroneous story; for as young women, not all the teachers discussed here initially complied with their families’ and teachers’ prodding. A few girls were afraid to leave home at all. “Lord,” Jewel Peterson remembered praying, “I wish I didn’t have the intelligence to know that I need to go to college, but I do.” Others who were eager to continue their education aspired to professions other than teaching, but they too eventually enrolled in the pedagogical course of study. Mary Carden explained, “I wanted to become an artist, but since I had the opportunity [to go to East Texas State Teachers College] I became a teacher.” Reenacting what was for many others an almost unconscious career choice, Letha Campbell wrote rhetorically, “Who ever heard of a man taking primary teaching courses or a women majoring in engineering?” Similar testimony came from Ruth Marshall, who confessed, “In those days I did not know that [a woman] went to college for any other purpose except to become a teacher.”

Thus, though they may have been confined to the teaching profession, the students did not believe that they were socially stigmatized, feeling honorable and expecting respect. That only six teachers with whom I corresponded identified any gender discrimination in their higher educational experience evidences how swiftly the domestic ideology appeared to resolve any conflict involving career choices and to complete the assimilation of the young women into the education field. Consciously manipulating the ideology, professors, counselors, and college administrators channeled women toward their accepted role as teachers. One woman identified the subtle manner in which women were “cooled out” of traditional male program areas, explaining that her Ph.D. mentor at a Texas university advised her, “not to take this man’s course [as] ... I didn’t need it.” “I went ahead, though,” she continued, “and did not earn but was given a ‘C’ in the course.” J. Smith reported another case involving a friend enrolled at the University of Oklahoma “who practically fought her way into Geology School. . . . The Dean did everything he could to stop her,” Smith recounted, “but she persisted, although he made her life miserable.” Similarly, Texan Frances Watkins remembered that “the Dean of Women
was horrified that I would . . . post ‘A’s in difficult math courses and only ‘B’s in English. . . . [In] her words,” Watkins repeated, “‘you may major in math, but no one will hire a woman to teach math.’” 45

Looking back in 1981, Vernice Sellman tried to explain why as young college students she and her counterparts had accepted the job of teacher as their only professional alternative. “During most of my college years there was no ERA to make us conscious of any difference,” Sellman recollected. 46 Such a statement illustrates that at the time, or even today, she and many of her colleagues were unaware that an Equal Rights Amendment had been proposed in 1923 and that it was debated in the United States Congress almost every year thereafter. 47 Given the importance of domestic ideology to a woman’s teacher-training experience, however, it is understandable that as a young adult Sellman’s frame of reference would have excluded a concept that threatened to dissolve the traditional social importance of the woman’s role. In fact, some of the female educators defined sex discrimination in college as male disregard for their separate but hallowed station. Edna Frederick wrote with perhaps unconscious irony that she “was aware of no discrimination whatsoever,” because “the men students treated us [women] with a certain gallantry.” 48

As new teachers, these women entered the classroom firmly rooted in a world view that embraced separate male and female spheres, and they expected to be rewarded and acknowledged for acting out the most conservative interpretation of nineteenth-century femininity, emanating from the “cult of true womanhood.” 49 We considered it our “duty,” explained one teacher, “to conform to [these] social ideals.” When asked to wear a girdle, dry their underwear on the line in a pillowcase, stay in town at all times, go to church, teach Sunday School, say prayers over the dead, and refrain from drinking, smoking, dancing, or card playing, they usually complied, “accept[ing] and question[ing] little.” 50 Because of the dearth of detailed information on how the women actually conducted their classes, it is difficult to say whether the teachers consciously perpetuated gender stereotypes among their students. Ruby McKenna, a junior high school mathematics teacher, did channel her male and female pupils into two different directions. “[A] woman mathematics teacher was best teaching in the junior high school,” she stated. “Here,” McKenna continued, “you could encourage girls to work in

FIG. 5. School group at Avard, Oklahoma, 1921. The three adults are Mr. Roy J. Travis, principal; Mrs. Ruth M. Gaines, intermediate teacher; and Mrs. Grace I. Benefield, primary teacher. Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library.
the field of mathematics and a man in the high
school mathematics department could give the
boys a more practical outlook on the subject.”
Gladys Hubbard also revealed her bias, writing,
“I’m afraid I must admit to being just a little
bit partial to the boys in my classes. Perhaps it
was because they seemed more honest in their
attitude toward their teachers; [if] they didn’t
like you, you always knew it.” Conversely, the
remarks of Coloradan Bertha Hume suggest
that on-the-job experiences convinced some
teachers to focus more on women’s abilities
and rights and less on their social duties. Hume
reasoned that girls had just as much if not more
forthrightness than boys and consequently
helped a girl named Darle get elected student­
body president. Hume worked for Darle even
though an administrative official asked her to
talk the girl out of running. “I chuckle now at
our temerity,” Hume later wrote, “for Darle
was elected student president and made the
headlines of The Greeley Tribune.”

THE STRUGGLE FOR
PROFESSIONAL RECOGNITION

During the decades following the passage of
the Nineteenth Amendment, the continued
discrimination against women encouraged many
teachers to become as assertive as Hume, with­
out abandoning their belief in separate gender
spheres. Because most school boards through­
out the nineteenth and early twentieth cen­
turies refused to hire married women, many
females refused to marry, in order to keep their
jobs.52 Mina Williams succinctly explained, “I
never found a man I couldn’t live without.” In
fact, 45.5 percent of the 121 Coloradans, 16.8
percent of the 273 Oklahomans, and 36.8
percent of the 95 Texans, for whom data is
available, remained single throughout their
lives. At least two widows and five divorcees
from the three states reentered the classroom
after a stint as housewives and never married
again. In addition, a number of teachers de­
ferred matrimony until they were thirty, forty,
or even fifty years of age and purposely limited
their family size so that they could maintain an
uninterrupted career. On the other hand, many
married women indicated that their holding a
job, especially during the lean years of the 1930s,
significantly altered gender stereotypes in their
homes, as men and women juggled roles to keep
their families together. Ora Mason explained, “I
went outside [the house] and worked . . . and
[my husband] always helped take care of the
children.” Similarly, Eunice Salomonson re­
marked, “We had no time to consider specific
roles. We were a family aiming at mutual goals,
and this included our two sons.”53

At work and even in some homes, however,
gender conflicts persisted, exacerbated by
Depression-Era poverty and Dust-Bowl devast­
tation in parts of the study area. Married
women teachers who had been able to override
the longstanding ban on their employment were
fired by school boards acting on the assumption
that women, especially married ones, had some­
one to take care of them, but that men needed
work to support themselves and their families.
In addition, many of the teachers, women and
men, who managed to keep a teaching job dur­
ing the depression often worked for discounted
paychecks or, at times, literally for nothing.

During World War II, when men were in
short supply, many women teachers advanced
professionally. Often they were asked to assume
a male administrator’s post. Texan Mary Rob­
erson became superintendent of the Hartley
County school system, a responsibility and a
doubling of her work load for which, however,
she received no increase in pay. Even so, she
liked her new position, but after the war, Rob­
erson, and many others like her, were not
allowed to keep their jobs.54 As school systems
grew, administrative salaries and managerial
responsibilities increased, making educational
leadership positions attractive to more and
more men.55 Subsequently many county super­
intendents and teaching principalships, often
held by women, were phased out, and males
were hired to manage the new consolidated rural
or expanding urban institutions. This trend
reached its logical conclusion in Colorado in
1952 when the state’s last elected state superin­
tendent, a woman, stepped down to be replaced
by the first in a series of appointed male commissioners of education. At mid-century, throughout the entire country, men began reclaiming high school teaching posts as well, and by 1983 they constituted a bare majority of the secondary classroom instructors. Women, on the other hand, still held almost all of the less prestigious elementary and special education positions. Estelle Faulconer recorded her reaction to such evolutionary developments in Oklahoma, charging, “Men were given a title so they could get more money.” Donna Van Hoove responded even more vehemently, claiming that during her career, she had found “but a handful of principals . . . who were not egotistical, had a bad case of master/slave syndrome, expected women to be subservient, and generally had poor organizational skills.” In a more general context, Fleta Hill also complained, “It’s been my experience that many times women do the work and men get the credit!”

Even the traditionally acquiescent female teachers, however, had a history of struggle against such discrimination. In 1914, Althea Barr Taft disrupted a meeting of the Oklahoma Education Association (OEA), exclaiming, “I want to warn you men that women teachers will not participate in another convention without being active in it and without learning for themselves what is going on.” Twenty-six years later many of her professional progeny joined Texas, Oklahoma, or Colorado branches of the Department of Classroom Teachers Association (DCT), founded in 1913 as an affiliate of NEA. In 1933, Muskogee teacher Kate Frank reorganized the DCT and served as president for four years, beginning in 1934. Three years later Frank became president of the OEA, going on to serve on the NEA Board of Directors and eventually holding that organization’s vice-presidency. Her aggressive leadership won her a broad following and so frightened the Muskogee school board that she was fired in 1943. Frank and the OEA fought the decision, and she was reinstated in 1945.

During the thirties, women ACTA members from Denver successfully threatened resignations if the city’s school board did not institute an equal pay scale for men and women. In addition, the women teachers joined other activist groups formed earlier, such as the American Association of University Women (AAUW), founded in 1881 exclusively by and for women. In Colorado, Beatrice Young supported AAUW because it promised to work for the installation of more female professors at the University of Denver. Many who joined Delta Kappa Gamma attested to their organization’s consciousness-raising effects. According to Christine Kirkpatrick, the association “contributed to the well being of women teachers and enhanced their own feelings of worth . . . [which] provided impetus for . . . self improvement.” The exchange of ideas “with other top professional educators,” Joe Johnston added, “proved to be challenging and rewarding and encouraged intellectual achievement as well as recognition of academic excellence.”

**POST WAR CHALLENGES TO THE TRADITIONAL ROLE**

Dramatic social changes devaluing the traditional female role came in the quarter century following World War II. Afraid that their profession’s philosophical base was in jeopardy, teachers held fast to their support of women’s separate sphere, even as they struggled for professional recognition and status. As early as the late 1940s, Colorado teacher-educator Edith Beechel voiced concern about the diminishing numbers of young women who aspired to be teachers. She noted that many girls were attracted not to education but to the more glamorous vocations of “Secretary or Air Stewardess.” Beginning in the 1960s, modern feminists and scholars, some of whom intended to “liberate” women from what they perceived as the age-old domestic prison, furthered the decline in the popularity of the teaching profession by casting aspersions on all occupations associated with the maternal role. Both single and married women teachers observed this decline with great regret, striking back with comments such as, “the home and especially children have suffered from women not staying where
FIG. 6. *Fourth grade, Kiefer, Oklahoma, 1921*. Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library.

FIG. 7. *Crossroads school, Paul's Valley, Oklahoma, 1938*. Western History Collections, University of Oklahoma Library.
they should,” and “the liberation movement is destroying the family unit.” Revealing her solution to this problem, Wilma Scott proposed:

If it is necessary for mothers to work, they [should] plan their work hours so that their children are not cheated. . . . I still believe one of the most honorable stations in life is being a good mother. Both parents must be equally interested in the education of their child, but a mother’s influence is vital.65

Interestingly enough, organizations such as Delta Kappa Gamma have in recent years supported measures like the Equal Rights Amendment, which could eliminate the legitimacy of gender-linked occupations or social roles.66 Of all the women with whom I corresponded, however, only about one-third could agree with the policy, and most of them had strong reservations concerning their choice. In keeping with other national associations representing women in the traditionally domestic career fields, Delta Kappa Gamma’s national leadership has been more willing than the every-day practitioner to discard domestic ideology, which had been the heart of the nineteenth- and twentieth-century community-building experience.67 For instance, Louise Holler insisted, “God in His wisdom chose to make me a woman, [and] it is my choice to continue to think, behave, and react as a woman and be treated as a woman.”68 Eunice Salomonson agreed, charging:

By wanting to be mannish instead of feminine . . . women have written their own ticket to defeat. Never in the history of mankind has esteem for women been so lacking. . . . Women are not, at the present time, proving that they can fulfill the two roles of career and home—consequently there are too many broken homes and unsuccessful career[s].69

Selma Long had similar difficulties advocating a feminism that would lead to the dissolution of gender spheres, because, like her contemporaries, she was primarily concerned with the diminishing status of domesticity. “My husband
treated me as a partner besides being my protector; it never occurred to me that there was a need for an Equal Rights Amendment,” she wrote. Only a few women teachers were willing to agree with Annie Joy, who admitted somewhat sadly that freedom from gender-role stereotypes “takes some things away, but ... it provides a great deal more than it takes.”70

Unlike Joy, the vast majority of the women in this study will never repudiate the ideology of domesticity. Their belief that women are essentially homemakers and nurturers who also have a right to put their talents to use outside the home has unquestionably caused conflict for these women, particularly for those few who as children dreamed of careers in art or engineering, or who left their parents’ homes with trepidation. But the ideology that first marked out teaching as an appropriate profession for them has also irrevocably shaped their understanding of their profession and the meaning of their own lives.71 Their jobs did, after all, gain for them social approval both in the work place and in the home. More importantly, these teachers, like their predecessors, capitalized on the social support that the rhetoric of domesticity granted them to demand recognition for their professional achievements and their role as community builders. On the other hand, this generation of teachers is also to some extent bound by the rhetoric that served them. Accepting the core assumption of domesticity, that women are responsible for the maintenance of familial and social morality, they identify today’s feminism with narcissism and loss of values rather than self-respect and a demand for equality. Although younger feminists may view the women in this study only as symbols of the past, these women, in their retirement, view what they perceive as the tragedies of contemporary society with a renewed belief that their own lives, securely nestled within the ideology of domesticity, have been full of purpose.
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