Grazing Evaluation of Big Bluestems Bred for Improved Forage Yield and Digestibility
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ABSTRACT

Two big bluestem (Andropogon gerardii Vitman) strains (Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3) developed by three breeding cycles for increased forage yield and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) were compared with the base populations of ‘Pawnee’ and ‘Kaw’ in a 3-yr grazing trial. Pastures were seeded in May 1998 near Mead, NE. Experimental units were three 0.4-ha pastures of each strain or cultivar in a randomized complete block design. Pastures were burned and fertilized with ammonium nitrate at 112 kg N ha$^{-1}$ in the spring of 2000, 2001, and 2002 before grazing. Each pasture was continuously stocked with three crossbred yearling steers (Bos taurus) (380 ± 38 kg) in mid-June 2000, 2001, and 2002 to provide a stock density of 7.5 steers ha$^{-1}$. Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3 produced 16 and 7% more average daily gain (ADG), respectively, than the base populations during the 3-yr study. Additionally, Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3 produced 14 and 5% more total body weight (BW) gain ha$^{-1}$, respectively, than the base populations. Pawnee C3 ADG was 1.30 kg hd$^{-1}$ and produced greater than 455 kg total BW gain ha$^{-1}$ during a drought period in eastern Nebraska. Pawnee C3 pastures produced more available forage with higher IVDMD, cell soluble, cell wall digestibility, and lower lignin concentration than forages from Pawnee pastures. Kaw C3 pastures differed from Kaw pastures by producing forage with greater crude protein concentration. These results demonstrate the productivity of big bluestem pastures in the eastern Great Plains and document the positive livestock response to moderate increases in forage IVDMD. The strains bred for increased yield and IVDMD provided as much as 26% more total BW gain ha$^{-1}$ at no additional cost. Pawnee C3 was released as the cultivar Bonanza and Kaw C3 was released as ‘Goldmine’.

BIG BLUESTEM is a C4 perennial grass native to North America (Weaver, 1954) and accounts for over 25% of the basal cover and over 40% of the herbaceous biomass of the tallgrass prairie (Weaver and Fitzpatrick, 1934; Masters et al., 1993). The importance of big bluestem in extensively managed native grasslands is recognized by grassland scientists, including Weaver (1954) who called it, “the best prairie grass for both pasture and hay.” Big bluestem pastures provide productive, high-quality forage during late spring and summer and may fill the forage availability gap that occurs between the peak spring and autumn production periods for cool-season forages in the eastern Great Plains (Mitchell et al., 1994; Moser and Vogel, 1995). Krueger and Curtis (1979) in South Dakota reported monocultures of Pawnee big bluestem grazed by yearling steers had an average daily gain (ADG) of 0.70 kg hd$^{-1}$, and produced 138 kg total BW gain ha$^{-1}$. They concluded that big bluestem forage can be used successfully for beef production during summer. Conard and Clanton (1963) reported similar results from big bluestem pastures in eastern Nebraska.

Pawnee and Kaw are cultivars of big bluestem that are widely grown for forage in the central Great Plains. Pawnee was originally collected in Pawnee County, NE, and was released jointly by the Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station (AES) and USDA-ARS in 1963 (Alderson and Sharp, 1994). Kaw is a synthetic variety that originated from several lines collected near Manhattan, KS, and was released in 1950 by the Kansas AES (Alderson and Sharp, 1994). Pawnee is best adapted to USDA Plant Hardiness Zones 4 (lower half) and 5, whereas Kaw is best adapted to Zones 5 (lower half) and 6 (Moser and Vogel, 1995). Kaw is usually 7 to 10 d later in maturity than Pawnee at the latitude of Mead, NE, which is near the northern limit of its recommended use.

Increasing forage yield and digestibility in perennial grasses may increase livestock performance and profitability of grasslands (Anderson et al., 1988; Hopkins et al., 1993; Vogel and Sleper, 1994; Casler and Vogel, 1999). The objective of this study was to compare beef cattle ADG and total BW gain per hectare on pastures seeded to two big bluestem strains developed by three generations of breeding for increased forage yield and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) with the base populations from which the two strains were derived. We hypothesized that the strains bred for increased forage yield and IVDMD (Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3) would produce superior ADG and total BW gain per hectare when compared with the parental cultivars. Additional objectives were to determine if the strains differed in stand persistence under grazing, available forage (AF), and nutritive value of AF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed of Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3 used to establish the pasture trial was produced in seed increase nurseries at the University of Nebraska Agricultural Research and Development Center (UNL-ARDC) near Mead, NE. Certified seed of Pawnee and Kaw was obtained from commercial vendors. Pastures were seeded in May 1998 at the UNL-ARDC (41.17° N, Lat., 96.13° W, Long.).
RESULTS

Averaged to determine initial weights. The same feeding and ADG and BW gain per hectare (Table 1). Livestock weights for Days 3 and 4 were used after the grazing period to determine initial weights. The same feeding and ADG and BW gain per hectare (Table 1). Livestock

Livestock Performance

The pastures were well established and there were no significant differences among the big bluestem strains for grass frequency of occurrence at grazing trial initiation. Grass frequency of occurrence for all pastures averaged 89% before grazing in June of 2000. When the trial ended in September of 2002 after the third grazing season, grass frequency of occurrence averaged 77% and Kaw C3 (71%) was different (P = 0.04) than Pawnee and Kaw. In the Central Great Plains with native warm-season grasses, grass frequency of occurrence greater than 50% were similar to plant density of native prairie and are considered to be fully satisfactory (Vogel and Masters, 2001). Initial stands of native grasses often decrease as stands mature and individual plants become larger as occurred in this trial. Weed pressure as determined by the frequency grid was low, ranging from 1.1 to 5.8% at the end of the trial with no significant differences among strains.
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Fig. 1. Monthly precipitation received at Mead, NE, in 2000, 2001, and 2002 (NOAA, 2003). Monthly mean precipitation is represented by the solid line. Total annual precipitation was 587, 695, and 646 mm in 2000, 2001, and 2002, respectively, with a long-term average of 704 mm.

Forage Availability and Nutritive Value

There were significant differences among strains for AF and average grazing season forage IVDMD, NDF, ADL, CP, and CW IVDMD (Table 2). Because of precipitation differences, there were significant year effects for all forage traits, but strain × year effects were not significant for any forage trait except for ADL (data not shown). For ADL, strain effects were more than two times greater than strain × year effects in the ANOVA. The nonsignificant strain × year effects demonstrate that AF and nutritive value of the strains ranked in a similar manner each year even with the differences in available precipitation.

Pawnee C3 pastures had 14% greater AF than the Pawnee pastures over the three grazing seasons and the AF from the Pawnee C3 pastures had greater IVDMD, lower NDF, lower ADL, and greater CW IVDMD concentrations than forage from the Pawnee pastures (Table 2). Since NDF is an estimate of total cell walls, Pawnee C3 forage had a lower concentration of cell walls and had more digestible cell walls than Pawnee forage. Pawnee C3 cell walls were likely more digestible because of their lower lignin concentration. Pawnee C3 pastures produced more ADG and total BW gain per hectare than Pawnee pastures because of both greater AF and forage digestibility.

Kaw C3 and Kaw pastures did not differ in AF or forage IVDMD, NDF, and CW IVDMD (Table 2). Forage from the Kaw C3 pastures had higher CP but also had higher ADL. The small differences in quality between Kaw C3 and Kaw resulted in smaller differences in ADG by cattle grazing these pastures than for those grazing Pawnee C3 and Pawnee. Since animals graze...
Table 2. Available forage (AF), in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD), crude protein concentration (CP), NDF, cell wall (CW) IVDMD, and acid detergent lignin (ADL) of available forage from four big bluestem populations grazed by beef cattle near Mead, NE. Values represent the mean of samples collected at 7-d intervals while the pastures were being grazed in 2000, 2001, and 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>AF</th>
<th>IVDMD</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>NDF</th>
<th>CW IVDMD</th>
<th>ADL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>g kg⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawnee</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pawnee C3</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaw</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaw C3</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Livestock performance information on big bluestem monocultures is limited. Conard and Clanton (1963) reported a mean ADG of 0.56 kg hd⁻¹ during a 3-yr grazing study on big bluestem monocultures in Nebraska. A 3-yr grazing study in South Dakota reported a mean ADG of 0.70 kg hd⁻¹ on monocultures of Pawnee big bluestem (Krueger and Curtis, 1979). Both studies reported significantly less ADG than the 1.22 kg hd⁻¹ observed across all strains and years in this study. The pastures in previous studies (Conard and Clanton, 1963; Krueger and Curtis, 1979) were not managed for high levels of livestock gains. The pastures in those studies were not fertilized and were stocked at lower densities that likely allowed ungrazed forage to mature and decrease in quality and probably reduced their ADG in comparison with this study. The higher beef cattle gain per ha achieved grazing big bluestem pastures in this study was likely due to improvements in both management and the plant materials grown in the pastures.

Casler and Vogel (1999) presented a model for predicting the change in ADG on the basis of the change in IVDMD [% change in ADG = 5.0 + 3.24(% change in IVDMD)] that predicted a 2.9% increase in IVDMD should result in a 14.4% increase in ADG, which closely approximates the 16% increase we observed in Pawnee C3. However, their model for predicting the change in animal production per hectare [% change in animal production = 13.8 + 2.75(% change in IVDMD)] was not as robust and predicted a 2.9% increase in IVDMD should result in a 21.8% increase in animal production per ha, which overestimated the 14% increase we observed in Pawnee C3. However, Casler and Vogel (1999) made no corrections for decreased forage availability because of environmental stresses, such as drought conditions that occurred during this study.

These results support our hypothesis that big bluestems bred for increased forage yield and IVDMD would produce greater ADG and beef production per hectare when compared with their parental cultivars. The results of this 3-yr grazing trial further demonstrate the productivity of big bluestem pastures in the eastern Great Plains and document the positive livestock response to moderate increases in forage IVDMD. The strains bred for increased yield and IVDMD provided as much as 26% more BW gain per hectare at no additional cost. Using net returns per kilogram of gain for the 3-yr of this trial (Mitchell et al., 2005), producers could realize from $13 to $90 more net return per hectare by planting improved strains rather than currently available cultivars (Table 1).

Pawnee C3 and Kaw C3 were released as the cultivars Bonanza and Goldmine, respectively. Bonanza is suggested for use in USDA Plant Hardiness Zones lower 4 and 5, whereas Goldmine is suggested for use in USDA Plant Hardiness Zones lower 5 and 6 east of 100° W. Long. These releases represent the first big bluestem cultivars developed specifically for increased animal performance by increasing forage yield and IVDMD and validated by grazing trials.
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