

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Cornhusker Economics

Agricultural Economics Department

---

January 2001

## Fertilizer Diversification

Glenn A. Helmers

*University of Nebraska-Lincoln*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\\_cornhusker](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker)



Part of the [Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons](#)

---

Helmers, Glenn A., "Fertilizer Diversification" (2001). *Cornhusker Economics*. 19.

[https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon\\_cornhusker/19](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agecon_cornhusker/19)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agricultural Economics Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cornhusker Economics by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Cornhusker Economics

Cooperative Extension

Institute of Agriculture & Natural Resources  
Department of Agricultural Economics  
University of Nebraska – Lincoln

## Fertilizer Diversification

| Market Report  | Yr<br>Ago | 4 Wks<br>Ago | 12/29/00 |
|--|-----------|--------------|----------|
| <b><u>Livestock and Products,</u></b>                                      |           |              |          |
| <b><u>Average Prices for Week Ending</u></b>                               |           |              |          |
| Slaughter Steers, Ch. 204, 1100-1300 lb<br>Omaha, cwt                      | \$67.95   | \$72.78      | \$77.00  |
| Feeder Steers, Med. Frame, 600-650 lb<br>Dodge City, KS, cwt               | *         | 94.13        | *        |
| Feeder Steers, Med. Frame 600-650 lb,<br>Nebraska Auction Wght. Avg        | 95.38     | 97.78        | 103.83   |
| Carcass Price, Ch. 1-3, 550-700 lb<br>Cent. US, Equiv. Index Value, cwt    | 104.69    | 111.03       | 121.23   |
| Hogs, US 1-2, 220-230 lb<br>Sioux Falls, SD, cwt                           | 36.25     | 38.50        | 37.75    |
| Feeder Pigs, US 1-2, 40-45 lb<br>Sioux Falls, SD, hd                       | 39.00     | *            | *        |
| Vacuum Packed Pork Loins, Wholesale,<br>13-19 lb, 1/4" Trim, Cent. US, cwt | 106.50    | *            | 119.13   |
| Slaughter Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 115-125 lb<br>Sioux Falls, SD, cwt             | *         | 67.42        | 70.00    |
| Carcass Lambs, Ch. & Pr., 1-4, 55-65 lb<br>FOB Midwest, cwt                | 163.00    | 149.00       | 152.00   |
| <b><u>Crops,</u></b>   |           |              |          |
| <b><u>Cash Truck Prices for Date Shown</u></b>                             |           |              |          |
| Wheat, No. 1, H.W.<br>Omaha, bu  |           |              |          |
| Corn, No. 2, Yellow<br>Omaha, bu   | 2.78      | 3.22         | 3.37     |
| Soybeans, No. 1, Yellow<br>Omaha, bu                                       | 1.78      | 1.93         | 2.09     |
| Grain Sorghum, No. 2, Yellow<br>Kansas City, cwt                           | 4.30      | 4.84         | 4.82     |
| Oats, No. 2, Heavy<br>Sioux City, IA, bu                                   | 2.93      | 3.48         | 3.87     |
|  | 1.19      | 1.15         | 1.24     |
| <b><u>Hay,</u></b>   |           |              |          |
| <b><u>First Day of Week Pile Prices</u></b>                                |           |              |          |
| Alfalfa, Sm. Square, RFV 150 or better<br>Platte Valley, ton               | *         | 110.00       | 110.00   |
| Alfalfa, Lg. Round, Good<br>Northeast Nebraska, ton                        | 35.00     | 75.00        | 68.50    |
| Prairie, Sm. Square, Good<br>Northeast Nebraska, ton                       | *         | 100.00       | 97.50    |
| * No market.   |           |              |          |

Crop diversification is commonly regarded as a risk reducing strategy to moderate the impacts of variable crop prices and yields. The addition of one or more livestock enterprises may lead to still greater income stability for an agricultural producer. Diversification reduces income volatility whenever low returns in one enterprise are associated with relatively moderate or high returns in another enterprise. The reverse phenomenon (moderate or relatively high returns in the first enterprise, occurring during years of low returns for the second enterprise) is also necessary for diversification to be effective. The greater the tendency for enterprise returns from different enterprises to "offset" each other, the greater the effectiveness of enterprise diversification. The disadvantage of diversification lies in the likelihood for reduced average returns across time due to a) the loss of enterprise size efficiency due to engaging in more enterprises, and/or b) if when adding enterprises, the added enterprises have lower returns.

On the other hand, fertilizer diversification (the practice of fertilizing a crop at different levels) is rarely considered as a risk reduction strategy. Rather, precision fertilization is receiving much current attention and offers the potential of increased efficiency. A precision fertilization strategy may result in a range of fertilizer application levels across a farm because of different soils, but this is not the same approach as purposeful fertilization diversification where more than one fertilizer level is applied to a given crop in a given soil.

From a maximum returns standpoint the optimum fertilizer level looking back varies from year to year,



UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, COOPERATING WITH THE COUNTIES AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

University of Nebraska Cooperative Extension educational programs abide with the non-discrimination policies of the  
University of Nebraska-Lincoln and the United States Department of Agriculture.



but it cannot be well predicted for a coming year. In dryland production, variable precipitation is the major force leading to this risky choice setting. The conventional approach to maximizing returns under such a risk situation is to consider a "weighted average" of different likely yield-fertilizer responses, and select a level which maximizes returns. This is different from one extreme which is to assume the best conditions will exist and to consistently apply fertilizer based on that assumption. The other extreme is to apply fertilizer based on the assumption that the worst weather conditions will occur leading to a consistently low application level.

From only a profit standpoint, the above described approach (the "weighted average") cannot be exceeded by any other strategy. The only difficulty is that following it may result in more risky returns compared to a diversified approach. In other words, the "offsetting" mechanism previously described for crop diversification may also exist for fertilizer diversification. In such a case a tendency may occur for one fertilizer level with lower returns to be associated with higher returns from another level in a given year and vice versa. Again, even if such is the case and return volatility can be reduced, at what cost in terms of average net returns does this reduction of risk involve?

At this point we need to briefly consider what risk is. Risk can be defined and measured in several ways including a) return volatility, b) the likelihood of disaster returns, and c) the degree which returns fail to reach a target return level. We use the last concept here in analyzing how fertilizer diversification performed over time compared to the maximum return application level.

Data from a 15-year (1984-98) eastern Nebraska dryland cropping experiment were used in examining the risk issue. Continuous cropping systems of corn, grain sorghum and soybeans were analyzed along with rotations of corn-soybeans and grain sorghum-soybeans. All crops were fertilized at three levels. Yields, prices and costs for each year for each system were tabulated. The average net return level and accumulated 15-year return deficits below \$100/acre were found for various fertilizer diversification strategies and these were compared to those found for a constant fertilization level. In estimating net returns only operating costs were considered.

While the results require additional analysis, some preliminary findings are interesting. For continuous cropping, diversification was not found to reduce crop return variability. However, for the two rotations, the diversification strategy did result in considerably less risk compared to a constant fertilizer level for each system. This reduction in risk is in addition to the reduced risk resulting from employing the rotation compared to continuous cropping. For continuous corn, 1995 was a particularly poor year, while for continuous grain sorghum, 1987, 1993 and 1995 were troublesome. Low returns were experienced in 1989 and 1995 for continuous soybeans.

The cost resulting from fertilizer diversification in the rotations was approximately \$2-\$4 per acre per year because, as stated earlier, diversification comes at a cost. The issue of whether fertilizer diversification should be a practiced technique is a complex one. First, the analysis should be studied under more than one location and over a longer time period. In addition, other risk reducing techniques such as crop insurance may accomplish the same objective at a lower cost.

Glenn A. Helmers. (402) 472-1788  
Professor, Agricultural Economics