

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Historical Materials from University of
Nebraska-Lincoln Extension

Extension

1998

EC98-750 Farm*A*Syst Nebraska's System for Assessing Water Contamination Risk Worksheet 12: Silage Storage

Robert Grisso
University of Nebraska at Lincoln

DeLynn Hay
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, dhay1@unl.edu

Paul J. Jasa
University of Nebraska at Lincoln, pjasa1@unl.edu

Richard K. Koelsch
University of Nebraska - Lincoln, rkoelsch1@unl.edu

Sharon Skipton
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, sskipton1@unl.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#)

Grisso, Robert; Hay, DeLynn; Jasa, Paul J.; Koelsch, Richard K.; Skipton, Sharon; and Woldt, Wayne, "EC98-750 Farm*A*Syst Nebraska's System for Assessing Water Contamination Risk Worksheet 12: Silage Storage" (1998). *Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension*. 1436.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/extensionhist/1436>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Extension at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Historical Materials from University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Robert Grisso, DeLynn Hay, Paul J. Jasa, Richard K. Koelsch, Sharon Skipton, and Wayne Woldt

Farm A Syst

WORKSHEET 12

Silage Storage

Why should I be concerned?

Silage is an important feed for livestock-based agriculture. When properly harvested and stored, silage poses little or no pollution threat, but improper handling can lead to a significant flow of silage juices (or leachate) from the silo. Leachate is an organic liquid that results from pressure in the silo or from extra water entering the silo. It is usually a problem only when silage is fresh, or just after storage. This loss of leachate represents a loss of nutrient value from the silage.

Silage liquid is acidic and can be corrosive to concrete and steel. If it enters a stream, its high organic content feeds bacteria that rob the water of oxygen. The oxygen demand of silage leachate is 100 to 200 times greater than raw municipal sewage. Leachate from 300 tons of high-moisture silage has been compared to the sewage generated daily by a city of 80,000 people.

Along with the pollutants found in silage leachate, an even greater potential threat is that the low pH created by the presence of acids in silage leachate can free up and release naturally occurring

metals in the soil and aquifer, which can increase their concentrations in groundwater. Groundwater contaminated with silage juices also has a disagreeable odor and shows increased levels of acidity, ammonia, nitrates, and iron.

Nitrate is another important potential contaminant to consider. Levels of 35 milligrams per liter (mg/l; equivalent to parts per million in water measure) should be avoided for livestock, especially young animals and animals in gestation. For most livestock, health effects are normally observed only for concentrations of greater than 100 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen. Water with over 10 mg/l nitrate-nitrogen should not be used for human consumption. Infants under six months of age are at greatest risk.

The goal of Farm*A*Syst is to help you protect the groundwater that supplies your drinking water and recreational uses of surface waters.

How will this worksheet help me protect my drinking water?

- It will take you step-by-step through your silage storage practices.

- It will evaluate your activities according to impact on the groundwater that provides your drinking water supplies and surface water.
- It will provide you with easy-to-understand "risk level scores" that will help you analyze the relative safety of your silage storage practices.
- It will help you determine which of your practices are reasonably safe and effective, and which practices might require modification to better protect your drinking water.

How do I complete the worksheet?

Follow the directions at the top of the chart on the next page. It should take you 15 minutes to complete this worksheet and determine your risk level.

Information derived from Farm*A*Syst worksheets is intended only to provide general information and recommendations to farmers regarding their own farm practices. It is not the intent of this educational program to keep records of individual results.



Silage Storage: Assessing the Risk of Surface Water and Groundwater Contamination

1. Use a pencil. You may want to make changes.
2. For each category listed on the left that is appropriate to your farm, read across to the right and circle the statement that best describes conditions on your farm. (Skip and leave blank any categories that don't apply to your farm.)
3. Then look above the description you circled to find your "risk number" (1, 2, 3, or 4) and enter that number in the blank under "YOUR RISK."
4. Allow about 15 minutes to complete the worksheet and figure out your risk for livestock manure storage practices.

	HIGH RISK (risk 4)	HIGH-MODERATE RISK (risk 3)	MODERATE-LOW RISK (risk 2)	LOW RISK (risk 1)	YOUR RISK
--	-----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------	-----------

LOCATION RELATIVE TO SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER.

Distance from well to concrete or glass-lined storage.	_____	Well is within 100 feet.	_____	Well is more than 100 feet away.	
Distance from well to earthen trench or plastic tubes.	Well is within 100 feet.	Well is 100 to 250 feet AND Downslope or at grade.	Well is more than 250 feet AND Downslope or at grade.	Well is more than 100 feet AND Upslope.	
Distance from silage storage to nearest surface water source.	Less than 100 feet; OR Leachate drains into road ditch or surface water.	100 to 500 feet.	Greater than 500 feet.	Silage effluent is collected and stored for field application.	

SEEPAGE MANAGEMENT

Leachate collection system	No system in place. Leachate collects in low area or moves to ditch, surface water, or wetlands.	No system in place. Leachate moves to crop land or pasture area without pooling in single location.	Designed system in place and seepage is distributed over grassed filter area.	Design system in place and seepage is land-applied.	
----------------------------	--	---	---	---	--

Your groundwater vulnerability score from *Worksheet 2* was _____

Note: If the surface texture, subsurface texture, or depth to groundwater used to calculate this score are not characteristic of the site conditions present for the activities/practices discussed in this worksheet, calculate a new vulnerability score for this site.

If your groundwater vulnerability score is:

- 1 to 1.4: your site has a LOW VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching groundwater.
- 1.5 to 2.4: your site has a MODERATE-LOW VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching groundwater.
- 2.5 to 3.4: your site has a HIGH-MODERATE VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching groundwater.
- 3.5 to 4.0: your site has a HIGH VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching groundwater.

Your surface water vulnerability score from *Worksheet 2* was _____

Note: If the surface texture, slope toward surface water, or distance from surface water used to calculate this score are not characteristic of the site conditions present for the activities/practices discussed in this worksheet, calculate a new vulnerability score for this site.

If your surface water vulnerability score is:

- 1 to 1.4: your site has a LOW VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching surface water.
- 1.5 to 2.4: your site has a MODERATE-LOW VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching surface water.
- 2.5 to 3.4: your site has a HIGH-MODERATE VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching surface water.
- 3.5 to 4.0: your site has a HIGH VULNERABILITY to pollution reaching surface water.

Look over your worksheet scores for individual activities:

- **Low risk** practices (1's): are ideal and should be your goal regardless of your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water. Cost and other factors may make it difficult to achieve a low risk rating for all activities.
- **Moderate-low risk** practices (2's): provide reasonable water quality protection unless your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water is moderate-high or high.
- **High-moderate risk** practices (3's): do not provide adequate protection in many circumstances, especially if your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water is high or high-moderate. They may provide reasonable water quality protection if your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water is low to moderate-low.
- **High risk** practices (4's): pose a serious danger of polluting water, especially if your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water is high, high-moderate, or moderate-low. Some high risk activities may not immediately threaten water quality if your site's vulnerability to pollution reaching ground or surface water is low, but still pose a threat over time if not corrected.

Read ***Fact Sheet 12 Improving Silage Storage*** and consider how you might modify your farm practices to better protect your drinking water supply and other ground and surface water supplies. Some concerns you can take care of right away; others could be major or costly projects requiring planning and prioritizing before you take action.

Summary Evaluation for Silage Storage Worksheet

Summarize your potential high risk activities in the following table and consider the response options you can take to reduce the potential for water quality contamination.

[illegible]



Partial funding for materials, adaptation, and development was provided by the U.S. EPA, Region VII (Pollution Prevention Incentives for States and Nonpoint Source Programs) and USDA (Central Blue Valley Water Quality HUA). This project was coordinated at the Department of Biological Systems Engineering, Cooperative Extension Division, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln.

Nebraska Farm*A*Syst team members included: Robert Grisso, Extension Engineer, Ag Machinery;

DeLynn Hay, Extension Specialist, Water Resources and Irrigation; Paul Jasa, Extension Engineer; Richard Koelsch, Livestock Bioenvironmental Engineer; Sharon Skipton, Extension Educator; and Wayne Woldt, Extension Bioenvironmental Engineer.

This unit was modified by Richard Koelsch.

Editorial assistance was provided by Nick Partsch and Sharon Skipton.

Technical reviews provided by: Rick Grant, Animal Sciences; Rick Stock, Gargill, Inc.; Tom Hamer, Natural Resources Conservation Service; Bruce Anderson, Agronomy.

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of either the technical reviewers or the agencies they represent.

Adapted for Nebraska from material prepared for the Wisconsin and Minnesota Farm*A*Syst programs, written by David W. Kammel, University of Wisconsin and Nick Houtman, University of Maine.

Reprinted on recycled paper.

NOTES