

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications

Agronomy and Horticulture Department

8-15-1950

The Growth-Promoting Properties of Quinic Acid

Malcom Gordon

Francis A. Haskins

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, fhaskins@neb.rr.com

Herschel Mitchell

The Kerckhoff Laboratories of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub>



Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#)

Gordon, Malcom; Haskins, Francis A.; and Mitchell, Herschel, "The Growth-Promoting Properties of Quinic Acid" (1950). *Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications*. 160.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/160>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticulture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

The inhibitory influence of the toxin on the growth of *Chlorella* was completely reversible in the presence of *l*-methionine. A similar reversal has not yet been accomplished in tobacco. That the mechanism of action is similar in both organisms, however, is suggested by the fact that methionine sulfoximine, a known methionine antagonist, produced chlorotic lesions in tobacco leaves that were indistinguishable from those produced by the bacterial toxin.

The author is greatly indebted to Professor Vincent du Vigneaud, Department of Biochemistry, Cornell University Medical School, for the *l*-cystathionine and *dl*-homocysteine, and to Dr. L. Reiner, Wallace and Tiernan Products, Inc., for the methionine sulfoximine used in these studies.

¹ Johnson, J., and Murwin, H. F., *Wisconsin Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Bull.*, **62**, 35 pp. (1925).

² Clayton, E. E., *J. Agr. Res.*, **48**, 411-426 (1934).

³ Braun, A. C., *Zentralbl. f. Bakt.*, **II**, **97**, 177-193 (1937).

⁴ Teas, H. J., *J. Bact.*, **59**, 93-104 (1950).

⁵ Simmonds, S., *J. Biol. Chem.*, **174**, 717-722 (1948).

⁶ Lampen, J. O., Roepke, R. R., and Jones, M. J., *Arch. Biochem.*, **13**, 55-66 (1947).

⁷ Horowitz, N. H., *J. Biol. Chem.*, **171**, 255-264 (1947).

THE GROWTH-PROMOTING PROPERTIES OF QUINIC ACID*

BY MALCOLM GORDON,[†] FRANCIS A. HASKINS[‡] AND HERSCHEL K. MITCHELL

THE KERCKHOFF LABORATORIES OF BIOLOGY,
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA

Communicated by G. W. Beadle, June 17, 1950

Evidence is accumulating that there is a common metabolic precursor to many of the benzene ring derivatives found in living organisms¹⁻⁴. Recent work by Davis³ and Tatum⁴ indicates that one such precursor is the naturally occurring shikimic acid (Fig. 1) since this compound serves as a growth factor for certain mutants of *Escherichia coli*³ and *Neurospora*⁴ which otherwise require a combination of tyrosine, phenylalanine, tryptophan and *p*-aminobenzoic acid for growth. These mutants cannot utilize the closely related, naturally occurring quinic acid (Fig. 1) as a substitute for any of their requirements.^{3, 4}

In an earlier investigation of quinic acid and shikimic acid, Fischer and Dangschat^{5, 6} showed that quinic acid is chemically convertible to shikimic

acid and that the latter is convertible to glucodesonic acid. They pointed to the possibility that these compounds are formed directly from glucose.

For these reasons the growth-promoting properties of quinic acid and shikimic acid were reinvestigated with a *Neurospora* mutant, C-86, which is capable of utilizing a variety of aromatic compounds for growth^{2, 7, 8}. Table 1 gives a list of compounds utilized by C-86 together with those utilized by several other mutants used in this investigation^{2, 7-9}.

Experimental.—Methods: The growth responses of the several strains of *Neurospora* tested were measured as dry weight of mycelium after 120 hours at 25° in 20 ml. of the standard Fries medium, adjusted to pH 4.6. Inoculations were made with drops of suspensions of conidia in sterile water. The shikimic acid¹⁰ and the quinic acid¹¹ were filter sterilized and added sterily to the autoclaved medium after cooling.




FIGURE 1

Analytical: The quinic acid used was recrystallized from water, melted at 162–163° and had a molecular rotation of -42.8° at 25°. Calculated, C, 43.75, H, 6.29; found, C, 43.49, H, 6.18.

Responses of Mutant Strains: The following compounds were tested for their ability to promote the growth of *Neurospora*, strain C-86: shikimic acid, quinic acid, dopa, protocatechuic acid, *p*-aminobenzoic acid and gentisic acid. Except for a very small response to gentisic acid, quinic acid

is the only one of these which supports the growth of C-86. Table 2 compares this effect with the growth-promoting effect of tryptophan.

While the activity of quinic acid is about the same as that reported for tyrosine and *trans*-cinnamic acid at low levels,² the inhibitory effect noted at higher concentration with *trans*-cinnamic acid is not observed with quinic acid.¹²

To eliminate the possibility that the growth response of C-86 to quinic acid is due to contamination with small amounts of other compounds which promote its growth (table 1), the same sample of quinic acid was filter sterilized and tested at 1- and 5-mg. levels against each of the other mutants listed in table 1. None grew at either concentration of quinic acid.

TABLE 1
COMPOUNDS UTILIZED BY VARIOUS *NEUROSPORA* MUTANTS

STRAIN	TYROSINE OR <i>trans</i> - CINNAMIC ACID	PHENYL- ALANINE	ANTHRA- NILIC ACID	INDOLE	TRYPTO- PHAN	KYNURE- NINE	3-OH- KYNURE- NINE	3-OH- ANTHRA- NILIC ACID	NICO- TINIC ACID
C-86	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
E-5212	—	+	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
B-1312	—	—	+	+	+	+	—	—	—
39401	—	—	—	+	+	+	+	+	+
10575	—	—	—	+	+	—	—	—	—
C-83	—	—	—	—	+	—	—	—	—
E-5029	—	—	—	—	—	—	+	+	+
4540	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	+

TABLE 2
GROWTH OF *NEUROSPORA* MUTANT C-86 IN THE PRESENCE OF (—)-QUINIC ACID AND *L*-TRYPTOPHAN

γ	DRY WT. OF MOLD, MG. <i>L</i> -TRYPTOPHAN	(—)-QUINIC ACID
25	15.8	...
50	22.8	...
100	33.6	...
250	...	2.0
500	69.2	7.6
1000	...	21.8
3000	...	38.4
5000	...	48.2

Discussion.—These experiments demonstrate three main differences between the shikimic acid and quinic acid mutants of *Neurospora*.

(1) The mutant which utilizes shikimic acid cannot utilize quinic acid and vice versa.

(2) C-86 grows in the presence of any one of a number of compounds which presumably are related metabolically. The shikimic acid mutant requires four aromatic compounds for growth in the absence of shikimic acid.

(3) *p*-Aminobenzoic acid has no effect on C-86, while it is an absolute requirement for the shikimic acid mutant in the absence of shikimic acid.

The apparent lack of correlation between the results obtained with C-86 and the shikimic acid mutant can be explained by one of several schemes. However, the data presently available do not warrant extensive speculation about the presumed metabolic relationship between quinic acid and shikimic acid, between them and glucose or between them and the aromatic compounds they replace. The evidence would nevertheless seem to favor the view that at least several of the aromatic compounds found in *Neurospora* arise from a common non-aromatic, cyclical intermediate.

Summary.—Quinic acid promotes the growth of *Neurospora*, strain C-86, which otherwise requires for growth any one of several aromatic compounds. The closely related shikimic acid is completely without activity for this strain.

* This work was supported by funds from the Rockefeller Foundation and by funds from the Atomic Energy Commission, administered through the Office of Naval Research, United States Navy (Contract N-onr-244, Task Order 5).

† Merck Fellow of the National Research Council.

‡ Atomic Energy Commission Predoctoral Fellow.

¹ Gordon, M., Doctoral Dissertation, University of Texas (1948).

² Nyc, J. F., Haskins, F. A., and Mitchell, H. K., *Arch. Biochem.*, **23**, 161 (1949).

³ Davis, B. D., *Experientia*, **VI**, 141 (1950).

⁴ Tatum, E. L., personal communication.

⁵ Fischer, H. O. L., and Dangschat, G., *Helv. Chim. Acta.*, **20**, 705 (1937).

⁶ Dangschat, G., and Fischer, H. O. L., *Naturwissenschaften*, **26**, 562 (1938).

⁷ Lein, J., Mitchell, H. K., and Houlahan, M. B., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **34**, 435 (1948).

⁸ Haskins, F. A., and Mitchell, H. K., *Ibid.*, **35**, 500 (1949).

⁹ Bonner, D. M., and Beadle, G. W., *Arch. Biochem.*, **11**, 319 (1946).

¹⁰ Furnished by Dr. Roger Stanier, University of California.

¹¹ Furnished by Dr. James Bonner, California Institute of Technology.

¹² Nyc, J. F., personal communication.