

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Curriculum-Based Measurement

Buros-Nebraska Series on Measurement and  
Testing

---

1993

## Subject Index

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/buroscurriculum>



Part of the [Curriculum and Instruction Commons](#), and the [Educational Assessment, Evaluation, and Research Commons](#)

---

"Subject Index" (1993). *Curriculum-Based Measurement*. 10.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/buroscurriculum/10>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Buros-Nebraska Series on Measurement and Testing at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Curriculum-Based Measurement by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

# Subject Index

## A

academic learning time (ALT), 1–23  
active learning time, 42–43  
analysis of responses, 35  
arithmetic, 109, 109–113, 109–121  
at-risk students, 108–121

## B

behavioral assessment model, 106–121

## C

classroom interventions, 46–47, 114–121  
cloze, 30  
Concerns-Based Adoption Model  
(CBAM), 140–153  
criterion for acceptable performance, 42–  
43  
criterion-referenced testing (CRT), 26,  
77–82, 143–153  
commercial criterion-referenced tests,  
26  
commercially available, norm-  
referenced achievement, 146–153  
criterion-referenced test, 77–82  
teacher-made, 77–82  
curriculum referenced, 19–23

curriculum-based assessment (CBA), 1–  
23, 29, 139–153  
accountability, 133–138  
child study teams, 129–138  
development of interventions, 129–138  
distinction between CBM, 32  
district-wide implementation, 123–138  
ecological validity, 112–121  
eligibility decision-making model,  
125–138  
ethnic biases, 128–138  
implementation problems, 108–121  
implications for service delivery, 124–  
138  
published critiques, 142–153  
racial biases, 128–138  
curriculum-based evaluation (CBE), 26  
curriculum-based measurement (CBM),  
1–23  
administration, 27  
advantages of, 17–23  
applications of  
placement in curriculum levels, 109–  
121  
progress monitoring, 109–121  
reintegrating students, 16–23  
screening for program eligibility,  
109–121  
as used in Pine County, MN, 25

criterion validity  
 with Test of Written Language, 40  
 with Woodcock Reading Mastery Tests, 40  
 distinguished from CBA, 65–82  
 identifying groups of academic problems, 116–121  
 instructional utility, 16–23  
 methodology, 70–71  
 norming process, 125–138  
 placement in curriculum levels, 109–121  
 reading  
 cloze, 30  
 maze, 30  
 retellings, 30  
 similarities with CBA, 65–82

## D

data display  
 interval graphs, 44  
 line graphs, 44  
 logarithmic graphs, 44  
 data-management software, 88–89  
 decision rules, 40, 44, 87–89  
 declassification, 126–138  
 decoding, 38  
 Direct Instruction, 32  
 distribution  
 non-normal, 44  
 normal, 44  
 districtwide measurement  
 cost-effectiveness, 128–138  
 discrepancy ratio, 128–138  
 dynamic goal, 83–89

## F

follow-up monitoring, 17–23  
 formal measurement systems, 35  
 frequency of measurement, 28

## G

generalization of skills, 74–82  
 goal oriented evaluation, 49  
 goal referenced, 19–23  
 goal setting, 46–47, 81–82  
 goals, 79–82  
 goal ambitiousness, 79–82  
 goal attainment, 82  
 static goal, 83–89

## I

Individual Educational Plan (IEP)  
 IEP management, 17–23  
 individually referenced, 19–23  
 informal reading inventories, 35  
 Institute for Research on Learning Disabilities, 27  
 instructional utility, 16–23  
 interpretive judgments  
 outcome, 46–47  
 process, 46–47  
 item analysis, 77–82  
 item representativeness, 77–82  
 item sampling, 27, 30

## L

level of inference, 116–121, 158–171  
 local norms, 110–113, 110–121  
 development, 129–138  
 norming process, 125–138  
 long-range goal, 32  
 long-range sampling plan, 32

## M

mastery measurement, 66–77, 66–82  
 distinguished from CBM, 73  
 mastery measurement graph, 68–82  
 mastery monitoring, 7–23  
 math, 110–113, 110–120, 110–121  
 maze, 30  
 monitoring, 17–23

## N

norm referenced, 19–23  
 norm-referenced assessment, 1–23  
 norm-referenced testing  
 versus standardized testing, 46–47  
 norm-referenced testing, 46–47  
 normative score  
 summarizing data in, 1–23

## O

oral reading, 38

## P

performance indicators, 92

performance levels  
 frustration, 26  
 independent, 26  
 instructional, 26  
 precision teaching, 29  
 prereferral interventions, 17-23  
 production response  
   extended answer, 38  
   retelling, 38  
 program referenced, 19-23  
 progress monitoring, 109-121  
 psychometric models, 108-121  
 published, norm-referenced tests (PNTs),  
   149-153

## R

reading, 6-23, 30, 35, 38  
   administration procedures, 38  
   cloze, 30  
   comprehension, 35  
   decoding, 38  
   errors, 35  
   maze, 30  
   retellings, 30  
 reference type, 46-47  
 reintegrating, 17-23  
 reliability, 1-23  
   alternate forms, 40  
   interjudge, 40  
   split-forms, 40  
   test-retest, 40  
 repeated measurement approach, 42-43  
 report writing, 44  
 responses  
   production, 27  
   selection, 27  
 retellings, 30  
 retention of skills, 74-82

## S

sampling items, 31  
 school psychologists, 107-121  
 scientist-practitioner model, 141-153  
 screening, 17-23  
 selection response  
   classification-matching problem, 38  
   true or false proposition, 38  
 slope, 42-43  
 special education, 3-23  
   terms used in, 3-23

special education placement, 154-167  
 specific level tasks, 42-43  
 spelling, 109-113, 109-121  
 standard score units, 47  
   interval scale, 47  
   pseudo-interval system, 47  
   ranking system, 47  
 standardized testing, 46-47  
   versus norm referenced testing, 46-47  
 systematic formative evaluation, 43  
 systems change, 140-153

## T

task items, 26  
 task type, 26  
 teacher-made tests, 35  
 technical adequacy, 27  
   reliability, 39-40  
   validity, 39-40  
 template matching, 126-138  
 time series data, 43  
 trait-oriented measurement models,  
   111-121  
 transfer, 33  
 transfer of skills, 33  
 treatment oriented evaluation, 49  
 types of references, 46-47  
   criterion-referenced testing, 46-47  
   individual-referenced testing, 46-47  
   norm-referenced testing, 46-47

## V

validity, 3-23  
   construct, 40

## W

writing, 109-121

