

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

---

Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications

Agronomy and Horticulture Department

---

2013

## New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China

Wei-feng Feng

*China Agricultural University*

Zheng-xia Dou

*University of Pennsylvania*

Pan He

*China Agricultural University*

Xiao-Tang Ju

*China Agricultural University*

David Powlson

*Rothamsted Research*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub>



Part of the [Agricultural Science Commons](#), [Agriculture Commons](#), [Agronomy and Crop Sciences Commons](#), [Botany Commons](#), [Horticulture Commons](#), [Other Plant Sciences Commons](#), and the [Plant Biology Commons](#)

---

Feng, Wei-feng; Dou, Zheng-xia; He, Pan; Ju, Xiao-Tang; Powlson, David; Chadwick, Dave; Norse, David; Lu, Yue-Lai; Zhang, Ying; Wu, Liang; Chen, Xin-Ping; Cassman, Kenneth; and Zhang, Fu-Suo, "New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China" (2013). *Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications*. 980.

<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/980>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticulture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

---

## Authors

Wei-feng Feng, Zheng-xia Dou, Pan He, Xiao-Tang Ju, David Powlson, Dave Chadwick, David Norse, Yue-Lai Lu, Ying Zhang, Liang Wu, Xin-Ping Chen, Kenneth Cassman, and Fu-Suo Zhang

# New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China

Wei-feng Zhang<sup>a,1</sup>, Zheng-xia Dou<sup>b,1</sup>, Pan He<sup>a</sup>, Xiao-Tang Ju<sup>a</sup>, David Powlson<sup>c</sup>, Dave Chadwick<sup>d</sup>, David Norse<sup>e</sup>, Yue-Lai Lu<sup>f</sup>, Ying Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Liang Wu<sup>a</sup>, Xin-Ping Chen<sup>a</sup>, Kenneth G. Cassman<sup>g</sup>, and Fu-Suo Zhang<sup>a,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Plant–Soil Interactions, Ministry of Education, and Center for Resources, Environment, and Food Security, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China; <sup>b</sup>Center for Animal Health and Productivity, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Kennett Square, PA 19348; <sup>c</sup>Department of Sustainable Soils and Grassland Systems, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Department of Sustainable Soils and Grassland Systems, Rothamsted Research, North Wyke, Okehampton EX20 2SB, United Kingdom; <sup>e</sup>Environment Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom; <sup>f</sup>International Development UEA, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom; and <sup>g</sup>Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68583-0915

Edited by Jeffrey Sayer, James Cook University, Cairns, Australia, and accepted by the Editorial Board October 2, 2012 (received for review June 21, 2012)

**Synthetic nitrogen (N) fertilizer has played a key role in enhancing food production and keeping half of the world's population adequately fed. However, decades of N fertilizer overuse in many parts of the world have contributed to soil, water, and air pollution; reducing excessive N losses and emissions is a central environmental challenge in the 21st century. China's participation is essential to global efforts in reducing N-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions because China is the largest producer and consumer of fertilizer N. To evaluate the impact of China's use of N fertilizer, we quantify the carbon footprint of China's N fertilizer production and consumption chain using life cycle analysis. For every ton of N fertilizer manufactured and used, 13.5 tons of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent (eq) (t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq) is emitted, compared with 9.7 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq in Europe. Emissions in China tripled from 1980 [131 terrogram (Tg) of CO<sub>2</sub>-eq (Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq)] to 2010 (452 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq). N fertilizer-related emissions constitute about 7% of GHG emissions from the entire Chinese economy and exceed soil carbon gain resulting from N fertilizer use by several-fold. We identified potential emission reductions by comparing prevailing technologies and management practices in China with more advanced options worldwide. Mitigation opportunities include improving methane recovery during coal mining, enhancing energy efficiency in fertilizer manufacture, and minimizing N overuse in field-level crop production. We find that use of advanced technologies could cut N fertilizer-related emissions by 20–63%, amounting to 102–357 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq annually. Such reduction would decrease China's total GHG emissions by 2–6%, which is significant on a global scale.**

carbon accounting | life cycle assessment | food security | policy

The Haber–Bosch process is one of the greatest inventions in modern human history. It enables industrial-scale production of ammonia from atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> using energy. From ammonia, various synthetic nitrogen (N) fertilizers are manufactured, without which nearly half of the world's population would not be alive today (1). However, synthetic N fertilizer has become “too much of a good thing” because much of the N applied to cropland escapes the agricultural system and becomes a pollutant, which disrupts terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem functions and contributes to global climate change. The environmental cost is considerable, between €70 billion and €320 billion per year just for the European Union according to a recent 5-y European nitrogen assessment (2). This 200-member expert panel considered N emission reductions a central environmental challenge in the 21st century and called for a global interconvention N protocol to address the issue. Indeed, coordinated global efforts are particularly critical when dealing with N-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, because such emissions and their impacts recognize no borders.

China is central to the issue. This is not only because China is the largest emitter of fossil-fuel CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere (3) but because China has become a dominating force in the international N fertilizer market. In the past 2 decades (1990–2009), 61% of the world's increase in N fertilizer production and 52% of the

increased N consumption occurred in China (4). In 2010, China produced 37.1 terrogram (Tg) of N (Tg N; agricultural consumption of 28.1 Tg N, industrial use of 4.7 Tg N, and export of 4.3 Tg N). This accounted for >30% of world's total and exceeded the combined N fertilizer use in North America (11.1 Tg N) and the European Union (10.9 Tg N) in 2009 (4). Furthermore, China's N fertilizer production and utilization have distinct characteristics. N fertilizer relies heavily on coal as the main source of energy in its production. Coal has a greater carbon footprint than other forms of energy, such as natural gas (Table S1). China's N fertilizer industry is fragmented, consisting of hundreds of small plants with a production capacity only a third to a quarter of typical facilities in developed countries (Table S2). These small enterprises often operate using outdated technologies with relatively low efficiency and high emissions. Perhaps the most striking difference between China and the developed economies is how fertilizer is used in the field. In contrast to the generally mechanized and integrated crop-soil-nutrient management practices widely adopted in developed countries, Chinese farmers hand-apply fertilizer to millions of small plots (Table 1), often resulting in gross overapplication (5). We believe that any global effort in N management must include strong participation by China, and quantifying the carbon footprint of China's N fertilizer chain requires the consideration of conditions specific to China.

Here, we quantitatively evaluate GHG emissions for China's N fertilizer chain through a life cycle analysis beginning from fossil fuel mining as the industry's energy source to postapplication of fertilizers in the field. To do these analyses, we used survey data of 230 fertilizer plants (Table S2) and synthesized literature data of 853 field measurements (Table S3), from which emission factors were derived. We then calculated annual GHG emissions from 1980 to 2010 using statistical data from the China Nitrogen Fertilizer Industry Association (Fig. S1) and estimated future emissions in 2020 and 2030 assuming a 1% annual increment (the same as in the past decade) in N fertilizer demand. Next, we explore emission reduction potential by identifying efficiency gaps between current technologies used in China and more advanced technologies available and by adjusting future N demand based on principles of rational N use that have been proven effective in developed countries and in China. We also discuss socioeconomic factors and propose policy changes that can help curb N-related GHG emissions and assist in moving toward low-carbon agriculture.

Author contributions: W.-f.Z., D.P., and F.-S.Z. designed research; W.-f.Z., Z.-x.D., P.H., X.-T.J., D.P., D.C., D.N., Y.-L.L., and X.-P.C. performed research; W.-f.Z., Z.-x.D., P.H., Y.Z., and L.W. analyzed data; and W.-f.Z., Z.-x.D., D.P., D.C., and K.G.C. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. J.S. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

<sup>1</sup>W.-f.Z. and Z.-x.D. contributed equally to this work.

<sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: zhangfs@cau.edu.cn.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1210447110/-DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1210447110/-DCSupplemental).

Items	Unit	Rice	Wheat	Maize	Fruits	Vegetables
No. of farmers interviewed		4,218	4,554	4,522	6,863	3,889
Synthetic N application	kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	209 ± 140*	197 ± 134*	231 ± 142*	550 ± 381*	383 ± 263*
	N applied as urea, %	51%	51%	50%	31%	31%
	N used as a single application, %	9%	26%	13%	16%	22%
	N used before planting, %	50%	60%	49%	—	11%
	N used by hand-broadcasting, %	96%	88%	36%	21%	8%
Manure N	kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	15 ± 48*	15 ± 55*	18 ± 52*	42 ± 99*	56 ± 145*
Crop yield	t ha <sup>-1</sup>	7.2 ± 1.8*	4.9 ± 2.0*	7.4 ± 2.7*	36.7 ± 19.7*	36.0 ± 36.1*
Aboveground uptake	kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	122	123	162	128	83
Balance <sup>†</sup>	kg N ha <sup>-1</sup>	102	89	87	464	356

This table comprises data taken from responses to a questionnaire survey conducted in 2009 (details are provided in [SI Text](#)).

\*Number following a  $\pm$  symbol is an SD.

<sup>†</sup>Balance = Synthetic N + Manure N – Aboveground Uptake.

## Results and Discussion

**Emission Factors Along the N Fertilizer Chain.** For every ton of N produced and used on cropland in China, an average of 13.5 t of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent (eq) (t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq) is emitted (Fig. 1). The largest emission along the chain comes from ammonia synthesis (weighted average of 5.1 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq, 37.8% of 13.5 t). This is partly due to the energy-intensive nature of the chemical engineering process that requires high temperature and pressure and partly due to the low energy efficiency of coal as the main energy source. Coal-based facilities have an emission factor of >5 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t NH<sub>3</sub>-N<sup>-1</sup> compared with <3 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t NH<sub>3</sub>-N<sup>-1</sup> for natural gas-based plants (Table S4). For the same energy source, large-scale facilities emit slightly less GHGs per unit of N than medium- or small-scale facilities (Table S4). The next phase involves converting ammonia into various N fertilizer products; the processes have a weighted emission factor of 0.9 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup> but a wide range from 0.3 to 5.7 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup> (Table S5). Thereafter, transport and distribution of the N products have an emission factor averaging 0.1 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>.


Coal supplies 86% of the energy consumed in the above processes. Methane emissions associated with coal mining have a global warming effect of 11.4 g CO<sub>2</sub>-eq MJ<sup>-1</sup> (10<sup>6</sup> J), compared with <2 g CO<sub>2</sub>-eq MJ<sup>-1</sup> with natural gas or oil (Table S1). We calculated

a weighted emission factor of  $2.2 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$  for the mining and transport of fossil fuel used in the N fertilizer industry (including  $1.8 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$  from mining of the energy used for ammonia synthesis and  $0.4 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$  for that used in N product manufacturing). This is 16% of the overall emissions of  $13.5 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$ . Neglecting this component would lead to substantial underestimation of China's N fertilizer carbon footprint.


At the end of the chain are GHG emissions from agricultural fields receiving N fertilizers. Weighted for the quantities of N fertilizer used on upland crops and paddy rice systems, the emission factor is 5.2 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>, including direct emission of N<sub>2</sub>O (4.3 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>) from nitrification and denitrification in soil and indirect emissions (0.9 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>) calculated from N<sub>2</sub>O emission via N deposition (associated with ammonia volatilization), nitrate leaching, and runoff. Our direct emissions are slightly greater, but indirect emissions are substantially less than Europe-based estimates (Table S3). In China, the dominant use of ammonium-based products, together with excessive N application, leads to substantial direct emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O (5). As for indirect emissions, China's ammonia loss exceeds that in Europe because of surface spreading and overapplication of ammonia-based N products, but nitrate leaching loss is only a fraction of Europe's (Table S3) because of less nitrate-based products and lower rainfall in most regions of China (6, 7). Our calculations show that upland crop systems emit more GHGs than paddy rice fields, 5.9 t vs. 2.8 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup> (Table S3), which is comparable to Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) values (6.2 vs. 2.9 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>).

The overall emission factor we obtained ( $13.5 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$ ) is greater than the estimate for the European N fertilizer chain (weighted average of  $9.7 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$ ; ref. 7), mainly because of higher emissions associated with coal mining as well as ammonia synthesis and fertilizer manufacture from a general lack of technological advancement in China (discussed elsewhere in this paper). Our results also differ from two previous studies involving China's N fertilizer-chain carbon footprint estimates. One estimated emissions at  $9.6 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$  (8), and the other estimated emissions at  $15\text{--}31 \text{ t CO}_2\text{-eq t N}^{-1}$  (9). Their numbers were derived from limited data and did not include life cycle analyses.

**Past, Present, and Future Emissions.** Estimated N fertilizer-related GHG emissions in China totaled 131 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq in 1980 and increased steadily to 452 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq in 2010, with an average increase of 10.7 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq·y<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). This steep increase results directly from N fertilizer production and consumption trends (Fig. S1). In recent years, N-related GHG emissions account for about 7% of total emissions from China (6,100 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq in 2004, the most recent data available; ref. 10; Table S6). Assuming a 1% annual increment in agricultural demand for N while maintaining the same export (4.3 Tg N) and industry use (4.7 Tg N) as in 2010, China's N fertilizer demand for agriculture would amount to 33 Tg



**Fig. 1.** Life cycle assessment of GHG emissions from manufacturing and field use of N fertilizer in China and weighted emission factors of main processes (system boundaries are described in the main text). Atmospheric nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) is combined with hydrogen using energy from fossil fuels. The produced  $NH_3$  is reacted with  $CO_2$ , nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, or phosphoric acid to produce different N fertilizer products. These fertilizers are transported by various means before being applied to croplands. The solid line represents the materials and N fertilizer flow. The broken line represents GHG exchanges between the fertilizer chain and the atmosphere.



**Fig. 2.** GHG emissions associated with the N fertilizer chain in China. Emission amounts for 1980–2010 were calculated using emission factors (Fig. 1) derived from a 2005 survey and annual N production and consumption records. Emission estimates for 2020 and 2030 consider four scenarios: scenario 1, business-as-usual; scenario 2, improved manufacturing technologies; scenario 3, improved manufacturing technologies plus controlled N use; and scenario 4, improved manufacturing technologies with reduced N use on croplands.

N in 2020 and 36 Tg N in 2030. Associated GHG emissions would reach 517 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq and 564 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq, respectively. To put these numbers in perspective, total national GHG emissions from France and Germany in 2009 from all sources were 458 Tg N and 937 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq, respectively (11).

N fertilizer has played an indispensable role in doubling crop yields in China during the past 3 decades (12) and is estimated to have contributed to a net gain in soil organic carbon of 85 Tg per year (13). Nevertheless, our data show that N fertilizer-related GHG emissions are several times greater in magnitude than soil organic carbon gains. For China to reduce the gap between GHG emissions and soil carbon sequestration and to move toward low GHG emission agriculture, it is necessary to examine the entire N chain to identify potential emission reductions.

**Potential Mitigation.** Technological innovation can have a large impact on emission reduction, particularly at the beginning of the N fertilizer chain involving coal mining, ammonia synthesis, and N product manufacturing. For each of these sectors, we compare current technologies used in China with more advanced ones and also with the best technologies available worldwide to estimate emission reduction potential (Table 2).

- i) Methane emissions from coal mining operations have a large global warming effect, and their recovery is only 15–23% in China (14, 15), compared with 35% with more advanced recovery technologies or 60% with the best system available (16). Adopting one or another of these would lower the emission factor from the current 0.24 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t<sup>−1</sup> coal to 0.20 or 0.14.

The emission reduction benefit would extend beyond the N fertilizer industry because coal constitutes 70% of the total energy supplies in the entire country (12).

- ii) Coal-fired electricity power plants in China have a heat conversion efficiency of 37–38% with the current subcritical engine units. Emerging technologies can increase the efficiency to 41–42% with supercritical units and to 46–48% with ultra-supercritical units (17). Adopting these new engine units would lower the carbon footprint for electricity from the current 1.12 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq kilowatt-hour (kWh<sup>−1</sup>) to 1.08 or 1.03 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq kWh<sup>−1</sup>. Again, the benefits would be applicable across the whole economy, and not just in the N fertilizer industry.
- iii) The process of making NH<sub>3</sub> from atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> is energy-intensive; current technologies in China have an efficiency averaging 51.3 gigajoule (GJ) t NH<sub>3</sub>-N<sup>−1</sup>, compared with 43.7 GJ or 32.8 GJ t NH<sub>3</sub>-N<sup>−1</sup> with more advanced or the best technologies worldwide (18). Adopting the superior technologies would lower the emission factor from 5.1 to 3.2 or 2.4 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t NH<sub>3</sub>-N<sup>−1</sup>.
- iv) Urea is the main product, and its energy consumption could be lowered from 8.9 to 8.0 or 7.0 GJ t N<sup>−1</sup> using better or the best available technologies. More dramatic impacts on emissions could potentially be achieved with ammonium nitrate (AN) production. China's AN production facilities mostly use 1960s' technologies, which consume 3.5 GJ t<sup>−1</sup> N compared with 1.6 GJ t<sup>−1</sup> N or even less with modern technologies (18). Moreover, AN manufacturing involves converting NH<sub>3</sub> into HNO<sub>3</sub>, and the conversion process emits N<sub>2</sub>O, currently at 8.0 kg N<sub>2</sub>O t

**Table 2.** Energy use and GHG emissions from N fertilizer manufacture

Items	Unit	Currently in China	Advanced technology	Best technology
Coal mining CH <sub>4</sub> recovery	%	20*	35*	60*
Thermal efficiency at coal-fired power plants†	%	37–38	41–42	46–48
Energy use in NH <sub>3</sub> synthesis	GJ t NH <sub>3</sub> -N <sup>−1</sup>	51.3	43.7‡	32.8‡
Energy use in N product manufacturing	Urea	8.9	8.0‡	7.0‡
	AN	3.5	1.6‡	0‡
N <sub>2</sub> O emission in AN manufacture	kg N <sub>2</sub> O t HNO <sub>3</sub> <sup>−1</sup>	8.0	1.9‡	0.5‡

\*Coal bed methane recovery is reported to be 15–23% in China (14, 15); we take 20% as the average. Recoveries for advanced and best technologies are from a US Environmental Protection Agency publication (16).

†Data are from a study by Zhou (17).

‡Data are from a report by the International Fertilizer Association (18), with advanced technologies being the world average and the best technologies being those that operate at the highest energy efficiency.





Overall, the magnitude of potential reductions associated with the various scenarios, ranging from 102 to 357 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq, represents a 1.7–5.9% reduction in China's total GHG emissions from all sources (2005 value). This is significant nationally and globally because the feasible emission reductions from improvements in the N fertilizer chain in China are similar in magnitude to the total national reduction goals for 2020, from all sources, sought by several countries [e.g., Germany (365 Tg), France (158 Tg), and the United Kingdom (235 Tg)] (30).

## General Discussion

Our analysis, using a life cycle assessment approach, demonstrates that it is essential to include the manufacturing component of the N fertilizer chain (even extending to methane emissions from the mining of coal as an energy source for N manufacture) because these parts of the chain constitute 61% of total emissions (Fig. 1) and provide considerable scope for substantial GHG reductions (scenario 2). China's N fertilizer industry consists of ~500 companies, as opposed to >200 million individual farmers at the "utilization" end of the chain; thus, it should be easier in the short to medium term to achieve changes in the manufacturing processes through technological innovation and government action. Large capital investment is required for this transformation. One possible solution is for the Chinese government to reallocate the large subsidies, roughly US \$7.46 billion during 2008–2009 alone (31), provided to the fertilizer industry through tax breaks and energy subsidies, for technological upgrading of fertilizer plants. Another option is through international intervention via mechanisms, such as carbon trade/credits to accelerate technological advancement. More detailed discussion of the issue and a cost-benefit analysis are beyond the scope of this paper, but we hope this analysis stimulates international interests in upgrading the N fertilizer production chain in China.

China has to grow food to feed >20% of the world's population with only 9% of the world's arable land. Consequently, food security remains the top priority above other concerns unlike the case in developed economies, where national-scale food security is not a major concern (32). This is the basis for scenario 3, where we consider maintaining N fertilizer use at the 2010 level without further increases. This means putting an end to the 50-y trend of increased N production and use. This is not to be taken lightly, because to many, decision makers and farmers alike, continuous growth in agricultural output is thought to depend on increasing fertilizer input. Although still undesirable environmentally, this scenario is probably more likely than scenarios with fertilizer use reductions, given China's political and societal modes.

Clearly, minimizing N fertilizer overuse at the end of the chain is vital. This would not only enhance N fertilizer efficiency and lower emissions in fertilized fields but, more importantly, decrease the total amount of N fertilizer demand. The latter means emission reductions involving the entire N fertilizer chain. Various factors contribute to the excessive N use in China. First, fertilizers have been kept at artificially low prices through heavy government subsidies (31), which obscure the financial burden resulting from excessive N use. Second, there is the absence of an effective and functional extension system that can reliably and systematically deliver science-based recommendations and techniques to hundreds of millions of farmers, although such recommendations have been developed for all major crops and cropping systems in China (33). Third, the land is farmed in small parcels, averaging <0.1 ha per household, which hinders the development and adoption of technologies for mechanized fertilizer application with better control and precision. Fourth, rapid economic development in China has led to the phenomenon of "part-time farmers" because many rural people, especially better educated younger people, are moving into nonfarm work, and this is often more important for household incomes than farming. Consequently, classic models of agricultural extension and assumptions of increasing technical understanding by farmers may no longer be applicable. Improving

delivery of technical information at the farm level to enhance N fertilizer use efficiency has value but has been demonstrated to be slow in altering farmer behavior. We propose that alteration of policies related to fertilizer production will be more effective in delivering the necessary changes. Current N fertilizer-related policies were devised decades ago, with the aim of increasing N application for enhanced crop production (*SI Text*). These policies now need to be revised to address both food security and sustainability issues. The huge subsidies to maintain low fertilizer cost for farmers should be replaced with programs that promote environmental services without threatening national food security. For example, incentive programs are needed to improve the management and enhance the utilization of large amounts of livestock manure generated in the nation, which, in turn, would allow substantial reduction of chemical fertilizers (27). Also, payments can be made to cover the additional cost of nitrate-based fertilizer and enhanced efficient fertilizers in situations in which there is clear evidence that these will increase N use efficiency and decrease the amount of N needed. Furthermore, financial support to promote the development of a contractor sector for fertilizer application can be beneficial. Such contractors can (i) purchase machinery for subsurface urea application, decreasing ammonia losses; (ii) apply N at the "right time," overcoming the labor shortage problem; and (iii) comprise a professional group to receive technical information on N fertilizer management.

## Conclusions

N fertilizer has been and will continue to be indispensable for China's quest to produce sufficient food to meet its growing demands. However, decades of excessive N use have contributed to a variety of environmental problems, including large GHG emissions and serious water pollution. Our life cycle analysis shows the significance of the carbon footprint associated with the N fertilizer chain in China. GHG emissions tripled from 1980 to 2010, with the amount growing from 131 to 452 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq.y<sup>-1</sup>, and, if unabated, to 564 Tg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq.y<sup>-1</sup> by 2030. China needs a combination of reforms in the fertilizer industry and changes in management practices and technologies at the farm level to minimize excessive N use in the field. Our scenario analysis indicates it is feasible to reduce GHG emissions by 20–43% from a "business as usual" scenario by 2020 if an appropriate range of mitigation measures are introduced covering both N fertilizer manufacture and its agricultural use. The corresponding reduction by 2030 is 29–63%. Such reductions are in the range of 1.7–5.9% of current national total emissions from all sources. A reduction of this magnitude makes a highly significant contribution to national goals of moving toward a low-carbon economy and is highly significant globally. Minimizing N fertilizer overuse will also deliver "multiple wins" [e.g., improved water quality (with benefits for fish production), enhanced air quality (with associated benefits for human health), less acidification of the soil, improved income for farmers, greater spending power in the rural economy].

## Materials and Methods

**Life Cycle Assessment Approach for N Fertilizer Chain.** We used a life cycle assessment approach to estimate GHG emissions due to the main components of the N fertilizer chain in China, primarily using Chinese-specific parameters rather than IPCC tier 1 default values. According to the International Organization for Standardization's International Standard ISO 14042 (34), the life cycle of N fertilizer should be conducted from "cradle to grave." Therefore, we include GHG emissions associated with mining of fossil fuel used for fertilizer production, transport of fossil fuel, fertilizer synthesis, fertilizer transport and distribution, and gaseous emissions (direct and indirect) when fertilizers are applied to farmland (Fig. 1).

**GHG Emission from Fossil Fuel Mining.** Two published studies have estimated the GHG emission factors (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O) in Chinese energy production systems (coal, natural gas, oil, and electricity) using a life cycle assessment approach (14, 35). We used these China-specific emission factors in our study (details are provided in *Table S1*).

**GHG Emission from Ammonia Synthesis.** Ammonia is the primary material from which various N fertilizer products are produced. Ammonia synthesis is a major contributor to GHG emissions because of the large energy requirement for its manufacture. The Chinese Nitrogen Fertilizer Industry Association (CNFIA) surveyed 230 companies (Table S2), which account for 40% of the total N fertilizer industry in the nation, including all the large- and medium-scale plants. The survey collected information on the total energy consumption between 2002 and 2005. We have adopted the raw material consumption rate of the ammonia industry determined by this survey and classified the industry into eight categories to estimate different GHG emission factors associated with ammonia synthesis (Table S4).

**GHG Emission from N Fertilizer Manufacture.** As is the case with  $\text{NH}_3$  synthesis, a range of different processes are used in the manufacture of specific fertilizer products. We included five N fertilizer products in this study: urea; AN; ammonium bicarbonate (ABC); ammonium chloride; and compound fertilizers containing N, phosphorus, and potassium (NPKs). We used the specific energy consumption rate of each product determined by the CNFIA survey and by Fan et al. (36) and estimated a GHG emission factor for each (Table S5). The  $\text{CO}_2$  fixed during the production of urea and ABC is emitted later into the atmosphere when the fertilizers are applied in the field; thus, it was not included in the calculations.

**GHG Emission from Transporting Energy and N Fertilizer Products.** We obtained the average transportation distances by train and truck in China for coal, crude oil, and N fertilizer from the National Bureau of Statistics of China (12). We adopted the IPCC (37) default emission factors for  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ , and  $\text{CO}_2$  for energy combustion by internal-combustion engines for vehicle transportation (Table S8). Combining these values, we estimate GHG emission factors for energy and fertilizer transportation (details are provided in SI Text).

**GHG Emission from Postapplication Field.** The GHG emissions caused by N fertilizer applied to croplands are mainly in the form of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , including direct and indirect emissions. We classified Chinese agricultural land into two groups: upland fields and paddy fields. We compiled all published field measurements in China (a total of 853) and summarized the results using a meta-analysis method to derive direct and indirect  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission factors. Direct emission factors for upland fields and paddy fields were obtained from a study by Gao et al. (38), which includes 456  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission measurements in China (195 paddy fields and 261 upland fields). Indirect emissions include  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  resulting from N deposition (associated with  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization) and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching. We summarized 397 published field measurements (138 paddy fields and 259 upland fields) from 47 literature sources. We used IPCC (37) values for the proportion of those losses emitted as  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  (Table S8). Then, we calculated the GHG emission factors for paddy fields and upland fields, respectively (Table S3).

**Total GHG Emissions from N Fertilizer Production and Utilization.** We calculated annual total GHG emissions from N fertilizer production and consumption in China from 1980 to 2010. The emission factors for the various sectors (energy mining and transport,  $\text{NH}_3$  synthesis, fertilizer manufacture, N products distribution, and N application) were multiplied by the respective quantities of the materials to derive the amounts of sector-specific emissions, which were then summed for each year (details are provided in SI Text).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** We thank Huiyun Liu of the CNFIA for her help in data collection. This study was funded by the China 973 Program (Grant 2009CB118608), the Innovative Group Grant of the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant 31121062), the Sino-United Kingdom Low Carbon Agriculture project (Grant FCO-C02/62.1001), and the United Kingdom-China UK-China Sustainable Agriculture Innovation Network (SAIN) program; it was also supported by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.

1. Erisman JW, Sutton MA, Galloway J, Klimont Z, Winiwarter W (2008) How a century of ammonia synthesis changed the world. *Nat Geosci* 1(10):636–639.
2. Sutton MA, et al. (2011) Too much of a good thing. *Nature* 472(7342):159–161.
3. Chakravarty S, et al. (2009) Sharing global  $\text{CO}_2$  emission reductions among one billion high emitters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106(29):11884–11888.
4. International Fertilizer Association (2012) IFA database. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
5. Ju XT, et al. (2009) Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106(9):3041–3046.
6. Ongley ED, Xiaolan Z, Tao Y (2010) Current status of agricultural and rural non-point source Pollution assessment in China. *Environ Pollut* 158(5):1159–1168.
7. Brentrup F, Palliere C (2008) GHG emission and energy efficiency in European nitrogen fertilizer production and use. In *Conference Proceedings 639* (International Fertilizer Society, York, UK), pp 1–25.
8. Huang Y, Tang Y (2010) An estimate of greenhouse gas ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ ) mitigation potential under various scenarios of nitrogen use efficiency in Chinese croplands. *Glob Change Biol* 16(11):2958–2970.
9. Kahrl F, et al. (2010) Greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogen fertilizer use in China. *Environ Sci Policy* 13(8):688–694.
10. The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China (2007) [National plan for coping with climate change]. Available at [http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content\\_678918.htm](http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content_678918.htm). Accessed April 1, 2012. Chinese.
11. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2012) GHG total including LULUCF 1990–2009. Available at [http://unfccc.int/ghg\\_data/ghg\\_data\\_unfccc/time\\_series\\_annex\\_i/items/3842.php](http://unfccc.int/ghg_data/ghg_data_unfccc/time_series_annex_i/items/3842.php). Accessed April 1, 2012.
12. National Bureau of Statistics of China (2011) *China Statistical Yearbook* (China Statistics Press, Beijing).
13. Piao SL, et al. (2009) The carbon balance of terrestrial ecosystems in China. *Nature* 458(7241):1009–1013.
14. Yuan BR, Nie ZR, Di XH, Zuo TY (2006) [Life cycle inventories of fossil fuels in China—Final life cycle inventories]. *Modern Chemical Industry* 26(3):59–61, Chinese with English abstract.
15. Yao GX, Wang JM (2010) [A glimpse of coalbed methane production overseas and reflections on accelerating coalbed methane development in China]. *Sino-Global Energy* 15(4):25–33, Chinese with English abstract.
16. US Environmental Protection Agency (2009) *Identifying Opportunities for Methane Recovery at U.S. Coal Mines*, EPA Publ No. 430-K-04-003 (EPA, Washington, DC). Available at [www.epa.gov/cmop/docs/profiles\\_2008\\_final.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/cmop/docs/profiles_2008_final.pdf). Accessed April 1, 2012.
17. Zhou YG (2011) [The development and prospect of coal-fired power generation energy-saving technologies in China]. *Sino-Global Energy* 16(7):91–95. Chinese with English abstract.
18. International Fertilizer Association (2009) Fertilizers, climate change and enhancing agricultural productivity sustainably. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
19. Kanniche M, et al. (2010) Pre-combustion, post-combustion and oxy-combustion in thermal power plant for  $\text{CO}_2$  capture. *Applied Thermal Engineering* 30(1):53–62.
20. Matson PA, Naylor R, Ortiz-Monasterio I (1998) Integration of environmental, agronomic, and economic aspects of fertilizer management. *Science* 280(5360):112–115.
21. Bouwman AF, Boumans LJM, Batjes NH (2002) Modeling global annual  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}$  emissions from fertilized fields. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 16(4):281–288.
22. Ju XT, et al. (2011) Processes and factors controlling  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production in an intensively managed low carbon calcareous soil under sub-humid monsoon conditions. *Environ Pollut* 159(4):1007–1016.
23. International Fertilizer Association, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2001) Global estimates of gaseous emission of  $\text{NH}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from agriculture land. Available at <http://www.fao.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
24. Chien SH, Prochnow LI, Cantarella H (2009) Recent developments of fertilizer production and use to improve nutrient efficiency and minimize environmental impacts. *Advances in Agronomy*, Vol 102, pp 267–306.
25. Engel R, Liang DL, Wallander R, Bembek A (2010) Influence of urea fertilizer placement on nitrous oxide production from a silt loam soil. *J Environ Qual* 39(1):115–125.
26. Lammel J (2005) Cost of the different options available to the farmers: Current situation and prospects. *Proceedings of the IFA International Workshop on Enhanced-Efficiency Fertilizers*. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
27. Ma L, et al. (2010) Modeling nutrient flows in the food chain of China. *J Environ Qual* 39(4):1279–1289.
28. Ju XT, Christie P (2011) Calculation of theoretical nitrogen rate for simple nitrogen recommendations in intensive cropping systems: A case study on the North China Plain. *Field Crops Research* 124(3):450–458.
29. Vitousek PM, et al. (2009) Agriculture. Nutrient imbalances in agricultural development. *Science* 324(5934):1519–1520.
30. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2009) Quantified economy-wide emissions targets for 2020. The Copenhagen Accord—Appendix 1. Available at [http://unfccc.int/meetings/copenhagen\\_dec\\_2009/items/5264.php](http://unfccc.int/meetings/copenhagen_dec_2009/items/5264.php). Accessed April 1, 2012.
31. Heffer P, Olegario A (2010) Fertilizer subsidy situation in selected countries: 2008/09. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
32. Chen XP, et al. (2011) Integrated soil-crop system management for food security. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(16):6399–6404.
33. Zhang FS, Chen XP, Chen Q (2009) *Fertilizer Application Guideline for Main Crops of China* (Chinese Agricultural Univ Press, Beijing). Chinese.
34. International Organization for Standardization (2000) Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—Life Cycle Impact Assessment. (ISO14042) (ISO, Geneva).
35. Ma ZH (2002) [Comparison of GHG emission factor of the main energy in China]. PhD dissertation (China Atomic Energy Science Academy, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
36. Fan KZ, Feng YQ, Zen XK (2001) *[China Fertilizer Manual]* (China Chemical Industry Information Press, Beijing). Chinese.
37. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*, eds Eggleston HS, et al. (Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Hayama, Japan).
38. Gao B, Ju XT, Zhang Q, Christie P, Zhang FS (2011) New estimates of direct  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from Chinese croplands from 1980 to 2007 using localized emission factors. *Biogeosciences Discuss* 8(10):3011–3024.
39. Li H, Chen Y, Liang X, Lian Y, Li W (2009) Mineral-nitrogen leaching and ammonia volatilization from a rice-rapeseed system as affected by 3,4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate. *J Environ Qual* 38(5):2131–2137.



# Supporting Information

Zhang et al. 10.1073/pnas.1210447110

## SI Text

**Background of Nitrogen Fertilizer in China. Survey of farmers' practices regarding nitrogen fertilizer use.** The fragmented and small-scale farming and diverse cropping systems in China make it difficult to describe fully the real practices regarding nitrogen (N) fertilizer use by >200 million farmers. However, this information becomes increasingly important to enhance N management for agricultural development and for environmental protection. In 2001, 2003, 2008, and 2009, China Agricultural University organized four farmer surveys on a national scale in cooperation with extension service departments and agricultural universities in 27 provinces (totaling 32 provinces plus Hong Kong, Macao, and Taiwan in China). Each survey solicited and trained interviewers, used a uniform questionnaire, and targeted 12 major crops in the country (wheat, maize, rice, cotton, soy, oil crops, sugar crops, tobacco, tea, potato, vegetables, and fruits). These crops represent more than 95% of the total planting area. For each crop, the major production regions were required to select three to five counties per province, three towns per county, and two villages per town according to regional farmers' average income. In each village, 8–10 households were randomly selected and interviewed. In this way, we try to ensure the results are representative of the whole of China.

The results of the 2001, 2003, and 2008 surveys have been published (1–3). The results from the 2009 survey were used in the current study, including 2,346 villages in 27 provinces of China. Here, we concentrate on five major crops (Table 1) because these crops accounted for 82% of N fertilizer consumption in China in 2009. For each crop, we calculated a national weighted average value for N fertilizer use according to the planting area of each sample. It needs to be mentioned that fruit farmers reported growing 1 or more of 11 types of fruits/orchards (e.g., peaches, pears, apples, grapes, oranges, tangelos, bananas, chestnuts) and vegetable growers reported growing 1 or more of 17 types of vegetables (e.g., cucumbers, tomatoes, cabbage, eggplant, beans, potatoes, celery, garlic).

The results show that Chinese farmers applied excessive amounts of N fertilizer compared with the aboveground uptake. The latter includes “removal in harvested product” and “removal in aboveground residues (e.g., leaves, stems, etc.).” This was calculated based on yields and unit of N uptake (17, 25, 22, 3.5, and 2.3 kg of N per 100 kg of aboveground mass of rice, wheat, maize, fruits, and vegetables). The unit of N uptake values was taken from weighted averages of regional cropping systems from the work of Zhang et al. (4). For grain crops (wheat, maize, and rice), the average value of the rate of N fertilizer application in this study is in line with other research, for example,  $237 \pm 70$  kg N per hectare for maize in a study by Chen et al. (5). However, farmer practice varied greatly; 86% farmers had a rate of N fertilizer application far exceeding the aboveground uptake, whereas 14% farmers applied less N fertilizer than the aboveground uptake. For vegetables and fruits, the rate of N application of all the farmers exceeded the aboveground uptake.

Survey results also indicate the problem of wrong application time [e.g., for wheat, 60% of the N was applied before planting and 26% of farmers reported single application times (i.e., no split applications)]. Also, hand-broadcasting (surface-spreading fertilizers before soil preparation or irrigation) is still a dominant means of fertilizer application, especially for wheat. For maize, hand-applying fertilizer into small holes drilled near the plants is a common practice. For vegetable and fruits, fertilization with

flood irrigation or band application (spreading fertilizer along a ditch) is common.

**Statistics of N fertilizer in China.** From 1980 to 2010, both the manufacture and use of N fertilizer in China increased steadily (Fig. S1). In 2010, total ammonia production was 40.9 terrogram (Tg) of  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ , 3.3-fold greater than in 1980. The total production of N fertilizer in 2010 was 37.1 Tg N, 3.7-fold greater than in 1980. The proportion of N fertilizer products also changed substantially during this period, with urea increasing from 30% to 68%, whereas ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) decreased from 51% to 11%. The production of other fertilizer products (mainly compound fertilizers) also increased rapidly. In terms of consumption, 28.1 Tg N fertilizer was used in agriculture in 2010 (arable land, forestry, and aquatic systems), nearly 3.2-fold that in 1980. Another notable change in the past 3 decades is the situation regarding imports and exports of N fertilizer. N imports decreased from 1.43 Tg in 1980 to 0.3 Tg in 2010, but N exports increased from 0 in 1980 to 4.6 Tg in 2010. Associated emissions generated in the manufacture of exported N were included in our calculations, although emissions associated with use of the exported N outside of China were not.

**N fertilizer-related policies in China.** The development of China's fertilizer industry and field application is entwined with and affected by governmental policies, which have evolved over the past 6 decades and can be categorized chronologically into the following: a historically strict state ownership and price control system (1949–1984, phase I) gradually transitioned into a dual system of state control plus market adjustment (1985–1997, phase II), followed by the government releasing its direct grip and letting the market influence fertilizer production and prices while still maintaining indirect intervention through a price cap (1998–2009, phase III), and then moving to a full market system with the price cap removed (except for imported fertilizers; 2009, phase IV).

Although the government has removed the price cap, various subsidy programs on fertilizer production and consumption remain intact. Five major subsidy programs are massive in scale and most influential, including the following:

- i) Subsidies on electricity use at fertilizer manufacturing plants, which were implemented through preferential pricing granted by the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC). In 2003 and 2006, the NDRC instituted electricity price hikes for all industrial and commercial entities except for the fertilizer industry.
- ii) Subsidies on fertilizer transport, through exemptions from the railway construction fund [a charge of US \$0.0005 per ton (t)·km<sup>-1</sup> instituted by the Ministry of Railways in 1995 and still in effect today] and charging a lower price for fertilizer transportation than for that of other commodities.
- iii) Exemption from value added tax (VAT), which constituted by far the largest subsidy program for the fertilizer industry. Before 2004, a reimbursement program was carried out by returning 50% of the VAT back to fertilizer enterprises. During 2004 and 2005, a test was run to exempt the VAT entirely for urea. Then, starting in 2006, VAT exemption was granted for all fertilizer products.
- iv) There was also a fertilizer reserve subsidy program put in place by the NDRC, aiming at stabilizing the fertilizer supply and minimizing a peak season shortage.
- v) In addition to the above four subsidies for fertilizer producers, the government has subsidized farmers since 2006.

This subsidy was designed to eliminate the negative effect of the changing fertilizer price on grain production. It was estimated that China's fertilizer subsidies totaled US \$7.46 billion in 2008/2009 alone (6).

## SI Materials and Methods

**Greenhouse Gas Emission from Fossil Fuel Mining.** Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines (7) provide default factors for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from energy mining, representing a global average, but they may not be suitable for specific situations of coal mining in China. Yuan et al. (8) estimated GHG emissions during primary energy production in China using a life cycle method. Their study monitored large energy plants and took into consideration the energy consumption of boilers and vehicles, as well as CH<sub>4</sub> recovery in coal mines. They estimated average emission factors associated with mining processes to be 0.24 t of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent (eq) t<sup>-1</sup> coal, 0.07 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq m<sup>-3</sup> natural gas, and 0.08 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t<sup>-1</sup> oil. Ma (9) evaluated GHG emissions from electricity generation using coal, hydro, and nuclear power in China and obtained a weighted average GHG emission factor for electricity generation of 1.12 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq kW·h<sup>-1</sup>. We used these China-specific emission factors in the present study (Table S1).

Different fossil fuel or energy forms have different calorific values (e.g., 20,908 kJ·kg<sup>-1</sup> for coal, 38,931 kJ·m<sup>-3</sup> for natural gas, and 3,596 kJ·kW·h<sup>-1</sup> for electricity; Table S8). We calculated the carbon footprints per unit of calorific value to be 11.4, 1.9, 1.9, and 311.8 g CO<sub>2</sub>-eq MJ<sup>-1</sup> for coal, natural gas, crude oil, and electricity, respectively (Table S1).

**GHG Emission from Ammonia Synthesis.** According to the statistical data of the Chinese Nitrogen Fertilizer Industry Association (CNFIA), there were 570 ammonia companies nationwide in 2005 (the latest year for which published data are available) with a total production capacity of 46.3 Tg NH<sub>3</sub>. For the same year, fuel use at these plants was 70% coal and 22% natural gas, and the remaining fuel used was crude oil. The company size and its annual ammonia production vary greatly, as do the process technologies and raw materials used. Consequently, energy consumption and GHG emissions vary considerably among different plants. In 2005, the CNFIA conducted a survey of 230 companies (Table S2), 40% of the total, including all the large- and medium-scale plants. The survey collected information on the consumption of fossil fuel energy, electricity use, and total energy consumption between 2002 and 2005. We adopted the raw material consumption rate of the ammonia industry based on survey results and classified the industry into eight categories to estimate the different GHG emission factors associated with ammonia synthesis (Table S4). The emission factor for each type of ammonia plant was calculated using the amount of energy consumption from the survey and IPCC default values (Eq. S1):

$$\text{GHG}_{\text{A}mi} = \sum W_{Mij} \times (R_{\text{CO}_2} + R_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \times 298 + R_{\text{CH}_4} \times 25)_{ij} \quad [\text{S1}]$$

GHG<sub>A</sub>mi is the GHG emission factor of ammonia production (t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>) in the *i*th type plant. The different types of factories are defined in the footnotes of Table S4. W<sub>Mij</sub> is the consumption of raw material per unit of ammonia N produced (t·t N<sup>-1</sup>) (j<sub>1</sub> = coal, j<sub>2</sub> = oil, j<sub>3</sub> = gas, and j<sub>4</sub> = electricity). R<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>, R<sub>N<sub>2</sub>O</sub>, and R<sub>CH<sub>4</sub></sub> are the CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub> emission factors, respectively, of raw material combustion (t·t N<sup>-1</sup>), using default values from the IPCC (7). The global warming potentials used for converting CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O to CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents were 25 and 298, respectively (ref. 10; Table S8).

**GHG Emission from N Fertilizer Product Manufacturing.** We used data from the CNFIA survey of fertilizer plants to provide information on the different technologies and quantities of each type of fer-

tilizer produced: urea, ammonium nitrate (AN), ABC, and ammonium chloride (ACL). Compound fertilizers with N, phosphorus, and potassium in different formulations (NPKs), which are made using ammonia or other forms of N fertilizer, are gaining in popularity in China. The industry survey lacked data on this, so we used energy consumption data for four major types of compound fertilizer from the *Chinese Fertilizer Manual* compiled by the Chinese Chemical Industry Information Centre (11). We summarized these data and recalculated the GHG emission factor for each N fertilizer product according to Eq. S2:

$$\text{GHG}_{\text{PN}ik} = \sum W_{Mijk} \times (R_{\text{CO}_2} + R_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \times 298 + R_{\text{CH}_4} \times 25)_{ijk} + W_{ik\text{N}_2\text{O}} \times 298. \quad [\text{S2}]$$

GHG<sub>PN</sub>ik is the GHG emission factor of each product (t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq t N<sup>-1</sup>) (k<sub>1</sub> = urea, k<sub>2</sub> = AN, k<sub>3</sub> = ABC, k<sub>4</sub> = ACL, and k<sub>5</sub> = NPK). W<sub>ikN<sub>2</sub>O</sub> is the N<sub>2</sub>O emission from producing nitric acid (t·t N<sup>-1</sup>; nitric acid is one of the raw materials of AN). When NH<sub>3</sub> is converted to urea and ABC, some CO<sub>2</sub> is fixed into the N products. We used the values 1.5 t CO<sub>2</sub> fixed t urea-N<sup>-1</sup> and 3.6 t CO<sub>2</sub> fixed t ABC-N<sup>-1</sup> taken from the *Chinese Fertilizer Manual* (11). The CO<sub>2</sub> fixed during the production of these fertilizers is released into the atmosphere after field application, thus canceling out the earlier fixation (Table S5).

**GHG Emissions from Transporting Energy Materials and N Products.** Energy consumption by railway locomotives is 2.46 kg of diesel oil per 1,000 t of freight per kilometer for an internal combustion engine (45% of journeys) and 11.0 kW·h per 1,000 t of freight per kilometer for an electric-powered locomotive (55% of journeys) (12). We adopted the IPCC (7) default emission factors for N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> for energy combustion by internal combustion engines for vehicle transportation. Combining these values, we estimate GHG emission factors of 11 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq per 1 million t<sup>-1</sup> of freight per kilometer by train and 179 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq per 1 million t<sup>-1</sup> of freight per kilometer by truck. The average transportation distances by train in China for coal, crude oil, and N fertilizer are 607 km, 912 km, and 1,365 km, respectively (12). The additional transportation distances by truck are not available, but the mean distance of 69 km for all commodities was assumed (12).

Based on the average transportation distance of fertilizer by train and truck, we derived the GHG emission factor 0.027 t CO<sub>2</sub> per ton of products for fertilizer transportation. Different fertilizer products have different N contents (Table S8). Therefore, we estimated the GHG emission factor per ton of N for each fertilizer, such as 0.06 t CO<sub>2</sub> t urea-N<sup>-1</sup>, 0.07 t CO<sub>2</sub> t AN-N<sup>-1</sup>, 0.15 t CO<sub>2</sub> t ABC-N<sup>-1</sup>, 0.11 t CO<sub>2</sub> t ACL-N<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.18 t CO<sub>2</sub> t NPK-N<sup>-1</sup>. Weighted by the proportion of different products in total N production (Fig. S1), we obtained 0.1 t CO<sub>2</sub> per ton of fertilizer N emissions in transportation in China. To calculate emissions for transporting fossil fuel, we included coal and crude oil but assumed the emission to be 0 for natural gas (pipe transport), electricity (power line transport), and steam (on-site production and consumption). Accordingly, we determined energy transport emission factors to be 0.019 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq per ton of coal and 0.022 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq per ton of crude oil (Table S1).

**GHG Emission from N Fertilizer Application to Croplands.** The GHG emissions resulting from N fertilizer applied to croplands are mainly in the form of N<sub>2</sub>O, including direct and indirect emissions. China-specific direct emission factors for N<sub>2</sub>O from croplands used in this research were taken from Gao et al. (13), who summarized recent field emission measurements from 195 paddy fields (rice) and 261 upland fields (including wheat, maize, soybean, cotton, and peanut; Table S3). The resulting N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (0.41% for paddy fields and 1.05% for upland fields) are slightly higher than IPCC default values (0.3% for paddy fields and 1% for upland fields;

ref. 7). Rates of ammonia volatilization and N leaching plus runoff were derived from nonlinear regression equations based on 138 experimental treatments in paddy fields (rice) and 259 experimental treatments in upland fields (including wheat, maize, and vegetables) from an array of publications (14–60). There were 26 data points from paddy fields and 45 data points from upland fields for  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization and 112 and 214 data points from paddy fields and upland fields, respectively, for nitrate leaching. For each cropping system (spring maize, summer maize, winter wheat in the north and south regions, rice in the north and south regions, and vegetables grown in greenhouses and in open fields), a nonlinear regression model describing the emission factor and N application rate was established for individual cropping systems. N fertilizer application rates were calculated using the national average rates for each crop system. Then, a weighted mean for paddy fields and upland fields was obtained taking into account the acreage for individual cropping systems. The N leaching rate from fertilizers applied in this study is much lower than IPCC default values (30% of total N) because leaching is generally low in China due to low rainfall in many regions. The ammonia volatilization rate applied in this study is slightly higher than the IPCC default value (10% of total N) because of high losses by this mechanism resulting from surface application of urea and ABC.

**Total GHG Emissions from the N Fertilizer Chain.** To calculate the total GHG emission from N fertilizer production and consumption in China, we used the annual ammonia synthesis and N fertilizer production data from the CNFIA. In 2010, total ammonia production was 40.9 Tg N. Total N fertilizer production was 37.1 Tg N (including urea, AN, ABC, ACL, NPK produced from  $\text{NH}_3$ , and other products), of which 4.6 Tg N was exported. These data are very similar to the statistical data of the International Fertilizer Association (161), but with more detailed information for each product. Therefore, we adopted these data. Relevant emissions from the manufacture of exported N are included as emissions from China, although emissions from its subsequent use outside of China are excluded.

A range of different estimates have been published for fertilizer consumption in China: The United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (62) cited an estimate of 36.9 Tg N, and the International Fertilizer Association (61) cited an estimate of 33.6 Tg N for 2009, whereas the China Statistics Bureau (12) reported that 23.3 Tg N was consumed in the form of synthetic N fertilizers and 17.0 Tg total nutrients was consumed in the form of compound fertilizers in 2009. It is difficult to separate the composition of compound fertilizers into distinct quantities of N, phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). Also, these data sources usually include N used on arable land but not that used in forestry and aquatic systems. To include N used in these systems in addition to arable land, we calculated the apparent N consumption (production + import – export) using fertilizer production data from the Chinese Nitrogen Fertilizer Industry Association and N trade data from the General Administration of Customs. This gave an estimate of total fertilizer consumption in China of 32.8 Tg N for 2010. The CNFIA estimated that 4.7 Tg N was used in industry; thus, this was subtracted, resulting in a value of 28.1 Tg N used in all agricultural systems (arable land, horticulture, forestry, and aquatic systems). Zhang et al. (1) and Li et al. (2) estimated the distribution of N in the above systems according to large-scale farmer surveys ( $n > 30,000$ ) in 2001, 2003, and 2008. Using this information, we estimated that the N used in paddy fields was 22% of the total (paddy rice plus aquatic systems) and that used in other upland fields was 78% of the total.

Using this information, we calculated the total annual GHG emission associated with N fertilizer in China from 1980 to 2010 (Eq. S3):

$$\text{GHG}_{\text{total}} = \text{AP} \times \text{EF}_{\text{Ami}} + \sum \text{PP}_{\text{ik}} \times \text{EF}_{\text{PNik}} + \sum \text{PP}_{\text{ik}} \times \text{EFt} + (\text{EFdp} + \text{EFi}) \times \text{PCp} + (\text{EFdu} + \text{EFi}) \times \text{PCu} + (\text{AP} \times \text{ENF1} + \sum \text{PP}_{\text{ik}} \times \text{ENF2}_{\text{ik}}) \times \text{EFm}, \quad [\text{S3}]$$

where  $\text{GHG}_{\text{total}}$  is total GHG emission from N fertilizer production and use in China in specific years, AP and  $\text{PP}_{\text{ik}}$  are ammonia production and each type of N fertilizer production in specific years; PCp and PCu are N consumption in paddy fields (including aquatic systems) and upland fields;  $\text{EF}_{\text{Ami}}$ ,  $\text{EF}_{\text{PNik}}$ , and EFt are emission factors in ammonia synthesis plants, fertilizer manufacturing plants (Eqs. S1 and S2), and fertilizer transportation; EFdp and EFdu are direct emission factors in paddy fields and other croplands; EFi is the indirect emission factor (from ammonia volatilization and leaching); ENF1 and  $\text{ENF2}_{\text{ik}}$  are energy consumption rates in ammonia production and different types of N fertilizer production; and EFm is the emission factor in different types of energy mining and transportation. The results for N-related GHG emissions from 1980 to 2010 are presented in Fig. 1.

The Chinese government reported national total fossil fuel consumption and GHG emission for 2004 base (ref. 63; details are provided in Table S6). To estimate the contribution of N-related emissions to the national total emission, we used detailed information on energy consumption and relevant GHG emissions along the N fertilizer chain for 2005 base (Table S6). Results show that total fossil fuel energy consumption associated with ammonia synthesis, fertilizer manufacturing, and fertilizer transportation was 2.6 million gigajoule (GJ) in 2005, accounting for 3.7% of the national total. During mining and final use of this energy for fertilizer production and transportation, 231.7 Tg  $\text{CO}_2$  and 805.9 gigagram (Gg)  $\text{CH}_4$  were emitted, which constitute 4.6% and 2.8% of the national total emission, respectively. From fertilized fields, 93.6 Gg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was emitted through direct and indirect processes, accounting for 50.0% of the national total. Finally, the total emission was calculated to be 416.8 Tg  $\text{CO}_2$ -eq after converting  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  into  $\text{CO}_2$ -eq, which is 6.8% of the national total.

We chose the years 2020 and 2030 for scenario analysis for several reasons. The international community generally has GHG emission reduction targets for 2020 (64). Similarly, China's national plan for science and technology development is for the period of 2006–2020 (65). However, China's population is expected to peak in the mid-2030s (66), and the demand for food will continue to grow at least until the 2030s. Producing more food and simultaneously decreasing fertilizer use is a huge challenge (67). We presume a reasonable two-tier approach with a full-scale reduction in excessive N use by 2030 but a halfway reduction by 2020.


Scenario 1 assumes “business as usual” with a 1% annual increment in N fertilizer demand. This is perhaps the most probable case unless the country takes serious actions to curb N fertilizer-related GHG emissions. Scenario 2 considers the adoption of more advanced technologies at the manufacturing stage by 2020 and the best stage by 2030 while maintaining the current N use pattern with a 1% annual increment. Intuitively, technologically upgrading the nation's 500 or so fertilizer plants would be more easily achieved than changing the fertilizer use habits of 200 million farmers. This option is unlikely to encounter much resistance among decision makers, who are generally cautious about any measures that might cause food security concerns. Scenario 3 assumes technological advancement while maintaining N fertilizer use at 2010 levels. We consider this option viable, given the widely recognized fact of N fertilizer overuse in China and well-established scientific principles for moving to a more rational use of N fertilizer. Scenario 4 represents the most desirable situation, in which technological advancement is integrated with enhanced field use efficiency while reducing excessive N use. This would require an all-out effort in the country. Datasets used for the various scenarios are presented in Table S7.



1. Zhang WF, Ma WF, Wang YF, Zhang FS (2008) [Forecasting fertilizer demand of China in 2010 using CBEM model]. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science* 14(3):407–416. Chinese with English abstract.
2. Li HL, Zhang WF, Zhang FS, Du F, Li LK (2010) [Chemical fertilizer use and efficiency change of main grain crops in China]. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science* 16: 1136–1143. Chinese with English abstract.
3. Heffer P (2009) Assessment of fertilizer use by crop at the global level 2006/07–2007/08. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org/ifa/HomePage/LIBRARY/Publication-database.html/Assessment-of-Fertilizer-Use-by-Crop-at-the-Global-Level-2006-07-2007-08.html>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
4. Zhang FS, Chen XP, Chen Q (2009) *Fertilizer Application Guideline for Main Crops of China* (Chinese Agricultural Univ Press, Beijing). Chinese.
5. Chen XP, et al. (2011) Integrated soil-crop system management for food security. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108(16):6399–6404.
6. Heffer P, Olegario A (2010) Fertilizer subsidy situation in selected countries: 2008/09. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org/ifa/HomePage/LIBRARY/Publication-database.html/Fertilizer-Subsidy-Situation-in-Selected-Countries.html>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
7. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*, eds Eggleston HS, et al. (Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Hayama, Japan).
8. Yuan BR, Nie ZR, Di XH, Zuo TY (2006) [Life cycle inventories of fossil fuels in China—Final life cycle inventories]. *Modern Chemical Industry* 26:59–61. Chinese with English abstract.
9. Ma ZH (2002) [Comparison of GHG emission factor of the main energy in China]. PhD dissertation (China Atomic Energy Science Academy, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
10. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) *IPCC, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds Solomon S, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
11. Fan KZ, Feng YQ, Zen XK (2001) *[China Fertilizer Manual]* (China Chemical Industry Information Press, Beijing). Chinese.
12. National Bureau of Statistics of China (2011) *China Statistical Yearbook* (China Statistics Press, Beijing).
13. Gao B, Ju XT, Zhang Q, Christie P, Zhang FS (2011) New estimates of direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from Chinese croplands from 1980 to 2007 using localized emission factors. *Biogeosciences* 8(10):3011–3024.
14. Cai G, et al. (2002) Nitrogen losses from fertilizers applied to maize, wheat and rice in the North China Plain. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystem* 63(2–3):187–195.
15. Chen G, Cao L, Lu Y, Zhang D (2003) Study of the testing-hole on the nitrogen loss in the rice field. *Journal of Shanghai Jiaotong University (Agricultural Science)* 21(4):320–324.
16. Cui Y, Cheng X, Han C, Li R (1998) Rice nitrogen utilization efficiency and nitrogen leaching amount in Taihu lake watershed of south Jiangsu province (in Chinese with English abstract). *Journal of China Agricultural University* 3(5):51–54.
17. Dai T, Zhao Z (1992) [Case study on the chemical form conversion and leaching of nitrogen and phosphorus in the irrigated plain area of Haihe river basin]. *Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae* 12(4):497–501. Chinese with English abstract.
18. Ding XQ (2005) [Ammonia volatilization from nitrogen fertilization in winter wheat–summer maize rotation system in the North China]. Master's thesis (China Agriculture University, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
19. Fan XH, Song YS, Lin DL, Yang LZ, Zhou JM (2005) Ammonia volatilization losses from urea applied to wheat on a paddy soil in Taihu region, China. *Pedosphere* 15(1):59–65.
20. Gu J, Li Y, Yang L, Zhu L, Cheng W (2009) [Research on the dynamic change and runoff loss of nitrogen in the soil surface water of rice fields of direct seeding cultivation]. *Journal of Anhui Agricultural Science* 37(8):3626–3628. Chinese with English abstract.
21. Guo Z, Xiao M, Chen L, Zheng J (2010) [The characteristics of surface runoff losses of soil nitrogen and phosphorus during rice season in intensive rice–wheat rotation field]. *Ecology and Environmental Sciences* 19(7):1622–1627. Chinese with English abstract.
22. Jiao SJ, Hu XM, Pan GX, Zhou HJ, Xu XD (2007) [Effects of fertilization on nitrogen and phosphorus run-off loss from Qingzini paddy soil in Taihu lake region during rice growth season]. *Chinese Journal of Ecology* 26(4):495–500. Chinese with English abstract.
23. Li G, Li B, Chen D (2001) [Method for measurement of ammonia volatilization from large area field by Bowen ratio system]. *Journal of China Agricultural University* 6(5): 56–62. Chinese with English abstract.
24. Li GH, Zhang DY, Zhang X, Guo HM (2005) [Losses of nitrogen intensive agricultural area of Dianchi lake, Yunnan Province, Southwest China]. *Earth Science Frontiers (China University of Geosciences, Peking University, Beijing)* 12(Special issue):83–87. Chinese with English abstract.
25. Li R, Zhai YZ (2000) Nitrogen leaching from the high-yielding paddy field in Wujin City. *Rural Eco-Environment* 16(3):19–22.
26. Li XX, Hu CS, Zhang YM, Dong WX, Ouyang ZY (2006) [Losses of nitrate-nitrogen from a wheat–corn rotation in north China]. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas* 24(6): 7–10. Chinese with English abstract.
27. Li ZX, et al. (2007) [In situ study on influence of different fertilization strategies for summer maize on soil nitrogen leaching and volatilization]. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science* 13(6):998–1005. Chinese with English abstract.
28. Liang XQ, Tian GM, Li H, Chen YX, Zhu S (2005) [Study on characteristic of nitrogen and phosphorus loss from rice field by natural rainfall runoff]. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 19(1):59–63. Chinese with English abstract.
29. Liu HB, Li ZH, Zhang WL, Li B (2004) [Study on N use efficiency of Chinese cabbage and nitrate leaching under open field cultivation]. *Plant Nutrition and Fertilizer Science* 10(3):296–291. Chinese with English abstract.
30. Lu M, Liu M, Huang MW, Mao GF (2006) [Field study of nitrogen loss in soil with rice–wheat rotation system]. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science* 25(5):1234–1239. Chinese with English abstract.
31. Mao GF, Lu M, Huang MW, Liu M (2006) [Nitrogen loss in rice–wheat cropping farmland and its control measures]. *Acta Agriculturae Shanghai* 22(4):86–92. Chinese with English abstract.
32. Min J (2007) [Nitrogen utilizes and losses as well as optimized management on greenhouse vegetable field in Taihu lake region]. Master's thesis (Northwest Agricultural and Forestry University, Yangling, China). Chinese with English abstract.
33. Qiu WG (2007) [Study on the loose rule of Agricultural nitrogen and the control for pollution of river network]. Doctoral dissertation (Hohai University, Nanjing, China). Chinese with English abstract.
34. Tian Y, Yin B, He F, Zhang Q, Zhu ZL (2007) [Nitrogen loss with runoff in rice season in the Taihu lake region, China]. *Acta Pedologica Sinica* 44(4):1070–1075. Chinese with English abstract.
35. Tian FX, Ji XH, Shi LH, Liu ZB, Peng H (2010) [Nitrogen uptake and runoff loss from double rice field under different slow/controlled release fertilizer treatment in Dongting lake area]. *Research of Agricultural Modernization* 31(2):220–223. Chinese with English abstract.
36. Wang J, Guo XS, Wang YQ, Ding SW (2010) [Effects of conservation tillage and balanced fertilization on nitrogen loss from paddy field and rice yields in Chaohu region]. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science* 29(6):1164–1171. Chinese with English abstract.
37. Wang XZ, Gao R, Zhu JG, Cai ZC, Hosen Y (2004) [Nitrogen loss via runoff and leaching from different urea bleeds in paddy season]. *China Environ Sci* 24(5):600–604. Chinese with English abstract.
38. Wang XZ, Zhu JG, Gao R, Yasukazu H, Feng K (2007) Nitrogen cycling and losses under rice–wheat rotations with coated urea and urea in the Taihu Lake Region. *Pedosphere* 17(1):62–69.
39. Wei SQ (2010) [Effects of different nutrient management on nitrogen leaching loss from purple soil]. Master's thesis (Southwest University, Chongqing, China). Chinese with English abstract.
40. Xiao XH (2004) [Quantitative evaluation of water and nitrogen under winter wheat–summer maize cropping system in irrigative field]. Master's thesis (China Agricultural University, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
41. Xiao Y, Xie G, Lu C (2005) [Economic values of nitrogen transformation in rice field ecosystems]. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao* 16(9):1745–1750. Chinese.
42. Xiao Y, Xie GD (2009) [Comprehensive evaluation of the ecosystem services of rice]. *Resource Science* 31(1):38–47. Chinese with English abstract.
43. Xie XJ, Chen JZ, Tang LL, Zhang HO (2007) [Effects of different P fertilizer application rates on soil nitrogen and phosphorus losses with surface runoff during rice season]. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Occidentalis Sinica* 16(6):261–266. Chinese with English abstract.
44. Xie YX (2006) [Nitrogen originating from environment in paddy ecosystem under anthropogenic influences]. Doctoral dissertation (Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Science, Nanjing, China). Chinese with English abstract.
45. Xue F, Yan TM, Qiao J, Yang LZ (2009) [Economic and environmental benefits of lower fertilizer application rate in paddy fields in Taihu area]. *Journal of Ecology and Rural Environment* 25(4):26–31. Chinese with English abstract.
46. Yi SL, Shi XJ, Wen MX, Li XP, Huo QJ (2004) [Nitrogen transference and leaching loss in growth period of wheat in purple soil]. *J Soil Water Conserv* 18(4):46–49. Chinese with English abstract.
47. Yi SL (2005) [Nitrogen leaching and utilization in rice–wheat–rape rotation system]. Master's thesis (Southwest University, Chongqing, China). Chinese with English abstract.
48. Yu GF, Mao ZY, Shi XJ, Liu HB (1999) [Study of N migration and leaching loss in purple soils]. *Journal of Southwest Agricultural University* 21(3):228–232. Chinese with English abstract.
49. Yu HM, Li ZZ, Gong YS (2007) [Comparison of nitrogen loss and use efficiency in vegetable field under traditional and improved water and N-fertilizer management]. *Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering* 23(2):54–59. Chinese with English abstract.
50. Yu HM, Li ZZ, Gong YS (2005) [Leached nitrate in vegetable field under different water and nitrogen fertilizer management practices]. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica* 38(9):1849–1855. Chinese with English abstract.
51. Yu X, et al. (2009) [Characteristics of paddy field nitrogen leakage and runoff in rice–duck farming system]. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao* 20(1):143–148. Chinese.
52. Zhang J, Wang CH, Ding ZQ (2006) [Effect of controlling irrigation on yield and quality of high-gluten wheat]. *Agricultural Research in the Arid Areas* 24(6):7–10. Chinese with English abstract.
53. Zhang GL (2009) [Research on utilization and fate of nitrogen in greenhouse vegetable]. Doctoral dissertation (China Agricultural Academy of Science, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
54. Zhang GL, et al. (2009) [Nitrogen utilization and balance of raphanus sativus L. production system in greenhouse]. *Journal of Agro-Environment Science* 28(7): 1500–1507. Chinese with English abstract.
55. Zhang J, Wang DJ, Wang C (2008) [Estimation of nutrient leaching of rice–wheat rotation field with Monilys Lysimeter in Taihu lake area]. *Soils* 40(4):591–595. Chinese with English abstract.
56. Zhang YN, Zhang JB, Hu CS, Li XX, Zhu AN (2006) [Nitrate leaching in wheat–maize rotation field in the North China Plain]. *Acta Pedologica Sinica* 43(1):17–25. Chinese with English abstract.
57. Zhang YM, et al. (2004) Ammonia volatilization and denitrification losses from an irrigated maize–wheat rotation field in the North China Plain. *Pedosphere* 14(4):533–540.
58. Zhu A, Zhang J, Zhao B, Cheng Z, Li L (2005) Water balance and nitrate leaching losses under intensive crop production with Ochric Aquic Cambosols in North China Plain. *Environ Int* 31(6):904–912.
59. Zhu PP, Chang ZZ, Zheng JC, Chen LG (2007) [Nitrogen and phosphorus loss with runoff and cost-effective analysis of paddy rice system in Taihu lake region]. *Jiangsu Agricultural Science* 3:216–218. Chinese with English abstract.



60. Zhu XK, Sheng HJ, Xia XY, Wang YF (2006) [Nitrogen loss with runoff and drainage from farmland under wheat-rice rotation]. *Journal of Ecology and Rural Environment* 22(1):38–41. Chinese with English abstract.
61. International Fertilizer Association (2012) IFA database. Available at <http://www.fertilizer.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
62. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2012) FAO database. Available at <http://www.fao.org>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
63. The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China (2007) National plan for coping with climate change. Available at [http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content\\_678918.htm](http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content_678918.htm). Accessed April 1, 2012. Chinese.
64. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2009) Quantified economy-wide emissions targets for 2020. The Copenhagen Accord—Appendix 1. Available at <http://unfccc.int/meetings/cop15/items/5264.php>. Accessed April 1, 2012.
65. The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China (2006) National plan for science and technology development during 2006–2020. Available at [http://www.gov.cn/jrzq/2006-02/09/content\\_183787.htm](http://www.gov.cn/jrzq/2006-02/09/content_183787.htm). Accessed April 1, 2012. Chinese.
66. United Nations (2012) World population prospects—Data online. Available at [http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/unpp/panel\\_population.htm](http://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/unpp/panel_population.htm). Accessed April 1, 2012.
67. Tilman D, Cassman KG, Matson PA, Naylor R, Polasky S (2002) Agricultural sustainability and intensive production practices. *Nature* 418(6898):671–677.



**Fig. S1.** Changes in N fertilizer production and agricultural consumption from 1980 to 2010 in China. Data from the China Nitrogen Fertilizer Association are cited.

**Table S1. GHG emissions during energy mining and transportation**

Energy type	GHG emission during energy mining		GHG emission during energy transportation	
	Unit of energy	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq MJ <sup>-1</sup>	Unit of energy	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq MJ <sup>-1</sup>
Coal*	0.24 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t <sup>-1</sup>	11.4	0.019 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t <sup>-1</sup>	0.91
Natural gas*	0.07 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq m <sup>-3</sup>	1.9	—	—
Oil*	0.08 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t <sup>-1</sup>	1.9	0.022 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t <sup>-1</sup>	0.53
Electricity <sup>†</sup>	1.12 kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	311.8	—	—
Steam <sup>‡</sup>	0.026 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t <sup>-1</sup>	9.7	—	—

\*Recalculated data are from a study by Yuan et al. (8).

<sup>†</sup>Recalculated data are from a doctoral dissertation by Ma (9).

\* Assuming steam produced from coal and recalculated based on emissions from coal and lower heating values of steam.

**Table S2. Statistical information on sizes and types of N fertilizer factories in China in 2005**

					Number of plants in survey				
Factory types	Size	Energy source	Total no. of plants	Total production capacity, Tg NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia synthesis	N fertilizer manufactured			
						Urea	AN	ABC	ACL
C <sub>1</sub>	Large	Coal	2	0.58	2	1	1	0	0
C <sub>2</sub>	Large	Gas	18	6.40	18	18	3	0	0
C <sub>3</sub>	Large	Oil	7	1.43	7	6	1	0	1
C <sub>4</sub>	Medium	Coal	37	5.14	37	27	11	5	0
C <sub>5</sub>	Medium	Gas	11	1.59	11	9	5	0	0
C <sub>6</sub>	Medium	Oil	3	0.59	3	0	0	0	0
C <sub>7</sub>	Small	Coal	443	29.44	141	70	0	101	7
C <sub>8</sub>	Small	Gas	49	1.13	11	4	0	7	1
Total			570	46.30	230	135	21	113	9

Large-scale, medium-scale, and small-scale companies are defined by annual NH<sub>3</sub> production of ≤80 kilotonne (kt), >80 kt to ≤180 kt, and >180 kt, respectively. C<sub>1</sub>, large-scale corporation using coal as raw material; C<sub>2</sub>, large-scale corporation using gas as raw material; C<sub>3</sub>, large-scale corporation using oil as raw material; C<sub>4</sub>, medium-scale corporation using coal as raw material; C<sub>5</sub>, medium-scale corporation using gas as raw material; C<sub>6</sub>, medium-scale corporation using oil as raw material; C<sub>7</sub>, small-scale corporation using coal as raw material; C<sub>8</sub>, small-scale corporation using gas as raw material.

**Table S3. GHG emission factors for N fertilizer applied to croplands in China**

Data sources	Crop systems	Direct N <sub>2</sub> O*			Indirect N <sub>2</sub> O†			
		No. of measurements	N <sub>2</sub> O, %	EF(t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t N <sup>-1</sup> )	No. of measurements	NH <sub>3</sub> volatilization, %	Leaching and runoff, %	EF(t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t N <sup>-1</sup> )
China	Paddy field	195	0.41	1.92	138	17.9	1.4	0.89
	Upland	261	1.05	4.92	259	12.9	9.8	0.95
	Weighted average <sup>‡</sup>			4.26				0.93
IPCC default <sup>§</sup>	Paddy field		0.30	1.41		10.0	30.0	1.52
	Upland		1.00	4.68		10.0	30.0	1.52

\*Direct N<sub>2</sub>O emission data in China were cited from a study by Gao et al. (13).

<sup>†</sup>Indirect N<sub>2</sub>O includes N<sub>2</sub>O from ammonia volatilization and nitrate leaching and runoff. Data in China were summarized from refs. 14–60.

<sup>†</sup>Weighted by the proportion of N fertilizer used in paddy fields (22%) and dry land (78%).

<sup>§</sup>IPCC default direct and indirect N<sub>2</sub>O emission data are from an IPCC publication (7).

**Table S4. Energy efficiency and GHG emissions for ammonia synthesis in different types of plants in China**

Factory types	Energy efficiency (GJ t NH <sub>3</sub> -N <sup>-1</sup> )	GHG emissions (t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t NH <sub>3</sub> -N <sup>-1</sup> )*		
		During combustion in ammonia plants	During energy mining and transportation	Total
C <sub>1</sub>	53.5	6.6	0.8	7.4
C <sub>2</sub>	44.7	2.4	0.1	2.5
C <sub>3</sub>	50.6	3.6	0.4	4.0
C <sub>4</sub>	60.9	6.8	2.5	9.5
C <sub>5</sub>	52.7	2.8	1.1	3.9
C <sub>6</sub>	60.1	4.2	0.8	5.1
C <sub>7</sub>	50.6	5.6	2.3	7.9
C <sub>8</sub>	56.1	2.9	1.5	4.4
Weighted average <sup>†</sup>	51.3	5.1	1.8	6.9

GJ, gigajoule.

\*GHG emissions from fossil fuel combustion in ammonia synthesis and GHG emissions from fossil fuel mining and transportation are included in this table.

<sup>†</sup>All the results were weighted by ammonia production in each plant.

**Table S5. Energy efficiency and GHG emissions during conversion of ammonia to N fertilizer in different fertilizer plants in China**

N fertilizer products	Energy efficiency (GJ t N <sup>-1</sup> )	GHG emissions(t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t N <sup>-1</sup> )				CO <sub>2</sub> fixed* (t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t N <sup>-1</sup> )
		During energy combustion in fertilizer plants	N <sub>2</sub> O in nitric acid	During energy mining and transportation	Total	
Urea	8.9	0.8	—	0.4	1.2	1.5
AN	3.5	0.3	5.4	0.2	5.9	—
ABC	0.8	0	—	0.3	0.3	3.6
ACL	1.0	0	—	0.3	0.3	—
NPK <sup>†</sup>	3.2	0.3	—	0.2	0.5	—
Weighted average	6.7	0.7	—	0.4	1.1	—

GJ, gigajoule.

\*CO<sub>2</sub> fixed during production of urea and ABC will be emitted to the atmosphere when these products are applied to croplands; thus, they have not been included in the total emissions calculated.

<sup>†</sup>Energy consumption includes electricity, steam, and coal. We cited the energy consumption rate from four main kinds of products, as reported by Fan et al. (11), and then recalculated the GHG emissions.

**Table S6. Total energy consumption and GHGs from manufacturing and N fertilizer use in China in 2005**

	Fossil fuel use, million GJ	GHG emission			
		CO <sub>2</sub> , Tg	CH <sub>4</sub> , Gg	N <sub>2</sub> O, Gg	All in CO <sub>2</sub> -eq, Tg CO <sub>2</sub>
Energy mining and transportation	0.4	49.8	792.7	6.5	71.6
Ammonia synthesis	1.9	162.1	14.8	2.2	163.1
Fertilizer manufacture	0.2	18.4	1.9	24.6	25.7
Fertilizer transportation	0.0	1.5	0.1	0.2	1.6
Direct emissions after application				426.2	127.0
Indirect emissions after application				93.6	27.9
a: Sum of above (related to N fertilizer)	2.6	231.7	809.5	553.3	416.8
b: National total in 2004*	70.8	5,070	28,800	1,107	6,100
a/b, %	3.7	4.6	2.8	50.0	6.8

GJ, gigajoule.

\*Data are from a report by The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China (63).

**Table S7. Data used for scenario analysis for GHG emissions in 2020 and 2030**

		Business as usual in 2005	Advanced technologies in 2020	Best situation in 2030
Total N balance, Tg	Fertilizer production	32.0	32.0	30.0
	Fertilizer use in agriculture	27.9	23.0	21.0
	N uptake by crop aboveground part	16.4*	19.0	21.0
	Organic N	9.3*	20.5 <sup>†</sup>	20.5 <sup>†</sup>
Emission factors in N fertilizer chain (t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq t N <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>‡</sup>	Energy mining	2.2	1.9	1.7
	NH <sub>3</sub> synthesis	5.1	3.2	2.4
	Fertilizer production	0.9	0.7	0.6
	Fertilizer transportation	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Direct N <sub>2</sub> O from field	4.3	4.0	2.9
	Indirect N <sub>2</sub> O from field	0.9	0.8	0.2

\*Data are from a study by Ma et al. (1).

<sup>†</sup>Assuming 80% recycling of current organic N sources, like that in European Union countries (2).

<sup>‡</sup>Emission factors for 2020 and 2030 are derived from Tables 2 and 3 and Eqs. S1–S3.

1. Ma L, et al. (2010) Modeling nutrient flows in the food chain of China. *J Environ Qual* 39(4):1279–1289.

2. PauVall M, Vidal C; European Commission (1999) Nitrogen in agriculture. Available at [http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/envir/report/en/nitro\\_en/report.htm#fig1](http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/envir/report/en/nitro_en/report.htm#fig1). Accessed April 1, 2012.

Parameters	Value	Unit	Ref.
GWP of CH <sub>4</sub>	25	kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup>	[1]
GWP of N <sub>2</sub> O	298	kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg <sup>-1</sup>	[1]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> for anthracite burning	98,300	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> for anthracite burning	10	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of N <sub>2</sub> O for anthracite burning	1.5	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> for oil burning	73,300	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> for oil burning	3	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of N <sub>2</sub> O for oil burning	0.6	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> for natural gas burning	56,100	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> for natural gas burning	1	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of N <sub>2</sub> O for natural gas burning	0.1	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> for diesel oil burning (highway)	74,100	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> for diesel oil burning (highway)	3.9	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of N <sub>2</sub> O for diesel oil burning (highway)	3.9	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> for diesel oil burning (railway)	74,100	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> for diesel oil burning (railway)	4.15	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
EF of N <sub>2</sub> O for diesel oil burning (railway)	28.6	kg-TJ <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
Lower heating values of coal	26,344	kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Lower heating values of oil	41,816	kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Lower heating values of natural gas	38,931	kJ·m <sup>-3</sup>	[3]
Lower heating values of diesel oil	42,652	kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Lower heating values of electricity	3,596	kJ·kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Lower heating values of steam	2,676	kJ·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Standard coal equivalent coefficient of coal	0.9	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Standard coal equivalent coefficient of oil	1.4286	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Standard coal equivalent coefficient of natural gas	1.33	kg·m <sup>-3</sup>	[3]
Standard coal equivalent coefficient of steam	0.09	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
Standard coal equivalent coefficient of electricity	0.1229	kg·kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	[3]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> in coal mining	0.00619	t·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> in oil mining	0.0804	t·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> in natural gas mining	0.0000748	t·m <sup>-3</sup>	[4]
EF of CO <sub>2</sub> in diesel oil production	0.22	t·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> in coal mining	9.32	kg·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> in oil mining	0.00786	kg·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> in natural gas mining	0.00000732	kg·m <sup>-3</sup>	[4]
EF of CH <sub>4</sub> in diesel oil production	0.215	kg·t <sup>-1</sup>	[4]
EF of GHG in producing electricity by coal	1,303	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	[5]
EF of GHG in producing electricity by hydro power	243.1	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	[5]
EF of GHG in producing electricity by nuclear power	13.7	g CO <sub>2</sub> -eq kW·h <sup>-1</sup>	[5]
Proportion of coal power electricity	77.18	%	[5]
Proportion of hydro power electricity	17.77	%	[5]
Proportion of nuclear power electricity	1.22	%	[5]
Energy consumption of transport by train (diesel oil power)	2.46	kg·kt·km <sup>-1</sup>	[6]
Energy consumption of transport by train (electric power)	10.95	kW·h·kt·km <sup>-1</sup>	[6]
Daily output of transport by train (diesel oil power)	1,104	kt·km	[6]
Daily output of transport by train (electric power)	1,328	kt·km	[6]
Energy consumption of transport by truck (diesel oil power)	52	kg·kt·km <sup>-1</sup>	[6]
Average transport distance of highway(all products)	69	km	[6]
Average transport distance of railway (all products)	607	km	[6]
Average transport distance of railway (oil)	912	km	[6]
Average transport distance of railway (fertilizer)	1,365	km	[6]
N content of ammonia	82.4	%	[7]
N content of urea	46	%	[7]
N content of AN	35	%	[7]
N content of ABC	18	%	[7]
N content of ACL	25	%	[7]
CO <sub>2</sub> fixation in urea production	0.7	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[7]
CO <sub>2</sub> fixation in ABC production	0.65	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[7]
N <sub>2</sub> O EF of nitric acid production	0.008	kg·kg <sup>-1</sup>	[7]
N <sub>2</sub> O EF of volatilization N	0.01	kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N/(kg NH <sub>3</sub> -N + NO <sub>x</sub> -N) <sup>-1</sup>	[2]
N <sub>2</sub> O EF of leaching N	0.0075	kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N/(kg leaching N) <sup>-1</sup>	[2]

EF, emission factor; GWP, global warming potential; kt, kilotonne; TJ, terajoule.



1. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) *IPCC, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds Solomon S, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
2. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2006) *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*, eds Eggleston HS, et al. (Inst Glob Environ Strat, Hayama, Japan).
3. The Central People's Government of the People's Republic of China (2007) National plan for coping with climate change. Available at [http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content\\_678918.htm](http://www.gov.cn/gongbao/content/2007/content_678918.htm). Accessed April 1, 2012. Chinese.
4. Yuan BR, Nie ZR, Di XH, Zuo TY (2006) [Life cycle inventories of fossil fuels in China—Final life cycle inventories]. *Modern Chemical Industry* 26:59–61. Chinese with English abstract.
5. Ma ZH (2002) [Comparison of GHG emission factor of the main energy in China]. PhD dissertation (China Atomic Energy Science Academy, Beijing). Chinese with English abstract.
6. National Bureau of Statistics of China (2011) *China Statistical Yearbook* (China Statistics Press, Beijing).
7. Fan KZ, Feng YQ, Zen XK (2001) [*China Fertilizer Manual*] (China Chemical Industry Information Press, Beijing). Chinese.